

APPENDIX A

Figures

FIGURES

Figure 1.0-1. CD8 Project location.	A-1
Figure 1.0-2. CD8 Project Applicant’s Preferred Alternative.	A-2
Figure 1.4-1. Surface landownership in the Project vicinity.	A-3
Figure 1.5-1. Subsurface rights in the Project vicinity.	A-4
Figure 2.2-1. Geographic alternatives considered in alternatives development.	A-5
Figure 2.2-2a. Drill stadiums of drillsite alternatives 6, 7, 10, and 14.	A-6
Figure 2.2-2b. Drill stadiums of drillsite alternatives 8, 9, and 13.	A-7
Figure 2.2-2c. Drill stadiums of drillsite alternatives 1, 4, and 5.	A-8
Figure 2.2-3. Alternative C – Northern Alternative.....	A-9
Figure 2.2-4. Alternative D – Northwestern Alternative.	A-10
Figure 2.3-1. Comparison of action alternatives.....	A-11
Figure 2.3-2. Pipelines on existing and proposed vertical support members for each alternative.	A-12
Figure 2.4-1. Pipeline schematic.....	A-13
Figure 2.4-2. Existing Alpine water use authorizations.....	A-14
Figure 2.4-3. Spill response equipment.	A-15
Figure 2.4-4. CD8 Project schedule.....	A-16
Figure 3.1-1. Past and present actions in the Project area.	A-17
Figure 3.1-2. Reasonably foreseeable future actions in relation to the CD8 Project area.	A-18
Figure 3.2-1. Air quality analysis area, monitoring stations, and existing emission sources. ..	A-19
Figure 3.3-1. Cultural resource physical impacts analysis area and non-physical impacts analysis area.	A-20
Figure 3.3-2. Cultural resource physical impacts analysis area and non-physical impacts analysis area for action alternatives.....	A-21
Figure 3.4-1. Surface landownership across action alternatives.....	A-22
Figure 3.4-2. Subsurface landownership across action alternatives.	A-23
Figure 3.5-1. Noise analysis area.....	A-24
Figure 3.8-1. Nuiqsut contemporary subsistence use areas, all resources.	A-25
Figure 3.8-2. Nuiqsut caribou subsistence use areas, 2008 through 2023.....	A-26
Figure 3.8-3. Nuiqsut moose subsistence use areas, 2010 through 2022.	A-27
Figure 3.8-4. Nuiqsut other large land mammal subsistence use areas, 2010 through 2022....	A-28
Figure 3.8-5. Nuiqsut furbearer and small land mammal subsistence use areas, 2010 through 2022.....	A-29
Figure 3.8-6. Nuiqsut bowhead whale subsistence use areas, 2010 through 2022.....	A-30
Figure 3.8-7. Nuiqsut bearded seal subsistence use areas, 2010 through 2022.	A-31
Figure 3.8-8. Nuiqsut ringed seal subsistence use areas, 2010 through 2022.	A-32
Figure 3.8-9. Nuiqsut other marine mammal subsistence use areas, 2010 through 2022.....	A-33
Figure 3.8-10. Nuiqsut goose subsistence use areas, 2010 through 2022.....	A-34
Figure 3.8-11. Nuiqsut ducks subsistence use areas, 2010 through 2022.....	A-35
Figure 3.8-12. Nuiqsut upland bird subsistence use areas, 2010 through 2022.....	A-36
Figure 3.8-13. Nuiqsut egg subsistence use areas, 2010 through 2022.	A-37
Figure 3.8-14. Nuiqsut arctic cisco subsistence use areas, 2010 through 2022.....	A-38

Figure 3.8-15. Nuiqsut broad whitefish subsistence use areas, 2010 through 2022.	A-39
Figure 3.8-16. Nuiqsut other non-salmon fish subsistence use areas, 2010 through 2022.	A-40
Figure 3.8-17. Nuiqsut salmon subsistence use areas, 2010 through 2022.	A-41
Figure 3.8-18. Nuiqsut vegetation subsistence use areas, 2010 through 2022.	A-42
Figure 3.8-19. Nuiqsut all resources subsistence use areas by alternative, 2010 through 2022.	A-43
Figure 3.8-20. Nuiqsut caribou subsistence use areas by alternative, 2008 through 2023.	A-44
Figure 3.8-21. Nuiqsut caribou harvest density by alternative, 2008 through 2023.	A-45
Figure 3.8-22. Nuiqsut moose subsistence use areas by alternative, 2010 through 2022.	A-46
Figure 3.8-23. Nuiqsut other large land mammal subsistence use areas by alternative, 2010 through 2022.	A-47
Figure 3.8-24. Nuiqsut furbearers and small land mammal subsistence use areas by alternative, 2010 through 2022.	A-48
Figure 3.8-25. Nuiqsut ringed seal subsistence use areas by alternative, 2010 through 2022. ..	A-49
Figure 3.8-26. Nuiqsut other marine mammal subsistence use areas by alternative, 2010 through 2022.	A-50
Figure 3.8-27. Nuiqsut geese subsistence use areas by alternative, 2010 through 2022.	A-51
Figure 3.8-28. Nuiqsut duck subsistence use areas by alternative, 2010 through 2022.	A-52
Figure 3.8-29. Nuiqsut upland bird subsistence use areas by alternative, 2010 through 2022. A-53	
Figure 3.8-30. Nuiqsut egg subsistence use areas by alternative, 2010 through 2022.	A-54
Figure 3.8-31. Nuiqsut arctic cisco subsistence use areas by alternative, 2010 through 2022. A-55	
Figure 3.8-32. Nuiqsut broad whitefish subsistence use areas by alternative, 2010 through 2022.	A-56
Figure 3.8-33. Nuiqsut other non-salmon fish subsistence use areas by alternative, 2010 through 2022.	A-57
Figure 3.8-34. Nuiqsut salmon subsistence use areas by alternative, 2010 through 2022.	A-58
Figure 3.8-35. Nuiqsut vegetation subsistence use areas by alternative, 2010 through 2022. .	A-59
Figure 3.9-1. Annual ranges of the Teshekpuk Caribou Herd and the Central Arctic Caribou Herd.	A-60
Figure 3.9-2. Analysis area for caribou.	A-61
Figure 3.9-3. Seasonal range use for the Central Arctic Caribou Herd.	A-62
Figure 3.9-4. Seasonal range use for the Teshekpuk Caribou Herd.	A-63
Figure 3.9-5. Mean caribou density in the project area by season.	A-64
Figure 3.9-6. Distance between roads and pipelines for each alternative.	A-65
Figure 3.10-1. Visual resources analysis area.	A-66
Figure 3.11-1. Analysis area for water quality, hydrology, wetlands and waters.	A-67
Figure 3.11-2. Annual hydrograph for the Colville River at Umiat 2002 through 2025.	A-68
Figure 3.11-3. Ice jam observations for the Colville River Delta 2000 through 2020.	A-69
Figure 3.11-4. Modeled 50-year ice-affected and 200-year open-water floodplains for the Colville River Delta.	A-70
Figure 3.11-5. Lakes in the water resources analysis area.	A-71
Figure 3.11-6. Action alternatives relative to the modeled 50-year ice-affected and 200- year open-water floodplains.	A-72

Figure 3.11-7. Time series of washout of CD4 road and impacts to surrounding wetlands and waters.....	A-73
Figure 3.11-8. Change in water surface elevation for 50-year open-water flood event Alternative B.	A-74
Figure 3.11-9. Change in water surface elevation for 200-year open-water flood event Alternative B.	A-75
Figure 3.11-10. Change in water surface elevation for 50-year ice-affected flood event Alternative B.	A-76
Figure 3.11-11. Change in water surface elevation for 50-year open-water flood event Alternative C.	A-77
Figure 3.11-12. Change in water surface elevation for 200-year open-water flood event Alternative C.	A-78
Figure 3.11-13. Change in water surface elevation for 50-year ice-affected flood event Alternative C.	A-79
Figure 3.11-14. Change in water surface elevation for 50-year open-water flood event Alternative D.	A-80
Figure 3.11-15. Change in water surface elevation for 200-year open-water flood event Alternative D.	A-81
Figure 3.11-16. Change in water surface elevation for 50-year ice-affected flood event Alternative D.	A-82
Figure 3.12-1. Field-verified wetland data in relation to the wetland analysis area and alternatives.....	A-83
Figure 3.12-2. Field-verified wetland data with each alternative.	A-84
Figure 4.4-1. Alternative B modeled reservoir blowout oil fallout.	A-85
Figure 4.4-2. Alternative C modeled reservoir blowout oil fallout.	A-86
Figure 4.4-3. Alternative D modeled reservoir blowout oil fallout.	A-87




Figure 1.0-1. CD8 Project location.




Figure 1.0-2. CD8 Project Applicant's Preferred Alternative.




Figure 1.4-1. Surface landownership in the Project vicinity.




Figure 1.5-1. Subsurface rights in the Project vicinity.




Figure 2.2-1. Geographic alternatives considered in alternatives development.




Figure 2.2-2a. Drill stadiums of drillsite alternatives 6, 7, 10, and 14.




Figure 2.2-2b. Drill stadiums of drillsite alternatives 8, 9, and 13.




Figure 2.2-2c. Drill stadiums of drillsite alternatives 1, 4, and 5.




Figure 2.2-3. Alternative C – Northern Alternative.




Figure 2.2-4. Alternative D – Northwestern Alternative.




Figure 2.3-1. Comparison of action alternatives.




Figure 2.3-2. Pipelines on existing and proposed vertical support members for each alternative.




Figure 2.4-1. Pipeline schematic.




Figure 2.4-2. Existing Alpine water use authorizations.




Figure 2.4-3. Spill response equipment.



Figure 2.4-4. CD8 Project schedule.



Figure 3.1-1. Past and present actions in the Project area.




Figure 3.1-2. Reasonably foreseeable future actions in relation to the CD8 Project area.




Figure 3.2-1. Air quality analysis area, monitoring stations, and existing emission sources.




Figure 3.3-1. Cultural resource physical impacts analysis area and non-physical impacts analysis area.




Figure 3.3-2. Cultural resource physical impacts analysis area and non-physical impacts analysis area for action alternatives.




Figure 3.4-1. Surface landownership across action alternatives.




Figure 3.4-2. Subsurface landownership across action alternatives.




Figure 3.5-1. Noise analysis area.