Short reviews

DOI: 10.55278/LPLZ3657

Necrophoresis: The funeral ways of social insects

Ashish V. V and Haseena Bhaskar

AINP on Agricultural Acarology, Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Vellanikkara, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur- 680656, India

Corresponding author: ashishvv98@gmail.com

Social insects are the only animals, apart from humans, that have sophisticated behavioural strategies for the final disposal of dead conspecifics, known since ancient times. Nestmates that die inside the nests represent a high epidemiological risk for insect societies because of the opportunistic microbial proliferation they may cause, especially when they die due to some infectious agent (Cremer et al., 2007). The four major behavioural strategies known in social insects towards dead conspecifics include necrophoresis, intraspecific necrophagy, burial and necrophobia. Among these, necrophoresis, the distant removal of corpses is the main strategy employed largely by social Hymenoptera and scarcely by termites (Lopez-Riquelme and Fanjul-Moles, 2013).

The extreme division of labour in social insects has produced individuals who engage in the specialized task of 'undertaking', which involves disposal of dead nestmates or those mortally sick due to infections. The individuals engaged in undertaking are known as 'undertakers'. *Apis mellifera* which exhibits

temporal polyethism has dedicated undertakers. In the common red ant, Myrmica rubra (Fig. 1), undertakers are short term specialists that split their time between disposing of the dead and foraging (Diez et al., 2013). Specialized undertakers have several neurobiological adaptations for efficient processing of death stimuli such specializations in antenna and brain, as well as additional neural pathways and neurotransmitters.



Fig. 1. Myrmica rubra involved in necrophoresis

(Source: https://antpestcontrol.com/dead-ants-why-do-live-ants-carry-their-dead-away/)

Necrophoresis proceeds through three stages *viz.*, corpse recognition, processing of death-related stimuli and the final transport of

the corpse. Presence of necromones, as well as absence of certain 'lifeness signals' in corpse, aid in death recognition. In *A. mellifera*, a blend of oleic acid and β -ocimene is more consistent in triggering necrophoric behaviour than either of the fatty acids alone (McAfee *et al.*, 2018).

On detection of the corpse, undertakers analyse information from the corpse by peripheral and central nervous mechanisms. Information extracted by sensory organs on antennae are processed and coded, first in the antennal lobes and then in higher brain centres, mainly the mushroom bodies. Necrophoresis terminates with abandoning the corpses out of the hive as seen in bees or dumping them in refuse dumps called 'cemeteries' or 'middens' as in the Indian black ant, Camponotus compressus (Banik et al., 2010) or using them as a 'corpse boundary' against competing species, as in Formica cinerea (Fig. 2) and F. rufa. (Czechowski, 2009).



Fig. 2. Formica cinerea involved in necrophoresis

(Source: https://www.bwars.com/ant/formicidae/formicinae/formica-rufa)

Necrophoresis confers social insects with social immunity and survival advantage which very much contribute to the success of social life. In *M. rubra*, significance of necrophoresis in colony survival is evident from the increased survival rate of nestmates recorded in free removal colonies than in limited removal colonies (Diez *et al.*, 2014).

Through necrophoric behaviour, insect societies prevent the proliferation of pathogens and the risk of infection among the members of the society, mainly the queen and the brood, on whom the fitness of the society depends. Necrophoresis, despite its complexity and sophistication, represents an altruistic behaviour in social insects for the survival and success of the colony.

References

Banik, S., Biswas, S., Karmakar, R., and Brahmachary, R. 2010. Necrophoresis in two Indian ant species, *Camponotus compressus* (Fabricius) and *Diacamma vagans* (Smith) (Insecta: Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Proc. Zool. Soc.* **63** (2): 87–91.

Cremer, S., Armitage, S. A. O., and Schmid-Hempel, P. 2007. Social immunity. *Curr. Biol.* **17:** 693 -702.

Czechowski, W., Marko, B., and Godzinska, E. J. 2009. Corpse carrying in ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae): Behavioural side effect of aggressive

- arousal or competitive signalling?. *Polish J. Ecol.* **57**(2): 341-352.
- Diez, L., Lejeune, P., and Detrain, C. 2014. Keep the nest clean: Survival advantages of corpse removal in ants. *Biol. Lett.*, **10**(7): 306.
- Diez, L., Le Borgne, H., Lejeune, P., and Detrain, C. 2013. Who brings out the dead? Necrophoresis in the red ant, *Myrmica rubra*. *Anim. Behav.* **86**(6): 1259-1264.

- Lopez-Riquelme, G. O. and Fanjul-Moles, M. L. 2013. The funeral ways of social insects: Social strategies for corpse disposal. *Trends Entomol.* **9**: 71-129.
- McAfee, A., Chapman, A., Iovinella, I., Gallagher-Kurtzke, Y., Collins, T. F., Higo, H., Madilao, L.L., Pelosi, P., and Foster, L.J. 2018. A death pheromone, oleic acid, triggers hygienic behavior in honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.). *Sci. Rep.* **8**(1): 1-13.

MS Received 11 January 2022 MS Accepted 07 February 2022