

🛲 File	Viewport Help								
Input									
Job De	escription: Gas Carburi	ization process design versi	on 5_1a						
Analys		Process Design					gca	arb_design5_	1.OUT
Analys	sis Type	Process Design	*		0.80 -				
	Material	Geometry	Process						
	Controls		Reset		0.75 -	-			
				^	0.70 -		\backslash		
Furr	nace Round Up Time (s):	5.0							
Effe	Effective Case Depth (ECD)(mm): 1.5				0.65 -				
Carl	Carbon (%) at ECD: 0.45				(%)				
Nhum	Number of Carburization Stope				မြ 0.60 - ရ				
Num	Number of Carbunzation Steps: 2				Cal				
	Add Row D	el Row Load Da	ta Export		0.55 -				
	Step Time (s)	Temperature (C)	Carbon Potential (%)		0.50				
1	20000.00	900	.95		0.50 -				
2	TBD	875.00	0.80		0.45				
		0,0100			0.45 -				
					0.40 -				
					0.40				
						0.0	0.5 1.0	1.5 Depth (mm)	2.0
								2 april ()	
				~		₽ α ₹	± 💌 🦷		
	Analyze	Model Log	Plot		Settings	Message	Results	Autoscale Or	n 🔘 Grid On 🌘



Washkewicz College of Engineering

Strong Bar Competition Brian Kohut, Jason Meyer, Charlie Li - Advisor



The full bar geometry was designed in Ansys SpaceClaim and meshed with finer elements near the surface to capture steep thermal and carbon gradients.

Mesh consists of: 15, 960 Nodes 35,431 Elements

Several preliminary models were executed to determine the best heat treatment process. The decision was made to include a carburization step to the process to take advantage of the increased material properties that a higher carbon level could provide in bending.

A case depth of 1.5mm (0.060") was chosen, as 0.5mm (0.0197") was to be machined off of the bar, circumferentially.

A carburization schedule was designed using DANTE GCarb, and the carburization times and potentials were added to the simulation.

Unfortunately, Cleveland State University does not have carburization capabilities, nor an atmosphere-controlled furnace. The decision was made to reach out to a local heat treatment company to execute the process described below:

• Gas carburization to the targeted case depth (1.5mm, 0.060") Normalize in a vacuum furnace after carburization

• Reaustenitize in a salt pot at 850°C (1560°F)

Intensive water quench

• Immediate temper at 165°C (330 °F)

These process steps were applied to the Ansys Transient Thermal and Static Structural (Stress) models. The models were executed with the DANTE subroutine and with the carbon profile generated previously. The resulting contours for carbon weight fraction, Martensite, retained Austenite, tempered Martensite and Hardness (HRC) can be viewed to the left, right, and below, respectively.













Metallography

The metallography was performed in the lab at Surface Microstructure Cleveland State University. First, a bar was chosen to be cut cross-sectionally with the diamond disc cutter. After the section was cut, the sample was polished using increasing grit sandpaper and finally polished with $4\mu m$ diamond paste and a polishing pad.

After polishing, hardness measurements were taken using the Vickers hardness tester at CSU. Ten measurements were taken from surface to core, at approximately 0.3mm distance between each, providing the hardness profile to the left. The overall location of each measurement is pictured in black and white to the upper right and in color below the profile 🎑 to the left.

The carbon case is clearly shown in the color micrograph to the bottom left. This darker color can be seen from the surface to just after the sixth hardness measurement, confirming the penetration depth is just over 1.5mm (0.060").

The stepped micrograph to the right shows increasing magnification from top to bottom of the surface microstructure. From the etched sample we can clearly see tempered Martensite (dark), small carbides (black) and retained Austenite (white) present at the surface of the bar.

The micrographs for the core section can be viewed to the top left. The core continues to show predominantly tempered Martensite (dark), retained Austenite (white) and some very small carbides or oxides (black).



