

Community Letter to Residents of Wingfield Springs and The Foothills

To the Residents of Wingfield Springs, The Foothills, and the Surrounding Communities,

The upcoming community meetings hosted by the Seeno development group are being presented as opportunities for public engagement regarding the proposed amendments to the Wingfield Springs and Foothills development plans. However, many residents believe the core direction of these amendments has already been decided long before the public was invited into the discussion.

These meetings are not being held because the developer wants meaningful community collaboration. They are being held because the process requires it.

At the center of these amendments is one primary objective: maximizing residential density and development yield. This is not about preserving the long-term character of our communities, protecting open space, maintaining the integrity of the original master plans, or preserving the quality of life that homeowners relied upon when purchasing property in these developments. It is about increasing financial return through intensified land conversion.

For that reason, the community must understand something important:

Public comments directed solely at the developer will not change the outcome.

The real responsibility rests with those at the governmental and administrative level who have the authority — and obligation — to uphold the original development agreements, master plans, open space commitments, density assumptions, infrastructure protections, environmental considerations, drainage assumptions, and community reliance interests that formed the basis of these neighborhoods.

This means community attention must be directed toward:

- City administrators
- Planning staff
- Planning commissioners
- Elected officials
- Public agencies involved in review and approval
- Any party responsible for interpreting and enforcing the original development framework

The original plans were relied upon by homeowners, lenders, businesses, and the broader community for decades. Those plans were not simply conceptual marketing material. They established expectations regarding density, traffic impacts, open space preservation, golf course integration, drainage systems, wildlife corridors, infrastructure capacity, and overall community design.

The upcoming meetings therefore serve a larger purpose.

The purpose is not merely to speak.

The purpose is to demonstrate undeniable community presence, organization, and solidarity.

Residents of BOTH Wingfield Springs and The Foothills — along with surrounding regional residents who understand the long-term consequences of these amendments — should attend BOTH meetings if possible, regardless of which individual project may affect them more directly.

This is no longer just one neighborhood issue.

It is a regional precedent issue.

A strong public turnout matters because it demonstrates to decision-makers that:

- The community is paying attention
- Residents understand the implications of the amendments
- The public will remember who supported these approvals
- The community is prepared to challenge approvals politically, administratively, and if necessary, legally
- Residents reject the dismantling of the original development vision through incremental amendment processes

The community presence should be overwhelming.

The rooms should be full.

Parking should be impacted.

Overflow attendance should occur.

The level of turnout should itself become a visible statement of the seriousness of community opposition and concern.

Not through disruption.

Not through hostility.

But through lawful, organized, undeniable public participation.

Decision-makers need to see that these amendments are not viewed as ordinary planning adjustments. They are viewed as substantial changes to the foundational promises upon which these communities were built.

The residents of Wingfield Springs and The Foothills invested in a master-planned vision that emphasized balanced density, integrated open space, recreational preservation, environmental compatibility, and long-term community stability.

The question now is whether those original commitments still matter.

The upcoming meetings are the community's opportunity to answer that question together — visibly, respectfully, and in overwhelming numbers.