

Board of Directors Agenda March 27, 2014

	ITEM	PRESENTER
1)	Call to Order, Roll Call, Employee Recognition, Jim Haner Retirement, Opening Comments	Chair Campbell El Mirage
2)	Approval of RWC Board Meeting Minutes from January 23, 2014 This item is for information, discussion and action.	Chair Campbell El Mirage Est. 2 min
3)	Approval of the CIP Funding Policy The purpose of this item is to present the new CIP Funding Policy and request approval from the Board. This item is for information, discussion and action.	Mr. David Felix - RWC Executive Director Est. 5 min
4)	Approval to Set 2014/2015 Subscriber Unit Rate The purpose of this item is to present the proposed O&M Rate for 2014/2015 and request approval from the Board. This item is for information, discussion and action.	Ms. Kelli Butz – RWC Accountant III Est. 5 min
5)	Required Minimum Balance The purpose of this item is to discuss the RWC Governance Document requirement to maintain a 12.5% minimum balance (RMB) and provide options for approval. This item is for information, discussion and action.	Mr. David Felix - RWC Executive Director & Ms. Kelli Butz – RWC Accountant III Est. 10 min



Board of Directors Agenda March 27, 2014

6)	Governance Working Group Update This purpose of this item is to update the Board of Directors on the continued efforts of the Governance Working Group. This item is for information, discussion and possible action.	Chair Campbell El Mirage & Vice Chair Hartig Scottsdale & Mr. David Felix – RWC Executive Director Est. 15 min
7)	Late Fees The purpose of this item is to discuss the RWC Governance Document requirement to assess a 1% Late Fee to members that are late in making payment of RWC fees. This item is for information and discussion.	Mr. David Felix - RWC Executive Director & Ms. Kelli Butz – RWC Accountant III Est. 5 min
8)	Project Updates: A. 700 MHz Narrow-Banding, TDMA, Lifecycle Hardware Phase B. 800 MHz Re-Banding C. Avondale Console Upgrade D. Goodyear Police Integration onto RWC E. Maricopa County Community College District F. Maricopa Site G. Paradise Valley H. Scottsdale Coverage (Northwest) This item is for information and discussion.	Mr. Bill Phillips - Phoenix ITS & Mr. David Felix - RWC Executive Director Est. 15 min



Board of Directors Agenda March 27, 2014

9)	Executive Director Update A. ASU Membership Update B. Arizona Public Safety Communications Commission C. Motorola Scorecard This item is for information and discussion.	Mr. David Felix – RWC Executive Director Est. 10 min.
10)	Call to the Public This item is for information only.	Chair Campbell El Mirage
11)	Announcements & Future Agenda Items The purpose of this item is to communicate any Board announcements or future agenda items. The next meeting is a Joint Board Meeting: May 22, 2014 from 9:00 – 11:00. This item is for information only.	Chair Campbell El Mirage
12)	Adjourn	Chair Campbell El Mirage



Board of Directors MINUTES March 27, 2014

Maricopa Association of Governments 302 N. 1st Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85003

E	Board Members Absent		
Steven Campbell	Bob Hansen	Tim Van Scoter	Paul Adams
Wayne Clement	Brad Hartig	Mike Thompson	Brent Ackzen
Mike Cole*	John Imig*	Marc Walker	Lonnie Inskeep
Bob Costello	Chris Nadeau*	Paul Wilson	Danny Johnson
Chris DeChant	Hans Silberschlag*		
Mike Frazier	Rob Sweeney*		
*Board Alternate	** Telephone Participant		

Staff and Public Present

Michaelanne Acree	Dave Clarke	Todd Harms	Steven Powell
Mirela Borsan**	Dave Collett	Doug Mummert**	Shannon Tolle
Chris Briggs	Tom Dwiggins	Cy Otsuka	Tim Ulery
Kelli Butz	Kim Engle	Ron Parks	
Tom Cantelme	David Felix	Bill Phillips	
Jim Case	Domela Finnissey	Michelle Potts	
**Telephone			
Participant			

1. Call to Order, Roll Call and Opening Comments

Chair Campbell called the meeting to order at 10:01 AM.

Executive Director David Felix identified Members' technical staffs for recognition of their efforts with helping to keep the system running: Adam Baker – Tempe, Dave Collett – Peoria, Patrick Cutts – Tempe, Milan Dobras - Scottsdale, Eric Lawrence – Scottsdale, Steven Muhle – Scottsdale, Cy Otsuka – Glendale, Ron Parks – Chandler, Steven Powell – Scottsdale, Tim Ulery – Glendale.

Chair Campbell recognized the retirement of Jim Haner from Sun City Fire Department and Marc Walker from Chandler and thanked them for their

contributions and participation. Mike Thompson will take Jim Haner's place on the RWC Board.

2. Approval of RWC Board Meeting Minutes from January 23, 2014.

A <u>MOTION</u> was made by Brad Hartig and <u>SECONDED</u> by Bob Hansen to approve the RWC Board meeting minutes from January 23, 2014. <u>MOTION</u> <u>CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (16-0)</u>.

3. Approval of RWC CIP Funding Policy.

Executive Director David Felix addressed the Board on this Policy reminding them that when the RWC entered into the project to transition the infrastructure equipment to make the system TDMA and narrow band capable, it was desirable to create a way for the funding for the project to be consistent over the life of the project; in this case it's over a 3-year period. The purpose of this funding policy is to create a process for funding future projects in the same way using the same methodology. A working group developed a proposal that was reviewed by the Policy Working Group (PWG). The PWG altered it slightly to fit with the format structure of most of our policies and procedures.

Board member John Imig from Peoria noted that there was a discrepancy with regard to paragraph 7.4 which appears to be the same as paragraph 6.5. Mr. Felix noted that this paragraph should fall under "supporting rules" not "responsibilities" so 7.4 should be deleted.

A <u>MOTION</u> was made by John Imig to strike paragraph 7.4 in the document and it was <u>SECONDED</u> by Chris DeChant. A <u>MOTION</u> was made by Steve Campbell and <u>SECONDED</u> by Brad Hartig to approve the RWC CIP Funding Policy with the noted change. <u>MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (16-0)</u>.

4. Approval to Set 2014/2015 Subscriber Unit Rate.

Accountant Kelli Butz presented the proposed 2014/2015 subscriber rate as a recap of what was presented in November 2013. Operations and Maintenance (O&M) and staffing were \$8.3M; subscribers on the system at that time were almost 18,000 with an estimated subscriber rate of \$38.63. The revised summary shows a slight decrease in O&M at \$8.2M due to a slight adjustment to Phoenix IT costs in the O&M budget to bring it in line with last year's actuals, and a new subscriber count of 17,795 (includes new members and some known decreases) which results in a slightly lower rate of \$38.29.

SUA II is at \$2.6M; Narrow-banding and TDMA Lifecycle Upgrade is at \$8.6M; minimum balance for Goodyear PD is \$13,000; resulting in a total budget for 2014/2015 of \$19.4M.

RWC Executive Committee recommends the Board of Directors approve the Subscriber Unit Rate of \$38.29. Information was presented regarding a request from a member to move the Narrow-banding assessment to October (from July based on budget schedules be different). Ms. Butz stated that due to a carryover from the Narrow-banding project into the 2014/2015 budget it would be possible to accommodate the request. The assessment for SUA II will be in October. Ms. Butz requested approval for RWC staff to bill RWC members.

Chief Campbell noted that he was impressed by a financial briefing that was presented by Ms. Butz at a Governance Working Group meeting earlier in the month detailing into where the dollars are being spent.

Mr. Brad Hartig commented that the reconciliation process is reconciled down to the last dollar and that this made him comfortable with the RWC's standing as well as with its financial model.

Chair Campbell asked for confirmation of what is being approved. Ms. Butz stated the only approval needed is for the subscriber rate. The other items were for information and are following what was done in the past.

Mr. Rob Sweeney asked if the Governance documents give the Executive Director and team discretion to adjust the schedules of billing for assessments. He suggested it be looked into whether the Executive Director and team have that discretion.

Mr. David Felix commented that this would be looked into and if any further action is required it can be brought to another Board meeting.

A <u>MOTION</u> was made by Rob Sweeney and <u>SECONDED</u> by Paul Wilson to approve the new Subscriber Unit Rate as presented. <u>MOTION CARRIED</u> UNANIMOUSLY (16-0).

5. Approval of Required Minimum Balance Rate.

Mr. David Felix noted that as the TRWC and RWC Governance documents is being studied line by line to merge these documents. During this review it surfaced that the Required Minimum Balance Rate as per 4.2.1.4.10f the RWC Governance document, has not been maintained since the beginning of the Governance. The RWC Governance document states that an Operating Fund Balance (or contingency fund balance) of \$12.5% of the yearly O&M budget is to be maintained. New members have been charged their portion of this when joining the RWC, but no adjustments after that have been made to maintain the balance. For this reason it is being brought before the Board to determine best practices and to make some recommendations on how to maintain this balance in the future. The documents do allow for the Board to adjust that balance up or down each year so a recommendation will be made to the Board each year to address this balance in the future.

Ms. Kelli Butz stated that since the Required Minimum Balance was established in 2010 it has not been adjusted to the annual 12.5% as required. 12.5% of 2014/2015 budget would be approximately \$1M. The current balance is \$710,000 which is 8.7% leaving a deficit of about \$310,000. There are a few options to correct the balance: 1) since budgets have been set for 2014/2015 a special assessment could be taken in the next fiscal year at which time the deficit would be \$326,000, 2) the Board could adjust the rate up or down, or 3) the annual year end settlement at the end of this year could be used to correct the balance instead of credits back to members.

Research on best practices shows that: 1) GFOA recommends 16% of regular O&M or two months of expenditures, and 2) Standard and Poor's shows 8.7% is a good standing. The Executive Committee recommends using the year end settlement to correct the Required Minimum Balance deficit, but if the settlement is not sufficient then the committee recommends reducing the minimum balance rate to 8.7% of total O&M budget.

Mr. David Felix stated that it is unknown how this rate was established but possibly it was by using a similar analysis. It is believed there is going to be a sufficient amount at the year-end settlement to correct the deficit.

A recommendation was made by another Board member that this issue is looked at during the merging of the TRWC and RWC documents and make certain it is addressed.

Mr. Rob Sweeney asked if the 12.5% balance has ever been achieved or has it been a goal to get there. He concurs with the staff recommendation to use the year-end settlement to get to the 12.5%.

Ms. Kelli Butz does not see that it has ever reached the 12.5% balance.

Mr. Rob Sweeney asked if we apply the year end settlement if we would reach the 12.5%. Ms. Kelli Butz stated that it would be sufficient to achieve that balance.

A <u>MOTION</u> was made by Rob Sweeney and <u>SECONDED</u> by Chris DeChant to approve the recommendation of the Executive Committee to apply the annual year-end settlement to acquire the Required Minimum Balance Rate of 12.5%. <u>MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (16-0)</u>.

6. Governance Working Group Update

Vice Chair Brad Hartig noted that significant progress is continuing on merging the Governance documents. Review has been completed on both the TRWC and RWC documents and the two documents have been merged into one document. The new document is being referred to as the Regional

Communication Authority which is only a speaking term that can be changed later.

There is an outside legal entity working with us now to review the document to ensure that legal issues are being covered. As was mentioned earlier by Chair Campbell, the Governance Working Group has had an overview of the RWC financial model and feel very solid with how the finances associated with the RWC are being handled. The group is awaiting the same type of financial model overview from the TRWC to merge best practices from both organizations and come up with a budget/finance process that will support the new organization.

At the last joint board meeting there was a discussion on expanding the Governance Working Group to give more diversity and thought. It was stressed by the Governance Working Group members at that time, that adding new participants did not make sense since the group was half way through merging the documents. Trying to bring someone up to speed now would slow down the progress of the group. Now that a rough draft has been completed it is a good time to add members and the Governance Working Group recommends adding one member each from the RWC and the TRWC. There is a very significant commitment of time needed and this needs to be stressed to any potential new members.

The timeline indicates that budget/finance reviews are scheduled for June of 2014; legal review is ongoing; presentation to joint boards will be in September 2014; with ratification in November 2014. The timeline is aggressive but significant progress has been made and the group is confident that all of these dates are achievable.

Executive Director David Felix pointed out that the current RWC representation of members in the Governance Working Group consists of Brad Hartig with a high level technical point of view, himself and Chair Campbell with a Law Enforcement point of view. Representation from the TRWC consists of two Fire Chiefs and then also the TRWC and RWC Executive Directors. So the RWC is leaning toward adding an Assistant City Manager or Fire representative. The TRWC is strongly leaning toward adding a law enforcement representative and has possibly identified someone at an Assistant Chief level from Mesa. On the RWC side there has been good representation and continuity with communication in the event someone was absent from the meeting.

Chair Campbell noted that the Mesa Assistant Chief has been designated for the TRWC. The RWC then would be asking for an Assistant City Manager type but would consider other volunteers. He reiterated the time intensiveness of being on this committee and asked if there is anyone that would like to participate. No volunteers stepped forward.

Chief Campbell added that there are a lot of time elements after the completion of the governing documents that the group has no control over. New IGAs will have to be redeveloped and reviewed by individual municipalities, so the actual date of the two systems coming together is yet to be determined. Once

documents are agreed upon there are a lot of other technical processes behind the scenes that will have to be done.

Mr. Hartig stated that after the Governance Working Group brings forth the merged document, there will be a lot of additional work needing to be done. The question is if there will be others taking this over at that point or if this group will continue.

No action was taken.

7. <u>Late Fees</u>

Mr. David Felix stated that this has not been an issue for the RWC in the past. The RWC works very closely with members and whenever a payment has been late the issue has been resolved quickly and all payments are currently up to date.

Through this discussion and in reviewing the RWC Governance document, it is noted that "a late payment charge will be assessed at the rate of one percent (1%) per month for all payments received late". This has not been strictly enforced in the past, and it does not appear that the Governance or Board has any options to change this. It is therefore, being brought to everyone's attention that the RWC will be invoicing the member this late fee for payments past 45 days of the invoice. Retroactive charges will not be made, but it is the RWC's intention to begin exercising this process immediately.

8. **Project Updates:**

Mr. Bill Phillips provided the following updates:

A. 700 MHz Narrow-Banding, TDMA, Lifecycle Hardware Phase
The capacity on Simulcast F has been increased by two channels to allow
moving traffic off of Simulcast C so it can be upgraded. The changing out of
antennas at the South Mountain overlay site has been done. The City of
Maricopa is testing this site and everything looks good after two days of
testing. Chandler discovered that the coverage in east Chandler was not
sufficient without Simulcast C so Motorola developed a way to keep Simulcast
C partially active by keeping 6 channels up at the Pecos site. The plan is to
shut Simulcast C off sometime in April and start upgrading it to the new 700
MHz frequencies and the new GTR hardware.

Executive Director Felix asked for a clarification of what the footprint is geographically for Simulcast C.

Mr. Phillips replied that it covers Chandler, Tempe and Ahwatukee areas. Tempe is primarily on Simulcast F and that is where everyone else is being moved.

There are two change orders forthcoming. For the first change order, there are not as many tower top spares needing to be replaced so that cost may be cut in half of the original estimate. For the second change order, the Hamilton site does not need new equipment to keep the site active, but may get new equipment anyway, which will also serve long term. This equipment will pose a minimum charge.

Chair Campbell asked how long the upgrade to Simulcast C will take. Mr. Phillips replied it is supposed to complete in November 2014.

B. 800 MHz Re-Banding

The cost proposal has been received from Motorola. The RWC's cost proposal and that of the consultant Buford Goff, are being adjusted to meet Motorola's timeframe and meeting schedule, etc. Final proposal will be turned in to the Transition Administrator on April 4. Right now the cost estimate if for re-banding is just over \$13M. Sprint Nextel will likely work to get that amount down but the proposal is solid.

A question was asked about what the timeline looks like after the proposal is submitted to the Transition Administrator. Mr. Phillips noted that the Transition Administrator has roughly 30 days to review the proposal and offer a counter proposal at which time negotiations will begin. If an agreement is not reached within 2 to 3 months it would go to mediation. There should be a settlement by the end of the calendar year.

C. Avondale Console Upgrade

Connectivity is the main concern at this time. The leased T1 line is in place which is used for back up connectivity and the team is working to get the microwave connectivity through the Cashion site. Initially Avondale was going to look at getting an antenna on the Cashion site but it was more beneficial to do this through the existing RWC lease as there is no cost to amend the lease to include this. Work continues on coordinating the configuration of the consoles and getting the primary microwave connectivity in place.

D. Goodyear Police Integration onto RWC Goodyear PD has a similar situation as Avondale so everything mentioned above with regard to the Cashion lease for Avondale is the same for

Goodyear and there will be no charge to add them to the RWC lease. Back

room equipment and consoles are being installed, leased T1 line is in place, and connectivity still remains the main concern.

E. Maricopa County Community College District

Furniture and console installations started last week, and work is being coordinated to get microwave connectivity in place from the dispatch center up to Belleview. Radios have been handed out and radio programming training is taking place. The target date to come online in the RWC is April 25th.

F. Maricopa Site

This is a City of Maricopa project only; Maricopa County has indicated this site will not serve their needs and thus they will not be sharing the site. A site walk has taken place and a proposal is expected from Motorola. The facility is on City of Maricopa property but the initial coverage study with Google coordinates were not in line with where the actual facility is so that coverage is being redone. Once the cost proposal is received the City of Maricopa can make a decision about moving forward.

G. Paradise Valley

There are some site issues here but the County will be sharing a site with Paradise Valley. However, Paradise Valley wants to change the IGA so they are the owners of the site. The two sites being considered are the Highland site in Paradise Valley or the Clearwater site in the County.

H. Scottsdale Coverage (Northwest)

Another site is being looked at to add into Simulcast H which will go into the RWC site at Dove Valley. A site walk has been done and Motorola is in the process of preparing a cost estimate. When the cost estimate is received Scottsdale can make a decision on moving forward.

Executive Director Felix added that with regard to the narrow banding project; while briefing Glendale Police and Fire officials on the budget, a question arose about the status of the FCC mandate. He has continued his efforts to contact FCC staff for updates but has not received a reply from them so there is nothing new to report. He thanked Cy Otsuka for facilitating the Glendale meeting and said the RWC would be happy to brief any other Members on the budget.

9. Executive Director Report

Executive Director Felix made the following updates:

A. ASU Membership Update

The RWC met with ASU's Chief about becoming a member. A technical review was completed to determine the most cost effective way to bring them onto the network and Motorola is preparing a cost proposal. Another meeting will take place with technical and operational representatives from Tempe, Motorola, Creative Communications, ASU and the RWC to discuss the operational benefits for joining the RWC in addition to cost factors. A briefing from the operational and technical review will be prepared and presented to the Chief.

Chair Campbell asked if ASU has looked at how many talkgroups they will be using on the system. Mr. Felix said yes and that they have an established talkgroup profile of what they are using today. It appears that we could bring them onto the system without any significant impact on capacity.

B. Arizona Public Safety Communications Commission
The Arizona Public Safety Communication Commission (PSCC) is sun
setting. The Commission has been focused on regional interoperability and
looking at how to best interoperate with the resources they have. Hearings at
the legislature and governor's office have resulted in an agreement to not
fund the commission or the work they are doing. It does not appear that this
will have much of an impact on the RWC but it was thought at one time that
the RWC could eventually work with the PSCC to develop a state-wide
system which would have been beneficial to the State and the RWC through
the mutual use of resources. The Arizona Department of Administration is
disbursing the responsibilities of the commission.

Chair Campbell added that he has served on this PSCC for approximately three years and one of the things that the Commission was looking toward creating was a greater connectivity of interoperability not only in the Valley but north and south. Yuma has a very good system that branches all the way up to the Colorado River. One thing that was important at the beginning was the mountain areas like Flagstaff and Prescott and the challenges there. It is unfortunate that the commission is sun setting but hopefully opportunities will come up for other agencies to step up to take on this role.

Mr. Felix commented that the RWC looked to the PSCC as a support group, but there have been two other regional system administrator forums with the RWC, TRWC, Yuma, Pima County, Maricopa County, Flagstaff and DPS to discuss our region and opportunities to share. This group is taking on the issue of re-banding the AIRSW channels which are state-wide interoperability channels so it could be that this group may fill some of the void left by the PSCC's sun setting.

C. Motorola Scorecard

On March 6 the RWC and OWG met with Motorola management about the 1st Quarter goals, metrics and evaluation performance. Some things discussed were Regional Goals and under this category is technology road maps and

cost predictions that Motorola is to provide, 800 MHz re-banding and assistance with that, life cycle updates and 700 MHz project, fire support issues, and implementation of projects. Under the major category of Critical Issues, are the 7.11 software implementation, console voice processing module issues, Fire portable radio case cracking, and general problem solving for the RWC. Under Service and Support are general customer service support, case handling, and product and feature enhancement requests.

Motorola has pledged to deal with issues where they fell short at this Scorecard review. None of the issues will impact public safety. The OWG was very upfront about their issues and the scorecard meetings have shown to be of value.

10. Call to the Public

None.

11. Announcements and Future Agenda Items

Chair Campbell stated that the next Board meeting is May 22, 2014, from 9:00-11:00 a.m.

12. Adjournment

Chair Campbell adjourned the meeting at 11:15 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Kim Engle, Management Assistant I

Sun City Fire District

James Haner Sun City Fire District 18602 N. 99th Ave Sun City, AZ 85373 February 20, 2014

David Felix
Executive Director
Regional Wireless Cooperative
City of Phoenix
200 W. Washington St 12th Floor
Phoenix, AZ 85003-1611

Dear David:

The time for me to retire from the fire service has arrived. The Sun City Fire District board of directors has approved my retirement and has appointed my interim replacement. They've asked me to stay on with the district in an advisory capacity to help transition the new leadership into their position(s). I anticipate leaving sometime this summer.

Effective immediately interim Fire Chief Mike Thompson will represent the Sun City Fire District on the RWC board. Mike has been with the Sun City Fire District for 37 years and most recently has managed our resource management division including our radio inventory. He is currently listed as our alternate board member and can be reached at thompson@suncityfire.com, 602-228-5333 (mobile), 623-974-2321 (office).

I've certainly enjoyed working with you, your fantastic staff and of course the other directors.

Sincerely,

Jim Haner

Sun City Fire District

cc: Steve Campbell, Chairman

Kim Engle

REGIONAL WIRELESS COOPERATIVE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

Regional Wireless Cooperative

No.

A-12.13

Subject:

Effective Date

Capital Improvement Project Funding Policy

XX/XX/XXX

1.0 Purpose

1.1. The purpose of this policy is to establish parameters for Regional Wireless Cooperative (RWC) Capital Improvement Program (CIP) projects. The policy sets the procedure for assessing total project costs to members, method of pro-rata funding by members and identification of members' multi-year funding commitments.

2.0 Owner

2.1. RWC Board of Directors.

3.0 Applies To

3.1. All RWC members.

4.0 Background

4.1. The Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Working Group was tasked with establishing a process to clearly identify required costs and funding, for a specified term, so members and their participating departments could budget CIP costs appropriately.

5.0 Policy Statement

5.1. RWC members will be assessed CIP project costs and special assessments that are as stable and fixed as possible. Special assessments will be based on a fixed radio count, or "snapshot" established at the beginning of the project.

6.0 Supporting Rules

- 6.1. The RWC Board of Directors, with recommendations from the RWC Executive Committee, will determine the point in time when an upcoming CIP project budget snapshot will be established.
- 6.2. CIP projects will be established for a specified term and will require an RWC Board of Directors formal resolution stating the purpose of the project, term of project, total cost of project and each RWC Member's fixed share of the project costs, programmed across the specified term.

- 6.3. The project must be adopted early enough to allow RWC Board Members sufficient time to seek appropriate funding authority from their respective jurisdiction and/or elected officials.
- 6.4. Based on the snapshot, the fixed cost, and the term of the project, an annual CIP rate for each year of the project will be established.
 - 6.4.1. The amount of funding may not be the same for each year of the project.
- 6.5. At the end of the CIP project term any excess funds shall either remain in the RWC CIP reserve fund for future projects, or, upon request, be returned to the Member(s) as a credit memo.
- 6.6. If a Member has the funds available earlier during the project period, a special assessment may be issued at that time for the Member.
- 6.7. Special assessments to a Member may not be increased except under the following circumstances:
 - 6.7.1. Special assessments will be added for any new Members who join during the term of the project.
 - 6.7.2. Special assessments for existing Members will be increased only if their radio counts increase more than 10%. For example, a Member adding its Police Department's radio count to the network would result in an additional special assessment.
- 6.8. Once a CIP project and the fixed special assessments are approved by the RWC Board of Directors, member agencies will not be entitled to any refund from a reduction of radio counts during the term of the project.

7.0 Responsibilities

- 7.1. The Administrative Managing Member will provide billable subscriber counts to establish the annual special assessments for the term of the project for each Member.
 - 7.1.1. The subscriber snapshot counts will be determined on a date established by the Board of Directors.
- 7.2. At fiscal year-end the Administrative Managing Member will reconcile subscriber counts.
 - 7.2.1. The budget for the remainder of the project for Members with an increase in subscriber counts of more than 10% will be calculated at this time.
 - 7.2.2. Changes to existing special assessments will be calculated based on the annual CIP rate, as described in Section 6.4 above, and the number of months those subscribers are on the system.
 - 7.2.2.1. Example: Agency Y joins the RWC as a member in the thirteenth month of a thirty-six month CIP project. The agency would be responsible for the remaining twenty-four months of annual CIP costs. These special assessments will result in an excess of capital funds once collected.
- 7.3. All special assessments, or changes to special assessments, must be approved by the Board.
- 7.4. At the end of the CIP project term any excess funds shall either remain in the RWC CIP reserve fund for future projects, or, upon request, be returned to the Member(s) as a credit memo.

CIP Funding Policy Page 2 of 3

8.0 Conditions for Exemption or Waiver

8.1. As provided in the Waiver or Exception Policy.

9.0 Applicable Policies and/or Procedures

9.1. As listed at www.rwcaz.org

CIP Funding Policy Page 3 of 3

Regional Wireless Cooperative BOARD OF DIRECTORS REPORT					
TO:	Regional Wireless Cooperative (RWC) Board Members Agenda Date: March 27, 2014				
FROM:	ROM: Kelli Butz, RWC Accountant III Item 4				
SUBJECT:	SUBJECT: RWC FISCAL YEAR 2014/15 SUBSCRIBER UNIT RATE				

On November 21, 2013, the RWC Board of Directors approved the budget for fiscal year (FY) 2014/15, with the understanding that the subscriber unit rate would be set in the spring of 2014. The purpose of this report is to present the FY2014/15 subscriber unit rate.

THE ISSUE

The subscriber unit rate is determined by dividing the staffing, operations, and maintenance budget by the estimated number of subscriber units to be on the network in FY2014/15. The rate for FY2014/15 has been calculated to be \$38.29, which represents a \$1.46 decrease from the current rate of \$39.75. The reasons for the rate reduction are as follows:

- A reduction in the staffing, operations and maintenance budget of \$8,314,930 to \$8,175,821
 - Cost reduction in Phoenix ITS portion of the RWC budget
 - An increase in the number of subscriber units on the network due to new members

Annual Staffing, O&M	\$ 8,175,821
Estimated Subscriber Units	17,795
Monthly Subscriber Rate	\$ 38.29

For planning purposes, the summary of the RWC's 2014/15 budget by member is reflected below. Members' distribution amounts for the 700MHz Narrow Banding, TDMA, and Lifecycle Upgrades are the subscriber counts and amounts approved by the Board on March 28, 2013, with the addition of new members.

RWC 2014/15 Budget Detail

			0114110	Narrow Banding,		
			SUAII System	TDMA, Lifecycle	Minimum	
Member		Staffing / O&M		Upgrade	Balance	Total Budget
Avondale	306	\$ 140,590	\$ 45,806	\$ 120,604	\$ -	\$ 307,000
Buckeye	269	123,591	40,267	87,836	-	251,694
Chandler	921	423,149	137,866	440,090	-	1,001,105
Daisy Mountain	6	2,757	898	2,276	-	5,931
El Mirage	94	43,188	14,071	37,319	-	94,579
Glendale	1,296	595,441	194,001	709,059	-	1,498,501
Goodyear	361	165,860	54,039	45,511	13,057	278,467
Guadalupe	10	4,594	1,497	5,006	-	11,098
Maricopa	148	67,998	22,154	62,805		152,957
Maricopa County Community College						
District	230	105,672	34,429	104,687		244,788
Paradise Valley	63	28,945	9,431	28,675	-	67,051
Peoria	902	414,419	135,022	408,687	-	958,129
Phoenix	9,586	4,404,238	1,434,947	4,937,014	-	10,776,200
Rural Metro	104	47,782	-	-	-	47,782
Scottsdale	1,392	639,547	208,371	633,966	-	1,481,884
Sun City Fire	45	20,675	6,736	19,115	-	46,526
Sun City West	38	17,459	5,688	17,294	-	40,440
Sun Lakes	30	13,783	4,491	13,653	-	31,927
Surprise	405	186,075	60,625	182,498	-	429,199
Tempe	1,558	715,815	233,220	719,071	-	1,668,106
Tolleson	31	14,243	4,640	13,198	-	32,081
Estimated Totals	17,795	\$ 8,175,821	\$ 2,648,200	\$ 8,588,364	\$ 13,057	\$19,425,443

RECOMMENDATION

The RWC Executive Committee recommends that the Board approve the FY 2014/15 subscriber unit rate in the amount of \$38.29.

Regional Wireless Cooperative BOARD OF DIRECTORS REPORT					
TO:	Regional Wireless Cooperative (RWC) Board Members Agenda Date: March 27, 2014			2014	
FROM:	FROM: Kelli Butz, RWC Accountant III Item 5				
SUBJECT: RWC REQUIRED MINIMUM BALANCE (RMB)					

Section 4.2.1.4.1. of the RWC governance states that members agree to establish and maintain an operating fund balance reserve equal to 12.5% of the yearly operations and maintenance (O&M) budget, and maintain a 12.5% balance annually thereafter. The Board of Directors shall approve any increase or decrease to the fund balance reserve.

THE ISSUE

The RWC RMB has not been adjusted annually to the 12.5% governance requirement since being established in 2010. Currently, the RMB is \$710,000 or 8.7% of the 2014 /15 O&M budget, leaving a \$310,000 deficit in the reserve fund balance.

OPTIONS

- Correct fund balance through an additional special assessment
- Approve increase or decrease in the rate
- Apply the deficit to the annual year-end settlement

BEST PRACTICES

- Government Finance Officers Association recommendations
 - 16% of regular operating revenues
 - 2 months of regular operating expenditures
- Standard & Poor's perspective

Low: Below 0%
 Adequate: 1% - 4%
 Good: 5% - 8%
 Strong: 8% - 15%
 Very Strong: Above 15%

RECOMMENDATION

The RWC Executive Committee recommends correcting the fund balance by applying the annual year-end settlement to the RMB deficit. If the year-end settlement is not sufficient, reduce the RMB to 8.7% of the total O&M budget.

	Regional Wireless Cooperative					
	BOARD OF DIRECTO	RS REPORT				
TO:	TO: Regional Wireless Cooperative (RWC) Board Members Agenda Date: March 27, 2014					
FROM:	FROM: David Felix, RWC Executive Director Brad Hartig, Scottsdale CIO and RWC Vice-chairman Steve Campbell, El Mirage Chief of Police and RWC Chair					
SUBJECT:	SUBJECT: RWC/TRWC GOVERNANCE WORKING GROUP UPDATE					

On October 4, 2012 during the second meeting of the Joint RWC/TRWC Strategic Planning session, the participants formed a Joint RWC/TRWC Governance Working Group (GWG) comprised of members representing the two regional communications systems. The vision of the GWG is: "To assure seamless radio and data communications to meet the operational needs of the users in a cost effective and sustainable manner."

The GWG has met approximately every two weeks since its formation. It established a vision, mission and guiding principles to focus its efforts on meeting the stated vision. The GWG has engaged in a detailed evaluation of the various governance options in use by a number of systems across the country. The GWG utilized a "decision-making matrix" to structure the evaluation process and build consensus.

THE ISSUE

RWC Executive Director David Felix, Vice-chair Brad Hartig and Chair Steve Campbell will brief the Board of Directors on the activities of the GWG since the last Board meeting. Activities include:

- Completion of a DRAFT joint RWC/TRWC merged governance agreement
- Beginning legal review
- · Beginning budgetary and financial briefings
 - o RWC Accountant Kelli Butz briefed GWG on Thursday, March 13, 2014
- Review GWG timeline and related activities

Additionally, based upon the listed activities, the GWG recommends assigning additional membership to the GWG. After discussions with TRWC Chair John Kross, RWC Chair Campbell will brief the Board on his recommendation regarding these additional members.

RECOMMENDATION

This agenda item is for information, discussion and possible action.

Regional Wireless Cooperative BOARD OF DIRECTORS REPORT					
TO:	TO: Regional Wireless Cooperative (RWC) Board Members Agenda Date: March 27, 2014				
FROM: David Felix, RWC Executive Director Kelli Butz, RWC Accountant				Item 7	
SUBJECT:	LATE FEES				

The RWC Governance requires that all Members will advance funds to the Administrative Managing Member (City of Phoenix) on a quarterly basis upon receipt of an invoice. Payment of all invoices is due forty-five (45) days from the invoice date. Further, the RWC Governance sets forth a **late payment charge** in cases where a payment is not received within the 45 day window.

THE ISSUE

Until recently, RWC Members have met their financial obligations within or in close proximity to the required 45 day payment period spelled out in the RWC Governance. The RWC staff works closely with Members when it appears the Member may be close to or actually exceeded the 45 day requirement. In all cases, by working with the Board Member representative and/or their finance department point-of-contact, payments have been received within a reasonable period of time past the technical "due date".

The RWC Governance requires that "...a late payment charge will be assessed at the rate of one percent (1%) per month for all payments received late..." Since the RWC was established, no member has been assessed a late fee.

The primary purpose for this information is to inform the Board of this Governance requirement and that, effective immediately – RWC Members will be invoiced for late fees when receipt of payments exceed the 45 day due date.

RECOMMENDATION

This agenda item is for information, discussion and possible follow-up action.

Regional Wireless Cooperative BOARD OF DIRECTORS REPORT					
TO:	Regional Wireless Cooperative (RWC) Board Members Agenda Date: March 27, 2014				
FROM: Bill Phillips, Phoenix ITS Item				Item 8	
SUBJECT: RWC PROJECT UPDATES					

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to inform the RWC Board of the status of the various projects affecting the RWC Members.

BACKGROUND

There are many projects currently ongoing that affect the RWC Members. Status reports are given at key times on the more critical projects as necessary. Occasionally the Board has also been given more general updates on these ongoing projects. This is a general report providing a quick, dot-point update on each project.

DISCUSSION

- 1) 700 MHz Narrow-Banding, TDMA, Lifecycle Hardware Phase
 - Simulcast F increased by 2 channels
 - Simulcast C traffic nearly all transferred to F
 - South Mt Overlay Site antennas are being changed to boost coverage in Maricopa, testing to be completed in early April
 - Coverage in Chandler not adequate without Pecos site (Hamilton); Motorola developed a proposal to keep Pecos site partially on-line to provide coverage
 - Simulcast C will be turned off in late April pending the results of coverage testing
 - Assessing two Change Orders
 - Update TTA spares ~\$100 K to \$120 K
 - Hamilton (Pecos) CO should be minimal or no cost
 - Change orders within authorized budget contingency

2) 800 MHz Re-Banding

- Motorola proposal received is being reviewed
- RWC and BGA adjusting their respective costs to match timing, project plan, testing, etc of Motorola proposal

Final proposal to Transition Administrator due on April 4th.

3) Avondale Console Upgrade

- Connectivity is main concern
- Leased T1 in place
- Microwave connectivity through Cashion pending Crown approval of lease
 - RWC handling lease by amending existing lease
 - Crown is expediting, providing very timely actions so far
 - Benefit of leasing through RWC will be no increase in lease cost
- Team coordinating connectivity schedule and integration into RWC network
- Anticipated completion pending connectivity

4) Goodyear Police Integration onto RWC

- Back room equipment installed
- Consoles now being installed
- Connectivity is main concern
 - Leased T1 is installed
 - Microwave connectivity through Cashion pending Crown approval of lease
 - RWC handling lease by amending existing lease as per Avondale, also no cost increase
- Team coordinating connectivity schedule and integration into RWC network
- Anticipated completion pending connectivity

5) Maricopa County Community College District

- Furniture/console installations started last week
- Microwave installation being coordinated with Wireless Services
- Radio programming, training, and distribution underway; users on the network without central dispatch
- Team coordinating connectivity schedule and integration into RWC network
- Target April 25th for project completion

6) City of Maricopa Site

- Not yet a formal project
- Investigating the addition of a site in Maricopa to provide better in-building coverage
- Site walk conducted on March 13th
 - Google coordinates incorrect; must redo coverage prediction
- Motorola to develop cost proposal to add new site

7) Paradise Valley

- PV negotiating with County on site sharing; PV likely to insist on site ownership due to sensitivity of site issues in PV
- RWC assisting with site sharing language and site requirements

8) Scottsdale Coverage (Northwest)

- Not yet a formal project
- Scottsdale and RWC requesting Motorola cost estimate to add Simulcast H site at Dove Valley
- Site walk conducted on March 5th

RECOMMENDATION

This agenda item is for information, and discussion.

Regional Wireless Cooperative BOARD OF DIRECTORS REPORT				
BOARD OF DIRECTORS REPORT				
TO:	Regional Wireless Cooperative (RWC) Board Members	Agenda Date:	March 27, 2014	
FROM:	David Felix, RWC Executive Director			Item 9
SUBJECT:	CT: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT			

The Executive Director will provide an update to the Board of Directors regarding the following issues:

A. ASU Membership Update:

RWC and ITS staff and Motorola are developing a proposal for ASU Police Department (PD) to consider RWC membership. On Friday, March 28th, RWC staff and representatives from RWC Member fire and police personnel will be meeting with ASU PD to discuss the operational benefits of RWC membership. This information will be combined with the technical and budgetary proposals for presentation to ASU PD.

B. Sunset of the Arizona Public Safety Communications Advisory Commission:

In a joint agreement between the Arizona state legislature and the Governor's Office, funding for the Arizona Public Safety Communications Advisory Commission (PSCC) and its supporting Public Safety Interoperability Communications (PSIC) office will be discontinued (sunset) effective June 30, 2014. The PSIC office is working with various public safety stakeholders to reassign their various tasks to other state agencies or departments. The RWC and other regional system administrators will be regularly briefed on this progress.

C. Motorola Scorecard:

On Thursday, March 6, 2014, the RWC and its Operations Working Group met with Motorola management to discuss first quarter goals, metrics and evaluate performance. Being the first meeting of the calendar year, there was lengthy, detailed discussion regarding a number of issues – some of which Motorola agreed they fell short of expectations. Motorola committed to conduct timely

follow-up, meet established metric requirements and report progress. Scorecard attributes/goals include:

- Regional Goals
 - Technology roadmaps and cost predictions
 - o 800 MHz rebanding
 - o Lifecycle Upgrades & 700 MHz project
 - Fire support
 - o Implementation of projects
- Critical Issues
 - o 7.11 software version implementation
 - o VPM issues
 - o Fire portable radios case cracking
 - o Problem solving
- Service and Support
 - Customer service support
 - Case handling
 - o Product and feature enhancement requests