

UNIVERSITY of HOUSTON

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION REPORTS

Administered By: Measurement and Evaluation Center

CONRAD N. HILTON COLLEGE OF GLOBAL HOSPITALITY LEADERSHIP**Fall 2021****Instructor: Ramirez, Arlene D****Instruction Mode: Face-to-Face****HRMA4370-26641****Project Dev & Mgmt in Hosp Ind****Section 1 Instructor Evaluation**

	Relative Frequency Distribution of Response					N	Mean 1	Mean 1	Mean 3
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree				
1) The instructor demonstrated appropriate expertise in the subject matter	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	4	4.25	4.71	4.72
2) The instructor outlined the objectives(s) for each class session	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	4	4.25	4.69	4.71
3) The instructor communicated why the content of this class was important	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	4.5	4.66	4.68
4) The instructor presented the content in a clear manner	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	4	4.25	4.6	4.61
5) The instructor demonstrated a commitment to student learning	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	5	4.67	4.69
6) The instructor effectively engaged students in the learning process	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	4.75	4.59	4.61
7) The instructor challenged me to do my best work in this class	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	4.5	4.54	4.56
8) The instructor was available for assistance (e.g., email, office hours, appointments)	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	5	4.66	4.68
9) The instructor communicated well with students	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	5	4.62	4.64
10) Given a choice, I would take another class from this instructor	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	4	4.5	4.53	4.55
							4.6	4.63	4.64

Section 2 Course Evaluation

	Relative Frequency Distribution of Response					N	Mean 1	Mean 1	Mean 3
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree				
11) The grading practices were clear	50.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	4	3.75	4.63	4.64
12) Assignments were graded in a timely manner	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	5	4.66	4.67
13) The instructor provided constructive feedback	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	5	4.55	4.57
14) The pace of this class was appropriate given the subject matter	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	4.5	4.63	4.64
							4.56	4.62	4.63

Section 3 Common University-Wide Items

	Relative Frequency Distribution of Response					N	Mean 1	Mean 2	Mean 3
	Outstanding	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Poor				
15) The overall teaching effectiveness of this instructor is	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	4	4.25	4.51	4.53
16) The overall quality of this course is	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	4	4	4.48	4.5
17) This instructors availability for individual assistance is	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	5	4.57	4.59
18) This instructors demonstration of respect for students is	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	5	4.67	4.68

Section 4 Student Information

	Fresh		Soph.		Junior		Senior		PB		Grad./Prof	
19) What is your classification?	0.0		0.0		0.0		100.0		0.0		0.0	
	0 to 1		1.01 to 2		2.01 to 3		3.01 to 4				N/A	
20) My cumulative GPA is:	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0				100.0	
	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F
21) Final Grade I expect to receive in this course is:	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Yes								No			
22) Is this a course in your major?	100.0								0.0			
	Yes								No			
23) Is this a course an elective?	25.0								75.0			
	0		1-2		3-4		5-6				> 6	
24) Number of classes missed	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0				0.0	

Section 5 Students' Comments

Please include your comments about the class discussions, readings, papers or projects, examinations or teaching style

1) -I wished there were clearer requirements for assignments. -I would have liked more incorporation from data metrics class. -Leadership content was very similar to required Leadership class (HRMA 4353) -I liked the assignment to take the personality test

2) Fantastic course, however I felt that at times I didn't know where the course was going.. I didn't participate as much as I wanted to. The homeworks were not my style and the organization of the course was different from other courses I've taken - not worse by any means just different, which was a bit confusing.

- Mean 1 is the mean for this instructor for the question on the corresponding row.
- Mean 2 is the overall mean for this question calculated over all graduate or undergraduate evaluations processed this semester. If the course number is less than 5000, Mean 2 is an overall undergraduate mean. Otherwise, it is the overall graduate mean.
- Mean 3 is the overall mean for this question calculated over all graduate and undergraduate evaluations processed this semester.
- NA (Not Applicable) means Not included in mean.