

I'm not a robot   
reCAPTCHA

**Continue**

## Stimulus control transfer procedures aba examples

/ABA How To / By rbtstudy Post navigation The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of 2 transfer of stimulus control procedures to teach tacting to individuals with autism. Five participants with differing verbal skills were assessed by a subset of the ABLLS prior to intervention, then were taught 36 previously unknown tacts using the receptive echoic-tact (r-e-t) and echoic-tact (e-t) transfer procedures. Each transfer method was used separately to establish different tacts, in a multiple baseline design across tests for 3 sets of stimuli. The results showed that 4 out of 5 participants (who demonstrated mands, tacts, echoics, and sometimes intraverbals prior to the study) acquired all targeted tacts when either r-e-t or e-t training was presented. One participant (who emitted no verbal operants at the onset of the study) did not acquire any tacts. While some participants appeared to learn more quickly with one transfer method, neither method emerged as more efficient with learners with fewer or more extensive verbal skills. The results indicate that both transfer methods promoted the acquisition of tacts for learners with autism with at least minimal verbal skills. Keywords: transfer of stimulus control, receptive-echoic-tact transfer procedure, autismApproaches to understanding and teaching communication skills to children with autism, sometimes referred to as Applied Verbal Behavior, Verbal Behavior, or simply ABA, target the acquisition and developmental disabilities have been developed by explicitly applying Skinner's (1957) analysis of verbal behavior (Sundberg & Partington, 1998). These current approaches to early intensive behavioral intervention for children with autism, sometimes referred to as Applied Verbal Behavior, Verbal Behavior, or simply ABA, target the acquisition of distinct and functional verbal operants rather than focusing upon topographies according to the traditional receptive/expressive dichotomy (Lerman et al., 2005). bühler meaf manual

It is this analysis of verbal behavior that will allow behavior analysts to approach topics common in linguistics and psychology (Sundberg, 1998). A few controlled studies have been conducted on transferring stimulus control between verbal operants in children with autism (Barbera & Kubina, 2005; Drash, High, & Tudor, 1999; Partington, Sundberg, Newhouse, & Spangler, 1994; Sundberg, Endicott, & Eigenheer, 2000). Sundberg and Partington (1998) have discussed transfer of stimulus control or "quick transfer" procedures extensively. For instance, they described a procedure which transfers control of the spoken response dog from a verbal stimulus to respond ("What is that?"), a nonverbal stimulus (picture of dog) and an echoic stimulus (spoken word dog) to "What is that?" plus the picture of the dog or the picture of the dog alone. second conditional exercises pdf macmillan Here, they suggest presenting the picture of the dog to the child along with "What is that?" together with the echoic prompt, "Dog, say 'dog.'" The consequence for the child saying dog is praise and possibly physical contact, if that is reinforcing (the physical contact would eventually fade out, as it is not a typical consequence for tacting). After implementing this part of the procedure, the next step is to fade out the echoic prompt. This may be accomplished by increasing the delay between the question and the delivery of the echoic prompt, or by fading from full to partial echoic prompts. The echoic prompt is continuously reduced until the spoken response dog occurs in the absence of the prompt. The stimulus to respond, "What is that?" should also be faded out if the goal is to obtain spontaneous tacting. important phrases and idioms pdf After this prompt is faded out, the response dog is solely under the stimulus control of the nonverbal stimulus and the presence of an audience. As this study addresses stimulus control and transfer of stimulus control procedures, these terms will be defined briefly. Stimulus control refers to a change in operant behavior that occurs when a particular type of stimulus (SD or SA) is presented. 82783734845.pdf With an SD, the behavior occurs in the presence of that stimulus and does not occur in its absence. With an SA, the behavior does not occur in the presence of that stimulus. radiohead street spirit tab pdf

### Stimulus Control

- Stimuli come to exert influence over behaviour
- Application of generalization and discrimination
- CS+ and CS-
- S+ indicates more reinforcing outcome, S- less reinforcing outcome

Stimulus control develops when a given response is repeatedly reinforced in the presence of a particular stimulus (SD) and not in its absence, or not in the presence of a different stimulus (SA). Transfer of stimulus control occurs when behavior initially evoked (controlled) by one SD comes under the control of a different SD. For instance, suppose that a child says cup in the presence of the echoic prompt, "Say 'cup.'" If a picture of a cup plus "What is that?" is then presented, the echoic prompt is faded, and the child comes to say cup in the presence of the picture of the cup (nonverbal stimulus). transfer of stimulus control from echoic to tact variables has been demonstrated. honeywell vision pro 8000 owner's manual The response form cup, which was previously controlled (evoked) by the verbal stimulus cup, is now controlled by the picture of the cup (nonverbal stimulus). Sundberg and Partington (1998) have suggested several initial behaviors and verbal operants which are important for early learners to acquire. These include approaching a trainer or teacher, emitting a single response to obtain a highly reinforcing item, emitting several responses to gain access to an item or activity over a series of interactions, manding for highly preferred items, imitating actions and verbal stimuli, and complying with instructions. serway fizik 2 soru cozumleri pdf They emphasize the importance of teaching mands as the first verbal operant, as manding allows the learner to gain access to preferred items and activities, and may preclude the development of severe challenging behaviors. Once a child has developed these initial skills, labeling or tact training can begin. The purpose of the present study was to increase the verbal skills of children with autism; specifically, to establish a tact repertoire. The ability to verbally label everyday items and actions is a cornerstone of language development (Sundberg & Partington, 1998). Tacts play a critical role from the simple tacts of labeling items to complex ones of inferring meaning (Lowenron, 2004). design of reinforced concrete 8th edition solution manual pdf Children with tacting deficits may experience significant communicative impairments (Barbera & Kubina, 2005). Developing effective procedures for establishing stimulus control, where a child can tact a stimulus within his environment, has wide utility for those children having a difficulty interacting with other individuals. Barbera and Kubina (2005) evaluated a combination of transfer procedures commonly used to teach tacts to children with autism. These researchers used a combination of receptive-to-echoic-to-tact (r-e-t) and echoic-to-tact (e-t) transfer procedures to teach tacts to one participant with extensive verbal skills.

The authors concluded that the concurrent use of the two transfer procedures resulted in the successful acquisition of the targeted verbal operants. Pictures of the target stimuli were placed on a table and the participant was told to "touch —". The participant received a physical or gestural prompt, if needed, to touch the target stimulus. If he echoed the name of the target stimulus as he touched the picture, the echoic-tact transfer was immediately attempted. The picture of the target stimulus was held up and the clinician said, "Right, what is it?" If no response, the clinician said the name of the target stimulus and if the participant echoed the name, the clinician again said, "Right, what is it?" If the transfer to tact was not successful, the clinician went back to the receptive prompt for a different target stimulus by saying "touch —". If the participant echoed the name of the second target stimulus, the tact transfer was attempted for it. If the participant displayed no echoic response, the third target stimulus on the table was used to attempt the receptive-to-echoic-to-tact transfer. These procedures were combined in a very fluid process, moving quickly from receptive-to-echoic-to-tact or from a nonresponse or error to a receptive prompt. 6990104443.pdf The dual use of these interventions was effective in transferring and establishing stimulus control for the participant. The authors suggested that the receptive component of the r-e-t procedure may facilitate training for children who cannot always be prompted to respond. The present study extended Barbera and Kubina (2005) by evaluating effectiveness of the r-e-t and e-t transfer procedures in a different format with a larger number of participants with varied verbal repertoires. The present format differed from that of Barbera and Kubina in that r-e-t and e-t procedures were used individually in separate sessions to teach different sets of tacts, rather than in combination to teach all tacts. Since r-e-t and e-t procedures were identical except for the receptive component, one purpose of this study was to evaluate the possible contribution of the receptive component of the procedures. Participants Four children and one adult participated in this study. Mike is a 12-year-old boy diagnosed with autism and profound mental retardation. According to his parents, he was verbal until about age two. At the time of this study, his parents also reported that he occasionally vocalized three to five words in context, although this investigator did not observe that he emitted any verbal operants. He vocalized, but did not emit any recognizable words. Mike also did not point to or touch pictures or objects in response to a prompt to do so (i.e., he lacked receptive skills). Matt is a 6-year-old boy with autism and moderate mental retardation. His father reported that Matt used some words until approximately 12–18 months of age, when he stopped verbalizing. introduction to probability and statistics fourteenth edition At the time of this study, he manded using 1–2 words, tacted, and emitted echoic behavior. Bob is an 11-year-old boy with autism and moderate mental retardation. His father indicated that Bob verbalized until approximately 18 months of age, then stopped. According to his mother, Bob's verbal behavior increased in the last couple of years. At the time of this study, Bob manded using 1–2 words, tacted, and emitted echoic behavior. Jordan is a 12-year-old boy with autism and mild mental retardation. Jordan's parents reported no dramatic regression in his language skills. At the time of this study, Jordan displayed strong echolalia and responded to questions when they were repeated 4–5 times. apology for poetry summary pdf He also manded and tacted. Jack is a 21-year-old man with autism and mild mental retardation. His mother reported that he never displayed appropriate language pragmatics as a child. growth mindset pdf posters Although he slowly acquired a vocal repertoire, he never emitted responses beyond several words. At the time of this study, Jack's verbal repertoire consisted of mands, tacts, echoics, and intraverbals. He did not converse socially with others. Prior to intervention, participants' verbal skills were assessed by means of a subset of the ABLLS (Partington & Sundberg, 1998). The ABLLS is a criterion-referenced assessment and skills tracking system designed for children with language delays that informs both parents and professionals regarding a child's current skill levels. It also serves as a curriculum guide and provides information that can serve as a basis for targeting educational objectives. For purposes of the present study, three domains of the ABLLS were used: Receptive Language (52 tasks), Vocal Imitation (9 tasks), and Labeling (42 tasks). Domains assessing receptive skills, echoic behavior, and tacts were selected because these verbal operants were targeted for training in the present study. The 103 items selected from the ABLLS rate each task on a scale from 0–2 or 0–4, and the results for each of the 103 tasks were added to produce a summative rating for each participant. By means of these ratings, the verbal skills of each participant were quantified to obtain ordinal data for comparison across participants. The participants' summative ratings on these domains of the ABLLS are as follows: Jack, 331; Jordan, 267; Bob, 175; Matt, 85; and Mike, 10. Participants' scores on all three domains of the ABLLS followed the same pattern as their cumulative "rankings"; that is, Jack had the highest scores in all three domains, Jordan had the second highest scores, Bob, the third, etc. Thus, participants' skill levels were consistent across the three domains. Based on both the cumulative assessment and individual domain data, Jack might be expected to acquire tacts with fewest trials to criterion. Setting All sessions took place in the participants' homes, at the dining room table. The clinician sat next to the participant, on the side corresponding with his dominant hand. The settings were not controlled for background noise. Dependent Variable Identification A pool of approximately 200 pictures of objects was presented in order to select unknown stimuli to be tacted. The stimulus pictures, each showing one object, were obtained from age-appropriate school books and magazines and displayed on white 3 x 5" index cards.

### Stimulus Control

- Contingent Relationship between antecedent stimulus and a response (behavior): The presence of antecedent stimulus alter the behavior in frequency, duration, latency, or intensity
- S<sup>0</sup> (Discriminative Stimulus): in the presence a behavior will be reinforced
- S<sup>0</sup>: in the presence a behavior will not be reinforced

Each participant was presented with the pictures on the index cards and asked to tact them. If a participant failed to tact a picture correctly, that picture was included in the study. This procedure was repeated until 36 unknown pictures were identified for each participant. The pictures were then randomly distributed into three sets of twelve—Set 1, Set 2, and Set 3. The stimulus pictures remained constant for each specific transfer procedure during the training sessions; that is, with each set of pictures, specific stimuli were used in r-e-t training and others in e-t training. No picture was used with both transfer procedures. Identical procedures were conducted individually for all participants, except that with Mike, only one set of stimulus pictures was presented. The sets of stimulus pictures and transfer methods used for each participant are displayed in Tables 1–5. Mike's Three Sets of Targeted Tacts and the Transfer Method Employed (Only Set 1 was Used) Transfer Method Set 1 Transfer Method Set 2 Transfer Method Set 3 Transfer Method Set 4 Transfer Method Set 5 Transfer Method Set 6 Transfer Method Set 7 Transfer Method Set 8 Transfer Method Set 9 Transfer Method Set 10 Transfer Method Set 11 Transfer Method Set 12 Transfer Method Set 13 Transfer Method Set 14 Transfer Method Set 15 Transfer Method Set 16 Transfer Method Set 17 Transfer Method Set 18 Transfer Method Set 19 Transfer Method Set 20 Transfer Method Set 21 Transfer Method Set 22 Transfer Method Set 23 Transfer Method Set 24 Transfer Method Set 25 Transfer Method Set 26 Transfer Method Set 27 Transfer Method Set 28 Transfer Method Set 29 Transfer Method Set 30 Transfer Method Set 31 Transfer Method Set 32 Transfer Method Set 33 Transfer Method Set 34 Transfer Method Set 35 Transfer Method Set 36 Transfer Method Set 37 Transfer Method Set 38 Transfer Method Set 39 Transfer Method Set 40 Transfer Method Set 41 Transfer Method Set 42 Transfer Method Set 43 Transfer Method Set 44 Transfer Method Set 45 Transfer Method Set 46 Transfer Method Set 47 Transfer Method Set 48 Transfer Method Set 49 Transfer Method Set 50 Transfer Method Set 51 Transfer Method Set 52 Transfer Method Set 53 Transfer Method Set 54 Transfer Method Set 55 Transfer Method Set 56 Transfer Method Set 57 Transfer Method Set 58 Transfer Method Set 59 Transfer Method Set 60 Transfer Method Set 61 Transfer Method Set 62 Transfer Method Set 63 Transfer Method Set 64 Transfer Method Set 65 Transfer Method Set 66 Transfer Method Set 67 Transfer Method Set 68 Transfer Method Set 69 Transfer Method Set 70 Transfer Method Set 71 Transfer Method Set 72 Transfer Method Set 73 Transfer Method Set 74 Transfer Method Set 75 Transfer Method Set 76 Transfer Method Set 77 Transfer Method Set 78 Transfer Method Set 79 Transfer Method Set 80 Transfer Method Set 81 Transfer Method Set 82 Transfer Method Set 83 Transfer Method Set 84 Transfer Method Set 85 Transfer Method Set 86 Transfer Method Set 87 Transfer Method Set 88 Transfer Method Set 89 Transfer Method Set 90 Transfer Method Set 91 Transfer Method Set 92 Transfer Method Set 93 Transfer Method Set 94 Transfer Method Set 95 Transfer Method Set 96 Transfer Method Set 97 Transfer Method Set 98 Transfer Method Set 99 Transfer Method Set 100 Transfer Method Set 101 Transfer Method Set 102 Transfer Method Set 103 Transfer Method Set 104 Transfer Method Set 105 Transfer Method Set 106 Transfer Method Set 107 Transfer Method Set 108 Transfer Method Set 109 Transfer Method Set 110 Transfer Method Set 111 Transfer Method Set 112 Transfer Method Set 113 Transfer Method Set 114 Transfer Method Set 115 Transfer Method Set 116 Transfer Method Set 117 Transfer Method Set 118 Transfer Method Set 119 Transfer Method Set 120 Transfer Method Set 121 Transfer Method Set 122 Transfer Method Set 123 Transfer Method Set 124 Transfer Method Set 125 Transfer Method Set 126 Transfer Method Set 127 Transfer Method Set 128 Transfer Method Set 129 Transfer Method Set 130 Transfer Method Set 131 Transfer Method Set 132 Transfer Method Set 133 Transfer Method Set 134 Transfer Method Set 135 Transfer Method Set 136 Transfer Method Set 137 Transfer Method Set 138 Transfer Method Set 139 Transfer Method Set 140 Transfer Method Set 141 Transfer Method Set 142 Transfer Method Set 143 Transfer Method Set 144 Transfer Method Set 145 Transfer Method Set 146 Transfer Method Set 147 Transfer Method Set 148 Transfer Method Set 149 Transfer Method Set 150 Transfer Method Set 151 Transfer Method Set 152 Transfer Method Set 153 Transfer Method Set 154 Transfer Method Set 155 Transfer Method Set 156 Transfer Method Set 157 Transfer Method Set 158 Transfer Method Set 159 Transfer Method Set 160 Transfer Method Set 161 Transfer Method Set 162 Transfer Method Set 163 Transfer Method Set 164 Transfer Method Set 165 Transfer Method Set 166 Transfer Method Set 167 Transfer Method Set 168 Transfer Method Set 169 Transfer Method Set 170 Transfer Method Set 171 Transfer Method Set 172 Transfer Method Set 173 Transfer Method Set 174 Transfer Method Set 175 Transfer Method Set 176 Transfer Method Set 177 Transfer Method Set 178 Transfer Method Set 179 Transfer Method Set 180 Transfer Method Set 181 Transfer Method Set 182 Transfer Method Set 183 Transfer Method Set 184 Transfer Method Set 185 Transfer Method Set 186 Transfer Method Set 187 Transfer Method Set 188 Transfer Method Set 189 Transfer Method Set 190 Transfer Method Set 191 Transfer Method Set 192 Transfer Method Set 193 Transfer Method Set 194 Transfer Method Set 195 Transfer Method Set 196 Transfer Method Set 197 Transfer Method Set 198 Transfer Method Set 199 Transfer Method Set 200 Transfer Method Set 201 Transfer Method Set 202 Transfer Method Set 203 Transfer Method Set 204 Transfer Method Set 205 Transfer Method Set 206 Transfer Method Set 207 Transfer Method Set 208 Transfer Method Set 209 Transfer Method Set 210 Transfer Method Set 211 Transfer Method Set 212 Transfer Method Set 213 Transfer Method Set 214 Transfer Method Set 215 Transfer Method Set 216 Transfer Method Set 217 Transfer Method Set 218 Transfer Method Set 219 Transfer Method Set 220 Transfer Method Set 221 Transfer Method Set 222 Transfer Method Set 223 Transfer Method Set 224 Transfer Method Set 225 Transfer Method Set 226 Transfer Method Set 227 Transfer Method Set 228 Transfer Method Set 229 Transfer Method Set 230 Transfer Method Set 231 Transfer Method Set 232 Transfer Method Set 233 Transfer Method Set 234 Transfer Method Set 235 Transfer Method Set 236 Transfer Method Set 237 Transfer Method Set 238 Transfer Method Set 239 Transfer Method Set 240 Transfer Method Set 241 Transfer Method Set 242 Transfer Method Set 243 Transfer Method Set 244 Transfer Method Set 245 Transfer Method Set 246 Transfer Method Set 247 Transfer Method Set 248 Transfer Method Set 249 Transfer Method Set 250 Transfer Method Set 251 Transfer Method Set 252 Transfer Method Set 253 Transfer Method Set 254 Transfer Method Set 255 Transfer Method Set 256 Transfer Method Set 257 Transfer Method Set 258 Transfer Method Set 259 Transfer Method Set 260 Transfer Method Set 261 Transfer Method Set 262 Transfer Method Set 263 Transfer Method Set 264 Transfer Method Set 265 Transfer Method Set 266 Transfer Method Set 267 Transfer Method Set 268 Transfer Method Set 269 Transfer Method Set 270 Transfer Method Set 271 Transfer Method Set 272 Transfer Method Set 273 Transfer Method Set 274 Transfer Method Set 275 Transfer Method Set 276 Transfer Method Set 277 Transfer Method Set 278 Transfer Method Set 279 Transfer Method Set 280 Transfer Method Set 281 Transfer Method Set 282 Transfer Method Set 283 Transfer Method Set 284 Transfer Method Set 285 Transfer Method Set 286 Transfer Method Set 287 Transfer Method Set 288 Transfer Method Set 289 Transfer Method Set 290 Transfer Method Set 291 Transfer Method Set 292 Transfer Method Set 293 Transfer Method Set 294 Transfer Method Set 295 Transfer Method Set 296 Transfer Method Set 297 Transfer Method Set 298 Transfer Method Set 299 Transfer Method Set 300 Transfer Method Set 310 Transfer Method Set 320 Transfer Method Set 330 Transfer Method Set 340 Transfer Method Set 350 Transfer Method Set 360 Transfer Method Set 370 Transfer Method Set 380 Transfer Method Set 390 Transfer Method Set 400 Transfer Method Set 410 Transfer Method Set 420 Transfer Method Set 430 Transfer Method Set 440 Transfer Method Set 450 Transfer Method Set 460 Transfer Method Set 470 Transfer Method Set 480 Transfer Method Set 490 Transfer Method Set 500 Transfer Method Set 510 Transfer Method Set 520 Transfer Method Set 530 Transfer Method Set 540 Transfer Method Set 550 Transfer Method Set 560 Transfer Method Set 570 Transfer Method Set 580 Transfer Method Set 590 Transfer Method Set 600 Transfer Method Set 610 Transfer Method Set 620 Transfer Method Set 630 Transfer Method Set 640 Transfer Method Set 650 Transfer Method Set 660 Transfer Method Set 670 Transfer Method Set 680 Transfer Method Set 690 Transfer Method Set 700 Transfer Method Set 710 Transfer Method Set 720 Transfer Method Set 730 Transfer Method Set 740 Transfer Method Set 750 Transfer Method Set 760 Transfer Method Set 770 Transfer Method Set 780 Transfer Method Set 790 Transfer Method Set 800 Transfer Method Set 810 Transfer Method Set 820 Transfer Method Set 830 Transfer Method Set 840 Transfer Method Set 850 Transfer Method Set 860 Transfer Method Set 870 Transfer Method Set 880 Transfer Method Set 890 Transfer Method Set 900 Transfer Method Set 910 Transfer Method Set 920 Transfer Method Set 930 Transfer Method Set 940 Transfer Method Set 950 Transfer Method Set 960 Transfer Method Set 970 Transfer Method Set 980 Transfer Method Set 990 Transfer Method Set 1000 Transfer Method Set 1010 Transfer Method Set 1020 Transfer Method Set 1030 Transfer Method Set 1040 Transfer Method Set 1050 Transfer Method Set 1060 Transfer Method Set 1070 Transfer Method Set 1080 Transfer Method Set 1090 Transfer Method Set 1100 Transfer Method Set 1110 Transfer Method Set 1120 Transfer Method Set 1130 Transfer Method Set 1140 Transfer Method Set 1150 Transfer Method Set 1160 Transfer Method Set 1170 Transfer Method Set 1180 Transfer Method Set 1190 Transfer Method Set 1200 Transfer Method Set 1210 Transfer Method Set 1220 Transfer Method Set 1230 Transfer Method Set 1240 Transfer Method Set 1250 Transfer Method Set 1260 Transfer Method Set 1270 Transfer Method Set 1280 Transfer Method Set 1290 Transfer Method Set 1300 Transfer Method Set 1310 Transfer Method Set 1320 Transfer Method Set 1330 Transfer Method Set 1340 Transfer Method Set 1350 Transfer Method Set 1360 Transfer Method Set 1370 Transfer Method Set 1380 Transfer Method Set 1390 Transfer Method Set 1400 Transfer Method Set 1410 Transfer Method Set 1420 Transfer Method Set 1430 Transfer Method Set 1440 Transfer Method Set 1450 Transfer Method Set 1460 Transfer Method Set 1470 Transfer Method Set 1480 Transfer Method Set 1490 Transfer Method Set 1500 Transfer Method Set 1510 Transfer Method Set 1520 Transfer Method Set 1530 Transfer Method Set 1540 Transfer Method Set 1550 Transfer Method Set 1560 Transfer Method Set 1570 Transfer Method Set 1580 Transfer Method Set 1590 Transfer Method Set 1600 Transfer Method Set 1610 Transfer Method Set 1620 Transfer Method Set 1630 Transfer Method Set 1640 Transfer Method Set 1650 Transfer Method Set 1660 Transfer Method Set 1670 Transfer Method Set 1680 Transfer Method Set 1690 Transfer Method Set 1700 Transfer Method Set 1710 Transfer Method Set 1720 Transfer Method Set 1730 Transfer Method Set 1740 Transfer Method Set 1750 Transfer Method Set 1760 Transfer Method Set 1770 Transfer Method Set 1780 Transfer Method Set 1790 Transfer Method Set 1800 Transfer Method Set 1810 Transfer Method Set 1820 Transfer Method Set 1830 Transfer Method Set 1840 Transfer Method Set 1850 Transfer Method Set 1860 Transfer Method Set 1870 Transfer Method Set 1880 Transfer Method Set 1890 Transfer Method Set 1900 Transfer Method Set 1910 Transfer Method Set 1920 Transfer Method Set 1930 Transfer Method Set 1940 Transfer Method Set 1950 Transfer Method Set 1960 Transfer Method Set 1970 Transfer Method Set 1980 Transfer Method Set 1990 Transfer Method Set 2000 Transfer Method Set 2010 Transfer Method Set 2020 Transfer Method Set 2030 Transfer Method Set 2040 Transfer Method Set 2050 Transfer Method Set 2060 Transfer Method Set 2070 Transfer Method Set 2080 Transfer Method Set 2090 Transfer Method Set 2100 Transfer Method Set 2110 Transfer Method Set 2120 Transfer Method Set 2130 Transfer Method Set 2140 Transfer Method Set 2150 Transfer Method Set 2160 Transfer Method Set 2170 Transfer Method Set 2180 Transfer Method Set 2190 Transfer Method Set 2200 Transfer Method Set 2210 Transfer Method Set 2220 Transfer Method Set 2230 Transfer Method Set 2240 Transfer Method Set 2250 Transfer Method Set 2260 Transfer Method Set 2270 Transfer Method Set 2280 Transfer Method Set 2290 Transfer Method Set 2300 Transfer Method Set 2310 Transfer Method Set 2320 Transfer Method Set 2330 Transfer Method Set 2340 Transfer Method Set 2350 Transfer Method Set 2360 Transfer Method Set 2370 Transfer Method Set 2380 Transfer Method Set 2390 Transfer Method Set 2400 Transfer Method Set 2410 Transfer Method Set 2420 Transfer Method Set 2430 Transfer Method Set 2440 Transfer Method Set 2450 Transfer Method Set 2460 Transfer Method Set 2470 Transfer Method Set 2480 Transfer Method Set 2490 Transfer Method Set 2500 Transfer Method Set 2510 Transfer Method Set 2520 Transfer Method Set 2530 Transfer Method Set 2540 Transfer Method Set 2550 Transfer Method Set 2560 Transfer Method Set 2570 Transfer Method Set 2580 Transfer Method Set 2590 Transfer Method Set 2600 Transfer Method Set 2610 Transfer Method Set 2620 Transfer Method Set 2630 Transfer Method Set 2640 Transfer Method Set 2650 Transfer Method Set 2660 Transfer Method Set 2670 Transfer Method Set 2680 Transfer Method Set 2690 Transfer Method Set 2700 Transfer Method Set 2710 Transfer Method Set 2720 Transfer Method Set 2730 Transfer Method Set 2740 Transfer Method Set 2750 Transfer Method Set 2760 Transfer Method Set 2770 Transfer Method Set 2780 Transfer Method Set 2790 Transfer Method Set 2800 Transfer Method Set 2810 Transfer Method Set 2820 Transfer Method Set 2830 Transfer Method Set 2840 Transfer Method Set 2850 Transfer Method Set 2860 Transfer Method Set 2870 Transfer Method Set 2880 Transfer Method Set 2890 Transfer Method Set 2900 Transfer Method Set 2910 Transfer Method Set 2920 Transfer Method Set 2930 Transfer Method Set 2940 Transfer Method Set 2950 Transfer Method Set 2960 Transfer Method Set 2970 Transfer Method Set 2980 Transfer Method Set 2990 Transfer Method Set 3000 Transfer Method Set 3010 Transfer Method Set 3020 Transfer Method Set 3030 Transfer Method Set 3040 Transfer Method Set 3050 Transfer Method Set 3060 Transfer Method Set 3070 Transfer Method Set 3080 Transfer Method Set 3090 Transfer Method Set 3100 Transfer Method Set 3110 Transfer Method Set 3120 Transfer Method Set 3130 Transfer Method Set 3140 Transfer Method Set 3150 Transfer Method Set 3160 Transfer Method Set 3170 Transfer Method Set 3180 Transfer Method Set 3190 Transfer Method Set




Moreover, the rate of acquisition was quicker for the r-e-t tact. For Set 3, baseline procedures were conducted for 17 sessions; Set 1 was taught for 8 sessions, and Set 2 for 6. Bob achieved mastery criteria simultaneously with both transfer methods and his rates of acquisition were identical. Bob acquired 36 tacts over 30 days, and the intervention lasted 22 sessions. Bob's Three Sets of Targeted Tacts and the Transfer Method Employed Transfer Method Set 1/Transfer Method Set 2/Transfer Method Set 3r-e-tswordr-e-ptitchforkr-e-tironhelmetcymbalscerealcinchillai-podpicks-taxe-tjacks-tpeoutletlighterskieruncycleboltharrels-r-e-tlightning-r-tkeyboards-e-ttuning forkcompassflip flopschocolateclipsurukazooe-tharbellie-tcopiere-tenqinecavoyteineyardssunderstreadmilceiling fantrombonejordan The results showing tact acquisition for Jordan are displayed in Figure 4. For Set 1, 5 baseline sessions were implemented prior to training. During baseline, Jordan did not correctly tact any targeted stimulus pictures. During training, he acquired the r-e-t tacts more quickly, resulting in the mastery criterion being met 2 sessions earlier with r-e-t than the e-t method. Jordan's responses across sets of facts. During training on Set 2, Jordan responded incorrectly during all 14 baseline sessions. The training procedure was initiated after 9 teaching sessions for Set 1. For Set 2, Jordan achieved mastery simultaneously for both the r-e-t and e-t tacts. Moreover, with the exception of one session, rate acquisition was identical for both transfer methods. For Set 3, baseline was conducted for 19 sessions, during which Jordan failed to correctly respond to the targeted tacts. When training was initiated for Set 3, training had been conducted for 14 sessions in Set 1 and 6 sessions in Set 2.

He achieved mastery criterion for r-e-t facts one session before those using e-t methods, although the rate of acquisition between the methods did not considerably differ. The entire study took place over 25 sessions, in which Jordan learned 36 tacts over 33 days. Jordan's Three Sets of Targeted Tacts and the Transfer Method Employed Transfer Method Set 1/Transfer Method Set 2/Transfer Method Set 3r-e-tscorpionr-e-tchimpanzee-e-twilebeestSaturnuspendentcpeedenginecrystalporcupine-tlemure-tcheetae-tplattysspringechurchchandeliercar seatemuhaboonr-e-tlumbellr-e-ttuning fork-e-tferretsquidstainedglassaardvarkcompassmicrochipmangeth-twoeverine-twallahayeti-podcockroachsubmarinearroworangutangalaxybow tieJack The results of tact acquisition for Jack are displayed in Figure 5. For Set 1, four baseline sessions were implemented prior to training. During baseline, Jack did not tact any targeted stimuli correctly. He achieved mastery criterion with the e-t method 1 session before those employing r-e-t; however, the transfer method resulting in quicker acquisition fluctuated four times before mastery was achieved for e-t. Jack's responses across sets of facts. During Set 2, Jack responded incorrectly during all 11 baseline sessions. Training had been implemented for 7 sessions in Set 1 at the commencement of training for Set 2. For Set 2, Jack achieved mastery criteria simultaneously for both the r-e-t and e-t tacts, though his acquisition rate was slightly quicker with the e-t facts. For Set 3, baseline was conducted for 18 sessions, during which Jack failed to correctly respond to the targeted facts. When training was initiated for Set 3, training had been conducted for 14 sessions in Set 1 and 7 sessions in Set 2. 62217324891.pdf Jack achieved mastery criteria simultaneously for both methods; however, his rate of acquisition was slightly quicker using the e-t method. The intervention lasted 24 sessions, whereby Jack learned 36 tacts over 41 days. Jack's Three Sets of Targeted Tacts and the Transfer Method Employed Transfer Method Set 1/Transfer Method Set 2/Transfer Method Set 3r-e-tprotractor-e-tcluff links-e-tlemurksiflash drivepeacockjavelinememory boardaardvarke-tshoe horne-tcrystale-tplatypuswindmillreclinerbaboonferrettweezerscoyote-e-tcompassr-e-tshoulder padsr-e-tchinchillacockroachcharnoirecentpedulipeclipspearmadilloe-tscrewscrewdriver-e-tcleansealmetal detectorqibonuincyclecrutchjacksonqutanqutuThe data show that the r-e-t and e-t procedures were effective in establishing tacts in four out of five participants when they were presented separately in sessions separated by at least 24 hours rather than in the combined manner utilized by Barbera and Kubina (2005). Further, these procedures were used effectively with participants with varied verbal repertoires. In the case of Mike, however, tact training was unsuccessful. This may be due to differences in Mike's entering verbal repertoire compared with the other participants. Mike did not emit conventional verbal topographies under any conditions prior to the study, whereas all of the other participants emitted mands and other verbal operants. Regarding the effects of the different transfer procedures, with the exception of Jordan and Mike, all participants appeared to respond slightly better when the e-t transfer method was used. This conclusion is suggested by the faster learning rate across sets. Jack, Bob, and Matt appeared to have mastered r-e-t tacts more quickly for at least 2 of the 3 sets of tacts.

This conclusion, although visually apparent in the graphs, should not be overemphasized, as the difference in acquisition between e-t and r-e-t methods was marginal. The difference for Jordan is not as clear, for he responded better to r-e-t tacts during the initial set and responded very similarly using e-t methods during the later sets. No differences were present with Mike, since he did not acquire any tacts. One issue to consider is the possibility of sequence effects when comparing the effects of r-e-t and e-t training. This concern is related to what the participant is actually doing in the e-t condition, and whether this may have been affected by prior r-e-t training. The receptive skills acquired in r-e-t become part of the participant's repertoire, which might enhance the effectiveness of later e-t training. Receptive responding could facilitate the acquisition of tacts in the following manner. In r-e-t training, the participant is presented with three pictures of items (nonverbal stimuli) and prompted to "Touch—." He or she is then prompted to touch the nonverbal stimulus using most-to-least prompting, if necessary. Thus, in the presence of the spoken word for an item, the participant might acquire a repertoire of looking at, scanning the three nonverbal stimuli, and touching the stimulus that corresponds with the vocal word. When a single stimulus is later presented in the e-t condition, the participant exposed to r-e-t training may have a tendency to look closely at it and point to/touch it (receptive responding), which might set the occasion for the nonverbal stimulus to gain control over the form of the verbal response more quickly. There is no evidence that behavior trained in the r-e-t condition occurred in the e-t alone condition (it might be necessary to videotape the session to determine this), but the possibility has not been ruled out. It appeared that the effectiveness of the transfer procedures was dependent on the verbal skills of the participants. The data indicate that the participants' initial communicative repertoires, and not the type of procedure, were the main determinants of their performance. Their verbal abilities at the commencement of the study appeared to determine their responding efficiency, rather than either transfer method implemented during the study. The data further suggest that both methods were generalizable across participants. It appears that from Jack to Matt (excluding Mike who failed to respond at all), both methods increased responding. While it was earlier mentioned that e-t methods were slightly preferred, both methods were effective across learners of varying verbal abilities. Which transfer method appeared to be more effective across learners of different language abilities? Unfortunately, the data are inconclusive in suggesting a definitive response. The participants' responses from the subset of the ABLLS (Partington & Sundberg, 1998) suggest five unique verbal abilities. There appears to be no relation to these abilities and method preference. For instance, Eric (summative score of 58) did not show method-specific responding preference that differed significantly from Jack (summative score of 331). From the data, there is no evidence that either method is more effective when teaching tacts to learners with autism with differing verbal skills.

## stimulus control transfer



Individuals with more (or less) complex verbal skills did not respond much differently to e-t than r-e-t training. Thus, the receptive component of r-e-t did not seem to add value to the training, with these participants. In future research of this nature, it may be necessary to assess participants' entering receptive skills more closely. It is possible that with participants who already exhibit receptive skills at the onset of the study, the receptive component of r-e-t would not greatly strengthen their tendency to look at or touch the stimulus pictures. Limitations There are several possible limitations to this study. One consideration when analyzing the results should be the mastery criteria for a successful tact. A tact was deemed to be mastered when there were 3 consecutive correct responses during the cold probe sessions. Although it seldom occurred, there were instances where a participant correctly responded once or twice and then did not respond correctly. Mastery criteria being 3 consecutive successful responses, the participant had to return to zero after the incorrect response, regardless of a correct history of responding for that tact. In other words, the participant received 'no credit' for responding correctly once or twice. Theoretically, a participant could have correctly responded 15 times, missed 1 cold probe trial, and would have been required to tact the item 3 additional times before mastery was again documented. A confounding variable may have been present in the aforementioned trial and resulted in an incorrect response. This could be a potential threat to internal validity, as the changes in the dependent variable may have not resulted from the application of the independent variable. This scenario did not occur, but was possible. Perhaps a mastered tact could have been defined as two consecutive responses. Further, a mastered tact could have been defined as one correct response but exit criteria for the set (12 total tacts) could have been three consecutive correct trials. While mastery criteria may have been a limitation, all participants were exposed to both r-e-t and e-t methods equally. This safeguard would suggest that a limitation in mastery criteria should have equally affected both transfer methods. Thus, it should not have greatly compromised the results. Another potential limitation was the varying of session frequencies across participants.

As previously noted, each participant had an equal exposure to both independent variables. The number of sessions needed for mastery of all 36 tacts cannot be compared across participants. Jack, who was assessed to possess the highest communicative ability, required more training sessions to meet exit criteria (24) than were required by Matt (23). A plausible explanation could be that Jack's sessions occurred over a span of 41 days while Matt's training sessions occurred over a span of 23 days. While this issue appears unrelated to the question of which transfer method is more efficient, one should exercise caution in generalizing the study's results across participants. Future Research Areas remain to be explored in assessing the effectiveness of transfer of stimulus control procedures. A possible route could be to assess r-e-t versus e-t methods across a number of participants of similar verbal abilities. Perhaps more participants resembling Jordan's verbal profile would respond to one method more effectively than another. If r-e-t and e-t training were compared with participants entering the study with minimal receptive repertoires, it might also be desirable to use a different experimental design to control for the possibility of sequence effects. As mentioned earlier, sequence effects are possible because the receptive component of r-e-t training might change the participant's attending repertoire and thus affect his or her responding in the e-t condition. A different experimental design might therefore be used in which the orders of r-e-t and e-t training were counterbalanced with different participants. An additional direction for future research might be to incorporate mand training in the acquisition of tacts. Arntzen & Almás (2002) reported that a combination of mand-tact training, rather than tact-only training led to a more rapid acquisition of tacts. It has been demonstrated that mand contingencies involve stronger controlling variables and may facilitate the acquisition of a tacting repertoire. These authors suggest that changes in one verbal repertoire may result in collateral changes in a second. The mand-tact condition provides the opportunity to train two verbal operants as quickly as one verbal operant in a tact-only condition. Mand training could be incorporated with training using the receptive prompt. After a successful receptive prompt to identify the targeted tact, the experimenter could then hide the picture and use the instructions "Find the —." When the participant couldn't find the object, he would be prompted to mand for it. Employing this operant in the transfer method sequence, it could be considered a receptive-mandehoic-tact (r-m-e-t) procedure. The methods r-e-t, e-t, and r-m-e-t could then be assessed to determine effectiveness of tact acquisition. This study adds to the body of literature that suggest that both r-e-t and e-t transfer methods are effective in teaching tacts to people with autism, providing that they exhibit some verbal responding prior to intervention. The receptive component of the intervention was not necessary in establishing tacts, at least with these participants. This study appears to have value for teachers and clinicians serving students with autism. Arntzen E, Almás I.K. Effects of mand-tact versus tact-only training on the acquisition of tacts. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis. 2002;35:419-422. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] Barbera M.L, Kubina R.M. Using transfer procedures to teach tacts to a child with autism. The Analysis of Verbal Behavior. 2005;21:155-161. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] Lerman D.C, Parten M, Addison L.R, Vorndran C.M, Volkert V.M, Kodak T. A methodology for assessing the functions of emerging speech in children with developmental disabilities. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis. 2005;38:303-316. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] Lowenkron B. Meaning: A verbal behavior account. The Analysis of Verbal Behavior. 2004;20:77-97. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] Partington J.W, Sundberg M.L. The assessment of basic language and learning skills. Danville, CA: Behavior Analysts, Inc; 1998. [Google Scholar] Partington J.W, Sundberg M.L, Newhouse L, Spenger S.M. Overcoming an autistic child's failure to acquire a tact repertoire. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis. 1994;27:733-734. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] Skinner B.F. The behavior of organisms: An experimental analysis. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts; 1938. [Google Scholar] Sundberg M.L. Realizing the potential of Skinner's analysis of verbal behavior. The Analysis of Verbal Behavior. 1998;15:143-147. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] Sundberg M.L, Partington J.W. Teaching language to children with autism or other developmental disabilities. Danville, CA: Behavior Analysts, Inc; 1998. [Google Scholar] Sundberg M.L, Endicott K, Eigenheer P. Using intraverbal prompts to establish tacts for children with autism. The Analysis of Verbal Behavior. 2000;17:89-104. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]