

Meeting Summary: CalEPA – Post-Fire Environmental Concerns

Date: May 6, 2025

Attendees:

• Anna Naimark, Deputy Secretary & Special Counsel for Water Policy, CalEPA

- Absent
- Ana Jovel Melendez, Deputy Secretary for Legislative Affairs, CalEPA
- Ashley Oelsen, Biologist

Duration: ~49 minutes

Topics Covered: Fire recovery, environmental testing, jurisdictional gaps, community

safety, and wildlife health

Attendee Biographies

Anna Naimark

Deputy Secretary & Special Counsel for Water Policy, CalEPA

Anna Naimark has served as CalEPA's Deputy Secretary and Special Counsel for Water Policy since February 2022. In this role, she leads water-related regulatory strategy and legal counsel for the agency, working closely with state water boards, public health agencies, and federal partners. Anna has a legal background and has worked extensively on environmental policy and interagency coordination throughout her public service career.

Ana Jovel Melendez

Deputy Secretary for Legislative Affairs, CalEPA

Ana Jovel Melendez was appointed Deputy Secretary for Legislative Affairs in 2024 after serving in a similar role at the California State Water Resources Control Board from 2021–2024. She is responsible for CalEPA's legislative strategy and liaising with the California State Legislature. Ana brings a strong background in environmental law, policy negotiation, and legislative advocacy, making her a key voice in shaping California's environmental priorities at the state level.

Key Discussion Themes

1. Jurisdictional Confusion and Responsibility

- Ashley Oelsen highlighted widespread **community confusion** over which agencies are responsible for beach and fire debris cleanup.
- CalEPA clarified that **emergency response begins at the local level**, escalating to state and federal agencies through Office of Emergency Services (OES) channels.
- Local LA County Public Health and Water Boards are tasked with decisions on beach advisories, while testing is often initiated by regional boards.

2. Environmental Testing Concerns

- Initial promises of **ongoing testing through 2025** have been scaled back to one final round in May due to **lack of funding**.
- Ashley questioned why the State has not stepped in after FEMA and EPA declined further residential testing—unlike previous wildfire responses.
- Specific concerns were raised about:
 - o Lead and arsenic levels in beach sand and storm drains.
 - Lack of signage around storm drains where children play.
 - Inconsistent definitions of background levels and reliance on mixed thresholds for public safety.

3. Wildlife Die-Off and Ecosystem Health

- Ashley documented significant wildlife deaths, including dolphins and birds, possibly tied to harmful algal blooms (HABs) and post-fire contamination.
- CalEPA acknowledged concerns but noted direct scientific correlation is difficult and may take years.
- Ashley cited previous post-fire reports (Camp and Woolsey fires) that anticipated HABs as a fire-related water quality impact—urging the State to act on these lessons.

4. Community Impact and Trauma

Residents feel gaslit, unsafe, and uninformed about health risks.

- Ashley described firsthand observations: burn debris reused by children, residents draining ash-laden pool water into storm drains, and continued inadequate remediation of fire debris on beaches.
- She also emphasized the **psychological toll** on families and volunteers trying to fill information gaps without agency support.

5. Requests and Recommendations

Ashley called for:

- Clarity on agency responsibilities, especially regarding underwater debris and sand remediation.
- State-supported environmental testing, especially where federal support is lacking.
- Expanded public communication and transparency, particularly about health advisories and test interpretation.
- Better coordination between EPA, FEMA, Army Corps, and state/county departments.
- Engagement with **legislators and state agencies** to push for dedicated funding and systemic reforms.

Key Follow-Up Questions/Issues Raised

- 1. Why has **CalEPA not filled the federal testing gap** for public safety and environmental oversight?
- 2. Who is responsible for underwater debris and beach sand remediation?
- 3. Can CalEPA establish ongoing dialogue or calls with community advocates?
- 4. Are the **lessons of past fires (e.g. Camp, Woolsey)** being applied, especially concerning HABs?

Action Items

- CalEPA committed to internally **following up on testing gaps**, **storm drain signage**, and **clarifying underwater remediation roles**.
- Ashley provided photographic evidence, contact info, and specific community concerns.
- CalEPA encouraged continued community engagement, outreach to legislators, and documentation of environmental impacts.

Impact of the Fire on Wildlife and Public Health 6:17

- Oelsen shares personal experiences and data from wildlife surveys conducted since 2020, documenting the impact of the fire on local ecosystems and wildlife.
- Oelsen discusses the challenges in getting accurate and timely information from local agencies, including the County and Public Health, regarding beach advisories and closures.
- Oelsen highlights the presence of toxic materials in storm drains and the lack of signage to warn the public, especially children.
- Oelsen questions the state's role in filling the gap left by federal agencies in testing residential properties and the need for better public health protection.

• Community Concerns and Lack of Information 33:05

- Oelsen expresses frustration with the lack of clear communication and action from local and state agencies regarding the fire's environmental impact.
- Oelsen shares concerns about the presence of toxic materials on beaches and the lack of transparency in testing and decision-making processes.
- Oelsen discusses the challenges in getting accurate information from local agencies and the need for better coordination and communication.

 CalEPA acknowledges the challenges in providing clear and timely information to the public and the need for ongoing discussions and coordination with local and state agencies.

• Ongoing Efforts and Future Directions <u>45:45</u>

- Oelsen emphasizes the importance of continued outreach and advocacy to address the environmental and public health challenges posed by the fire.
- CalEPA highlights the ongoing efforts of various agencies to address the fire's impact and the need for continued collaboration and communication.
- Oelsen discusses the importance of learning from past experiences and implementing better strategies to prevent similar environmental disasters in the future.
- CalEPA acknowledges the complexity of the situation and the need for continued efforts to address the ongoing challenges and ensure public safety and environmental protection.