

“The Deep State is Trying to Consolidate the Entire World into a One-World Government. Dr. Meryl Nass

Expanded on My Remarks at the Feds 4 Freedom Symposium July 1

By [Dr. Meryl Nass](#)

Global Research, August 21, 2026

[Meryl's CHAOS letter \(Critical Health Analysis and OpinionS\)](#) 5 July 2026

Theme: Intelligence, Media Disinformation, Science and Medicine



Translate Website

Share 31

Tweet 4

Email 18

Share 53



I expanded on my remarks at the Feds 4 Freedom symposium July 1, and added graphics. I brought the receipts.

What we've heard about so far today — and will continue to hear about — is not a collection of separate problems. It's all one problem: the **deep state** of the world is **trying to consolidate the entire world into a one-world government** and has developed many different mechanisms of control to achieve that end. A major part of that initiative is to cause all our institutions to fail us.

What you've heard about the fundamental problems in education, healthcare, agriculture, government regulation, the news media, censorship and propaganda is all part of that same agenda, in my view.

And the idea of “[Earth System Governance](#)” has become an academic discipline, albeit hidden from most people.



- "The journal *Earth System Governance* addresses governance processes and institutions at all levels of decision-making - from local to global - within a planetary perspective that seeks to align our current institutions and governance systems with the fundamental 21st century challenges of global environmental change and earth-system transformation. The journal intends to be interdisciplinary with a firm grounding in the social sciences and humanities and covers research on all regions and sectors.
- The journal is a flagship publication of the global [Earth System Governance research alliance](#), and is available to publish cutting-edge research presented at the annual Earth System Governance conferences, academic workshops, synthesising events by the Earth System Governance research taskforces, and similar activities..."
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/earth-system-governance/about/aims-and-scope>

The first goal was to create the narrative that climate change, environmental degradation and resource depletion posed an existential threat to humanity, in order to frighten the public into funding and complying with a new set of priorities.

•



- One of the proposals in *Our Common Agenda* was the development of a New Vision for the Rule of Law, which, in the words of the Secretary-General, would put people at the center of justice systems. The Secretary-General tasked the Rule of Law Unit, in collaboration with the Global Focal Point for the Rule of Law and the Office of Legal Affairs, to develop this New Vision for the work of the Organization.
- It is firmly grounded in agreed documents, including the landmark 2012 Declaration of the High-Level Meeting of the General Assembly on the Rule of Law at the National and International levels.
- The New Vision addresses the connection between the rule of law and several key contemporary issues, including technology, the planet, human rights, and access to justice. The New Vision will be implemented throughout the UN System by the Rule of Law Coordination and Resource Group.

Other new multilateral organizations have also been formed to provide “governance” for the rest of us:

2.1. The exponential roadmap

In addition to protecting, managing and restoring natural carbon sinks, the Global Carbon Law requires us to rapidly phase out fossil fuel-based energy, transportation, and production, as well as other sources of greenhouse gases in agriculture, forestry and industrial processes. These activities and technologies make up a very large share of the current global economy. Thus, without putting alternative solutions in place to serve essential human needs at the same rate as those are phased out, the consequence would be a supply and prosperity deficit which may both generate popular backlash and jeopardize important human ends formulated in the UN Sustainable Development Goals. At the same time, climate change itself substantially reduces chances of reaching the Sustainable Development Goals.

5. **Food systems:** Reduced meat consumption and food waste, and transformation towards sustainable agriculture. Given the growing world population and the need for improved food supply in many parts of the world, rapidly reducing the GHG intensity of food is essential; but this may mainly compensate for increased demand, and the net emissions reductions could therefore be modest.



Copyright © 2021 Global Challenges Foundation.

2021. Governing Our Climate Future: Interim Report of the Climate Governance Commission, 2021. A GCF Report, Stockholm: Global Challenges Foundation.

The views expressed in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the Global Challenges Foundation.

ABOUT GLOBAL CHALLENGES FOUNDATION (GCF)

The Global Challenges Foundation works to create deeper understanding of the global risks that threaten humanity and catalyze ideas to tackle them. Rooted in a scientific analysis of risks, the Foundation brings together the brightest minds from academia, politics, business and civil society to forge transformative approaches to secure a better future for all. For more visit www.globalchallenges.org.

PARTNERS

EXPONENTIAL
ROADMAP INITIATIVE

 Global Governance Forum

STIMSON

At the same time, non-governmental authorities were established in the US to siphon power away from state, county and local governments. One mechanism for doing this was through 'planning.' States passed laws requiring municipalities to create detailed, long-range plans for their towns. Because most towns did not have the expertise or personnel to do so, they frequently adopted boilerplate plans provided by state agencies or consultants that just happened to be congruent with policies desired by the deep state.

Councils of Government (COGs) and **Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs)** are a form of non-democratic authority that are taking over functions from duly elected state and local governments, funded by grants from state and federal governments. This project has been expanding in power and funding since the 1960s. It involves creating new organizations with appointed members for which there is no direct citizen oversight.

See [this](#).

Here is how these organizations describe themselves. Please read it.

What is a Regional Council or Council of Governments (COG)?

A regional council (RC) or council of governments (COG) – these terms are generally interchangeable, and might also be called regional planning commissions, regional commissions, or planning districts – is a multi-service entity with state- and locally-defined boundaries that delivers a variety of federal, state, and local programs while carrying out its function as a planning organization, technical assistance provider, and “visionary” to its member local governments. As such, COGs and RCs are accountable to local units of government and effective partners for state and federal governments.

Conceived in the 1960s, COGs and RCs are stable, broad-based organizations adept at consensus-building, creating partnerships, providing services, problem solving, and fiscal management. The role of the regional council has been shaped by the changing dynamics in federal, state, and local government relations, and the growing recognition that the region is the arena in which local governments must work together to resolve social and environmental challenges. These organizations have carved out a valuable niche for themselves as reliable agents and many more operate independent of federal funding. Comprehensive and transportation planning, economic development, workforce development, the environment, services for the elderly and clearinghouse functions are among the types of programs managed by COGs and RCs. Of the 39,000 local, general purpose governments in the United States (counties, cities, townships, towns, villages, boroughs) a total of more than 35,000 are served by COGs and RCs.



What is a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)?

A Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) is an agency created by federal law to provide local elected officials input into the planning and implementation of federal transportation funds to metropolitan areas with populations of greater than 50,000. The Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1962, which mandated the formation of MPOs, has implemented that MPOs must plan for regional transportation planning expenditures and are responsible for the continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process for their urbanized area. Under federal law established in the 1973 Highway Act and the Urban Mass Transit Act, organizations in urbanized areas are designated by their Governors to perform significant planning and programming of federally funded highways and transit projects. The policy leadership, committees, professional staff, and consultants, combined with the administrative capability to support MPO planning processes, constitute the core elements of MPOs activities.

Federal transportation legislation in the 1990s, such as the Long Range Transportation Program (LRTP) and the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), have strengthened MPOs role in programming transportation projects by making MPOs responsible for approving significant expenditures of federal dollars. In addition, MPOs have become a more significant actor in regional transportation planning since they received additional resources and powers from the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA) and the ensuing Transportation Efficiency Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21). The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has identified 420 MPOs as of the most recent census. Nearly half of MPOs operate as part of a Regional Council or Council of Governments serving the same general geography.

The European Union has used a similar strategy of gradually expanding its authority to obtain powers that previously belonged to the nation states. First, a reasonable environmental law gets passed. But over time, the reach of the initial law is expanded, to eventually encroach on property rights and cost citizens significantly more than was initially anticipated.

Natura 2000 was based on the E.U.'s 1979 Birds Directive to simply protect wild bird species. But over time it has required nations to designate minimum areas of biodiversity within their territory and protect and restore them, even though the lands may be privately owned. These protected lands now **comprise 18%** of total land area within the E.U. The goal is to expand that to 30% of total land by 2030, and to 50% eventually.


Natura 2000 Network, 2019

"Natura 2000 is a network of protected areas covering Europe's most valuable and threatened species and habitats. It is the largest coordinated network of protected areas in the world."

<https://www.eea.europa.eu/themes/biodiversity/natura-2000/the-natura-2000-protected-areas-network>

<https://www.eea.europa.eu/en/analysis/maps-and-charts/natura-2000-network-terrestrial-and>

Article 6... require[s] Member States to take measures within Natura 2000 to maintain and restore the habitats and species in a **favourable** conservation status, avoiding activities that could significantly disturb these species, result in deterioration of their habitats or damage habitat types.



2022 Convention on Biological Diversity, with 4 goals and 23 targets adopted (CBD COP 15)



THE BIODIVERSITY PLAN
For Life on Earth



- **"Effective conservation and management of at least 30% of the world's lands, inland waters, coastal areas and oceans" by 2030**
- **"Reduce to near zero the loss of areas of high biodiversity importance, including ecosystems of high ecological integrity"**
- **"Cut global food waste in half and significantly reduce over consumption and waste generation"**

- ...greenhouse gases in nature and ultimately, **cause over consumption and waste generation**.
- **produce less food**
 - "Nature's contributions to people, including ecosystem functions and services, are valued [i.e., **priced-- with the new value being assumed by government as collateral for its borrowing...**"]"
- <https://www.cbd.int/article/cop15-cbd-press-release-final-19dec2022> https://prod.drupal.www.infra.cbd.int/sites/default/files/2022-12/221219-CBD-PressRelease-COP15-Final_0.pdf

In the 1990s **pandemics and biological warfare were added to this agenda to provide additional important mechanisms, especially vaccinations, for the deep state's goals of centralizing power, controlling populations and creating a technocratic governance system.** I've been watching how the US government and the world have dealt with these issues for many years. For a long time, I wondered why influenza and biological warfare were so closely conjoined in funding and in how the government handled them — two things that seemed very different to me.

I now understand that there has been an effort to demonize bird flu (H5N1 avian influenza) for 23 years, even though it has killed less than 500 people cumulatively, according to the WHO. The U.N.'s current Assistant Director-General, Jeremy Farrar, has been at the heart of this fearmongering and was allegedly a co-discoverer of the first human H5N1 bird flu infection in Vietnam. H5N1 was first discovered to infect humans in 1997, and it became the mechanism to conflate influenza (for which we get a benign flu shot each year), serious pandemics and biological warfare. And it could be rolled out as a dire threat when needed, which we just experienced, costing the US billions and killing many hundreds of millions of chickens—and ending as soon as the Presidency changed hands.

**Sir Dr. Jeremy Farrar:
Cabal Ringmaster**

<https://www.facebook.com/watch/?v=773296454173876>



- 1) **Discovered the 2004 Bird flu "pandemic"**
- 2) **Still frightening us over bird flu despite no harm**
- 3) **WHO's Chief Scientist**
- 4) **Was Wellcome Trust's Director**
- 5) **Supported WHO and UK HCQ overdose trials**
- 6) **Managed the COVID origins coverup with Tony Fauci**

**Avian flu has led to the killing of 140m
farmed birds since last October**

**Culls and compensation have cost hundreds of millions of pounds
in the US, UK and Europe, with current outbreak predicted to
worsen**

**Bird flu could be eradicated by
editing the genes of chickens -
our study shows how**

The three flu pandemics of the 20th century originated from birds, making it critical to fight bird flu. Breakthroughs in gene-editing chickens show promise for eliminating the disease in the future.

6 November 2023 • 4 min read • by The Conversation

Jeremy Farrar, as the CEO of the Wellcome Trust, also was a primary funder and designer of the Recovery and Solidarity clinical trials that overdosed thousands of patients on hydroxychloroquine during the early days of COVID, sacrificing hundreds of innocents in order to stop the use of the drug and make sure vaccines would be employed for COVID. He and Bill Gates were the founders of CEPI, the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovation, which pioneered the effort to roll out future vaccines, with scant testing, in only 100 days, and he was **central** in getting poisonous COVID vaccines out to underdeveloped countries with the COVAX initiative.

Securing the Future: CEPI 3.0 Investment Case

[Find out more](#) →

CEPI

Developing pandemic-busting vaccines in 100 days

By Dr Richard Hatchett

The world can face down the next Disease X with a new vaccine in just 100 days. Here's how...

CEPI employees revealed their plan in the New England Journal of Medicine.

The NEW ENGLAND
JOURNAL of MEDICINE

SPECIALTIES ▼ TOPICS ▼ MULTIMEDIA ▼ CURRENT ISSUE ▼ LEARNING/CME ▼ AUTHOR CENTER PUBLICATIONS ▼

PERSPECTIVE f X in

Delivering Pandemic Vaccines in 100 Days — What Will It Take?

Authors: Melanie Saville, M.B., B.S., Jakob P. Cramer, M.D., Matthew Downham, Ph.D., Adam Hacker, Ph.D., Nicole Lurie, M.D., M.S.P.H., Lieven Van der Veken, M.D., Mike Whelan, Ph.D., and Richard Hatchett, M.D. [Author Info & Affiliations](#)

Published March 2, 2022 | N Engl J Med 2022;387: e3 | DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp2202669 | [VOL. 387 NO. 2](#)

Maurice Strong managed the UN's 1972 Stockholm Environment conference, which yielded a framework for the globalist plan.

United Nations Conference on the Human Environment, Stockholm 1972

Foreshadowed 15 minute cities, sovereignty loss and population control

Among its recommendations are:

(a) "That all development assistance agencies, whether international, such as the United Nations Development Programme and the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, regional or national, should in their development assistance activities also give high priority within available resources to requests from Governments for assistance in the **planning of human settlements...**"

(b) "countries which are willing to launch an improvement program should be prepared to welcome international cooperation, seeking the advice or assistance of competent international bodies."


(c) "I. It is recommended that the World Health Organization and other United Nations agencies should provide increased assistance to Governments which so request in the field of family planning programs without delay."

<https://documents.un.org/doc/undoc/gen/nl7/300/05/pdf/nl730005.pdf>

Of course, the Council on Foreign Relations was brought in to assist with the globalist undertaking. Richard Gardner published an article in the CFR journal, Foreign Affairs, in 1974 explaining many of these mechanisms.

In 1974, Richard Gardner wrote this CFR article, presaging what was to come. He was a member of Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission, founded in 1973

- The hopeful aspect of the present situation is that even as nations resist appeals for "world government" and "the surrender of sovereignty," technological, economic and political interests are forcing them to establish more and more far-reaching arrangements to manage their mutual interdependence."
- We will be seeking new rules... will subject countries to an unprecedented degree of international surveillance over up to now sacrosanct "domestic" policies, such as farm price supports, subsidies, and government procurement practices that have transnational effects.
- "The next few years should see a continued strengthening of the new global and regional agencies charged with protecting the world's environment....At the same time, international agencies will be given broader powers to promulgate and revise standards limiting air and ocean pollution."



https://docs.google.com/file/d/0B7UjwZXflpG6a21leEgyT_HZsSk0/preview?resourcekey=0-kt12hswk7rlBHXLIaU9sQ

The World Economic Forum was founded, along with its young global leaders program to get the right people in place. In the 1980s, the concept of sustainable development and then the sustainable development goals were developed by these same people — particularly Maurice Strong and Gro Harlem Brundtland for the UN.

One man was instrumental in creating the environmental policies we live with today

<https://www.unep.org/unep-50-leaders-through-years/maurice-strong>




- "Maurice Strong played a unique and critical role in globalising the environmental movement. He led the historic United Nations Conference on the Human Environment held in Stockholm, Sweden, in June 1972. This was the first international conference on environmental issues, and it resulted in the founding of the UN Environment Programme (UNEP). Six months later, he was elected by the UN General Assembly to become UNEP's first Executive Director at its headquarters in Nairobi, Kenya."

General Assembly to become UN's first Executive Director at its headquarters in Nairobi, Kenya, a role he held until **1975**.

- In June **1992**, he led another landmark meeting: the **UN Conference on Environment and Development** – also known as the **Earth Summit** – it was held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The conference addressed climate change and underscored the right to sustainable development, among other topics.
- Mr. Strong's other notable appointments included: **Under-Secretary-General and Special Advisor to the UN Secretary-General, Senior Advisor to the President of the World Bank, Senior Advisor to the Secretary-General of the UN Conference on Sustainable Development (Rio +20) [2012], Foundation Board member of the World Economic Forum, member of the Brundtland Commission."** [**1983-87**; this commission popularized sustainable development]
- **Associate of David Rockefeller**

Dr. Gro Harlem Brundtland: also central in fostering sustainable development thru her World Commission on Environment and Development **1983-1987**



- **"Our Common Future"**, publication released in **1987** by the **World Commission on Environment and Development** (WCED) that **introduced the concept of sustainable development** and described how it could be achieved. Sponsored by the **United Nations** (UN) and chaired by Norwegian Prime Minister **Gro Harlem Brundtland**, the WCED explored the causes of environmental **degradation**, attempted to understand the interconnections between social **equity**, **economic growth**, and environmental problems, and developed policy solutions that **integrated** all three areas.

<https://www.britannica.com/topic/Brundtland-Report>

Brundtland had been **Norway's PM 3 times**; she went on to become the **Director-General of the WHO '98-2003**, 1st Exec. Dir. of **GAVI 2000**, the **UN Special Envoy on Climate Change 2007**, cofounded **The Elders 2007**, a **Bilderberger** and **co-chair of the WHO-instigated Global Preparedness Monitoring Board 2018**, with Fauci and Jeremy Farrar, **Vice-Chair UN Foundation Board of Directors**.

Once the globalists adopted pandemics and biological warfare as part of their agenda, vaccines became the big thing. In the 1990s, the federal government and the Army developed a plan to roll out 75 different vaccines against all biowarfare threats, that would be used to vaccinate pretty much everybody in the military. The stated goal was to make super soldiers of our troops who could continue to operate in a biological warfare environment. Now that was a tall order—or a fairy tale. Looking back, one wonders whether the real intention was to weaken the military force.

In 1998, the DOD started universal anthrax vaccination for soldiers, even though no army had ever been attacked with anthrax. It is not contagious person-to-person and is very difficult to use militarily. But it had a license, and the name anthrax was well known after the Gulf War, striking fear in the troops. That was followed in 2003 by the smallpox vaccine. Both vaccines were very dangerous and received a lot of pushback. Yet both are still being used in the military.

By the way, the anthrax vaccine was very old. It had expired and been redated several times. The FDA had not been allowed to inspect the vaccine manufacturing facility for years, because the inspectors were not vaccinated, so the Army was inspecting itself. When the FDA finally went in after more than 10 years in 1997, they immediately shut the plant down and quarantined 9 million of the 11 million doses that existed. But they let the Army have 2 million doses. Those two million doses, given in 3 doses over a month and 6 doses over the next year and a half, sickened about 100,000 soldiers. There was visible particulate, fungal and bacterial growth, and stopper material in those vaccines. **How could this happen?** A PREP Act declaration had removed all liability from the manufacturer... and in any event, the manufacturer was being indemnified by the Secretary of the Army.

In 1976, we had a fake swine flu epidemic for which vaccine manufacturers were given a liability shield for the first time. After affecting a few soldiers at Fort Dix, NJ, the virus had died out and was nowhere to be found when vaccines were ready. But our fearless federal health officers couldn't let the vaccines go to waste, so they unleashed them on the general public anyway, never telling anyone that the infection they were supposedly preventing did not exist.

Then in 1986, the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act was passed, which gave a liability shield to all vaccines recommended by the CDC for children. By 2004–2005, we got the EUA and then the PREP Act became law, allowing widespread use of experimental medical products, whether or not they had been tested. All that was necessary was a designated threat, and someone's expectation that the product would provide more benefit than risk. Need I say that this turned US regulation of drugs and vaccines upside down? By 2016, all vaccines recommended during pregnancy were also indemnified.

There was no real need for these liability protections, and stark reasons to avoid them: every rushed vaccine since 1976 had had serious safety problems. Furthermore, the DOD was already giving soldiers experimental vaccines, usually without informed consent, and suffered no liability. I've heard of many service members who received 'malaria vaccines' and other vaccines for diseases for which no licensed vaccine even existed. Military personnel have always been a captive, healthy population for vaccine testing, in the US and abroad.

But here's the bottom line.

Vaccines don't make any sense for biological warfare or pandemics because they take too long to develop and show safety. By the time you've developed them, the pandemic or the biological warfare event is usually over. What you really need are drugs that can treat these conditions immediately. But we have downplayed the drugs and pushed the vaccines — along with a liability shield and very cursory testing — so they could be rolled out as quickly as possible.

Why would that be? The only thing I can come up with is that the globalists who want to take over the world **want the ability to inject us with something**, and they wanted us all to get used to these yearly flu shots — shots from which you cannot obtain herd immunity. So your shot doesn't really help others. In general, flu shots are only 30 to 40% effective at best, and they're not effective at all for preventing deaths or hospitalizations in people over 65. The flu shots did, however, train us to dutifully line up each year for our shots. They also served as a means of testing various vaccine platforms on the unsuspecting public. Even today some flu shots are made in army worm cells, and some in dog kidney cells, while others contain a variety of adjuvants. Nine different flu shots were available in the US last season.

Of course, **it is impossible to know what is in the syringe**. As we learned during COVID. And this is what we will always face going forward.

Here are more reasons why pandemics and biosecurity are so enticing to those who want to rule the world. Profit margins are high. 'Biosecurity' provides an excuse to create or expand undemocratic governing bodies, some of which can push nation states to mandate vaccinations. Relatively small infusions of cash by 'philanthropies' (a few billion dollars) can yield their leaders a controlling interest in pandemic policies.

https://ippsecretariat.org/about-us/

International Pandemic Preparedness Secretariat

The IPPS is a wholly independent entity that serves to join up relevant states, the private sector, and global health institutions in support of the 100 Days Mission. The Secretariat works with the G7, G20, industry and the WHO as key partners. The Secretariat was recommended by G7 Scientific Advisors, but is not a formal G7 body.

The Secretariat is hosted at the [Wellcome Trust](#), and is financially supported by both [Wellcome](#) and the [Gates Foundation](#). It is a time-limited entity which is expected to complete its work by the end of 2026.

The creation of undemocratic governance structures is key to the NWO plan

This report also marks the anniversary of the establishment of the IPPS. The **Secretariat's focus** for this first year has been:


- Establishing strong governance, in the form of the Steering Group and the STEG
- Working to understand what further support is needed for all regions to be equipped to deliver pandemic countermeasures within 100 days
- Building coalitions in areas where the need for greater cohesion was identified, such as in the area of Therapeutics R&D
- Developing a more quantifiable approach to assessing 100DM readiness through the 100DM scorecard
- Advocating for continuity of commitment to pandemic preparedness in multilateral fora, including the G20 and G7, World Health Assembly and UN General Assembly. The 100DM has enjoyed the support of the Japanese and Indian presidencies, and it is hoped that this can be built on by Italy and Brazil in 2024

McKinsey estimated a global PPPR program would cost \$85-130 Billion for 2 years, then \$20-50 Billion yearly, thereafter

<https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/public-sector/our-insights/not-the-last-pandemic-investing-now-to-reimagine-public-health-systems>

Five pillars of preparedness can be built for \$357 billion, in our estimate.

Funding for epidemic preparedness requires an up-front investment to close



There is a lot of money in preparing for pandemics. It is the Wild West of healthcare spending....

BECAUSE no one knows:

1. What will be needed
2. How much the products will cost to make
3. How many doses should be purchased
4. If they will ever be used

Meanwhile, your government will provide a liability shield, so that both the product design and the quality of manufacturing are of little if any concern to the manufacturer.

THERE IS NO NEED TO ADVERTISE, AND MANUFACTURERS CAN CHARGE WHATEVER THEY WANT, IF THEY CONVINCE THE GOVERNMENT TO BUY THEIR PRODUCT.

Why is the Global Bio-Security Agenda so important to the international power elites? Because it provides these additional benefits to them:

1. A reason for nations to borrow many billions of dollars, spend it on products that profit them, and also earn huge commissions
2. The justification to conduct bio-surveillance, and to collect and decode the genomes of the world's population for potential profit and potential control
3. A reason to require digital vaccine passports/IDs to be followed by digital money, yielding extraordinary profits and total control
4. A reason to interfere with agricultural activities, fishing and food processing in the name of environmentalism and One Health
5. The ability to move populations in order to 'protect' biodiversity and ecosystems
6. The right to impose massive digital surveillance, censorship and the enforcement of a single WHO public health narrative worldwide—yielding ultimate control of information

And they already control those they have placed into positions of power.





WATCH: World Health Organization Director-General Tedros, speaking at the World Health Assembly happening this week in Geneva, says, "It's time to get aggressive with anti-vaxxers."

See this article for more details:



Bombshell: Pfizer's "Secret" 2021 Declassified Report. CALL FOR IMMEDIATE WITHDRAWAL OF COVID "VACCINE"

By Prof Michel Chossudovsky, April 12, 2026

*

Click the share button below to email/forward this article. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [X](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost Global Research articles with proper attribution.

Global Research is a reader-funded media. We do not accept any funding from corporations or governments. Help us stay afloat. Click the image below to make a one-time or recurring donation.



The original source of this article is Meryl's CHAOS letter (Critical Health Analysis and OpinionS)
Copyright © Dr. Meryl Nass, Meryl's CHAOS letter (Critical Health Analysis and OpinionS), 2026

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)



Articles by:

Dr. Meryl Nass

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca

Global Research News
I-BOOKS SERIES
Countries Index
Most Popular
Links
Contact
Membership
Online Store

Themes

US NATO War Agenda
Global Economy
Crimes against Humanity
Militarization and WMD
Law and Justice
Police State & Civil Rights
History
9/11 & 'War on Terrorism'
Media Disinformation

Militarization and WMD
Oil and Energy
Police State & Civil Rights
Religion
Poverty & Social Inequality
Science and Medicine
United Nations
US NATO War Agenda
Women's Rights

Geographic Regions



GlobalResearch
Center for Research on Globalization

[Privacy Policy](#)

Copyright © 2005-2026 GlobalResearch.ca