

Now That Everybody Is Freely Expressing Themselves Here At The End.....

I would like to say, that Joseph is not the father.....

Despite what every camp has said, I do not believe that Joseph is YAHAWAHSHI'S father.

And in order to make that valid, they had to reduce Luke to a mere scribe, who never saw YAHAWAHSHI. But he was called. Just like Paul. Maybe I'm wrong, but I have never even entertained the possibility of Joseph being YAHAWAHSHI'S father. And there are a few points that I would like to make. They are very simple. I'm tired of the male ego trying to exalt itself over everything.

Point number 1. The camps that I have been part of and do not knock to this day, teach that a woman is a mere incubator and has no seed so therefore YAHAWAHSHI could not be from the seed of David through his mother. They go to the book of Numbers 1:18.

N u m b e r s 1 : 1 8

“And they assembled all the congregation together on the first day of the second month, and they declared their pedigrees after their families, by the house of their fathers, according to the number of the names, from twenty years old and upward, by their polls.”

Now as important as that is; there are other accounts and precepts concerning genealogy;

N u m b e r s 2 7 : 1

“Then came the daughters of Zelophehad, the son of Hepher, the son of Gilead, the son of Machir, the son of Manasseh, of the families of Manasseh the son of Joseph: and these *are* the names of his daughters; Mahlah, Noah, and Hoglah, and Milcah, and Tirzah.”

2And they stood before Moses, and before Eleazar the priest, and before the princes and all the congregation, *by* the door of the tabernacle of the congregation, saying,

3Our father died in the wilderness, and he was not in the company of them that gathered themselves together against YAHAWAH in the company of Korah; but died in his own sin, and had no sons.

4Why should the name of our father be done away from among his family, because he hath no son? Give unto us *therefore* a possession among the brethren of our father.

5And Moses brought their cause before YAHAWAH.

6And YAHAWAH spake unto Moses, saying,

7The daughters of Zelophehad speak right: thou shalt surely give them a possession of an inheritance among their father's brethren; and thou shalt cause the inheritance of their father to pass unto them.

8And thou shalt speak unto the children of Israel, saying, If a man die, and have no son, then ye shall cause his inheritance to pass unto his daughter.

The prophet “Nathan was Mary’s ancestor, through the genealogy recorded in the Gospel of Luke. This line traces YAHAWAHSHI’S biological ancestry back to Nathan, one of King David’s sons, through Mary’s family. The Gospel of Matthew, however, traces YAHAWAHSHI’S royal or legal line through Joseph, YAHAWAHSHI’S stepfather, who was a descendant of David’s son Solomon.

Luke’s Genealogy, (Mary’s Line): traces YAHAWAHSHI’S ancestry through HIS mother Mary and includes Nathan as a descendant of David. This is the biological lineage.

Matthews Genealogy, (Joseph’s Line): Traces YAHAWAHSHI’S ancestry through HIS stepfather Joseph and includes Solomon’s as a descendant of David. This is considered the Royal or Legal line, which established YAHAWAHSHI’S right to the throne of David.

Connection: Both Genealogies show that YAHAWAHSHI is descendant of King David, but through different lines. Mary's line through Nathan provides the bloodline, while Joseph's through Solomon provides the legal claim to the throne.

The daughters of Zelophehad were able to receive blessings despite being women.

If women were mere incubators or carriers without significance, then the Bible would not have referenced the seed of the woman in Genesis

Genesis 3:15

“And I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed; it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel.”

YAHAWAHSHI'S birth is significant in that HE was the product of the seed of the woman. HE was not made with corruptible seed, as our rebirth is not made of corruptible seed.

1 Peter 1:23

“Being born again, not of corruptible seed, but of incorruptible, by the WORD OF YAHAWAH, which liveth and abideth for ever.”

Fruit bears seeds, which makes more fruit. Fruit is a seed in itself.
And if women were only seed carriers, why did the psalms say,

Psalms 127:3

“Lo, children *are* an heritage of
YAHAWAH: *and* the fruit of the womb is
his reward.”

Seem like it would have said, Children are and heritage of YAHAWAH and
the seed of the testicles is HIS reward.

They remind us all the time, that we can't make a baby without them, but I
would like to see one of them make a baby without us.

Isaiah 7:14

“Therefore YAHAWAH himself shall give you a
sign; Behold, a virgin shall conceive, and bear a
son, and shall call his name Immanuel.”

Camps will say, that Alma; the Hebrew word for virgin, also means a married woman, or a virgin.

But think about it. Why is that a sign? That; “A virgin shall conceive.” I’m sure in Mary and Joseph’s time, a whole bunch of virgins conceived. But didn’t near a virgin bring forth a child, save one.

There’s more:

Matthew 1:24

“Then Joseph being raised from sleep did as the angel of YAHAWAH had bidden him, and took unto him his wife:”

Matthew 1:25

“And knew her not till she had brought forth her firstborn son: and he called his name YAHAWAHSHI.”

Camps will tell you with a straight face and full chest that they were betrothed, but not yet came together sexually, (when knowing has meant knowing, for as many years as I have known of the word) but when they did, she conceived and Joseph knew her not again until YAHAWAHSHI was born out of respect for who he was.

There's two things wrong with that. Do you see a missing word in Matthew 1:25? Because I do. If he took to him his wife but did not know her until the baby was born, did he take her or did he not take her? If taking her meant he took her, but did not know her until the Angel gave the go ahead, that he could now know her in the Biblical sense, then what was he doing before that? And why was he afraid to do it, if he had already done it? They will then say; he didn't take her again, until YAHAWAHSHI was born. Well then, you're missing the operative word here: "Again" And the passage would have read, "he knew her not Again".

Camps, that I am not against, like I said, I was in one, will say that Seramases, and all the other false g.o.d.s. had deity dads. But I say, Abraham knew that Ha MASSHYACH would one day come. He lived in Egypt. Had an Egyptian slave and a half Egyptian son!!!! You recognize the heathen steals everything else from us. Why Never That?!

Holding onto washed out doctrine when you already had the cahunnas to say goodbye to some already, is giving "I'll only let go of what doesn't suit me"

Therefore, I come to the conclusion, that Joseph is Not The father.