# A New Framework to Estimate Return on Investment for Player Salaries in the National Basketball Association 

Jackson P. Lautier, PhD, FSA, CERA, MAAA ${ }^{1}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Department of Mathematical Sciences, Bentley University

Southern Methodist University Department of Statistics and Data Science<br>January 26, 2024<br>Update: March 2024

(1) Introduction
(2) Methods

- Literature Review
- WinLogit
- Single Game Value
- Return on Investment
(3) Results
- On-court Only
- ROI Calculations

4 Discussion

## Table of Contents

(1) Introduction
(2) Methods

- Literature Review
- WinLogit
- Single Game Value
- Return on Investment
(3) Results
- On-court Only
- ROI Calculations

4 Discussion

## A note on this project

First audience of substantial revisions to the working paper:

Lautier, J. P. (2023). A new framework to estimate return on investment for player salaries in the National Basketball Association. [arXiv] [github]

The following has benefited from two anonymous reviewers at JQAS, participants at the 2023 Midwest Sports Analytics Symposium, and personal correspondence with Seth Partnow.
[Click to download latest version of manuscript]

## Crossovers to cash flows

- Standard financial practice relies on measuring realized returns to evaluate performance (e.g., Fund A vs. Fund B)
- Typically, "investment in" and "returns out" are both monetary $\Longrightarrow$ calculations just Finance 101
- Difficulties arise when the return is non-monetary; e.g., "returns out" = performance on a basketball court
- Goal: A method to translate performance on the basketball court into cash flows (i.e., crossovers to cash flows) $\Longrightarrow$ allows for standard return-on-investment (ROI) calculations
- Recent NBA team valuations hit \$4B (Wojnarowski, 2022) $\Longrightarrow$ such methods taking on greater importance


## Converting buckets into bucks

Goal: Convert a player's per-game on court performance (i.e., made baskets, rebounds, etc.) into a series of cash flows:


Figure: NBA contractual ROI estimation framework summary
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## What has been done and what we need

- Need: single-game unit calculations ("one game, the unit of basketball that is most important" (Oliver, 2004)).
- Advantages: standardization (i.e., per possession), able to use logistic regression, salary initial investment $\rightarrow$ bad games ( - ) and missed games (defaults)
- Despite substantial related literature (e.g., Berri, 1999; Page et al., 2007; Fearnhead and Taylor, 2011; Casals and Martínez, 2013; Berri et al., 2007; Berri and Bradbury, 2010; Martínez, 2012; Lackritz and Horowitz, 2021; Idson and Kahane, 2000; Tunaru et al., 2005; Terner and Franks, 2021), no existing approach is (1) per-game, (2) updated with player tracking data, and (3) considers both player salary and performance together.


## Two of note

- Game Score (GmSc) (Sports Reference LLC, 2023b):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{GmSc}= & \mathrm{PTS}+0.4 \mathrm{FG}-0.7 \mathrm{FGA}-0.4(\mathrm{FTA}-\mathrm{FT}) \\
& +0.7 \mathrm{ORB}+0.3 \mathrm{DRB}+\mathrm{STL}+0.7 \mathrm{AST} \\
& +0.7 \mathrm{BLK}-0.4 \mathrm{PF}-\mathrm{TOV}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Win Score (WSc) (Berri et al., 2007)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{WSc}= & \mathrm{PTS}+\mathrm{ORB}+\mathrm{DRB}+\mathrm{STL}+0.5 \mathrm{BLK} \\
& +0.5 \mathrm{AST}-\mathrm{FGA}-0.5 \mathrm{FTA}-\mathrm{TOV}-0.5 \mathrm{PF},
\end{aligned}
$$

Both versions of player evaluation metrics above will also be tracked, in addition to the WinLogit we propose.

## Converting minutes into moolah

Goal: Convert a player's per-game on court performance (i.e., made baskets, rebounds, etc.) into a series of cash flows:


Figure: NBA contractual ROI estimation framework summary

## Building the WinLogit

(1) Establish principles to build/calibrate model, select data
(2) Attractiveness of logistic regression for basketball data
(3) Fitting the model
(4) Build a wealth redistribution model from (3)

## WinLogit: Establish principles, select data

Principles:

- Edwardsian in Outcome ("play to win the game")
- Value all Activity (e.g., distance traveled)
- No Double Counting (e.g., adjusting passes made)

The starting 36 statistical categories: FG2O, FG2X, FG3O, FG3X, FTMO, FTMX, PF, STL, AORB, ADRB, AST, BLKS, TO, BLKA, PFD, SAST, DEFL, CHGD, AC2P, C3P, OBOX, DBOX, OLBR, DLBR, DFGO, DFGX, DRV, ODIS, DDIS, APM, AST2, FAST, OCRB, DCRB, AORC, and ADRC.

## Logistic regression (Kutner et al., 2005)

Let $y_{i}=1$ (win) or $y_{i}=0$ (loss) with probability
$\operatorname{Pr}\left(y_{i}=1 \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\right) \equiv p_{i}$, where $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}=\left(1, X_{i 1}, \ldots, X_{i k}\right)$ is a row of the design matrix of team level statistics, $\mathbf{X}$.

That is, $y_{i} \sim \operatorname{Bern}\left(p_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. The binary logit regression model has the form, for $i=1, \ldots, n$,

$$
f\left(y_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)=\frac{\exp \left(y_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)},
$$

or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{logit}\left(p_{i}\right)=\log \left(\frac{p_{i}}{1-p_{i}}\right)=\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The form (1) implies

$$
p_{i}=\frac{\exp \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}=\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(-\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}
$$

## Logistic regression (cont.)

- Regression coefficients are called log-odds ratios
- Stats Interpretation: $\beta_{j}$ is the additive increase in the log-odds success probability from a unit increase in $x_{i j}$, when all other $x_{i j^{*}}$ 's, $j^{*} \neq j$, are held fixed, $j, j^{*}=1, \ldots, k$.
- Basketball Interpretation: Any field in $\mathbf{X}$ that returns a positive (and significant) $\beta_{j}$ has a positive contribution to win probability and vice versa (Edwardsian in Outcome)


## Logistic regression (cont.)

Logistical regression in the context of a basketball game outcome offers some pleasing interpretations. First, if we center each covariate, $X_{i j}$, i.e., replace $X_{i j}$ with $\left(X_{i j}-\bar{X}_{j}\right)$, where $\bar{X}_{j}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i j}$, then the intercept, $\beta_{0}$, becomes the logit at the mean. In other words, an average game by a team yields a

$$
p\left(\bar{X}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{X}_{k}\right)=\frac{\exp \left(\beta_{0}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\beta_{0}\right)},
$$

probability of winning.
Hence, $\beta_{0}=0$ implies $p\left(\bar{X}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{X}_{k}\right)=0.5$, a quite reasonable assumption (more on this soon).

## Basketball data, a closer look

For any game, ${ }^{1} i, i=1, \ldots, n$,

| Player | F2MO | F2MX | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tatum | $X_{i 11}$ | $X_{i 21}$ | $\ldots$ |
| Brown | $X_{i 12}$ | $X_{i 22}$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ |
| Celtics | $X_{i 1} .=\sum_{m=1}^{15} X_{i 1 m}$ | $X_{i 2} .=\sum_{m=1}^{15} X_{i 2 m}$ | $\ldots$ |

Hence, if $\beta_{0}=0$,

$$
\operatorname{logit}\left(p_{i}\right)=\log \left(\frac{p_{i}}{1-p_{i}}\right)=\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta} \Longrightarrow \operatorname{logit}\left(p_{i}\right)=\sum_{m=1}^{15} \operatorname{logit}\left(p_{i m}\right)
$$

where $p_{i}$ is the win probability for game outcome $i, i=1, \ldots, n$, and $p_{i m}$ is the win probability for player $m, m=1, \ldots, 15$.

[^0]
## Theorem 1: Team and Player Logits

Let $X_{i j m}$ represent the individual total for player $m, m=1, \ldots, 15$, for statistical category $j=1, \ldots, k$ for game outcome $i, i=1, \ldots, n$. Fix $j=1, \ldots, k$ and define the team total statistics for game outcome $i, i=1, \ldots, n$, as

$$
x_{i j .}=\sum_{m=1}^{15} x_{i j m}
$$

Then

$$
x_{i j \cdot}-\bar{X}_{i j \bullet}=\sum_{m=1}^{15}\left(x_{i j m}-\bar{X}_{i j m}\right)
$$

where $\bar{X}_{i j}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i j .}$ and $\bar{X}_{i j m}=\frac{1}{15 n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{15} X_{i j m}$. Further, if we assume $\beta_{0}=0$ and recall (1), then

$$
\operatorname{logit}\left(p_{i}\right)=\sum_{m=1}^{15} \operatorname{logit}\left(p_{i m}\right)
$$

where $p_{i}$ is the win probability for game outcome $i, i=1, \ldots, n$, and $p_{i m}$ is the win probability for player $m$, $m=1, \ldots, 15$,

$$
p_{i m}=\frac{\exp \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i m}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i m}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{i m}^{\top}=\left(X_{i 1 m}-\bar{X}_{i 1 m}, \ldots, X_{i k m}-\bar{X}_{i k m}\right)^{\top}$. That is, the team level logit of the win probability may be written as a sum of the logits of the individual player win probabilities.

## The fitted model

| Field | Coefficient Estimate | Standard Error | Significance | Variable Importance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FG2O | 0.251 | 0.0267 | $* * *$ | 9.40 |
| FG2X | -0.349 | 0.0274 | $* * *$ | 12.73 |
| FG3O | 0.537 | 0.0368 | $* * *$ | 14.62 |
| FG3X | -0.368 | 0.0283 | $* * *$ | 13.01 |
| FTMO | 0.122 | 0.0221 | $* * *$ | 5.52 |
| FTMX | -0.220 | 0.0350 | $* * *$ | 6.31 |
| PF | -0.197 | 0.0224 | $* * *$ | 8.76 |
| AORB | 0.356 | 0.0437 | $* * *$ | 8.15 |
| ADRB | 0.316 | 0.0246 | $* * *$ | 12.84 |
| STL | 0.443 | 0.0354 | $* * *$ | 12.52 |
| BLK | 0.132 | 0.0336 | $* * *$ | 3.92 |
| TOV | -0.347 | 0.0292 | $* * *$ | 11.85 |
| PFD | 0.214 | 0.0329 | $* * *$ | 6.51 |
| SAST | 0.076 | 0.0214 | $* * *$ | 3.56 |
| CHGD | 0.522 | 0.1008 | $* * *$ | 5.18 |
| AC2P | 0.041 | 0.0117 | $* * *$ | 3.48 |
| C3P | -0.067 | 0.0140 | $* * *$ | 4.81 |
| DBOX | 0.053 | 0.0242 | $*$ | 2.18 |
| DFGO | -0.230 | 0.0179 | $* * *$ | 12.81 |
| DFGX | 0.086 | 0.0133 | $* * *$ | 6.50 |
| DDIS | -1.000 | 0.2009 | $* * *$ | 4.98 |
| APM | 0.016 | 0.0031 | $* * *$ | 5.25 |
| OCRB | 0.290 | 0.0371 | $* * *$ | 7.81 |
| DCRB | 0.338 | 0.0338 | $* * *$ | 9.99 |

Table: WinLogit Logistic Regression Model Parameters. Based on team outcomes for the 2022-2023 NBA regular season ( $n=2,452$ ). Significant at $\alpha=0.001(* * *), \alpha=0.01(* *)$, and $\alpha=0.05(*)$. The McFadden $R^{2}$ (McFadden, 1974) is 0.6457 . Variable importance computed using Kuhn (2008).

## Fitted model notes

- We find $\hat{\beta}_{0}=-0.004930$ with a $p$-value of $0.948 \Longrightarrow$ forcing $\beta_{0}=0$ results in minimal bias (i.e., total estimated win probability is 1226.88 , unbiased is 1226)
- Coefficient significance, size and direction plausible
- Centering player level data: logit $\left(p_{i m}\right)=0$ for an average game, $\operatorname{logit}\left(p_{i m}\right)<0$ for a below average game, and $\operatorname{logit}\left(p_{i m}\right)>0$ for an above average game $\Longrightarrow$ pleasing replacement player interpretation
- Logistic regression framework: both teams in a single game compete to obtain the largest team logit, with individual players making both positive and negative contributions


## Defining the WinLogit

- Restrict ROI calculations to on court performance only; i.e., set of players with playing time
- Assume a game is worth 1 unit
- All players with playing time (i.e., minutes $>0$ ), denoted $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ for game $g, g=1, \ldots, n / 2$, begin with a $1 / \bar{m}$ share, where $\bar{m}=m^{*} / n$ and $m^{*}$ is the total number of players with playing time in the $n$ total games (i.e., $m^{*}=\sum_{g} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{g}} 1$ )
- Interpretation: average game results in a $1 / \bar{m}$ share
- Comparison: standardize the measure


## WinLogit Definition

Define the basic sample statistics

$$
\overline{\mathrm{WL}}_{m^{*}}(\boldsymbol{\beta})=\frac{1}{m^{*}} \sum_{g=1}^{n / 2} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{g}} \operatorname{logit}\left(p_{g m}\right),
$$

and

$$
s(\mathrm{WL})_{m^{*}}(\boldsymbol{\beta})=\sqrt{\frac{1}{m^{*}-1} \sum_{g=1}^{n / 2} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{g}}\left(\operatorname{logit}\left(p_{g m}\right)-\overline{\mathrm{WL}}_{m^{*}}\right)^{2}}
$$

Then, we define the WinLogit for player $g \in \mathcal{M}_{g}$ in game $g$, $g=1, \ldots, n / 2$, denoted WinLogit ${ }_{g m}$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { WinLogit }_{g m}(\boldsymbol{\beta})=\frac{1}{s(\mathrm{WL})_{m^{*}}}\left(\operatorname{logit}\left(p_{g m}\right)-\overline{\mathrm{WL}}_{m^{*}}\right) \frac{1}{\bar{m}}+\frac{1}{\bar{m}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem 2: WinLogit Properties

Let the WinLogit ${ }_{g m}$ take the form of (2) for player $m \in \mathcal{M}_{g}$, $g=1, \ldots, n / 2$. Then the WinLogit ${ }_{g m}$ is standardized such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{m^{*}} \sum_{i=1}^{n / 2} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{g}} \text { WinLogit }_{g m} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{1}{m^{*}-1} \sum_{g=1}^{n / 2} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{g}}\left(\text { WinLogit }_{g m}-\frac{1}{\bar{m}}\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{\bar{m}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text {MLE }}$ be the MLE of the logistic regression assumed in Theorem 1. Then the MLE of WinLogit ${ }_{g m}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is WinLogit $_{g m}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{MLE}}\right)$.

## Wealth redistribution interpretation

In an economic interpretation, the WinLogit may be thought of as a type of wealth redistribution tool.

Starting from the assumption all players in a game have an average performance and thus a perfect uniformity of wealth, the WinLogit then redistributes the wealth to each player based on each player's on court performance in comparison to an average (or replacement) player.

Note, there is a bias correction as

$$
\sum_{g=1}^{n / 2} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{g}} \text { WinLogit }_{g m}=\frac{n}{2}
$$

by definition.

## For comparison

Similarly, we may define for player $m \in \mathcal{M}_{i}$ in game $i, i=1, \ldots, n$

$$
\mathrm{GmSc}_{g m}^{*}=\frac{1}{s(\mathrm{GS})_{m^{*}}}\left(\mathrm{GmSc}_{g m}-\overline{\mathrm{GS}}_{m^{*}}\right) \frac{1}{\bar{m}}+\frac{1}{\bar{m}}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{WnSc}_{g m}^{*}=\frac{1}{s(\mathrm{WS})_{m^{*}}}\left(\mathrm{WnSc}_{g m}-\overline{\mathrm{WS}}_{m^{*}}\right) \frac{1}{\bar{m}}+\frac{1}{\bar{m}},
$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{GS}}_{m^{*}}, \overline{\mathrm{WS}}_{m^{*}}, s(\mathrm{GS})_{m^{*}}$, and $s(\mathrm{WS})_{m^{*}}$ take the usual sample statistic forms.

## WinLogit robustness analysis

|  | Median Error Average Error |  | 3.66 | 4.95 | 4.82 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 5.49 | 5.99 | 6.47 | 2.87 | 3.93 | 4.87 |
| Rank | Team | Wins | WL (ae) | WS (ae) | GS (ae) | WLR (ae) | WSR (ae) | GSR (ae) |
| 1 | MIL | 58 | 46.08 (11.9) | 45.08 (12.9) | 42.13 (15.9) | 1 (0) | 2 (1) | 9 (8) |
| 2 | BOS | 57 | 45.78 (11.2) | 45.60 (11.4) | 43.71 (13.3) | 2 (0) | 1 (1) | 2 (0) |
| $:$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | LAL | 43 | 41.96 (1.0) | 42.74 (0.3) | 42.22 (0.8) | 12 (2) | 8 (6) | 8 (6) |
| 15 | NOP | 42 | 41.56 (0.4) | 41.27 (0.7) | 41.40 (0.6) | 15 (0) | 14 (1) | 14 (1) |
| 16 | ATL | 41 | 41.24 (0.2) | 42.69 (1.7) | 43.10 (2.1) | 17 (1) | 9 (7) | 4 (12) |
| 17 | MIN | 41 | 40.26 (0.7) | 40.00 (1.0) | 40.54 (0.5) | 21 (4) | 22 (5) | 20 (3) |
| : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | SAS | 21 | 33.67 (12.7) | 35.96 (15.0) | 37.05 (16.1) | 29 (0) | 29 (0) | 29 (0) |
| 30 | DET | 17 | 32.68 (15.7) | 34.37 (17.4) | 36.18 (19.2) | 30 (0) | 30 (0) | 30 (0) |

Table: Model Versus Actual Wins. A comparison of actual vs. estimated wins using the winLogit (WL), the Game Score (GS), and the Win Score (WS) models. Results for the 2022-2023 NBA regular season.

## WinLogit robustness analysis (cont.)

| Field | Coefficient | Standard Error | Test Statistic | Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Intercept) | -14.278 | 0.6328 | -22.56 | $* * *$ |
| WinLogit | 17.811 | 1.1961 | 14.89 | $* * *$ |
| WnSc* | 10.502 | 2.5387 | 4.14 | $* * *$ |
| GmSc* $^{*}$ | 0.884 | 2.2568 | 0.39 |  |

Table: Team Level Models and Wins. Data for the 2022-2023 NBA regular season. Significant at $\alpha=0.001(* * *), \alpha=0.01(* *), \alpha=0.05$ $(*)$, and $\alpha=0.10(\cdot)$. The McFadden $R^{2}$ (McFadden, 1974) is 0.5203.

## Comparing distributions



Figure: Wealth Redistribution Comparison (22-23 NBA Regular Season)

## Converting dunks into dough

Goal: Convert a player's per-game on court performance (i.e., made baskets, rebounds, etc.) into a series of cash flows:


Figure: NBA contractual ROI estimation framework summary

## What is an NBA game worth?

For $g=1, \ldots, n / 2$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{SGV}_{g}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) & =\alpha_{1} \mathrm{GATE}_{g}+\alpha_{2} \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{ESPN}}+\alpha_{3} \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{TNT}} \\
& +\alpha_{4}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{ESPN}}+\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{TNT}}+\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{NBATV}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}\right)^{\top}$ consists of $\alpha_{1}$, the average ticket price for an NBA regular season game, $\alpha_{2}$, the average TV contract revenue for a regular season NBA game on ESPN, $\alpha_{3}$, the average TV contract revenue for a regular season game on TNT, and, $\alpha_{4}$, the average advertising revenue for a televised regular season game. Further, $\mathrm{GATE}_{g}$ is a random variable that represents the attendance for game $g$, and $\mathbf{1}_{q}$ is an indicator function that equals 1 if statement $q$ is true and 0 otherwise.

## What is an NBA game worth? (cont.)

| Coefficient | Description | Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{1}$ | Ticket Price | $\$ 102.64$ |
| $\alpha_{2}$ | ESPN TV Revenue | $\$ 13,861,386$ |
| $\alpha_{3}$ | TNT TV Revenue | $\$ 18,461,538$ |
| $\alpha_{4}$ | Advertising Revenue | $\$ 6,080,586$ |

Table: Component Estimates of $\mathbf{S G V}_{\mathbf{g}}$. Coefficient estimates of $\mathrm{SGV}_{g}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ based on available data for the 2022-2023 NBA regular season (National Basketball Association, 2023; Statista, 2023a,c; Lewis, 2023; Statista, 2023b).

Note: Top 5 teams are LAL (\$908.3M), GSW (\$885.4M), BOS (\$831.1M), PHX (\$766.3M), and PHI (\$708.5M).

## Converting splashes into scratch

Goal: Convert a player's per-game on court performance (i.e., made baskets, rebounds, etc.) into a series of cash flows:


Figure: NBA contractual ROI estimation framework summary

## Finance 101



Figure: Cash flow time line.

Let $\mathrm{CF}_{0}$ be the initial investment, and the future (possibly negative) cash flows be $\mathrm{CF}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{CF}_{N}$. Then the return on investment is the rate, $r$, such that

$$
\mathrm{CF}_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\mathrm{CF}_{i}}{(1+r)^{i}}
$$

## NBA ROI (cash flows)

Formally, for any distinct player $m \in\left\{\mathcal{M}_{g}\right\}_{1 \leq g \leq n / 2}$, let $\mathbf{S G V}_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{m}}=\left(\mathrm{SGV}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{SGV}_{N}\right)^{\top}$ be a vector of SGV , for all games in which player $m$ 's team appeared over the investment time horizon, where $\#\left\{\mathcal{G}_{m}\right\}=N \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly, for the same distinct player $m \in\left\{\mathcal{M}_{g}\right\}_{1 \leq g \leq n / 2}$, let
$\mathbf{W L}_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{m}}=\left(\text { WinLogit }_{1 m}^{*}, \ldots, \text { WinLogit }_{N m}^{*}\right)^{\top}$ be a vector of WinLogits for all games in which player m's team appeared over the investment time horizon. Then the vector of return cash flows over the investment time horizon for distinct player $m \in\left\{\mathcal{M}_{g}\right\}_{1 \leq g \leq n / 2}$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{C F}_{m} & =\left(\mathbf{S G V} \mathbf{g}_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{m}}\right)^{\top} \operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{W L}_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{m}}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathrm{SGV}_{1} \mathrm{WinLogit}_{1 m}^{*}, \ldots, \mathrm{SGV}_{N} \text { WinLogit }_{N m}^{*}\right)^{\top},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{W L}_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{m}}\right)$ represents a diagonal $N \times N$ matrix with diagonal $\mathbf{W L}_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{m}}$.

## Conditional Unbiasedness

## Theorem 3: Conditional Unbiasedness

Let $\mathrm{SGV}_{g}$ be a single game value random variable for any game, $g=1, \ldots, n / 2$ such that $\mathbf{E}\left(\mathrm{SGV}_{g}\right)=\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $g=1, \ldots, n / 2$. Then, conditional on WinLogit ${ }_{g m}$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}_{g}, g=1, \ldots, n / 2$,

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{g=1}^{n / 2} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{g}} \text { SGV }_{g} \text { WinLogit }_{g m}^{*} \mid \text { WinLogit }_{g m}^{*}\right)=\mu \frac{n}{2}
$$

That is, the wealth redistribution model of the WinLogit, when viewed over all players and games in the investment time horizon, is unbiased to the expected total generated revenue.

## NBA ROI (final formula)

Finally, to calculate the ROI, let
$\boldsymbol{\nu}_{m}=\left(\left(1+r_{m}\right)^{-1}, \ldots,\left(1+r_{m}\right)^{-N}\right)^{\top}$ be a vector of discount factors at the rate, $r_{m}$, where $m \in\left\{\mathcal{M}_{g}\right\}_{1 \leq g \leq n / 2}$ is distinct. Then the contractual ROI for distinct player $m \in\left\{\mathcal{M}_{g}\right\}_{1 \leq g \leq n / 2}$ over the investment time horizon, is the rate, $r_{m}$, such that

$$
\mathrm{CF}_{0}^{m}=\left(\mathbf{S G V}_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{m}}\right)^{\top} \operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{W L}_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{m}}\right) \boldsymbol{\nu}_{m}=\sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{\mathrm{SGV}_{t} \mathrm{WinLogit}_{t m}^{*}}{\left(1+r_{m}\right)^{t}}
$$

where $\mathrm{CF}_{0}^{m}$ is distinct player m's full salary over the investment time horizon.

## Comments

- Assumes player salaries paid in a single lump sum at time zero (adjustments straightforward).
- Does not include playoff games.
- Implicitly weights earlier games more (adjustments less straightforward).
- Straightforward to swap out the WinLogit with Game Score or per game version of Win Score.
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## Data notes

NBA 2022-2023 regular season data via publicly available sources:
(1) Statistics page of the NBA (National Basketball Association, 2023) $\rightarrow$ custom query wrapper for the python package nba_api (Patel, 2018). ${ }^{2}$
(2) Player salaries via HoopsHype (2023).
(3) NBA Revenue, attendance, etc. (National Basketball Association, 2023; Statista, 2023a, c; Lewis, 2023; Statista, 2023b).
(4) Player positions via RealGM, L.L.C. (2023); Sports Reference LLC (2023a).
To obtain the data and replication code, please navigate to the public github repository at https://github.com/jackson-lautier/nba_roi.
${ }^{2}$ Player tracking data missing for 4 of 1,230 regular season games.

## On-court performance only

Define for any $g \in \mathcal{G}_{m}$,

$$
\text { WinLogit }_{g m}^{*}= \begin{cases}\text { WinLogit }_{g m}, & m \in \mathcal{M}_{g}  \tag{3}\\ 0, & m \notin \mathcal{M}_{g}\end{cases}
$$

In financial parlance, the form of (3) implies a missed game is a default (trivially still unbiased). The season total of (3) for player $m$ is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{PVWL}_{m}=\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{m}} \text { WinLogit }_{g m}^{*} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may consider (4) as a present value of a series of cash flows taking the value of (3) discounted at a zero interest rate.

- The per-game approach helps assess the cost of missed games.
- Positional adjustments to ease comparisons across positions (i.e., the big man bias)


## The per-game approach \& missed games



Tari Eason (PVWL: 4.521; Per Game WinLogit: 0.058)


Figure: Quantifying Missed Games: Durant (47 GP) vs. Eason (82 GP)

## Top performers (relative to position)

| Rank | Player | Position | GP | PVWL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Luka Dončić | PG | 66 | 6.159 |
| 2 | Jayson Tatum | SF | 74 | 6.707 |
| 3 | Giannis Antetokounmpo | PF | 63 | 8.096 |
| 4 | Shai Gilgeous-Alexander | PG | 68 | 5.036 |
| 5 | Nikola Jokić | C | 69 | 10.088 |
| Rank | Player | Position | GP | PVWS |
| 1 | Jayson Tatum | SF | 74 | 8.238 |
| 2 | Luka Dončić | PG | 66 | 8.025 |
| 3 | Nikola Jokić | C | 69 | 11.505 |
| 4 | Domantas Sabonis | C | 79 | 11.016 |
| 5 | Giannis Antetokounmpo | PF | 63 | 7.905 |
| Rank | Player | Position | GP | PVGS |
| 1 | Jayson Tatum | SF | 74 | 9.785 |
| 2 | Nikola Jokić | C | 69 | 10.426 |
| 3 | Joel Embiid | C | 66 | 10.331 |
| 4 | Donovan Mitchell | SG | 68 | 7.990 |
| 5 | Giannis Antetokounmpo | PF | 63 | 8.872 |

Table: Nikola Jokić is the top overall performer for all three measures. An average player playing all 82 games generates a PV( $\cdot$ ) of 3.896 . For complete results, navigate to https://github.com/jackson-lautier/nba_roi.

## Biggest disagreements

| Name | WL(\%) | WS(\%) | Name | WL(\%) | GS(\%) | Name | WS(\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GS(\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CJ McCollum | 0.31 | 0.82 | Dillon Brooks | 0.00 | 0.72 | Jordan Poole | 0.66 |
| Anfernee Simons | 0.16 | 0.65 | Anfernee Simons | 0.16 | 0.85 | Jaden Ivey | 0.55 |
| Terry Rozier | 0.20 | 0.69 | Terry Rozier | 0.20 | 0.87 | Jalen Green | 0.80 |
| Dillon Brooks | 0.00 | 0.48 | Jaden Ivey | 0.14 | 0.80 | Dillon Brooks | 0.48 |
| Killian Hayes | 0.12 | 0.54 | Jalen Green | 0.28 | 0.92 | Isaiah Hartenstein | 0.87 |
| Jaden Ivey | 0.14 | 0.55 | CJ McCollum | 0.31 | 0.94 | Andre Drummond | 0.79 |
| Jordan Clarkson | 0.21 | 0.62 | Jordan Clarkson | 0.21 | 0.83 | Jordan Clarkson | 0.62 |
| Jalen Green | 0.28 | 0.68 | Killian Hayes | 0.12 | 0.72 | Steven Adams | 0.83 |
| LaMelo Ball | 0.22 | 0.62 | RJ Barrett | 0.28 | 0.84 | Usman Garuba | 0.65 |
| Fred VanVleet | 0.47 | 0.86 | LaMelo Ball | 0.22 | 0.76 | Anfernee Simons | 0.65 |

Table: Player performance disagreements. The top ten largest disagreements between PVWL, PVWS, and PVGS for the 2022-2023 NBA regular season in terms of percentile rank (\%).

## Converting dimes into dimes

Goal: Convert a player's per-game on court performance (i.e., made baskets, rebounds, etc.) into a series of cash flows:


Figure: NBA contractual ROI estimation framework summary

## Top ROI performers (relative to position, $\min 42 \mathrm{GP}$ )

|  |  | WinLogit |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Player | Position | SAL | ROI |  |  |
| 1 | Santi Aldama | PF | $\$ 2.09 \mathrm{M}$ | $53.21 \%$ |  |  |
| 2 | John Konchar | SF | $\$ 2.30 \mathrm{M}$ | $41.78 \%$ |  |  |
| 3 | Jock Landale | C | $\$ 1.56 \mathrm{M}$ | $32.96 \%$ |  |  |
| 4 | Austin Reaves | SG | $\$ 1.56 \mathrm{M}$ | $33.24 \%$ |  |  |
| 5 | Jose Alvarado | PG | \$1.56M | $16.43 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  | Win Score |  |  |  |  |
| Rank | Player | Position | SAL | ROI |  |  |
| 1 | Santi Aldama | PF | $\$ 2.09 M$ | $51.98 \%$ |  |  |
| 2 | John Konchar | SF | $\$ 2.30 \mathrm{M}$ | $36.08 \%$ |  |  |
| 3 | Jose Alvarado | PG | $\$ 1.56 \mathrm{M}$ | $16.96 \%$ |  |  |
| 4 | Jock Landale | C | $\$ 1.56 \mathrm{M}$ | $22.46 \%$ |  |  |
| 5 | Austin Reaves | SG | $\$ 1.56 \mathrm{M}$ | $19.90 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Game Score |
| Rank | Player | Position | SAL | ROI |  |  |
| 1 | Santi Aldama | PF | $\$ 2.09 M$ | $29.12 \%$ |  |  |
| 2 | Jock Landale | C | $\$ 1.56 M$ | $25.70 \%$ |  |  |
| 3 | Tyrese Maxey | SG | $\$ 2.73 M$ | $32.19 \%$ |  |  |
| 4 | Jose Alvarado | PG | $\$ 1.56 M$ | $19.70 \%$ |  |  |
| 5 | Naji Marshall | SF | $\$ 1.78 \mathrm{M}$ | $20.34 \%$ |  |  |

Table: Santi Aldama is the top overall ROI for the WinLogit and Win Score. Tyrese Maxey is the top overall ROI for Game Score. An average player playing all 82 games with an average salary generates an ROI of $2.71 \%$. For complete results, navigate to https://github.com/jackson-lautier/nba_roi.

## Positions as asset classes \& risk vs. return

|  | Coefficient of Variation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position | WinLogit $^{*}$ | WnSc $^{*}$ | GmSc* $^{*}$ |
| Center (C) | 1.237 | 1.260 | 1.905 |
| Power Forward (PF) | 1.990 | 2.327 | 2.319 |
| Small Forward (SF) | 2.070 | 1.937 | 1.757 |
| Shooting Guard (SG) | 2.176 | 2.102 | 2.007 |
| Point Guard (PG) | 3.722 | 2.447 | 1.990 |

Table: Coefficient of Variation for ROI by Position. A ratio of sample standard deviation to sample mean of 2022-2023 NBA regular season empirical ROI estimates by position.
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4. Discussion

## Conclusion

- We present the first known attempt to measure an NBA player's contractual return on investment.
- Our results may be used by NBA player personnel decision makers, NBA player agents, and NBA awards voters.
- Forecasting is possible: first project on court performance, then feed the projections into our ROI framework.
- Customization is possible: e.g., swap player credit models, more precise SGVs, more precise player salary CFs, actual game dates, include playoff games, include off court revenue, etc.
- Consider applying to other sports.


## Converting bank shots into bankrolls

Goal: Convert a player's per-game on court performance (i.e., made baskets, rebounds, etc.) into a series of cash flows:


Figure: NBA contractual ROI estimation framework summary
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Almost, shot clock violations are team turnovers.

