

ACTA 2024 CLINICAL TRIALS AND REGISTRIES SYMPOSIUM

Effective, together

2-4 DECEMBER 2024
PULLMAN MELBOURNE
ALBERT PARK



Eligibility Criteria

- Each abstract must relate to **one (or more than one) clinical registry, defined** as a multisite collection of prospective clinical data. A registry can be independent or government-held and should be clearly identified in the abstract. Hospitals' or other stakeholders' experience of participating in a clinical registry are acceptable. Abstracts could include academic research on registries.
- The following project types will not be accepted;
 - Projects on activities that are not registries e.g. are trials without registry involvement.
 - Industry-led applications that provide 'research' on a particular technical/ database product.
- All the received abstracts will be scanned by senior committee members before they are sent to reviewers; to identify ineligible submissions- this will be discussed with the Organising committee, so they are not sent for further.

2024 Abstract Evaluation Criteria

1. **Evidence of collaboration/partnership in the project** - This is to align with the conference theme 'Effective together' and could be clinical, consumer, not-for-profit /community organisations, government, or industry collaboration - lots of examples.
2. **Clearly articulated aim/hypothesis and methods/approach** - The abstract template is set out with aims/methods/results/conclusion so the project should provide clear relevant information in each of these sections. It is expected that the abstract is written in accordance with academic writing standards, and has been reviewed by all authors listed.
3. **Findings/results and implication or translation of findings** - The abstract should present some results from the project. It may be interim results from an ongoing piece of work. The abstract should touch on potential implications and translation potential/implementation.
4. **Project aims to innovate in a way that advances registry science** - The registry project/presentation has a component that aims to evolve and advance current CQR practice e.g. technical, operational, collaborative, sustainability.
5. **Relevance/lessons for other registries** - This criteria relates to the relevance of the project/methods/findings to the target audience i.e. how relevant are the key takeaway messages from the project/presentation. This is not so much about innovation but are the project concepts and issues of relevance to many registries.