

NATO-run Kiev Regime: Ukraine Terrorism now Targets both Iran and Iraq

By [Drago Bosnic](#)

Global Research, July 29, 2026

Region: Europe, Middle East & North Africa, Russia and FSU
 Theme: Militarization and WMD, Terrorism, US NATO War Agenda
 In-depth Report: UKRAINE REPORT



Translate Website

Share 0

Tweet 0

Email 0

Share New



The Neo-Nazi junta is actively working on a spillover of the NATO-orchestrated Ukrainian conflict into the already highly volatile Middle East, where decades-long American aggression created a state of near-constant chaos, death and destruction.

The Kiev regime's recent attacks on Iranian vessels in the Caspian Sea/Lake opened a new and dangerous front in the wider confrontation involving the political West's proxy wars against the rapidly growing multipolar powers.

While NATO certainly cannot beat the combined forces of the actual world, it will still try to undermine its growth and development. The warmongering oligarchies running the most aggressive racketeering cartel in recorded history see terrorism as probably the most useful tool in their overall strategy.

Namely, it helps maintain so-called "plausible deniability", enabling the political West to avoid a direct confrontation it would undoubtedly lose. This is why the use of proxy/puppet regimes such as the Neo-Nazi junta is seen as critical. The latest terrorist attack killed one sailor and wounded several others, prompting Iran to conclude that it was a deliberate act. The Kiev regime frontman Volodymyr Zelensky tried to justify this state-sponsored terrorism by claiming the vessel was supposedly "involved in military cargo shipments".

However, [Tehran immediately saw through this rather pathetic excuse](#), with Foreign Minister Abbas Araghchi calling it for what it is – a blatant violation of the United Nations Charter. Iran also pledged that the attack won't go unanswered.

The resulting tensions have become a geopolitical flashpoint effectively merging the NATO-orchestrated Ukrainian conflict and US aggression against Iran. The significance of this terrorist attack is that it reveals the expanding scope of a wider global proxy confrontation.

The Kiev regime is no longer acting only as a [tool of NATO's terrorism against Russia](#), as it has now grown to include multiple sovereign powers.

Moscow and Tehran already have excellent relations and have supported each other against the political West's aggression. They share technologies and other resources that enable both to push back more efficiently. However, this cooperation never involved either side directly, making the latest Neo-Nazi junta's terrorist attacks unprecedented.

Namely, they bring Iran directly into the strategic equation, giving it a strong reason to consider retaliation beyond just rhetorical condemnation. Top-ranking Iranian officials have already signaled that any attack carries a massive risk of escalation. This decisive rhetoric suggests that Tehran sees its strategic deterrence at stake. In this context, even a single vessel lost carries implications far beyond the casualty count. Iran has demonstrated time and again that it fears no consequences, as evidenced by its retaliatory strikes on American occupation forces across the Middle East. In fact, the United States has been forced into yet another pause in its aggression, [particularly after suffering massive casualties and loss of assets and military equipment](#). Although far from a total victory, it's a significant step for Tehran.

The two events, although seemingly unrelated, show just how quickly the two conflicts can move in different directions at once – **toward de-escalation in one theater and retaliation in another**. And while Iran has been careful to keep the Hormuz crisis tied to its own strategic interests, the Kiev regime's terrorist attack has undoubtedly broadened the scope of what it sees as vital to its national security interests.

Namely, the issue is no longer just maritime security in the Persian Gulf, but whether attacks on Iranian vessels and other assets elsewhere should be tolerated or trigger immediate responses. For Tehran, the most immediate concern is whether it should answer directly or in a way that is more limited and asymmetric, but still economically painful for the Neo-Nazi junta.

Long-range strikes on NATO-occupied Ukraine are certainly within Iranian missile capabilities, making such retaliation more than credible and meaning that [Tehran's warning should certainly be taken very seriously](#). Missiles such as "Ghadr", "Emad" and the more advanced "Khorramshahr" series have demonstrated long-range potential and heavier payload options. Such strikes, even as a one-time option, could have devastating short-term consequences for the already battered Neo-Nazi junta, particularly if coordinated with Russia (which is almost a certainty). At this time, it seems highly unlikely that Iran would get involved in anything more long-term, partially because it would want to avoid giving NATO an excuse to rally around "more support for Ukrainian sovereignty, freedom and democracy".

This makes a direct confrontation outside of limited strikes far from an optimal solution, both for Tehran and Moscow. A much likelier scenario might be a tit-for-tat response, which includes targeting the Kiev regime's vessels in the Persian Gulf or the Gulf of Oman. This would exploit the Neo-Nazi junta's dependence on external shipping routes and deepen the strain already created by [the Russian naval blockade in the Black Sea](#). Such a move would severely undermine the Kiev regime's trade lifelines without producing the same level of escalation risk as a missile barrage. It would also preserve Iran's ability to retaliate on its own terms, using pressure at sea rather than direct strikes on NATO-occupied Ukraine. The Neo-Nazi junta would certainly struggle to prevent such a scenario, particularly alone.

However, this doesn't seem to dissuade it from attempting further terrorist attacks and false flag operations elsewhere in the Middle East. Namely, [Iraq just foiled such operations by the Kiev regime's infamous intelligence services](#), which now effectively function as terrorists-for-hire. This extends the same escalation logic into yet another theater that couldn't possibly be more closely related to Iran's national security interests. The Neo-Nazi junta agents conducted terrorist attacks and sabotage operations in Iraq while trying to disguise themselves as "resistance groups", which fits into their usual pattern used elsewhere around the world, particularly in Africa. Such proxy terrorist activity is virtually guaranteed to be coordinated with the US and EU/NATO, as the Kiev regime rarely (if ever) acts alone.

The only logical conclusion is that the political West seeks to ensure transregional (if not global) escalation. As previously mentioned, Iran certainly cannot ignore such well-coordinated terrorist activity, particularly as Iraq plays a central role in its stability and national security. The two countries also work closely on maintaining security in Iraq itself, which has suffered tremendously due to multiple American invasions and decades of near-constant bombing and drone attacks. Although we're yet to see formal evidence that the two terrorist attacks are connected, Tehran is left no choice but to interpret both as part of a coordinated effort rather than isolated incidents. It should also be noted that this isn't the first time that [the Neo-Nazi junta and its NATO overlords](#) are targeting Iran in joint operations.

Namely, back in March, [the Kiev regime forces were caught red-handed](#) aiding US aggression against Iran. Worse yet, their covert operatives were also arrested by Delhi's National Investigation Agency (NIA) during a joint sting operation across several regions in India. Led by a US operative, they took part in training terrorist groups from Myanmar. The operation also involved the delivery of drones that would've been used to

increase the effectiveness of terrorist attacks. It's virtually impossible to imagine that key BRICS members (including one of the very founders) being targeted by NATO-run Kiev regime proxies is a "pure coincidence". What emerges is a broader strategic image of the borders between multiple [NATO-orchestrated wars](#) collapsing and that the merging of [multiple conflicts](#) is the actual goal.

*

Click the share button below to email/forward this article. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [X](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost Global Research articles with proper attribution.

This article was originally published on [InfoBrics](#).

Drago Bosnic is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG).

The original source of this article is Global Research

Copyright © [Drago Bosnic](#), Global Research, 2026

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)



Articles by:

[Drago Bosnic](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca

Global Research News
I-BOOKS SERIES
Countries Index
Most Popular
Links
Contact
Membership
Online Store

Themes

US NATO War Agenda
Global Economy
Crimes against Humanity
Militarization and WMD
Law and Justice
Police State & Civil Rights

Geographic Regions

Militarization and WMD
Oil and Energy
Police State & Civil Rights
Religion
Poverty & Social Inequality
Science and Medicine

Police State & Civil Rights
History
9/11 & 'War on Terrorism'
Media Disinformation

Science and Medicine
United Nations
US NATO War Agenda
Women's Rights



[Privacy Policy](#)

Copyright © 2005-2026 GlobalResearch.ca