

The First Global President of the World's



Russia-Ukraine Peace Plan.

07 March 2023

David Gomadza

Tomorrow's World Order

The First Global
President of the
World's Russia-Ukraine
Peace Plan.
07 March 2023.

David Gomadza
First President of the World

Copyright©David Gomadza
2023

To justice and Peace.

**The First Global President of the World's Russia-Ukraine Peace Plan.
07 March 2023.**

Understanding the stage of development we are in is the key to developing a genuine Russia-Ukraine peace plan or any conflict's peace plan.

I argued at length in Tomorrow's World Order that the global problems we are having are a result of how developed humanity is and how evolved we are as humans. There are stages of development and as far as humanity is concerned, we are still in stage two of the development stages. In this stage, defense plays a key role, as it is the driver of the economy. Defense is the one to rejuvenate stagnant economies. Defense helps fight inflation. Defense helps governments to be reelected. Do you know no US president failed to be re-elected in wartimes. The very reason why wars generally coincide with election years.

But the only way defense can be a driver of the economy is through wars. Instead of using technology etc. to drive the economy, governments around the world, especially the West, which considers itself the global leader, recreate history associated with wars and use that script to trigger wars where they can replay the war event by event and date by date. To be able to predict the future and control the economy.

Since we are in stage two of the development stage, defense is like a parasite that takes all resources without giving anything back. After the cycle of war, stockpiles of weapons will mean stagnant economies as financial resources are trapped in weapons without any offloading through wars. Inflation will have increased to its highest in twenty years, coinciding with the cycle of wars. The need for a system-pressure-release happens every twenty years, resulting in wars. This kickstarts the cycle again as, after the war, the need to manufacture weapons increases to refill the emptied stockpiles. This is the reason why wars happen; to create a pressure leak just before the whole system bursts and collapses.

The West, as the leader of the world, finds itself trapped in a limbo where they funnel financial resources to defense at the expense of other areas. This means huge stockpiles of weapons without chances of offloading these. Markets for weapons slow down as they become saturated, but more so because of peace or a sense of security as humans evolved to networking and cooperation. Sworn enemies like the USA and North Korea slowly become friends or on talking terms. This increases the pressure, as a system requires inputs, outputs, and feedback. The defense lacks functions provided by other sectors, like technology, where they create value to be utilized by the system.

Mankind has been stuck in the defensive stage of development firstly because this is the easiest stage. Mankind simply needs to make weapons with the few resources it has, as the affordability of resources is a problem. Mankind can't afford to buy scarce resources like oil at market prices. So, he simply makes weapons cheaply and uses the weapons to get all the resources he can't afford at gunpoint, through wars, sanctions, and all kinds of tricks.

To recap, the economy is driven by weapons; weapons manufacturing kickstarts the recovery of the economy because defense receives a huge portion of the budget. After twenty years [Cycle of war 1918; 1945; 1960 cold war; 1980 Gulf war; 2003 Iraq war and now 2022 Russia Ukraine war] weapons stockpiles will mean stagnant economies globally as all economies are driven by weapons manufacturing and sales. But when there are no wars, inflation increases as defense does not give back anything the system can use to alleviate the effects of stagnant economies and higher inflation, etc.

The only solution to all economic problems is to trigger the kickstarter of the stagnant economy that is weapons manufacturing. But how can you achieve that as no one will be destroying weapons enough to need more in huge quantities?

Key points.

The economy is defense based. This is because currently, humanity is in stage two of the development stages. That also means to stop wars is to take humanity out of this defensive stage of development to the next stage that is the advanced technology, networking, and cooperation stage. That is stage three where technology is the driver of the economy.

Read about the development stages in Tomorrow's World Order.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Tomorrow_s_World_Order?id=VDauDwAAQBAJ&hl=en&gl=US

Humanity is stuck in limbo in stage two and has been stuck in this stage for centuries and seems not to shift away and move to the next stage of development. Mainly because now, it is the so-called global leaders in the West that are now holding humanity back.

The West for decades has assumed the position of a global leader and controls the economy through wars and sanctions as a way to shift resources from one region of the world to the other and mainly to boost their economy.

Recently we have seen global stagnant economies and high inflation. This has been compounded by the fact that most countries are starting to understand peace and yearning for global peace. The dilemma is that year after year the defense budget increases globally creating pressure and competition for resources.

Centuries ago, wars were a hint for a system change or a move to the next stage of development and wars were and are still vehicles for change to the next stage of development.

World war I and II were hints for a system change. The war traumas were meant to make humanity dig a small hole and spit in it and agree never to make weapons again and move to the next stage of development where technology plays a key role to drive the economy.

But after the second world war humanity blocked a natural way of crashing the system to be able to move to the next stage of development. Wars were like fuses that pointed to the need for a

system change. Every time a world war occurred it was a chance for mankind to move to the next stage of development.

So world wars were indicators of when to move to the next stage of development. After the second world war humanity established institutions that will forever keep mankind in stage two of development where defense plays a key role. These institutions were NATO, United Nations, IMF, World Bank, and their eastern counterparts.

These institutions made sure there would not be any war as before but unknowingly humanity has made itself stuck in the defensive stage of development forever as long as the institutions are there.

Wars are like fuses that break as the surge increases and when this happens that means a system collapse and the need for a new system. A chance to move to the next stage of development. This is because wars relieve pressure in the system and reshuffle resources to reduce the imbalance. Defense takes most of the resources leaving other areas stuffed creating an imbalance that can only be rectified through wars. This is because war means the destruction of all the weapons where all the money has gone. After the war, more weapons will be needed to replace the destroyed ones and demand for weapons will kickstart the process and rejuvenate the economy. Weapons manufacturing gets a huge boost and huge profits and this creates jobs, fights inflation, and helps governments as they can simply print new money and use this money in war-affected areas thereby creating value simply from printing money.

After 1945 humanity, mainly the West even if it was not intentionally removed the fuses of the system in wars and replaced these fuses with a wire that takes any power charge preventing a system crash [war]. Therefore one that makes sure that wars will not happen again. The wires mean no hint on when to move to the next stage of development as wars that could have happened to correct the system and point to mankind the time to shift to the next stage had been stopped. Establishment of institutions like NATO now part of the wires to avoid a system collapse means forever being stuck in stage two of the development stage. Above all, no system correction as wars are stopped, evidenced by the 1960 cold war.

The institutions stopped wars occurring since 1945 at such a scale but meant a timebomb. The danger is that the imbalance in the system will keep growing. Before NATO etc. if the West thought the East was powerful, they would fight into a world war and will use all the weapons and at the end of the war one side will find out who is stronger. That starts again the challenge to find out the strongest. This means the second World War II. In all these cases humanity was safe because, at the end of the day, all dangerous weapons will have been destroyed. Above all after the wars and witnessing the war's traumas and devastation all countries would come together as one and sign peace treaties. After wars was the best time to agree and move to the next stage of development agreeing to destroy all weapons and be friends and never to manufacture weapons again.

But the establishment of NATO etc. meant a lack of a pressure release-leak. Secondly, no side would know exactly what the other side is capable of doing. Before they would fight in world war I and II. But after 1945 the street fights to square up were removed.

The danger is that this has given all sides the ability to find ways of creating the most destructive weapons to outpace the opponents. This has triggered the rise of nuclear weapons.

To make things worse NATO, BRICS, CSTO, etc. create and fuel suspicion and mistrust that all sides increase nuclear weapons stockpile all aiming to be the number one. No surprise Russia and the USA hold the biggest nuclear arsenal.

The danger is that institutions like NATO remove dialogue or even action that for decades both sides stockpile without the chance to square up.

This is dangerous because tensions and hostilities increase over time. Minor friction will trigger the worst nuclear war mankind has ever seen.

This is our greatest concern hence our rise to the top.

We must control, influence, and mediate others for the sake of peace.

Now that you have been made aware of the background issues; now I deal with the critical path leading to the Russia-Ukraine war and our peace proposal.

I said that humanity is stuck in the defensive stage of development which I referred to as stage two. This stage to recap is where defense plays a vital role in every part of the system. Defense is the sole driver of the economy. Defense kickstarts the economy. Only defense can fight high inflation. Only defense rejuvenates the economy.

But in the past wars happened spontaneously but since 1945 humanity introduced institutions that prevent wars forever like NATO. This is true but like I said we are in stage two of the development stages where everything is powered by the wars. Yet humankind has put things in place to stop wars that power the system.

It is like humankind shooting itself in the foot to put a system that runs on wars then put institutions that stop the wars and expect the economy to do well. That is contradictory. Sounds stupid. But is it stupid?

No; the West that assumed the leader of the world role and title is not stupid. After realizing the mistake above of putting a system that runs on wars then putting institutions to stop wars. We believe it is indirectly [as a necessity] inducing wars to power the global economy.

Yes, the West is recreating history; triggering wars to drive the economy. To create a system of relief and restart the economy in the process of fighting global inflation the West is recreating history.

This is a fact. The West [UK and US] used 1665-1667 to trigger the pandemic and fight a proxy war in the Russia-Ukraine war. Event by event date by date. It cannot be a coincidence.

Read our Russia/Ukraine war prediction.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_A_Perfect_Prediction_Russia_Ukraine?id=PmaVEAAAQBAJ&hl=en&gl=US

To believe me and agree with our Russia-Ukraine peace deal you must understand why the Second Anglo-Dutch war was fought for. The script the West is using to fight a proxy war. See the above book. Read it first then proceed; currently it is a free download.

Read also the part that addresses the Russia-Ukraine war in my other book:
The Great Shift.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_THE_GREATSHIFT?id=KH2xEA-AAQBAJ

Second Anglo-Dutch war 1665-1667 4 March 1665 to 31 July 1667

The Second Anglo-Dutch War (4 March 1665 – 31 July 1667;was a conflict between England and the Dutch Republic partly for control over the seas and trade routes. England tried to end the Dutch domination of world trade during a period of intense European commercial rivalry, but also as a result of political tensions.

Wikipedia

A group of ambitious English politicians and naval officers frustrated diplomatic efforts to reach any accommodation between the parties.

The English aimed to take control of trade and grain trade from the Dutch.

The two books above explain these wars in detail.

We believe that the West wanted to take both Russia and Ukraine out of the grain and oil trade and substitute their commodities instead and offer their oil and grain to once Russia and Ukraine's oil and grain customers.

Hang on, why would the West send \$billion to Ukraine if they wanted to take out Ukraine as well? You might ask.

The West can swap trade incomes from their grains and oils which they sell to once Russian and Ukrainian customers with simply printed paper money. The money they print for cents. This money if it weren't for the war would be valueless as it will only trigger hyperinflation. But the war and huge demand for this in destroyed Ukraine gives it a value that cannot be matched elsewhere.

In the second Anglo-Dutch war the West [England] sabotaged the Dutch ships to put them out of business and take their trade customers. We also believe that the West sabotaged Nord Stream to take Russia's customers; the Europeans. This is exactly what happened. We had the West's administration after the Nord Stream attacks asking Europe to buy oil and gas from them.

How is the West doing this and fighting a proxy war?

We believe the West is fighting a proxy war, especially the UK and we know that all NATO members act cordially. One nation is tasked with a different role from the other but all work for the same goal. If Britain for example was never associated with Ukraine then we would say that our beliefs are ill-founded. But do you know Britain was involved with Ukraine as far as 2013 the beginning of the war? Do you know the West was involved as far as 2013 with Ukraine? Do you know that loans from the IMF and the World Bank can make a country lose its sovereignty? They might end up fighting the West's wars. It is a fact that Ukraine applied for IMF and World Bank loans in December 2013.

As you know the IMF and World Bank economic structural adjustment programs are associated with the culling of pensioners, and dependents and with the reduction of the salaries bill. That means culling soldiers as well. The IMF recommended that Ukraine cut its salaries bill and the pensioner's pot. But how can they reduce all this?

War is the fastest permanent solution.

Do you know that charity organizations in Ukraine concerned with Ukraine's debt to the West petitioned the IMF and World Bank to write off Ukraine's debt as a conflicted-affected state? One of their small print requirements. Then the war was restricted to the Donetsk and Luhansk regions so the writing-off of the loans was rejected. For the loans to be written off the war must be in the whole country and intensified.

We believe that the small print had not been fully explained thereby trapping Ukraine in a war they now cannot walk away from as Russia had destroyed infrastructure to such an extent that Ukraine will stick to the West to be able to rebuild.

This supports the view that the West is fighting a proxy war using the second Anglo-Dutch war script.

We also believe that the West is rationing weapons to stretch the war to **July 2024**. After one year and the enormous destruction of Ukraine's infrastructure now the West is in control. Now the West has relieved the pressure in the system if you recall at the beginning of this book. Where I argued that wars relieved the pressure to kickstart the economy again. Now Ukraine is acting as a dumping or destruction ground for weapons to create fresh demand. Do you remember the last year of 2022 in January when everyone in the USA and globally was predicting recessions, stagnant economies, and high inflation?

Now picture the economies of the USA etc. as compared to the predictions. Significant difference. The war has created fresh demands. Governments can simply print money and donate it but more importantly, they have shipped their weapons to Ukraine for destruction. This triggers demand in donating countries and this boosts the weapons manufacturing companies that benefit from profits above \$650 billion last year figure; thereby creating jobs etc. and helping the economy.

But how is the West doing this?

Using sanctions to make Russia do what they want. Russia is fighting an existential threat. NATO on their doorstep can mean the life and death of Russia. The West knew this and took advantage to make Russia play their war script of 1665-1667 in an If-Then scenario.

Every donation to Ukraine was accompanied by the destruction of infrastructure by Russia. The idea here is that the West knows that Russia wants Ukraine to be part of it. So, the Russians will increase the destruction of civilian infrastructure as a way to make the West donate even more money. So Russia would say to Ukraine we are helping you get donations in \$billions. Money which without the war Ukraine might never have had a chance to get.

In the process do what the West wants to be done.

The West is stretching the war by rationing weapons and refusing to create a no-fly zone. The West only supplies weapons when they want and when it suits them not according to Zelensky's demands.

Russia's ambitious goals as the reason for the war.

Russia can be fully responsible for the war for its expansionist reasons. We know Russia has invaded and attacked other nations for expansion reasons. Putin might want to be Peter the Great and reunify the former Soviet Union nations. All this has nothing to do with the West. The West is doing its best to make Ukraine win the war by supplying weapons.

But you must know that the West sanctioned Russia as far back as 2013. The West is the one frustrating negotiations and giving Ukraine the courage to fight a nuclear weapon country.

History points to us that the West is not honest about this. We know how Japan's obstinacy resulted in them dropping not one but two nuclear bombs. Encouraging a smaller non-nuclear country to fight a nuclear weapon country defies the norm but we believe that the West knows Russia relates to Ukraine and will never drop nuclear bombs on them.

Factors to consider.

Why would the West sabotage Nord Stream?

Why would the West encourage Ukraine to fight, meaning taking Ukraine out of the grain business?

Russia had agreed to a peace deal in April 2022, but the West encouraged Ukraine to fight, telling them that they could win the war.

Now Ukraine is believed to have signed a legal decree document making it refuse any peace talks as long as Putin is in power.

If the West were standing for freedom they could have dropped charges against Julian Assange as Assange is in a similar position as Ukraine. Ukraine was once a member of the Soviet Union. So for it revealing Soviet secrets to Russia's enemies in NATO can trigger the same resentments the West is feeling for Julian Assange. Note that Julian Assange only highlighted the West's atrocities and not to the West's enemies.

Our initial peace proposal was based on the fact that Russia is a nuclear state. Just like the West in the Japanese saga might be entitled to use tactical nuclear weapons to save their soldiers or cut the war short.

Russia and Ukraine's Peace Treaty.: TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Russia_and_Ukraine_s_Peace_Treaty?id=3Bd2EAAAQBAJ&hl=en&gl=US

It would be normal for Ukraine to bargain with Russia and lose some regions to Russia for a certain period. An example is Crimea [with sixty percent of the population being Russians] where Ukraine for years had no money to build a bridge to connect Crimea to the rest of Ukraine. Russia agreed to take over and build a bridge and after a certain period hand it over. The West does the same. Look at the Chagos case where the British took land and gave it to the USA on a long term lease.

Our point was to instead arrange a lease agreement with Russia for 5 to 10 years where Russia calls the land theirs and pays lease fees then hands over the land after a certain period.

We aimed to avoid a nuclear war.

Secondly, we believe that in the end, Ukraine will agree to the same deal only after thousands of civilians are dead. The calls by the West that Ukraine will win are only to give Ukraine a better bargaining position but, in the end, settle for the same deal.

We asked what the real motives of the West were. Do you know that the West is like the Wagner group or the A-Team? The gangster enforcing unit where loans offered by the IMF and World Bank are to be protected at any cost. This means the worst and cruel form of culling; children, women, soldiers, and pensioners.

Read my book on culling.

Culling: The Sad Reality of The IMF and World Bank Loans as Triggers of Wars.: Finding Solutions To The Russian-Ukraine War.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Culling_The_Sad_Reality_of_The_IMF_a?id=mMluEAAAQBAJ&hl=en&gl=US

The fact that the West imposed the harshest sanctions on Russia can be said to be a ball-rolling act to make Russia react and attack as a way of diverting money from the West back to the East Europe area. Sanctions are meant to shift money from Russia to the West. The war is meant to reverse that flow of money.

It is not only Russia.

China is doing the same as well. When the West sanctioned China. China retaliates by threatening its once-former member in Taiwan. All this is for the same reason as a correcting effect to reverse the flow of money from the West to the China region.

Either the West is doing it deliberately or they don't understand how the East or the other side handles sanctions.

It can be said that the West, through the sanctioning of other countries, is creating tension and wars.

But if you know the fact that they simply print money and create value through wars this will make sense.

Our view is based not just on the Russian war. China does the same. Iraq used to do the same. When the West imposed sanctions, Saddam would target neighboring countries in the region and even other tribes.

Since the beginning of the war, our stance has been strict with Russia. We believe a sovereign nation cannot be attacked or invaded.

Tomorrow's World Order: A New Law & Order.: Dealing with Threats of Invasions, Wars and War Crimes.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Tomorrow_s_World_Order_A_New_Law_Ord?id=ws3ODwAAQBAJ&hl=en&gl=US

An Order by The President of Tomorrow's World Order to Stop the War/Special Military Operation.: By Intervening, To Push Invaders Out of a Sovereign Nation.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_An_Order_by_The_President_of_Tomorro?id=OtVtEAAAQBAJ&hl=en&gl=US

Tomorrow's World Order Official Strategic Launch: 24 April 2022.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Tomorrow_s_World_Order_Official_Stra?id=kZZsEAAAQBAJ&hl=en&gl=US

Russia is in the wrong to invade a sovereign country and we had recommended the deployment of 10 000 troops from each country to act as peacekeepers. But we now understand that sending troops would put that nation in direct conflict with the Kremlin and the Kremlin being a nuclear weapon might escalate the war.

But we believe that either way, every option can make Russia use nuclear weapons. As of the date of writing this book 07 March 2023 Russia according to Ukraine has lost 155000 soldiers. We know why the West used nuclear weapons against Japan. *To save the lives of their soldiers who would otherwise die needlessly when they can use a nuclear weapon to end the war.*

Russia knows the West is fighting a proxy war using Ukraine to reduce their military equipment and soldiers so that when the West attacks; Russia will be weakened. But Russia has the most destructive nuclear weapons. What can this say about the possibility of a nuclear war?

Recall at the beginning of this book where I explain that the West and East removed the fuse that triggers wars and replaced this with a wire. Thereby stopping the wars but creating so much tension with all parties making the most dangerous weapons until that day a small amount of friction would trigger the bloodiest war humankind has ever seen.

Whether Russia is to fully blame for the war, or the West has played a contributory role this does not matter, what matters now more than ever before is how we can proactively act to stop any nuclear escalations.

Above all, we arose to stop the needlessly killing of women and children. So, our recommendations must be read in this context.

First, let's look at China's Ukraine peace treaty and comment on the benefits and drawbacks then propose our own.

China's Position on the Political Settlement of the Ukraine Crisis

2023-02-24 09:00

1. Respecting the sovereignty of all countries.
2. Abandoning the Cold War mentality.
3. Ceasing hostilities.
4. Resuming peace talks.
5. Resolving the humanitarian crisis.
6. Protecting civilians and prisoners of war (POWs).
7. Keeping nuclear power plants safe.
8. Reducing strategic risks.
9. Facilitating grain exports.
10. Stopping unilateral sanctions.
11. Keeping industrial and supply chains stable.
12. Promoting post-conflict reconstruction.

I think China's peace proposal might be shallow considering they were threatening to invade Taiwan. If they cannot recognize Taiwan's sovereignty, talking about respecting the sovereignty of others is suspect.

But having said that this is our view as well. Sovereignty is a critical factor and central to Tomorrow's World Order.

Tomorrow's World Order's SovsuperiusCogens.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Tomorrow_s_World_Order_s_Sov_perius?id=fT--DwAAQBAJ&hl=en&gl=US

Tomorrow's World Order: A New Law & Order.: Dealing with Threats of Invasions, Wars and War Crimes

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Tomorrow_s_World_Order_A_New_Law_Ord?id=ws3ODwAAQBAJ

The cold war mentality China is talking about is the issue that I addressed at the beginning which is a result of removing the fuse in wars with institutions like NATO that act to stop wars but still encourage weapons manufacturing. This will result in an all-out war. A time bomb and a real danger to humanity's survival. The presence of NATO and CSTO increases the cold war mentality.

We believe also that China became part of the West's plan. One to put it out of the trade as well just like their aim with Russia and Ukraine. The West, to dominate trade in grain and oil, decided to take these countries out through war and sanctions. It can be said that China's peace treaty is to help China rather than Ukraine. Having witnessed what is happening to Russia, China might have decided to tell the West that it respects another country's sovereignty to make the West remove or not apply sanctions to it. China had threatened to invade Taiwan. Or simply it might be arguing for peace as it is. China's peace proposals can point to the fact that the West is the one behind the war. The West by cutting food exports from Ukraine and Russia to China is fighting a proxy war. Prolonging the war would mean food shortages mainly in Africa. We also know that China has given many African countries loans. Now that food is scarce and expensive due to the war that means these countries would not be able to repay the loans. Something that will result in a default that can lead to something like the 2008 fiscal crisis in China.

The post-conflict reconstruction can provide huge opportunities to rebuild Ukraine for China construction companies.

Why is it difficult to stop the Russia and Ukraine war?

Recall what I said at the beginning that we are stuck as humanity in the second stage of development where war is the driver of the economy. Just before the war, all countries were facing a recession and high inflation of some sort. Everyone's economy is powered by wars and weapons manufacturing. The more weapons are made the better the economic progress as long as there is demand for weapons. But demand can only be increased by wars. Hence the obligation placed on the West to act as film directors and go back in time to pick a script as it is

and recreate history. Triggering wars and using sanctions, rationing of weapons, and other tactics.

That means too many players who benefit from the war.

Imagine all countries who supplied weapons kick-starting weapons production in their countries and their weapons manufacturing boosting the economy. Above all this, all these countries froze Russia's assets and all the money they are giving to Ukraine might just be a percentage of the frozen assets. So, a win-win situation. They would rather take the frozen assets and fund the war prolonging the war to erase any claims by Russia to the frozen assets. The frozen assets have meant denying any peace talks. Why would they want Ukraine to end the war? The war is driving their economy. They are fighting inflation. Ukraine has given them a chance to just print money using only ink and paper to create value. You will find out that the West with capabilities and opportunities of providing weapons will want the war to continue until **July 2024**.

On the other hand, in the east, the likes of China, Iran, etc. would want quick peace for Ukraine. All of them have opportunities to gain financially from the reconstruction of Ukraine etc by taking advantage of the war or conditions created by the war. China would prefer to jump in and offer to rebuild Ukraine now that Russia has destroyed infrastructure etc.

IMF and World Bank loans can render a sovereign country a hostage as debt to foreign countries strips away immunity leaving a country prone to be used as a proxy state. After one year Ukraine is in limbo. All infrastructure is destroyed so even if they want peace Ukraine cannot walk away as they are deep into debt and this war.

We believe Russia saw the fact that everyone is taking advantage of the situation and supplying weapons, so it cuts off its supply of gas to Europe.

The West it seems is stretching the war to last until July 2024 by rationing the weapons.

The All or Nothing Approach.

Either supply all needed weapons to win the war in a brief time or stop at all and encourage peace talks. There is no in-between where they ration weapons as they see fit.

We believe that the West is aiming to put Russia in its shoes when dealing with the Japanese to see if they do not drop nuclear weapons if faced with such obstinacy. The fact that the West is advising Ukraine that they will win against a nuclear state is irresponsible. What is winning when all people will have been killed? I argued that the West might have a vested interest; in loan repayments. All this war as in other countries like Iraq is part of the IMF and World Bank structural adjustment programs where culling is the major factor so that the borrowing country will be able to repay the loans.

We also believe that Ukraine's wish to join NATO is also a problem. To see the dedication of Ukraine to NATO. NATO [West] will ask Ukraine to fight Russia as a test of who with their

allegiances lie; West or still Russia. Ukraine might be a trojan horse of the Russians. It can be said that Ukraine might be working in collaboration with Russia to cream the West of financial resources and weapons which they easily destroy. All this to weaken NATO in preparation for a war between the West versus the East.

All this war is a test of the allegiances to NATO.

Tomorrow's World Order's Russia Ukraine Peace treaty 07 March 2023.

Russia must move on with the times and not wish to go back to the Soviet era. These ambitious plans to retake back once Soviet-era members are not in line with a country's sovereignty. A sovereign country must not be attacked.

As such Russia must withdraw its troops with immediate effect.

Russia must weigh the cost of continuing the war in terms of soldiers' deaths. Surely 100 000 deaths are high enough to question the whole idea. Your soldiers' lives are protected by our laws. The President must do whatever it takes to safeguard the lives of his soldiers.

Sending soldiers to war without modern-day equipment is not just breaking our laws but a criminal act. The West chips everyone at birth and they simply locate the whereabouts of a person using GPS tracking. Now with drone technology and drones that easily carry grenades etc. It is possible to wipe out the entire army without a gun being fired. Drone technology can be used to hack and locate soldiers through digital medical chip-files.

I think it can be a criminal act to fight modern wars like the 1940s wars. Otherwise, it becomes culling because the rate of soldiers' deaths can be so high. 800 deaths per day are so high that there is no justification for such missions.

Sometimes withdrawal of troops in such circumstances can be winning the war.

Sometimes use of tactical tactics can be justified to save the lives of soldiers and this applies to both sides because if left as it is the war is to last until July 2024.

No one should gain at the expense of the deaths of women and children.

In the end, we will aim to apportion deaths accordingly.

So, reconsider continuing with the war.

The all-or-nothing approach.

This applies to all sides, even the West and Europe who are supplying weapons.

We are against stretching the war. We believe everyone wants the war to end in July 2024 to boost presidential elections and help drive the economy. Therefore, as such, there is rationing of everything, weapons, soldiers, funds, etc. To prolong the war until next year. We believe this

prolongs the suffering of women and children. Therefore, we urge everyone to supply everything needed at once; weapons from the West; from Europe, soldiers from both sides and funds from the world, etc. Send everything needed now so that the war is fought and won or lost in a short time then negotiate peace.

So, the West and Europe must either supply all weapons Ukraine has requested and those it might need to win at once or stop the supplying of weapons at all. All needed gear now or stop altogether. I think if Ukraine knew that no other country would supply weapons, they would choose peace and save the lives of civilians dying every day.

Russia should reconsider its position, calculate its casualties, and make the decision whether to continue with the war or withdraw. The number of casualties if correct up to 150 000 soldiers is high enough to justify the withdrawal of troops. Especially in light of the fact that your soldiers' lives are protected by our laws. I think 100 000 is the threshold of a military mission that if it is not achieved then that is a failure, and the withdrawal of troops must be considered. Continuation after the threshold has been reached would trigger the culling accusations.

Russia must reconsider the military operation especially given the fact that we predicted that the war would last for another year and three months. **To end in July 2024.** How many more soldiers Russia is prepared to sacrifice until July 2024?

The West wants the war to end in July 2024. But we urge Russia to stop the war with immediate effect and withdraw its troops.

Russia must understand that the world system is designed to rely on weapons manufacturing and wars to drive the economy and fight inflation. Since we are in stage two of the development stages. This means that the West and all of Europe would rather offload their weapons stockpiles so as for their companies to start making the weapons again. Kickstarting the growth of their economies. This means most would rather let the war continue **until July 2024.**

So, it is up to Russia as the West will keep putting pressure telling Ukraine that they will win on the battlefield. This is the cold war mentality China was talking about. I explained at the beginning and in Tomorrow's World Order that this is because humanity blocked world wars that corrected the imbalances. They did so by establishing institutions like NATO and the UN. But every twenty years the economy suffers greatly due to imbalance. They can't trigger world wars naturally and as such have to rely on playing the film director role. Thereby recreating history going back as far as 1665-1667 and using that script.

Initiate negotiations with immediate effect. Peace can only come through dialogue. **We can meditate.**

Give peace a chance and negotiations can only lead to peace. We urge both sides to include clauses which if things don't go according to plan. They might revert these clauses to gain a more favorable position. The clauses can be controversial for example Ukraine can opt for peace now

with a clause that Russia must return Crimea after five years. Then let the clause remain open with potential to start the peace talks again after five years. That can settle peace now. Ukraine can negotiate peace today knowing that they can still claim Crimea after five years from Russia. Russia might agree easily to that and hand back Crimea after five years. What we want now is peace even if other factors cannot be resolved immediately. The peace talk can be regarded as *..to be continued.*

Both current leaders must consider stepping down both at the same time if they cannot work with each other. This is better than calling for regime change. Signing a decree banning anyone to negotiate with the other side's president can only trigger revenge attacks and even intensify calls for regime change. Worse can give the other side justifications to resort to nuclear weapons as a last resort. Asking for regime change becomes an existential threat to the other side. Some people, if cornered, might resort to extreme violence. Our duty is to avoid such situations at any cost. No president or leader is above the law.

Our goal is to avoid the use of nuclear weapons. If both parties cannot work with each other then both must consider stepping down. Let others willing to negotiate peace take over. Insisting on regime change before dialogue closes the door to peace talks and can force the other side to adopt extreme measures. This is something we do not want to witness as creating existential threats that can force the other side to reconsider the war and its position and possible use of nuclear weapons.

Refusing peace talks with one regime can be regarded as a stalling tactic or worse as a culling tactic especially considering that we predicted that the West want the war to end in July 2024. We do not know how the Ukrainians want the war to last. But we can say that it will take another year and three months. Is Ukraine prepared to go on for another year and three months? Does it take into account how many civilians will have died by July 2024? What are they doing right now to mitigate risks to civilians? The longer the war the higher the risk that Russia might end up using tactical nuclear weapons.

The West gave us precedence in dealing with Japan.

Do the Ukrainians consider the risks of retaliation by Russia especially considering that Russia has lost 150 000 soldiers? This can mean that Russia would now not back down after such casualties and might even consider using tactical nuclear weapons.

Does Ukraine consider the fact that after one year the destruction of infrastructure would have increased meaning that Ukraine would require more money for rebuilding? Is it better to opt for peace now and start rebuilding?

A clean slate. A fresh start let bygones be bygones.

I think for quick peace Ukraine must address Russian concerns. This can be the start of peace. The secret clause acted as an act of seclusion that forbids the Russian language to be spoken in Ukraine as an official language.

Ukraine can lift a ban on the Russian language in its country.

Ukraine can assure Russia of its neutrality. That means not joining NATO. But for NATO to consider them a member only if Russia invaded again after the peace. That means the moment Russia breaches this agreement then NATO will automatically consider Ukraine on the spot as a member and deploy troops to push Russia out of Ukraine. This gives Ukraine peace of mind and makes them opt for peace now.

We believe the West is the one wrongly advising Ukraine. Asking them to do the things that will always create friction with Russia. I argued from the start that the West is playing the role of film director using the Second Anglo-Dutch 1665-1667 script. Whatever the Russians complained about and have highlighted as problems with the Kyiv regime we believe that they are genuine concerns. This is because the same concerns raised by Russia are the same issues that triggered the Anglo-Dutch wars of 1665-1667.

So we believe the concerns are real therefore Ukraine must give assurance to Russia that the Russian language will not be banned in Ukraine and must be included as one of the national languages. This can be one condition of the withdrawal of the troops.

Also when they sign the peace treaty both parties Russia and Ukraine must read the small print and make sure that the treaty does not include restrictive clauses that can ban certain people, say non-Russian speaking from taking the president's office or vice versa.

Russia, Ukraine, and China all must aim to establish new trade routes to new markets and if possible avoid competing with the West and Europe. This is because if our analysis is correct that the West is using the 1665-1667 script to fight a proxy war. The war then was fought for control over trade and grain. The West is putting not just Russia out of business but Ukraine as well as China. Therefore looking for new trade areas can mean that the West will do everything it can to make sure the East won't be rivalries for the same trade customers.

A group of ambitious English politicians and naval officers frustrated diplomatic efforts to reach any accommodation between the parties.

Wikipedia

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Second_Anglo-Dutch_War

Russia and Ukraine must limit loyalty to any English and American politician who they might suspect of using them for personal gains e.g. asking them to sacrifice women and children for

their political ambition. As I said, I argued that the West will want the war to end in July 2024. Check which countries have presidential and prime ministerial elections in 2024. Then check if their advice is genuine or just to give themselves an advantage in elections. This is because wartime presidents are remembered forever and tend to win elections.

No US president has ever lost re-election in wartime. Fact. This is one of the reasons why we think the West will want the war to continue until July 2024.

The world can set up a plan to force the two countries to negotiate. Say by June 2023 if there is no peace each nation on earth must send 10 000 peacekeeping soldiers to Ukraine to drive out the Russians on the basis that a sovereign nation must not be invaded and to avoid further culling of its soldiers needlessly.

Contributory levy for the destruction of civilian infrastructure on weapons sold to a conflict zone and during wartime.

For weapons manufacturing companies and institutions.

Seventeen percent of profits earned during wartime if the weapons ended up being used in a conflict zone for the first year.

Twenty percent- twenty-five percent of profits earned in the second war-related year if the weapons of the weapons company ended up being used in a conflict zone.

For other governments and countries.

Ten percent of the value of weapons supplied to a conflict zone in the first year of the war. Rising to fifteen percent in the second year of the war. Levied on all countries who supplied weapons to a conflict zone.

Dealing with Russia's frozen assets.

Fifty percent of frozen assets must be sent to a victim country for the rebuilding of destroyed infrastructure.

NATO.

NATO must curtail its aggressive expansion and aim to avoid recruiting a once Soviet Union member. Some countries must remain neutral, especially those surrounding Russia.

Explore possibilities for peace with both parties not committing to anything concrete.

Just as paving way for real peace talks.

Options for peace.

1. A return to prewar status. Russia can agree to return all annexed territories captured as a condition for peace. They can include controversial clauses in the treaty that can be settled in the future. I gave the example of handing over Crimea back to Ukraine after a certain period of time.
2. Peace can be negotiated as things are. Whatever Russia has annexed remains Russia's.
3. Continue with the war until July 2024 hoping that Ukraine will win on the battlefield. But this option carries risks of nuclear war or a third world war. Imagine Russia being defeated by Ukraine while having nuclear weapons. The reason is that we argued that the West is fighting a proxy war. That means using Ukraine to weaken Russia maybe preparing to attack Russia. This to Russia becomes an existential threat, a situation which can make them consider the use of nuclear weapons.
4. Ukraine must ask what is winning the war. Especially when a lot of civilians would have died.
5. Another reason Ukraine is continuing with the war is the fact that NATO would approve their membership while Russia is still in Ukraine which makes NATO part of the war. By then a lot of Russia's soldiers and equipment will have been destroyed. Again, that creates an existential threat that can make Russia opt for nuclear weapons.

Whatever option is adopted there are risks of an all-out world war. The risks of a nuclear war are increased.

The only option is for peace now.

We can mediate peace and provide the framework for dialogue.

Some noncritical recommendations.

I have argued from the beginning that we as humanity are in stage two of the development stages, and this means wars triggered by mankind and run as film scripts. All of this is to improve trade and boost the economy. That means this war is to take Russia and Ukraine out of trade for a good two years and a half. This can cripple their economies. So, to mitigate this Russia and Ukraine must not stop trading their grain and oil. They must assign someone else or another country etc. who can sell their grain and oil on their behalf while the war continues. Both can find a single customer to buy, say, all the grain at a discount and then not worry about the grain trade as long as the war continues.

They must find new customers, and new markets and form partnerships with other countries who take over trade agreements in war times on their behalf.

Dealing with the destruction of civilian infrastructure.

Russia must contribute and make reparations for the destroyed infrastructure.

Every country that supplied weapons that intensified the war or made Russia aggressive must pay a contributory levy for the damage to infrastructure that is in proportion to the value of the

weapons supplied. Ten percent of the value of weapons supplied in the first year rising to fifteen percent in the second year.

The courts to deal with the culling of the soldiers.

Losses of soldiers above 100 000 in the first war year can fall into culling.

The president is obliged to protect his soldiers. To opt for other tactical methods to end the war and lessen the suffering of his soldiers. This applies to both sides. I am not referring to the use of nuclear weapons. But there are other options like using unmanned drones etc. that can do the same job. Use of assassins to end the war.

Mind you we only care about the women and children who never signed up for all this but the majority of those who die needlessly. Soldiers and presidents have signed and made an oath to die for the country.

We cannot let you sacrifice women and children.

The death of a woman or child due to wars is an attack on all of us.

We will do whatever we can to protect these special members of any society. One group that has no one to defend them.

The courts will decide on crimes against humanity, invading a sovereign country, and look at NATO expansion as a trigger for wars.

Destruction of civilian infrastructure.

Increasing risks of a nuclear disaster by entering and firing at a nuclear plant.

Contributory risks due to the obstinacy of Ukraine miscalculating the risks of a nuclear bomb being used on them by Russia. The West gave us precedence in the Japanese saga.

The courts will hold every country that supplied weapons accountable for the deaths of women and children.

Culling of soldiers, women, pensioners, and children.

The court to decide on the role of the IMF and World Bank loans as triggers of wars by insisting on reduction of the government's wage bill and pension bill.

Their requirements of a conflict-affected-state as a demand on the writing-off of the debt as a trigger of wars.

Misleading its customers or not stating clearly the small print explaining the conditions for writing off loan debt.

Push the courts to write off war debt owned by Ukraine.

Make the courts look at our hypothesis that the West is using the 1665-1667 war script to fight a proxy war. That means the mass murder of Ukrainian civilians.

Disturbing the peace of the world.

Inciting and triggering wars.

Using dirty tricks to hold other countries as hostages and enslave them to do what they want.

Destruction of a country to create jobs for companies and institutions from its country.

Uncompetitive practices that put others out of trade unfairly.

Sabotage and terrorist activities aimed at destroying the infrastructure of competitors to gain a competitive advantage.

Deliberately inciting a third world war or even worse increasing the risks of nuclear war and therefore a threat to the existence of all humanity. A threat that can cause the extinction of all humanity.

Reckless risk-taking activities pose further risks to people in their home country. We have 9/11 in mind if our hypothesis is correct.

Considering Russia has the most destructive weapons the West's acts can increase the risks of their people being shelled with a nuclear bomb. We do not care about the threats of retaliation which they always talk about.

Our job is to prevent any nuclear bombs from being deployed in the first place rather than how destructive bombs a country can use in retaliation.

Prevention is better than corrections or equalizations.

All in the name of peace.

This should be used as a working hypothesis for peace. There are chances our analysis can not be perfect. We welcome better versions if any.

All in said in bonafide with the aim of solving global wars.

Signed 07 March 2023

David Gomadza

The World's First President of the World

Info@twofuture.world

www.twofuture.world