

Mass Murder

The West Behind

The Pandemic &

the Russia

Ukraine war

Bad reasons to

recreate history

using 1665-1667

script event by

event.

David Gomadza

Tomorrow's World Order

05/01/2021

The International Criminal Court

Information and Evidence Unit

Office of the Prosecutor

Post Office Box 19519

2500 CM The Hague

The Netherlands or sent by email to otp.informationdesk@icc-cpi.int or sent by facsimile to +31 70 515 8555.

Dear Your Honourable.

THE CASE OF TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER vs THE LEADERS OF THE WORLD OF ALL COUNTRIES ON EARTH WHO HAVE IMPOSED AND FORCED LOCKDOWN RESTRICTIONS AND ENFORCED THESE IN RESPONSE TO THE COVID PANDEMIC OF 2020-2021 THAT RESULTED IN MORE DEATHS DURING AND SOON AFTER THAT. [SEE EVIDENCE 1, 2,3]

1. *ROME STATUTE OF THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT*
2. *Part 2.*
3. *JURISDICTION, ADMISSIBILITY AND APPLICABLE LAW Article 51 Crimes within the jurisdiction of the Court The jurisdiction of the Court shall be limited to the **most serious crimes of concern to the international community as a whole**. The Court has jurisdiction in accordance with this Statute with respect to the following crimes: [Emphasis added].*
4. *(b) Crimes against humanity;*
5. *c)CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY: namely, [mass] **murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation, and other inhumane acts committed against any civilian population, before or during the war;** [crime against humanity can also be committed in peacetime.] or persecutions on political, racial or religious grounds in execution of or in connection with any crime within the jurisdiction of the Tribunal, whether or not in violation of the domestic law of the country where perpetrated.*
6. ***Leaders, organizers, instigators and accomplices participating in the formulation or execution of a common plan or conspiracy to commit any of the foregoing crimes are responsible for all acts performed by any persons in execution of such plan.***
7. ***That includes everyone including the local police of all countries and the hospitals who might be helping then enforce and carryout all these.***
8. We as Tomorrow's World Order argue that a lot of things has changed since these statutes were implemented and we want the court to look at these issues in light of the changed environment and amend these regardless because of the **gravity of the crimes** as they threaten the fabric of human existence.
9. A *reasonable basis* to initiate an investigation in the interest of justice. Pandemic.

Total cases	Recovered	Deaths
85.9M	48.3M	1.86M
10. As at 05/01/2021.
11. Failings and creation of conditions that fuel the virus are not only evils but fall under crimes against humanity murder meaning **mass murder** and or genocide to some extent areas the court has jurisdiction to act upon.
12. WHAT ARE CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY?
13. *Crimes against humanity refer to specific crimes committed in the context of a **large-scale attack targeting civilians, regardless of their nationality**. These crimes include murder, torture, sexual violence, enslavement, persecution, enforced disappearance, etc. Crimes against humanity have **often been committed as part of State policies**, but they can also be perpetrated by non-State armed groups or paramilitary forces. Unlike war crimes,*

crime against humanity can also be **committed in peacetime**, and contrary to genocide, they are not necessarily committed against a specific national, ethnical, racial or religious group.

14. ICC WEBSITE.

15. These crimes **were committed after 1 July 2002**, the date of the entry into force of the Rome Statute, the Court's founding treaty; the crimes took place in the territory of a State Party or **were committed by a national of a State Party**. They **amount to crimes against humanity or genocide; the gravity of these crimes means that they must be investigated asap**.

16. Where the State is the vehicle of persecution and mass murder there is no talk of local or national redress nor investigations and prosecutions as the state itself is the one responsible.

17. *[National authorities bear the primary responsibility, in the first instance, to investigate and prosecute those most responsible for the commission of mass crimes. The Court will initiate investigations, in accordance with the legal criteria set by the Rome Statute, only when the national authorities have failed to uphold this primary responsibility and in the absence of genuine national proceedings.]*

18. But if they are the ones responsible and ordering the shutdowns then the talk of national redress is non-existence. Therefore, the court is obliged to look at this as this has gone global.

19. We as Tomorrow's World Order we believe that there is a criminal intent to kill the people deliberately or indirectly. Such reckless acts whether deliberate or due to sheer ignorance cannot be tolerated or left unpunished. We strongly believe that nevertheless how natural the pandemic might seem to the people. We strongly believe that there is a malicious criminal intent by these governments and their leaders to kill as many people as they can for the following reasons:

20. To hide evidence of human hacking.

21. To get rid of the so-called 'dead-wood' in the pensioners people who make most of the government expenses in pensions etc.

22. A population control mechanism.

23. We strongly believe that the Lockdowns are being enforced to;

24. Weaken the human immune system so that during the and immediately after lockdown the virus will do maximum damage and kill as many as the people would have been weakened.

25. Weeks of lockdown even though not the intended plan have the effect of weakening greatly the human immune system that during and soon after lockdown the number of cases will shoot up significantly. See attachment. We think this is the reasons for the lockdown. That brings us to the real intention of the lockdowns.

26. Are they deliberately trying to kill as many as they can? Do they have a target number? Say 100 000 in a country like England? This is not speculative we will give you our basis for suggesting that. See below arguments in light of the 1665-1666 England pandemic.

27. We strongly believe that it is well known to all that since this is a viral pandemic ALL PROPOSED AND IMPLEMENTED "SOLUTIONS" HAVE THE EFFECT OF FEEDING THE VIRUS.

28. We believe that if it was a BACTERIAL INDUCED PANDEMIC yes, the solutions including lockdowns "might" have worked. But this is a VIRAL pandemic and all these leaders with a bunch of advisors etc must know that;

a. Lockdown reduces the body's ability to fight infections.

b. Reduces the body's natural ability to defend itself against viruses.

c. No exercises. Even general walking is cut off by the forced lockdowns and the enforcement. Leaving the body exposed without the protective shield.

d. Lockdowns themselves induce stress that weakens the person. The very reason why they lock people in jails especially those associated with murder or violent crimes. To weaken them in all the meaning of the word.

- e. This stress is different from any stress as this takes away the freedoms of the people. Taking away the hope and belief of the day after tomorrow. The hope of the future increasing the stress. Don't forget these people are already stressed up by the pandemic itself.
 - f. High-stress levels increase the chances of one getting sick.
 - g. The government strategy of announcing cases and deaths on daily basis live on national television is to further increase the stress and further weaken the people. As the idea is to traumatize people giving an ever-growing sense of worry and anxiety all of which weakens the natural defence system.
 - h. We want to make the court aware that we are dealing with clever, devious, and manipulating people. People who will do anything. Who will trick, deceive, and force people to their deaths?
 - i. The lockdowns are to weaken and kill the self-esteem of the people and the sense of belonging. Carrying out a SCORCHED EARTH POLICY of removing anything that will help people recover from the effects of lockdowns. That is hope. Bonding with friends and relatives. Keeping them in lockdown for more than two weeks could be said to be the maximum a human body can go without severe extremes. Means intention is to weaken.
 - j. Lockdowns destroy the greatest weapon a human system can use to boost its immune system. Self Esteem. People on lockdown are just going to sit and eat and feel unattractive and worthless as they become chubby etc. Sitting down doing nothing will in itself have a negative impact.
 - k. Lockdowns mean people will spend most of their time indoors the exact opposite of what they should do as time spent outdoors is cut off.
 - l. Lockdown stops cooperation and networking that would otherwise boost their confidence and feel good factors to strengthen their immune system.
29. WE STRONGLY BELIEVE THAT THE APPROACH ADOPTED IS FLAWED. In that, they LOOKED AT THE VIRUS INSTEAD OF THE HUMAN IMMUNE SYSTEM. We believe this is deliberate to have the greatest impact. Meaning killing more. Looking at the virus will only result in these leaders finding ways of avoiding the virus. WE BELIEVE THEY SHOULD HAVE LOOKED AT WAYS TO BOOST THE HUMAN IMMUNE SYSTEM.
30. Surely if isolation and lockdown will weaken the human immune system as people exercise less. As people worry too much. As the sources of comfort and boost to self-esteem are restricted e.g. in seeing emotional support in friends and family. Then they would not have proposed and implemented lockdowns. But because they looked at the VIRUS rather than the people's defence system this has become a THIRD-TYPE ERROR. Yes, they have solutions but for a different or wrong problem. They are doing something but it's all wrong and in this case. Fuelling the pandemic and deaths.
31. BUT WE BELIEVE IT IS INTENTIONAL AND ALL MUST BE HOLD ACCOUNTABLE. This is the very reason why people were put in concentration camps. THE LOCKDOWNS SECRETLY CREATE THE CONDITIONS WHICH WE CALL 'BREEDING GROUNDS FOR THE VIRUS'.
32. Yes. This method might have worked if this was a bacterial outbreak. The fact that they all know this makes us bring all 200 plus to you one by one. We know it's a lot of work but that is the very reason why you are there. You have our support all the way. No one is above the law. Send a clear message. To assess and bring all to trial for the following crimes.
- a. Mass murder depending on circumstances.

- b. Holding their citizens as hostages and under indirect house arrest. The enforcements support this. This is hostage-taking.
- c. Forcing their citizens against their will breaching their human rights and putting them all at risk of death by weakening them by the forced lockdowns.
- d. Human hacking and trying to or getting rid of the evidence by forced lockdowns knowing that most are likely to die. We believe this is an attempt to destroy secret human hacking evidence that used to be done secretly. We have proof of human hacking and this is proof too that they might have tried to use the pandemic to bury the hatchet with it.
- e. In line with this is that even though the pandemic might have started in China. Some might have killed or are killing their people using digital viruses and electromagnetic nerve tampering in the disguise of the virus using drone technology.
- f. Failing to protect their citizens in time of need deliberately or unintentionally. That means a breach of care of these people. They are in power to protect the people. Failure is unacceptable.
- g. Ignorance is not an excuse. They have enough people and experts to get the correct information. After one year they should have quizzed the professionals.
- h. Giving their people a false sense of security that they can protect them especially the victims when they can't. Bearing in mind that ignorance can't be relied upon due to time limits.
- i. Breaching all human rights of these people. Rights to freedom of expression as they are forced to keep in silence in lockdowns. Depriving them of rights to freedom of association and hold peaceful associations and demonstrations.
- j. Rights not to be held against their wills.
- k. Criminalizing the victims of the pandemic holding them against their wills and using other conditions to hold them illegal. Number one being under mental health and they will claim that the virus causes mental stress to cover for the stress induced by the lockdowns.
- l. Genocide. They are going to claim that the pandemic affects mainly blacks and Asians generally people of color to give themselves an alibi before they use other means to kill and destroy ethnic people. We believe also that they might have used the pandemic to try and cover issues reported to the courts before in relation to genocide; secret modern-day slavery etc.
- m. This brings us to illegal human hacking. They are deliberately human hacking the people. Implanting miniature airplane parts that rotate and damage the brain. So, all this is a cover-up. We have proof of human hacking. FACT.
- n. We believe they are carrying out genocide. Destroying the ethnic people using hacking to damage brain and enslave secretly the people. Greying all as they regard all as suffering from mental health. So as a way to alert people grey their hairs. A genocide as they are destroying people and all this pandemic is a cover-up. Trying to bury the hatchet with the pandemic.
- o. We believe they are suppressing opposition using illegal lockdowns as a means of destroying the financial aspect of the opposition. Scorched Earth Policy. Lockdowns are simply a way of removing resources mainly financial from the people. Lockdown is a way of removing and limiting the power of people. A good example is the strictness of lockdowns say in Britain in likely opposition countries namely Scotland and Wales where there might the hardest hit by the pandemic. It does not matter

how and who started the pandemic. They might all have seen an opportunity and took it globally as well.

- p. They are using lockdowns to hold the women and children as bargaining tools. Just like sanctions they are causing suffering to these so their leaders in countries with active opposition to submit. Especially where there are no accompanied means of aid; financial resources or otherwise.
 - q. The main reason is to weaken all their immune system as food might be an issue for people on lockdown. As most have now reduced salaries. Fewer hours worked and no ways to claim government support and by the time the lockdowns end they might be in debt. Increasing the possibility of becoming a victim of the virus.
 - r. We recommend the court to use the E-laws here. The empathy laws in that if it was someone close to them. A relative etc they would have or might have arrived at a different solution. Instead of lockdowns.
33. To us, as Tomorrow's World Order we believe that the best way was to look at the best way to build the HUMAN DEFENSE SYSTEM NATURALLY.
- a. This would have meant;
 - b. Strengthening the human system. Immune system meaning.
 - i. No lockdowns but people are allowed to stay outdoors in the open or very well-ventilated places etc.
 - ii. 24 hours opening of everything that boosts self-confidence, self-esteem, a sense of building and well-being, that builds the body's strength, places that invigorate, rejuvenates, soothe, comfort, give people a sense of hope, etc namely.
 - 1. Gyms opening 24 hours. Creating outdoor gyms etc.
 - 2. Places of worship.
 - 3. Hairs dressers as beautifying people would boost self-esteem and give people better chances of developing self-defense against the virus.
 - 4. Beauty therapists.
 - 5. Massage saloons and yoga.
 - 6. Fighting clubs for self-defense.
 - 7. Pubs as places for social networking and cooperating.
 - 8. National get together but for exercises, aerobics, etc not for alcohol-consuming or anything that weakens the immune system.
 - 9. Demonstration groups and a sense of national solidarity.
 - 10. Anything else that gives hope.
34. Withdrawing of financial resources at the time of the greatest need. The governments are ignoring the basic needs choosing to increase military budgets at such a time when the pandemic has ravaged most of the people. The poorest even in developed countries have become had hit in some countries with charities taking a leading role to feed the children and women etc. No financial backing for the ordinary people and the governments choosing businesses more. Lack of a duty of care towards these people especially women and children.
35. We know during pandemics things can be hard but doing more damage is not only evil but a criminal offense. There are greater expectations from these leaders and the is need for greater responsibilities. When that fails this not only amounts to incompetence but a crime.

36. We are basing our answers on the fact that this is a viral pandemic and just like any virus illness like the cold. The body will get rid of the virus by itself. No medicines work against the cold virus itself. That is why colds are still here up to now. All medicines tend only to alleviate the symptoms. So, likewise, everything should have been done to help boost the immune system. They had this knowledge a year ago. They waited. That brings me to another accusation.

1. Procrastinating and waiting for the virus to do maximum damage first before acting and when they did it was the wrong kind of action.
2. These recommendations are in line with the fact that a year has gone, and they are still recording the greatest daily infection and death rates. Surely that means something is wrong. We are not saying that people won't die but we believe in strengthening the people naturally not by limiting movements and exercises which have a direct impact on the immune system and ability of the body to handle the virus.
3. Lockdowns only make people take longer to develop natural defense system without the vaccines. There would be more cases of the covid viruses but reduced deaths.
4. The adopted method would have less covid cases which also MEANS LESS PEOPLE WHO ARE NATURALLY IMMUNE TO THE VIRUSES and more extreme deaths. The very argument of our case. See attached graphs for proof.
5. But by boosting the immune system so the body can naturally defend itself. This would also reduce the length of time before the people recover etc. If this was the plan things could have been better. We can still prevent needless deaths. The vaccines work on the same principle but huge side effects and costs. Million people have died globally we can't stand and watch these give it a last go and claim many before everything comes to an end when vaccines are finally introduced.
6. We believe that this one last LOCKDOWN push is to do maximum damage to justify huge vaccine purchases when the lockdowns end. As we believe that there will be huge infections and deaths after the lockdowns for the reasons explained above. It's like a shock and scare for people not to complain tomorrow for governments' waste or delays in doing something beneficial.

37. Another crime is that of the third type of error.

38. Deliberately misleading the people. Pretending to tackle the pandemic but making deals and distributing wealth into the community money that would end up back to their Trusts, legacy organizations or party politics one day. This is because they will or might deliberately purchase useless e.g. protective gear against the virus. Then pretend it is because of the pandemic meaning lack of time etc and many will be recommendations by own party people of suppliers. They will deliberately choose wrong solutions to scare people as deaths

increases in weakening them not to protest but to submit and not point to corruption or misuse of government funds.

39. Lockdowns instead of less time indoors can amount to a criminal offense depending on the number of death, duration and if forced or not. If enforced or not etc.
40. Misuse of government money. All the beneficiaries must be investigated. Links established as to trust, legacies, political parties, etc. All vaccine companies to be investigated too.
41. The other crime is to apportion blame. Taking advantage of the viruses will make people be partly blamed for its creation. If the plan is to gain unfavorably that means you also have a motive for making or spreading the virus as well therefore a suspect too. This is in line with the thinking that it can be homemade exported abroad and them waiting for it to roll back so that the end justifies the means. There are issues of colluding too to make the virus and gain as well.
42. Incompetent to protect their people.
43. Incompetent to rule and do things for humanity's protection.
44. Hence the need and justification of us Tomorrow's World Order as the new official global leaders to:
45. Lead, Guide, Oversee, and Rule the world.
46. I have pointed out that some countries recreate the past exactly putting the same lookalike people then and doing exactly like then. I know this is **controversial**, but it is open to us to ask the court to look at this and rule out criminal acts. We know lightning doesn't strike twice but when it does that leaves us with so many more questions than answers. England's 1665-1666 pandemic resembles exactly what is happening right now with the 2020-2021 pandemic. Coincidence or a calculated murder plan?
47. England as an example.

48. Great Plague of London

49. From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

50. navigation Jump



51.

52. Collecting the dead for burial during the Great Plague

53. The **Great Plague of London**, lasting from 1665 to 1666, was the last major epidemic of the [bubonic plague](#) to occur in [England](#). It happened within the centuries-

long [Second Pandemic](#), a period of intermittent bubonic plague epidemics that originated from Central Asia in 1331, the first year of the [Black Death](#).

54. The Great Plague killed an estimated 100,000 people—almost a quarter of London's population—in 18 months.
55. Wikipedia.

56. I want to highlight the court to the following facts.
57. The origins of the pandemic in 1665-1666 are said to be from Central Asia.
58. The origins of the current pandemic COVID is from China. {Central Asia.}
59. The plague is said to have lasted 18 months in England killing 100 000 people in London alone.
60. Having said that we argue that the similarities and the circumstances make us believe that they might have recreated the conditions using digital viruses or engineered biological viruses to recreate the same conditions.
61. The reason why I highlighted the above possible origin of the pandemic is the fact that some of the world leaders will try to defend themselves against the allegations in this case.
62. Most might argue that they responded the way they did in response to the threat at hand.
63. Acts in the heat of the moment.
64. I think the time frame will rule out most of the above defenses. If it was acts done in the first weeks of the pandemic maybe. But a year after no justification must stand. All must be found liable for the above crimes. We recommend the court to treat these like any president or prime minister who has carried out crimes against humanity, war crimes, genocide, etc. These crimes are gross, and we must not let any get away with intentional murder. No one must decide who must die and when.
65. Some a few of them will use the argument of seeing the real threat posed by the pandemic first-hand.
66. As such might argue that they acted in response to the threat at hand. Meaning actions were to save people. They can argue that **THEY WERE INFECTED BY THE VIRUS THEMSELVES AND THEREFORE A VICTIM MEANING THEIR ACTIONS WAS IN RELATION TO THE “INSTANT TRAUMA OF THE NEAR LIKE DEATH EXPERIENCE”** that it is reasonable for any human to use the “force” or method they used as a way to put an end to this.
67. Okay to some extent they might successfully argue as that BUT bear in mind your honorable that we are dealing with devious, manipulating clever people here. People who will do anything to cover their backs.
68. So, we ask the court to look at those with this first-hand experience OF THE VIRUS and see if they are also the masterminds. We believe they might have deliberately infected themselves after having been given the vaccine before meaning no threat to life. But to fool all of you and trick everyone else. They might have tried to fool the world to take a victim’s stance to get your sympathy when they are the ones behind this. To fool the world to be exempted when they are the culprits. Often the first to contract among the leaders might be suspect. We leave this to the court.
69. We want also to alert the court to the fact that you must be wary also of the following facts.
70. Those who become the first ones to methods, techniques, vaccines, etc, or mutations of the viruses, etc. Being the first to discover something might be because of technological advancement, better methods, better expertise, better financial resources, and commitment of the governments in dealing with the virus.

71. BUT the court must also note that being the first might not necessarily mean or support the arguments regarding resources and technology etc but also pointing to the source. They might be the originals the source of the virus that might have been exported abroad and them waiting for it to rollback in the country of origin before taking active action. Being the first to develop a vaccine. A first to find ways to detect the virus. First to have a new variant of the virus. First to find a cure etc might point to the culprits. They might have tried to put themselves on the world map on false pretenses when they are the source to gain possible competitive advantage portraying themselves as the world leaders on false accounts above all through a criminal act. Killing hundreds of thousands.
72. We urge the court to look at all this as a holistic approach. Would it be normal to be the first;
73. To have a leader...mind you where it originated it is associated with homelessness, dirty people who don't wash hands body clothes, etc. Mainly people with poor standards and then a leader being the first one might mean a deceptive act on the part of that country.
74. First to have developed the first method to detect this etc.
75. First to have found the vaccine.
76. First to have discovered a new variant.
77. First to have developed a cure.
78. ALL THESE AMONG A SINGLE COUNTRY OUT OF THE POSSIBLE 200 IS SUSPECT.
79. The questions you might want to ask are these.
80. Are they the source? Look at history and documented cases of a similar pandemic.
81. Look at circumstances. The position they are in would it have justified creating a lethal pathogen virus to clean the country and gain a favorable position. A competitive advantage. To herald the announcement of a world leader by holding everyone to ransom. Killing to show power globally and using the virus to silence other world leaders or opposition. Using the virus to show who is boss even if the virus was natural, they might have created digital or bio-modified to hide behind the origin one.
82. I think it is suspect to have everything being first in one country and worse to find a new variant. Mind you we are not talking about a third world country with poor standards etc.
83. So, we leave the courts to handle this in light of the above statements.
84. It is the court's duty to bring to trial all 200 plus world leaders one by one on an individual basis. It is a crime and as Tomorrow's World Order they must all pay if guilty now rather than wait when they are old. We are proactive we expect the court to act and ACT FAST when the evidence is still there rather than come years later and try to find out what happened. A crime is being committed right now on our watch. The question is that what can the courts do. Are they going to change their approach of waiting or might want to modernize even if that means expanding etc.?
85. We have in the past highlighted the issues we have with the court's past dealing with culprits. The law sees no color or status as long as a crime has been committed. We speak and stand for everyone and especially the voiceless, yet the most valuable the women and children often seen as vulnerable and weak. Who ends up like that because the courts and the justice system often favor the elite, wealthy and powerful leaders?
86. Let not any intimidation and sanctions stop you. Only the law shall prevail. Justice shall be the norm under Tomorrow's World Order. A new start. A new beginning. With us, you can count on the law and the courts for peace of mind. We are the leaders of tomorrow. What we want to see tomorrow can only start to take shape if you act now and fast. We leave this in your hands.
87. Note that.

88. There is no personal attack on one nation but when the facts point to a possible culprit, we let you decide. Every one of them who has followed and acted in a manner that resulted, contributed, and aggravated the situation is liable and guilty. Mind you they are all world leaders where there is an express requirement that they exercise sound judgment. Meaning having a duty of care towards their people. People task them to protect their people. When that fails, we are left with no option but to declare that they are not only fit for purpose but also criminals.
89. Another factor also is the fact that where there is an opposition party that did not disobey the government's killings of their people, they too are accomplices. Accessories to the above crimes and must be charged after dealing with the leaders. No one must be exempted unless if they objected to the demands but were forced not to fight back. Express support of the government makes them contributors to the crime too.
90. Impacts of lockdown. England as an example.
91. We believe the effects of lockdowns is to weaken the people even though this is not the intended goal. The number of deaths on the graph attached from the national statistics office showed a significant increase double the monthly average to 88 000 plus during lockdown in England from 23 March to July due to covid.
92. As lockdown ended the number of deaths declined too but only to pick up again coinciding with the second lockdown in England from 5 Nov to 2 December.
93. The truth will only come out after the pandemic, but we can't wait until then. There is a direct impact of lockdowns on deaths. The rise in deaths is associated with the lockdowns. A casual-effect relationship is established.
94. Our recommendations might increase the infection but will reduce the number of deaths as more and more people develop immunity naturally the way it is intended without the panic and stress and the weakening. People will develop immunity faster than the approach adopted by the leaders. If they could not produce vaccines which everyone believed were the cure. They should have at least encouraged this to happen naturally by providing an environment just for that. Less stress more help and financial resources and support. It is not about the virus but the system. The human immune system.
95. We know this might stretch the resources of the courts, but this is the future the court must modernize too. Greater responsibilities greater expectations from your part too.
96. Being ignorant and trying to frustrate change just for the sake of it when all that we stand for as Tomorrow's World Order will benefit the people at large bringing wealth to all mankind to levels never thought of before. We stand for the good of all mankind. Resisting what is good for all mankind is a crime. Harsh punishment because they would rather recreate past evil crimes like the holocaust where people were lockdown forcibly—the idea being to weaken the immune system before diseases ran havoc. THE VERY IDEA BEHIND THE CONCENTRATION CAMPS. IF YOU KNOW THE ORIGINS OF CONCENTRATION CAMPS YOU WOULD NOT BE SURPRISED WITH WHAT IS GOING ON.
97. We believe mankind must be guided and directed and if left alone might self-destruct. These world leaders all as long as the criteria above are fulfilled are guilty and must be punished and removed. We need people who act on behalf of the people. People who would save people and stop investing in wars and military when the people are dying due to lack of enough resources and manmade weakening conditions.
98. The law must be a double-edged sword for those who violate it and a source of peace, comfort, hope, and truth for those who obey it.

99. No immunity to presidents, prime ministers, kings, monarchies, etc. All must be under the law not above the law.

David Gomadza President and Founder Tomorrow's World Order.

tomorrowsworldorder@outlook.com

00447745900178

05 January 2021.

**THE CASE OF TOMORROW'S WORLD
ORDER vs THE LEADERS OF THE WORLD
OF ALL COUNTRIES ON EARTH WHO
HAVE IMPOSED AND FORCED
LOCKDOWN RESTRICTIONS AND
ENFORCED THESE IN RESPONSE TO THE
COVID PANDEMIC OF 2020-2021 THAT
RESULTED IN MORE DEATHS DURING
AND SOON AFTER**

From: TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER
tomorrowsworldorder@outlook.com
To: otp.informationdesk@icc-cpi.int
otp.informationdesk@icc-cpi.int, Supporter
Communications sct@amnesty.org.uk,
nadiaom@libertyhumanrights.org.uk
nadiaOM@libertyhumanrights.org.uk
Sent: Wednesday, January 6, 2021, 1:04 AM

Tomorrow's World Order
Global Political Party

tomorrowsworldorder@outlook.com

05/01/2021

The International Criminal Court
Information and Evidence Unit
Office of the Prosecutor
Post Office Box 19519
2500 CM The Hague
The Netherlands or sent by email
to otp.informationdesk@icc-cpi.int or sent by
facsimile to [+31 70 515 8555](tel:+31705158555).

Dear Your Honourable.

THE CASE OF TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER vs THE
LEADERS OF THE WORLD OF ALL COUNTRIES ON
EARTH WHO HAVE IMPOSED AND FORCED
LOCKDOWN RESTRICTIONS AND ENFORCED THESE
IN RESPONSE TO THE COVID PANDEMIC OF 2020-
2021 THAT RESULTED IN MORE DEATHS DURING
AND SOON AFTER THAT. [SEE EVIDENCE 1, 2,3]

Please find attached case documents regarding lockdowns and the case we present to you today against the current world leadership and their dealings with the covid virus pandemic.

Sincerely

David Gomadza

President Founder Tomorrow's World Order

tomorrowsworldorder"[outlook.com](mailto:tomorrowsworldorder@outlook.com)

00447745900178

**Persiysta: THE CASE OF TOMORROW'S
WORLD ORDER vs THE LEADERS OF THE
WORLD OF ALL COUNTRIES ON EARTH
WHO HAVE IMPOSED AND FORCED
LOCKDOWN RESTRICTIONS AND
ENFORCED THESE IN RESPONSE TO THE
COVID PANDEMIC OF 2020-2021 THAT
RESULTED IN MORE DEATHS DURING ...**

From: TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER

tomorrowworldorder@outlook.com

To: exclusive@the-sun.co.uk exclusive@the-sun.co.uk, sunmanchester@the-sun.co.uk
sunmanchester@the-sun.co.uk

Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2021, 10:16 AM

Information Received - Review Letter - OTP-CR-5/21

OI

OTP InformationDesk

2021-01-11, Pr 11:05

Madame, Monsieur, Veuillez trouver en pièce jointe une communication du bureau du procureur de la cour pénale internationale. Dear Sir/Madam, Please find attached a communication from the Office of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court. Office

TO

TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER

Kam:

OTP InformationDesk

2021-01-15, Pn 09:05

Thank you for your correspondence dated 11 Jan 2021.

I just want to let the court know how important this case is to everyone including the court. For this case will set a new bench mark or hallmark for how things will proceed from now on.


This is A ONE IN A MILLION CHANCE to revamp, overhaul the global justice system. In the process this will change the court forever by;

1. Empowering the court. Giving the court the power it deserves in that it will become so powerful that no one will challenge it through sanctions or by ignoring its judgement.
2. This will revamp the court's image to make it universal, appealing and fair. The image of the court as targeting african leaders will be a thing of the past. It is universal now as I have given you everyone of the leaders' head on a plate up to of them 200. So dont brush aside too quickly this opportunity. Wait until WHO have finished its investigations.
3. This will make the court fairer and be respected.
4. This puts pressure on the court to modernise recruiting and putting new systems etc.
5. This gives the court room to expand and broaden its scope.
6. This gives the court a direct link to bus as the new global leaders and links to the World Health Organisation. Also to a military branch for enforcement. We have Nato in mind for the meantime until we establish a global military enforcing wing.
7. This signals an end of corruption and unlawfulness and heralds the rise of Tomorrow's World Order.

So please leave the case open for now until all investigations are carried out.

Sincerely

David Gomadza.
Founder and President
Tomorrow's World Order



Nuo: TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER

<tomorrowsworldorder@outlook.com>

Išsiųsta: 2021 m. sausio 21 d., ketvirtadienis 16:13

Iki: stoltenberg.jens@hq.nato.int

<stoltenberg.jens@hq.nato.int>

Tema: Persiųsta: THE CASE OF TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER vs THE LEADERS OF THE WORLD OF ALL COUNTRIES ON EARTH WHO HAVE IMPOSED AND FORCED LOCKDOWN RESTRICTIONS AND ENFORCED THESE IN RESPONSE TO THE COVID PANDEMIC OF 2020-2021 THAT RESULTED IN MORE DEATHS DURING ...

Please find attached documents. If the court approves we might need you to enforce.

Nuo: TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER

Išsiųsta: 2021 m. sausio 6 d., trečiadienis 01:04

Iki: otp.informationdesk@icc-cpi.int

<otp.informationdesk@icc-cpi.int>; Supporter

Communications <sct@amnesty.org.uk>;

nadiaom@libertyhumanrights.org.uk

<nadiaOM@libertyhumanrights.org.uk>

Tema: THE CASE OF TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER
vs THE LEADERS OF THE WORLD OF ALL COUNTRIES
ON EARTH WHO HAVE IMPOSED AND FORCED
LOCKDOWN RESTRICTIONS AND ENFORCED THESE
IN RESPONSE TO THE COVID PANDEMIC OF 2020-
2021 THAT RESULTED IN MORE DEATHS DURING
AND SOON AFTER

Tomorrow's World Order

Global Political Party

tomorrowsworldorder@outlook.com

05/01/2021

The International Criminal Court

Information and Evidence Unit

Office of the Prosecutor

Post Office Box 19519

2500 CM The Hague

The Netherlands or sent by email

to otp.informationdesk@icc-cpi.int or sent by

facsimile to [+31 70 515 8555](tel:+31705158555).

Dear Your Honourable.

THE CASE OF TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER vs THE
LEADERS OF THE WORLD OF ALL COUNTRIES ON
EARTH WHO HAVE IMPOSED AND FORCED
LOCKDOWN RESTRICTIONS AND ENFORCED THESE
IN RESPONSE TO THE COVID PANDEMIC OF 2020-
2021 THAT RESULTED IN MORE DEATHS DURING
AND SOON AFTER THAT. [SEE EVIDENCE 1, 2,3]

Please find attached case documents regarding
lockdowns and the case we present to you today
against the current world leadership and their
dealings with the covid virus pandemic.

Sincerely

David Gomadza

President Founder Tomorrow's World Order

tomorrowworldorder"[outlook.com](mailto:tomorrowworldorder@outlook.com)

00447745900178

**Persijsta: THE CASE OF TOMORROW'S
WORLD ORDER vs THE LEADERS OF THE
WORLD OF ALL COUNTRIES ON EARTH
WHO HAVE IMPOSED AND FORCED
LOCKDOWN RESTRICTIONS AND
ENFORCED THESE IN RESPONSE TO THE
COVID PANDEMIC OF 2020-2021 THAT
RESULTED IN MORE DEATHS DURING ...**

From: TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER

tomorrowsworldorder@outlook.com

To: stoltenberg.jens@hq.nato.int

stoltenberg.jens@hq.nato.int

Sent: Thursday, January 21, 2021, 4:13 PM

Please find attached documents. If the court
approves we might need you to enforce.

Nuo: TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER

Išsiųsta: 2021 m. sausio 6 d., trečiadienis 01:04

Iki: otp.informationdesk@icc-cpi.int

<otp.informationdesk@icc-cpi.int>; Supporter

Communications <sct@amnesty.org.uk>;

nadiaom@libertyhumanrights.org.uk

<nadiaOM@libertyhumanrights.org.uk>

Tema: THE CASE OF TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER
vs THE LEADERS OF THE WORLD OF ALL COUNTRIES
ON EARTH WHO HAVE IMPOSED AND FORCED
LOCKDOWN RESTRICTIONS AND ENFORCED THESE
IN RESPONSE TO THE COVID PANDEMIC OF 2020-
2021 THAT RESULTED IN MORE DEATHS DURING
AND SOON AFTER

Tomorrow's World Order

Global Political Party

tomorrowworldorder@outlook.com

05/01/2021

The International Criminal Court
Information and Evidence Unit
Office of the Prosecutor
Post Office Box 19519
2500 CM The Hague
The Netherlands or sent by email
to otp.informationdesk@icc-cpi.int or sent by
facsimile to [+31 70 515 8555](tel:+31705158555).

Dear Your Honourable.

THE CASE OF TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER vs THE
LEADERS OF THE WORLD OF ALL COUNTRIES ON
EARTH WHO HAVE IMPOSED AND FORCED
LOCKDOWN RESTRICTIONS AND ENFORCED THESE
IN RESPONSE TO THE COVID PANDEMIC OF 2020-
2021 THAT RESULTED IN MORE DEATHS DURING
AND SOON AFTER THAT. [SEE EVIDENCE 1, 2,3]

Please find attached case documents regarding
lockdowns and the case we present to you today
against the current world leadership and their
dealings with the covid virus pandemic.

Sincerely

David Gomadza

President Founder Tomorrow's World Order

tomorrowworldorder"[outlook.com](mailto:tomorrowworldorder@outlook.com)

00447745900178



Our reference: OTP-CR-5/21

The Hague, 11 January 2021

Dear Sir, Madam

The Office of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court acknowledges receipt of your documents/letter.

This communication has been duly entered in the Communications Register of the Office. We will give consideration to this communication, as appropriate, in accordance with the provisions of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court.

Please note this acknowledgement letter does not mean an investigation has been opened, nor that an investigation will be opened by the Office of the Prosecutor.

As soon as a decision is reached, we will inform you, in writing, and provide you with reasons for this decision.

Yours sincerely,

Mark P. Dillon
Head of Information & Evidence Unit
Office of The Prosecutor

David Gomadza
tomorrowworldorder@outlook.com



Notre référence : OTP-CR-5/21

La Haye, le 11 janvier 2021

Madame, Monsieur,

Le Bureau du Procureur de la Cour pénale internationale accuse réception de vos documents / de votre lettre.

Les informations y figurant ont été inscrites comme il se doit au registre des communications du Bureau et recevront toute l'attention voulue, conformément aux dispositions du Statut de Rome de la Cour pénale internationale.

Veuillez noter que cet accusé de réception ne signifie en aucun cas qu'une enquête a été ou sera ouverte par le Bureau du Procureur.

Nous ne manquerons pas de vous communiquer par écrit la décision qui aura été prise à ce sujet, ainsi que les motivations qui la justifient.

Veuillez agréer, Madame, Monsieur, l'assurance de notre considération distinguée.

Mark P. Dillon
Chef de l'Unité des informations et des éléments de preuve
Bureau du Procureur

David Gomadza
tomorrowworldorder@outlook.com



Our Reference: OTP-CR-5/21

The Hague, Monday, 15 March 2021

Dear Sir, Madam

On behalf of the Prosecutor, I thank you for your communication received on 06/01/2021, as well as any subsequent related information.

As you may know, the International Criminal Court (“the ICC” or “the Court”) is governed by the Rome Statute, which entrusts the Court with a very specific and carefully defined jurisdiction and mandate. A fundamental feature of the Rome Statute is that the Court may only exercise jurisdiction over persons for the most serious crimes of concern to the international community as a whole, namely genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes. These crimes are carefully defined in the Rome Statute (Articles 6 to 8) and further elaborated in the Elements of Crimes, adopted by the Assembly of States Parties.

Based on the information currently available, the conduct described in your communication does not appear to fall within these stringent definitions. Accordingly, as the allegations appear to fall outside the jurisdiction of the Court, the Prosecutor has confirmed that there is not a basis at this time to proceed with further analysis. The information you have submitted will be maintained in our archives, and the decision not to proceed may be reconsidered if new facts or evidence provide a reasonable basis to believe that a crime within the jurisdiction of the Court has been committed.

I hope you will appreciate that with the defined jurisdiction of the Court, many serious allegations will be beyond the reach of this institution to address. I note in this regard that the ICC is designed to complement, not replace national jurisdictions. Thus, if you wish to pursue this matter further, you may consider raising it with appropriate national or international authorities.

I am grateful for your interest in the ICC. If you would like to learn more about the work of the ICC, I invite you to visit our website at www.icc-cpi.int.

Yours sincerely,

David Gomadza
tomorrowworldorder@outlook.com

Mark P. Dillon
Head of the Information & Evidence Unit
Office of the Prosecutor



Notre référence: OTP-CR-5/21

La Haye, lundi 15 mars 2021

Madame, Monsieur,

Au nom du Procureur, je vous remercie de votre communication, reçue le 06/01/2021, ainsi que de tout autre renseignement connexe envoyé subséquemment.

Comme vous le savez peut-être, la Cour pénale internationale (ci-après nommée la "CPI" ou la "Cour") est régie par le Statut de Rome, lequel confère à la Cour une compétence et un mandat particuliers et bien définis. L'un des aspects fondamentaux du Statut de Rome est la stipulation que la Cour peut seulement avoir compétence sur les personnes accusées des crimes les plus graves qui touchent l'ensemble de la communauté internationale, notamment le génocide, les crimes contre l'humanité et les crimes de guerre. Ces crimes sont bien définis dans le Statut de Rome (articles 6 à 8) et sont expliqués en profondeur dans le document Éléments des crimes, lequel a été adopté par l'Assemblée des États Parties.

Selon les renseignements dont nous disposons actuellement, le comportement décrit dans votre communication ne semble pas correspondre aux définitions strictes prévues. Par conséquent, comme les allégations ne semblent pas relever de la compétence de la Cour, le Procureur a confirmé qu'il n'existe actuellement aucune base justifiant une analyse plus poussée. Les renseignements que vous avez soumis seront versés dans nos archives, et la décision de ne pas poursuivre l'analyse pourra être revue si de nouveaux faits ou éléments de preuve fournissent une base raisonnable de croire que les allégations relèvent de la compétence de la Cour.

J'espère que vous comprenez que compte tenu de sa compétence, telle qu'elle est définie, la Cour ne pourra instruire bon nombre d'allégations graves. À ce sujet, je vous fais remarquer que la CPI a été conçue pour être le complément des juridictions nationales, et non pour les remplacer. Ainsi, si vous souhaitez poursuivre cette affaire, vous pourrez peut-être songer à la soumettre aux autorités nationales ou internationales compétentes.

Si vous désirez en apprendre davantage sur le travail de la CPI, vous pouvez consulter notre site Web, au www.icc-cpi.int. Je vous remercie de l'intérêt porté à la CPI et vous prie, Madame, Monsieur, de recevoir mes salutations cordiales.

David Gomadza
tomorrowworldorder@outlook.com

Mark P. Dillon
Chef de l'unité des informations et
des éléments de preuve
Bureau du Procureur

Britain government still torturing refugees and practicing slavery.

From: DAVID GOMADZA info@twofuture.world

To: otp.informationdesk@icc-cpi.int

otp.informationdesk@icc-cpi.int

Sent: Wednesday, February 1, 4:45 PM

FAO Judge **Karim Asad Ahmad Khan**

KC

David Gomadza (Global President) & Tomorrow's
World Order v Britain & NHS

Download all materials in the links for free from
GooglePlay

We have exhausted all affordable domestic
courts.

See the judges judgement attached.

Britain is using advanced technological form of
modern slavery where they hack through chipping
people in the name of the monarchy after
granting them refugee status then go on to
torture and abuse them afterwards.

Julian Assange is a test case.

Unjustly wrongly holding refugees to ransom.

This can't be tolerated.

The Nazis did the same with the Jews
experimenting on them.

British brain is meant to abuse others. I remind
the court that they are the ones who invented
slavery.

They are the most evil empire in the whole world

Nazis are fourth on the list.

<https://youtu.be/AVySsZbBNnY>

The worst part is that they are still doing it remotely through electromagnetic nerve tampering and hacking of people at birth or illegally through their doctors. Using pledge of obedience to the monarchy a condition for granting of residence as an event they use to hack refugees who they later abuse. NHS at the center of this abuse.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_COURT_CASE_DAVID_GOMADZA_TOMORROW_S?id=yoAzEAAAQBAJ

Bleaching hairs of black people aging them faster than they should.

Torturing them.

BRITAIN MUST BE STOPPED.

They are taking the people back to the 1660s.

They are killing Ukraine women and children to drive their economy. They are using the Second ANGLO-DUTCH WAR SCRIPT 1665-1667 to enable them to predict what happens and boost elections of 2024.

The war will end in July 2024 for the prime ministerial / presidential elections.

This is evil what is the difference to the Nazis?

They do it hidden through chipping of almost everyone in their country.

Read how they are doing it.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Direct_Response_to_the_Commission_on?id=3NsnEAAAQBAJ

Read our Russia Ukraine War Prediction

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_A_Perfect_Prediction_Russia_Ukraine?id=PmaVEAAAQBAJ

We realized that they are recreating every evil in the past but now digitally through implanted

chips at birth.

Digital modern day slavery

Experimenting on refugees

Genocide of refugees (mostly black)- do you know that their country recognizes only two races. Whites and blacks that includes Asians and blacks but it means Whites and Asians. In America they recognize three races: Whites, Asians and blacks.

Look better treatment of blacks in the USA than in Britain.

Institutional racism is prevalent read our report on race attached.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Direct_Response_to_the_Commission_on_on?id=3NsnEAAAQBAJ

Triggering wars

They are the ones behind the Russia Ukraine war.

Together with the USA using hacking and sanctions to control what happens through hacking.

A hacked person is a hostage.

Mass murder for the civilians in Ukraine.

Do you know the Russia and Ukraine war is a man-made war not by the Russians but by Britain using sanctions and hacking to make Ukraine do what they want. The only country in Ukraine since 2014 making Ukraine deny any negotiations telling them that they can win. But look at the deaths of civilians? Mass Murder.

It's time Britain is hold accountable for their crimes. Think of all the countries Britain has wronged.

Stealing land in the Chagos case to triggering 9/11 and the Iraq war where 1 million civilians died through falsified dosiers. They killed more civilians than Saddam Hussain.

They are making and using digital viruses.

Do you know that they are the ones behind the pandemic. Never be fooled that its China. They sent a person to Chain who had the viruses so that China will be blamed.

We have proof.

They are recreating history.

The pandemic is a recreation of the England pandemic of 1665. Event to event with the number of deaths etc.

We know that the pandemic is followed by the war the Second ANGLO-DUTCH WAR of 1665-1667.

Read our Prediction.

1000000000% accuracy. Simply because they are recreating events then event by event and date by date.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_A_Perfect_Prediction_Russia_Ukraine?id=PmaVEAAAQBAJ

They are using loans IMF and Worldbank loans to hold Ukraine as a hostage so that they do what they want.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Culling_The_Sad_Reality_of_The_IMF_a?id=mMluEAAAQBAJ

Mass murder of civilians Ukraine could simply have agreed to peace. But Britain from 2014 was training Ukrainians giving them a false sense of security.

Influencing things to have control.

This can't be tolerated

They must be held accountable as in the Nuremberg. They are the ones behind the war.

We even predicted what the current government will do based on the 1660s events. Event by event.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_CABAL_SKRPT_1665_70s_script_A_Storie?id=JWCdEAAAQBAJ

I ask you this. Did blacks and Asians in the 1660s have any rights? Do you know that they are putting bills as in the 1660s read their Bill OF Rights Bill.

<https://www.amnesty.org.uk/press-releases/uk-amnesty-and-liberty-stunt-calls-dangerous-bill-rights-be-scrapped>

<https://www.google.com/amp/s/amp.theguardian.com/global-development/2023/jan/12/uk-risks-being-listed-as-a-human-rights-abuser-human-rights-watch>

<https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2023/country-chapters/united-kingdom>

<https://www.hrw.org/news/2023/01/12/human-rights-watch-issues-damning-verdict-uk>

<https://committees.parliament.uk/committee/93/human-rights-joint-committee/news/175586/committee-urges-government-not-to-proceed-with-the-bill-of-rights-bill/>

<https://eachother.org.uk/what-is-in-store-for-human-rights-in-2023/>

They are using digital hacking of all refugees and blacks to remotely abuse them and treat them as slaves.

They only started sending refugees to Rwanda after we exposed them. This is sheer proof of the institutional abuse mainly by NHS who control

even the prime minister who they easily load with viruses look what happened to Boris Johnson. The NHS has more power than the PM himself. Look at the current PM he is only 42 years old and graying strangely. This is proof of digital hacking they are destroying the PM on your watch simply because he is not British. How can white have Grey hairs in their late 50s to 60s and blacks and Asians have hairs in their 40s.

We fight for the PM as well.

The problem is not the PM or the monarchy as they will want you to believe. But the NHS is the one evil committing Genocide of blacks.

Read our case v this evil

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Case_II_October_2021_David_Gomadza_T?id=anRIEAAAQBAJ

If they complain they pretend they are hallucinating or have some mental issues.

Do you know that the Nazis gave the same excuse even if it is beyond doubt that this is a lie. An educated man with highest rewards who have written several books and the global president cant be regarded as hallucinating. How can we predict the future exactly what is going to happen unless they are committing mass murder. See our Russia Ukraine War Prediction attached.

They are torturing us to cause brain damage to cover their evils. If they were innocent why would they try to damage the brain through continuous shaking. I swear evil lurking Nazis better they never tried to hide it. These will do anything to hide their acts but we have exposed all their methods and how they are doing it.

PROOF BEYOND DOUBT.

Our judgement: total annihilation. Hang all flood these evils to death.

The world gave us precedents in sealing with such evils through the Nazis.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Direct_Response_to_the_Commission_on_on?id=3NsnEAAAQBAJ

Evil only answer.

They are very poor like a third world country and just waiting to steal from refugees and to cover that tell lies. Their stealing is behind everything from day one, if you complain then they target that person.

Nazis used to do that. Steal and damage the Jews property then go on to abuse them.

Do you know that their King Edward 1 is the first person to persecute the Jews through the Edict of Eviction of 1295.

Hitler only copied them. They used concentration camps first on the South Africans.

Above all they are the ones who took all the experiments results the Nazis did on the Jews.

It seems they just took over from the Nazi that makes us believe that it wasn't the rescue of the Jews but a take over of the title of most evil.

People like this will never see how wrong this is. They will never see life in the eyes of the victims that they will forever claim they are innocent. Do you know that the Nazis until the day they were hanged never saw anything wrong with their treatment of the Jews and like the Nazis hanging is the only solution.

There is enough documentation of their links to the Nazis. This is a fact.

We have brought cases against these evils for the past ten years with no redress. But we are now hopeful because we have a new judge who is

British as well who might understand our concerns with the British.

If not, military action is the only option left. Russia will be happy to fight for our rights.

Take this complaint seriously.

Please find attached documents.

David Gomadza

Signed 01Feb 2023

Global President

Tomorrow's World Order

www.twofuture.world

info@twofuture.world

01 February 2023

<http://search.electoralcommission.org.uk/Registrations/PP10355>



Our Reference: OTP-CR-253/20

The Hague, Monday, 13 July 2020

Dear Sir, Madam

On behalf of the Prosecutor, I thank you for your communication received 16/05/2020, as well as any subsequent related information.

As you may know, the International Criminal Court (“the ICC” or “the Court”) is governed by the Rome Statute, which entrusts the Court with a very specific and carefully defined jurisdiction and mandate. A fundamental feature of the Rome Statute is that the Court may only exercise jurisdiction over persons for the most serious crimes of concern to the international community as a whole, namely genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes, as defined in the Rome Statute (Articles 6 to 8). The Court may only exercise jurisdiction over crimes committed on or after 1 July 2002 (Article 11). In addition, the Court may only exercise jurisdiction over crimes committed on the territory of a State that has accepted the jurisdiction of the Court or by a national of such a State (Article 12), or where the Security Council refers the situation to the Court (Article 13).

Accordingly, I regret to advise you that your communication appears to relate to matters outside the jurisdiction of the Court. The Prosecutor has therefore confirmed that there is not a basis at this time to proceed with further analysis. The information you have submitted will be maintained in our archives, and the decision not to proceed may be reconsidered if new facts or evidence provide a reasonable basis to believe that a crime within the jurisdiction of the Court has been committed.

I hope you will appreciate that with the defined jurisdiction of the Court, many serious allegations will be beyond the reach of this institution to address. I note in this regard that the ICC is designed to complement, not replace national jurisdictions. Thus, if you wish to pursue this matter further, you may consider raising it with other appropriate national or international authorities.

I am grateful for your interest in the ICC. If you would like to learn more about the work of the ICC, I invite you to visit our website at www.icc-cpi.int.

David Gomadza
davidgomadza@hotmail.com

Yours sincerely,

Mark .P. Dillon
Head of the Information & Evidence Unit
Office of the Prosecutor



Notre référence: OTP-CR-253/20

La Haye, lundi 13 juillet 2020

Madame, Monsieur,

Au nom du Procureur, je vous remercie de votre communication, reçue le 16/05/2020, ainsi que de tout autre renseignement connexe envoyé subséquemment.

Comme vous le savez peut-être, la Cour pénale internationale (ci-après nommée la "CPI" ou la "Cour") est régie par le Statut de Rome, lequel confère à la Cour une compétence et un mandat particuliers et bien définis. L'un des aspects fondamentaux du Statut de Rome (article 11) est la stipulation que la Cour peut seulement avoir compétence sur les personnes accusées des crimes les plus graves qui touchent l'ensemble de la communauté internationale, notamment le génocide, les crimes contre l'humanité et les crimes de guerre, tels qu'ils sont définis dans le Statut de Rome (articles 6 à 8). La Cour a uniquement compétence à l'égard des crimes commis à partir du 1er juillet 2002 (article 11). De plus, la Cour peut seulement exercer sa compétence à l'égard de crimes commis sur le territoire d'un État qui a accepté la compétence de la Cour ou de crimes commis par un ressortissant d'un État qui a accepté la compétence de la Cour (article 12), ou lorsque le Conseil de sécurité défère la situation à la Cour (article 13).

Par conséquent, je regrette de vous informer que votre communication semble porter sur une affaire sur laquelle la Cour n'a aucune compétence. Le Procureur a donc confirmé qu'il n'existe actuellement aucune base justifiant une analyse plus poussée. Les renseignements que vous avez soumis seront versés dans nos archives, et la décision de ne pas poursuivre l'analyse pourra être revue si de nouveaux faits ou éléments de preuve fournissent une base raisonnable de croire qu'un crime relevant de la compétence de la Cour a été commis.

J'espère que vous comprenez que compte tenu de sa compétence, telle qu'elle est définie, la Cour ne pourra instruire bon nombre d'allégations graves. À ce sujet, je vous fais remarquer que la CPI a été conçue pour être le complément des juridictions nationales, et non pour les remplacer. Ainsi, si vous souhaitez poursuivre cette affaire, vous pourrez peut-être songer à la soumettre aux autorités nationales ou internationales compétentes.

Si vous désirez en apprendre davantage sur le travail de la CPI, vous pouvez consulter notre site Web, au www.icc-cpi.int. Je vous remercie de l'intérêt porté à la CPI et vous prie, Madame, Monsieur, de recevoir mes salutations cordiales.

David Gomadza
davidgomadza@hotmail.com

Mark .P. Dillon
Chef de l'unité des informations
et des éléments de preuve
Bureau du Procureur

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Ref. H4QZ4D69

IN THE COUNTY COURT AT BRADFORD

Exchange Square
Drake Street
Bradford

Before **DISTRICT JUDGE HICKINBOTTOM**

IN THE MATTER OF

DAVID GOMADZA (Claimant)

-v-

NHS & ST JAMES HOSPITAL (Defendants)

**THE CLAIMANT appeared as a Litigant in Person
MR SEMPLE appeared on behalf of the Defendants**

**JUDGMENT
15th OCTOBER 2021, 12.17-12.28
(AS APPROVED)**

WARNING: Reporting restrictions may apply to the contents transcribed in this document, particularly if the case concerned a sexual offence or involved a child. Reporting restrictions prohibit the publication of the applicable information to the public or any section of the public, in writing, in a broadcast or by means of the internet, including social media. Anyone who receives a copy of this transcript is responsible in law for making sure that applicable restrictions are not breached. A person who breaches a reporting restriction is liable to a fine and/or imprisonment. For guidance on whether reporting restrictions apply, and to what information, ask at the court office or take legal advice.

This Transcript is Crown Copyright. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part other than in accordance with relevant licence or with the express consent of the Authority. All rights are reserved.

JUDGE HICKINBOTTOM:

1. This claim arises out of an incident which occurred on 25 January 2010. The claimant, Mr Gomadza, in the guise or under the alias of (inaudible) was receiving a course of treatment from the defendants, and within that course of treatment it was decided that it was necessary for what is described as a bone marrow aspiration, was consented to by the claimant, and the operation was undertaken on 25 January.

2. The claimant alleges that within the course of the medical treatment, the defendants or someone acting on their behalf, placed within the claimant's body what he described as an IMD, a tracking device. He knew about this alleged occurrence within 2010 because on 18 October 2010, having failed to attend follow-up appointments, he turned up at the hospital and made the allegation that a tracking device had been inserted on 25 January. That was denied.

3. There was then, so far as the potential claim was concerned, a hiatus. It was not until 2021 that these proceedings were issued.

4. The defendants have made an application to strike out the claimant's claim. They have done pursuant to CPR 3.4(2):

“The court may strike out a statement of case if it appears to the court –

- (a) that the statement of case discloses no reasonable grounds for bringing the claim;
- (b) that the statement of case is an abuse of the court's process or is otherwise likely to obstruct the just disposal of the proceedings; or
- (c) that there has been a failure to comply with a rule, practice direction or court order.”

5. In the alternative they rely on CPR 24.2 which says:

“The court may give summary judgment against a claimant on the whole of a claim or on a particular issue if;

- (a) it considers that –
 - (i) that the claimant has no real prospect of succeeding on the claim or issue; or
 - (ii) that there is no other compelling reason why the case or issue should be disposed of at trial.”

6. Essentially both of those provisions lead us to the test as to whether or not the claimant has a real prospect of successfully pursuing his claim, whether he has a claim that is more than fanciful.

7. There are a number of difficulties that the claimant faces in relation to this case. He complains that a doctor engaged upon the treatment made mistakes. He contends that a doctor was suspended, although I think it is common ground that the doctor was not found guilty of any offence by the GMC ---

CLAIMANT: Sorry, can I just add one thing?

JUDGE HICKINBOTTOM: Yes. of course.

CLAIMANT: This doctor, every time she had no licence to practice? Can you explain why she was operating, why she worked for the hospital? She had no licence.

JUDGE HICKINBOTTOM:

8. The claimant has criticisms of the way in which the operation was carried out. He suggests that the operation should have been carried out within 10 minutes - previously I think he said 20 minutes - whereas in fact it is being claimed that the operation took three hours to complete.

9. It does not appear that the claimant brings this case in negligence, however. Even if something was done wrong in the course of the treatment, that is not a basis upon which the claimant pursues his claim at this stage.

10. His evidence, furthermore, does not include any medical evidence which would be required under the rules if there was some element of personal injury arising out of the treatment that he underwent.

11. The obvious lacuna is evidence of the fact that this IMD was placed within his body as he alleges. He tells me it is still there. It has been there for 11 years. It should not be necessary for him to rely on deduction for a court to reach the conclusion that what he says is true.

12. There could and should be evidence to support that proposition. There could be expert evidence to support the proposition, analysed X-rays or some independent evidence from a doctor that this device remains within him. The only explanation he gives is on the face of it far-fetched. So far-fetched is the allegation, the more important that there be some independent evidence to support the proposition.

13. I do not think that items such as the length of time it took to undertake the operation, the fact that the lumbar spine rather than the hip were involved, or the degree of sedation are matters that directly support the proposition that the IMD was placed within him and still remains within him.

14. So the first and, it seems to me, overwhelming difficulty that this claimant faces is that although he makes the allegation that this IMD was placed within him, there is not a scrap of evidence – independent or expert – to support that proposition.

15. The second overwhelming hurdle he faces is that of limitation. If the case had been put on the basis that negligent treatment had caused pain and suffering on his part, the limitation period would have expired eight years before he proceeded to issue.

16. The next reference is to the Human Rights Act. Under the Human Rights Act, the limitation period is one year beginning with the date on which the act complained of took place. On that basis the limitation period would have expired on 20 January 2011. It is not as though the claimant denies that he knew about this allegation, knew about the facts he now contends produce a claim, by that date. He knew well before that, that the IMD had been

placed in his body, and therefore if there were a breach of the Human Rights Act he was in a position to institute proceedings before the one year period had expired.

17. I accept there is some degree of latitude resting with the court. There is a discretion that would allow the claim to continue. However, there is no reasonable prospect that the court will exercise such a discretion in favour of the claimant. The claim is far-fetched. A considerable period of time ago that the limitation period expired. There is no reasonable explanation given as to why there was that delay. It is met with some response about matters concerning the GMC which are irrelevant to the matter in hand. Furthermore, the Court would not exercise that discretion in favour of the claimant because one of the doctors involved in the exercise has in the intervening period passed away.

18. This claim is fundamentally flawed. There is no evidence of a viable cause of action, and, even if there were, the limitation period to bring this claim has long expired with no prospect of any further latitude being granted to the claimant.

19. The claimant's claim is struck out. I am not concerned – are you – as to whether the claimant is struck out on CPR 3.4, CPR 24 or both, Mr Semple? I will just say it is struck out?

MR SEMPLE: Yes, I think you are entitled just to say it is struck out.

JUDGE HICKINBOTTOM:

20. The claimant's claim is struck out. What about costs?

(There followed a discussion on costs)

This transcript has been approved by the Judge

Claim Number..... H4QZ4D69.....

COURT CASE
DAVID GOMADZA/ TOMORROW'S WORLD
ORDER
V
NHS,
Great Britain.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. Gomadza', with a stylized, cursive script.

David Gomadza
26/07/2021

COURT CASE
DAVID GOMADZA & TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER

V

NHS & ST JAMES HOSPITAL LEEDS HAEMATOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CLINICAL HAEMATOLOGY LEVEL 3, BEXLEY WING, ST JAMES UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL LEEDS, LS9 7TF, TELEPHONE NUMBERS, GENERAL ENQUIRIES, 0113 2068529 or 0113 2068819

Its employees as of 25 /01/ 2010 1] DR ELIZABETH JANE JOHNSON GMC Number 2311339 2] CONSULTANT PRAVEEN CHAUNDRY of LEEDS GENERAL HOSPITAL PAEDIATRICS DEPARTMENT as of 25 January 2010. Address LEEDS CHILDREN HOSPITAL CLAREDON WING, LEEDS GENERAL INFIRMARY, LEEDS WEST YORKSHIRE, LS1 3EX Tel: (0113) 2432799

FOR HUMAN-HACKING, Therefore secret slavery, torture, hostage-taking, human rights abuse, invasion of privacy, tampering with rights to family life, hacking of normal functions with intent to damage, destroy, alter and cause malfunction, acts of aggression towards an individual and ethnic groups, a modern-day genocide of black and Asian people, copyright infringement, stealing of money and information, invasions of privacy related to information, using the FRUITS OF THE POISON to gather information and try to enforce legality based on illegality using human hacking against all international laws, using slavery tactics to gain a competitive advantage, making of digital viruses and using them on ethnics to gather income and collect taxes, and control the people, etc. All these amounts to more than crimes against humanity. I argue that if all put together the accused the NHS is guilty of all above and justice must be done. See parts on the abolition of slavery and how it was a debt-solving strategy rather than an emancipating act. II.

I. https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Irredeemable_Annuities_The_Slavery_A?id=yjoxEAAAQBAJ

II. Therefore all this supports all the above that the accused on behalf of Britain is still practicing secret slavery to control ethnics, gather income and generate revenue in order to solve national debt just as the reason that triggered the slave trade therefore the NHS on behalf of Britain has not changed a bit. The PROOF BEYOND DOUBT to all this is that to keep on recreating slavery conditions as the 1833 times now they are secretly illegally HUMANHACKING people so that they can do with electric diodes implanted in humans what the whip did then. THEREFORE, BEYOND DOUBT GUILTY AS ARGUED. VI. Read also,

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Direct_Response_to_the_Commission_on?id=3NsnEAAAQBAJ


III. VIII. See also; PROOF OF HUMAN HACKING IX.

IV. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HbRd5eu3sCA&t=2s>

David Gomadza Leader Tomorrow’s World Order
Registered in Great Britain Reg 2331788 Electoral Commission
6 Easyby Road Bradford
BD7 1QX United Kingdom

[CURRENT RESIDENTIAL AND POSTAL ADDRESS]

15a Laisteridge lane
Bradford
BD7 1QU
tomorrowsworldorder@outlook.com
davidgomadza@twocoming.com
00447745900178
16/06/2021
Signed
Dg



THE COURT

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

PARTICULARS OF CLAIM

PART 1.

**BETWEEN DAVID GOMADZA DOB 28/06/1976 BRITISH CITIZEN / NI NUMBER SK956852A/ [AKA NICK SHUMBA AS ON 25/01/2010] &TOMORROW’S WORLD ORDER
VERSUS**

**NHS [Resolution/Litigation Authority] Head Office Resolution 8th Floor 10 South Colonnade Canary Wharf, London E14 4PU Tel: 020 7811 2700 Website: www.resolution.nhs.uk
CNSGNotification@resolution.nhs.uk**

A CASE BETWEEN DAVID GOMADZA AKA NICK SHUMBA AS ON 25/01/2021/ ST JAMES HOSPITAL LEEDS also on behalf of Tomorrow’s World Order a political party registered in UK reg 2331788 V THE NHS, the doctors and everyone involved.

- 1) DAVID GOMADZA aka NICK SHUMBA as on 25/01/2010 v The NHS and including the accused doctors and everyone involved.
- 2) Dated 16/06/2021
- 3) BACKGROUND, CIRCUMSTANCES, AND FACTS

- Refer to
- 4) SECTION A BACKGROUND, CIRCUMSTANCES AND FACTS section A pages 1-7 attached.
And
PART B Statement and Arguments of alleged violations of the Human Rights Act: pages 1-24

CONCISE STATEMENT OF THE NATURE OF THE CLAIM

- I. FOR HUMAN-HACKING, Therefore secret slavery, torture, hostage taking, human rights abuse, invasion of privacy, tampering with rights to family life, hacking of normal functions with intent to damage, destroy, alter and cause malfunction, acts of aggressions towards an individual and ethnic groups, a modern day genocide of black and Asian people, copyright infringement, stealing of money and information, invasions of privacy related to information, using the FRUITS OF THE POISON to gather information and try to enforce a legality based on an illegality using human hacking against all international laws, using slavery tactics to gain a competitive advantage, making of digital viruses and using them on ethnics to gather income and collect taxes, and control the people, etc. All these amount to more than crimes against humanity. I argue that if all put together the accused the NHS is guilty of all above and justice must be done. See parts on the abolition of slavery and how it was a debt solving strategy rather than an emancipating act.
- II.
- III. https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Irredeemable_Annuities_The_Slavery_A?id=yjoxEAAAQBAJ
- IV.
- V. Therefore all this supports all the above that the accused on behalf of Britain is still practicing secret-slavery to control ethnics, gather income and generate revenue in order to solve national debt just as the reason that triggered the slave trade therefore the NHS on behalf of Britain has not changed a bit. The PROOF BEYOND DOUBT to all this is that to keep on recreating slavery conditions as the 1833 times now they are secretly illegally HUMAN-HACKING people so that they can do with electric diodes implanted in humans what the whip did then. THEREFORE BEYOND DOUBT GUILTY AS ARGUED.
- VI. Read also,
- VII. https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Direct_Response_to_the_Co_mmission_on?id=3NsnEAAAQBAJ
- VIII. See also; PROOF OF HUMAN HACKING
- IX. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HbRd5eu3sCA&t=2s>

READ ARGUMENTS BELOW AND IN ALL DOCUMENTS TO FULLY UNDERSTAND THAT THE ACCUSED IS NOT JUST EVIL BUT A DANGER TO ALL ETHNICS. A HOSTIS HUMANIS GENERIS AS ARGUED BELOW. ARGUMENTED BY THE FACT THAT THEY STILL CONTROL FORMER COLONIES THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH. SOMETHING THE COURTS MUST LOOK AT IF YOU ARE SERIOUS ABOUT JUSTICE. GIVE THIS CASE CAREFUL CONSIDERATIONS THIS IS MANDATORY.

PRE-ACTION CONDUCT REQUIREMENT SATISFIED.

The steps will usually include—

(a) the claimant writing to the defendant with concise details of the claim. The letter should include the basis on which the claim is made, a summary of the facts, what the claimant wants from the defendant, and if money, how the amount is calculated;

(b) the defendant responding within a reasonable time - 14 days in a straightforward case and no more than 3 months in a very complex one. The reply should include confirmation as to whether the claim is accepted and, if it is not accepted, the reasons why, together with an explanation as to which facts and parts of the claim are disputed and whether the defendant is making a counterclaim as well as providing details of any counterclaim; and

(c) the parties disclosing key documents relevant to the issues in dispute.

All the above satisfied see the following documents attached.

SATISFYING PRE-ACTION PROTOCOLS Mr. Gomadza - Letter 28.07.2015

SATISFYING SOME PRE-ACTION PROTOCOLS Disclosure bundle

SATISFYING PRE-ACTION PROTOCOLS david gomadza

Above all the accused is breaching international laws by carrying out outlawed practices like secret slavery, human hacking, torture, etc. and it would be unreasonable to assume that the accused the NHS would cooperate and try to provide a platform to get it caught and exposed. So, it would be reasonable to expect exactly what happened in this case. Delaying and withholding documents only until timelines had expired. Changing the people dealing with cases that every time a new person in charge would go back to things already covered without any progress. Using other manipulating tactics to delay until all timelines have been exhausted then now provide all the documents. But as I have argued below the issues in this case make the case last for a minimum of 25 years as they are so fundamental to all ethnics and humanity at all. See below for details.

10. Parties may negotiate to settle a dispute or may use a form of ADR including—

(a) mediation, a third party facilitating a resolution;

(b) arbitration, a third party deciding the dispute;

(c) early neutral evaluation, a third party giving an informed opinion on the dispute; and

(d) Ombudsmen schemes.

All these satisfied using the;

Investigation Assistant Enquiry Team General Medical Council Tel: 0161 923 6677 Fax:0161 923 6578

Email: * bgrandis1@gmc-uk.org,

Medical Practitioners Tribunal Service Seventh Floor St James's Buildings 79 Oxford street
Manchester M1 6FQ

European court of human rights council of Europe 670675 Strasbourg Cedex France 670675
Strasbourg Cedex p McCormick legal secretary Reference number 42392/17 They said they is no local redress from the GMC first exhaust all local redress option before they can consider the case. So, I argued that I made correspondence attached in 2010 and in 2015 but no satisfactory outcome. They said I have to lodge another complaint with you before they can consider my case against these two British doctors Dr. Elizabeth Jane Johnson ST James Hematology 2010 and DR Praveen Chaundry St James Hospital pediatrics wing Claredon LS9 7TF January 2010.

Oude Waalsdorperweg 10, 2597 AK The Hague, The Netherlands Oude Waalsdorperweg 10, 2597 AK The Hague, The Netherlands Oude Waalsdorperweg 10, 2597 A international criminal court otp.informationdesk@icccpi.int Complained to the international criminal court they said GMC has to look at the case first and give you feedback before they can look at the case.

World medical association 13, Ch. du Levant CIB – Bâtiment A 01210 FerneyVoltaire France Bâtiment world medical wma@wma.net I complained to all world medical councils and world medical association. I appeal to all prime ministers and all presidents of all countries.

See documents in emails in the Direct Response to the Commission on Race and Ethnic Disparities Report.: Tomorrow's World Order's Perspective

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Direct_Response_to_the_Commission_on?id=3NsnEAAAQBAJ

FUNDAMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS.

GRAVENESS OF THE ISSUE TO OVERRIDE THE TIME LIMIT REQUIREMENTS. ISSUES IN THIS CASE, ARE MATERIAL TO ALL ETHNICS AND HUMANITY AT LARGE AND THE COURT IS COMPELED TO WAIVE TIME LIMITS REQUIREMENTS. ESPECIALLY WHEN IT IS THE ACCUSED AND ITS FOUNDERS WHO DECLARED THE PRACTISES AS ABOLISHED. THAT ALONE WAIVER THE TIME LIMIT REQUIREMENT. SEE ARGUMENTS BELOW.

I ARGUE THAT THE ACCUSED IS TAKING ADVANTAGE OF THE FACT THAT THE COURTS INSIST ON TIME LIMITS WHEN THE ISSUES IN THE CASE HAVE A PERPETUAL EFFECT WITH THE ACCUSED LAYING DORMANT UNTIL ALL TIME LIMITS ARE EXHAUSTED TO COMMENCE EVIL. THAT IS A LOOPHOLE THE COURTS MUST ADDRESS AS WELL. THIS IS ALSO A FACT THAT BRINGS ME TO THIS COURT THE ACCUSED IS MANIPULATING THE SYSTEM TO ITS ADVANTAGE BUT IS THIS FAIR?

REMEDIES SOUGHT

Justice to prevail using the law as a guide on the punishment. The issues in this case, are so grave that only the courts would know the way forward regarding these as they threaten ethnic's existence and humanity at large.

A CLAIM FOR MONEY AND A STATEMENT OF VALUE.

In Britain as I argued in the Irredeemable Annuities: The Slavery Abolishing Act Of 1833: Forget what you heard! Let the truth be told.

- The system is designed so that any court case is for money but how do you apportion the value of a crime so grave that it affects and has been affecting ethnics since 1833 and is still a problem with the accused human-hacking everyone just to recreate the situations then; in 1833 so that it can control the people. Then be able to track all money transactions in order to help solve national debt. Nothing to do with emancipating or redressing the issues it triggered through the slave trade. I argued

below that the need to solve national debt triggered the slave trade through the granting of royal charters as far back as 1600. Ever since as argued in this case the NHS has gone underground and used advanced technology to human-hack ethnics on false accounts to recreate the situation during the slavery era. The proof is the fact that with every move they make, one must be owing this and that. Be it a tax or something in most cases they are inflating figures etc. hiding other information etc. in order to have a grip on ethnics and justify the hacking. This hacking has led to all banned evil practices including torture, etc. To make things worse the NHS's founders Britain is at the forefront of declaring all these things as abolished. Yet they are just substituting the old version of doing things with a more concealed, undetected advanced way of doing the same. Replacing the whip with an electrode diode's 1000volts zap of electric and a radiation gun. So how do you put a value to issues like this as compensation? Do these issues require assigning a value of money to them?

- My point being that if the NHS's founder in Britain are saying these things are so gross and evil that it claimed to have abolished it through acts of parliament and them doing exactly what they have banned themselves would this not trigger a new way of doing things or a way to look at this deeper? Removing all time limits and the need to put a system to check all these raised issues. Even slight accusations along these lines mean that it would be reasonable to argue that the parliament would outright not object to any scrutiny or investigations since it is the one who declared this as outright banned. Only if they are guilty is when they will object to the court investigating and clear them if they are clean or find them guilty as I am arguing. So how to attach a monetary value to such issues?
- Having said that since it is the current system. We are going to put a value not because money is our main goal here, no. The money is not in any way representing the true value of the crimes committed. But a way to make the courts look at this issue with confidence that what we want is justice. I think it is reasonable and arguable to declare outright that the accused NHS and Britain as a whole will have no objections in the court looking at the issues I raised in this case. My view is that if they are willing to ban issues raised here and set itself as an example of other countries then it would not object to having the chance to dismiss the allegations of wrongdoing by its bodies, organizations, or itself or even put things in place to stop this. The value therefore cannot be put in monetary terms if we want to be fair. But for the sake of the court to hear my case. I will put the value at \$10 000 that gives us the chance and a lifeline to take things further and let everyone know that our intentions are for justice and any money out of this is to be plowed back. As our resources are limited and we can't afford the higher courts. See further arguments below.

Statement of value to be included in the claim form

- **16.3**
- (1) This rule applies where the claimant is making a claim for money.
- (2) The claimant must, in the claim form, state –
- (a) the amount of money claimed;
- ~~£1000~~ **£35** amended signed
- (b) that the I [claimant] expects to recover –



David Gomadza

26/07/2021

In the interest of justice, I urge the court to direct all cost to the accused the NHS as a public body that has a duty to everyone. A body in a position of trust and to let its doctors heavily sedate a patient and carry out acts that are contrary to its code of conduct and do nothing. Say a lot about how deeply involved they are in this matter. Most important as our investigations concluded they operate on a scratch my back and I will scratch yours. Covering up everything using the human-hacking to breach rights to privacy and family life separating a family on false accounts. Just to cover for these doctors.

Most importantly is the fact that they know the courts insist on things like time limits and deliberately encourage the doctors especially the junior ones from abroad India being one country as initiation and loyalty to the Crown abuse people. Then wait for time limits to expire then knowing that the courts will be powerless, then go on to abuse at will. In light of this, we direct the courts to direct all costs to the accused NHS.

I recommend the courts to assess this trick if I am correct for the sake of fairness and the points argued above and below about the commitment of Britain to tackle issues it abolished. Look at this and waiver the need to comply with the time limits. An argument which the accused has relied on since 25/01/2010. For a change; considering the time period; investigate the material facts and either find the allegations unfounded or the accused as guilty. What we ask for is for justice to prevail. I think the time that has elapsed and the fact that this is still happening begs for your considerations. For the sake of future generations and justice, the court must take a stance that shows that it is willing to change to the changing circumstances. It is like finding a house with women and children burning and not acting simply because you have no title rights to the property to break in and rescue the people inside. This is the kind of situation this act of relying on time limits presence. It is a challenge, but I don't see why the court can't set a new precedence in the name of justice.

IN ADDITION, I WANT TO RELY ON THE FOLLOWING AGAINST THE NHS, ITS DOCTORS AND EVERYONE INVOLVED.

- 5) I want to point to the court that even though this seemed years ago the abuse is ongoing even now and the accused has hidden behind remotely contactless operated technologically advanced devices which they have implanted and are being operated secretly but as a matter of fact by the accused the NHS.
- 6) I want to point to the court that the accusations are the gravest of all in that the accused and former leaders is the one who declared the crimes in this case as abolished in 1833 referring to slavery yet I am going to prove that centuries later the accused NHS is at the for front of secret slavery. The court is compelled by the law to look at the issues raised in this case in that it has a duty to assess the issues and find the accused guilty that at the very time in question. Technological advancement enabled the accused's masters to abolish slavery in 1833 not because it believed that it was evil. A big no. But because they have discovered and invented a better undetected, concealed method of doing the same. I argue that it only went underground. Declaring that slavery was abolished so they can carry on doing the same secretly and as a clever way to divert attention from itself. This was brought about by the discovery of the electric motor and

electromagnetic nerve tampering at the very point in question in 1831. Michael Faraday's discovery of the electric motor the very technology they are using in implanted medical devices in question being used to torture, enslave, and act as instruments of killing. Pointing to a direct step to commit a crime. Hence the accused guilty. The very system and technology they are using today. So, the court must find out that the accused made it possible to replace the whip with a high voltage emitting device. Meaning replacing the whip with a zap of electricity.

- 7) Further, I want to argue that the crimes highlighted have racial connotations meaning the court has a mandatory duty to look at this case regardless of time limits. Cases that have racial connotations last and are valid at least for 25 years as these are the gravest crimes in history and a repeat or revert to such crimes like slavery should not be brushed aside simply because the time set by the culprit the very person or institution abusing people and still doing the abolished practices have expired. This is nonsense.
- 8) The court has a duty to look at such crimes especially if the accused 's master is the one who declared such crimes as wrong by abolishing them. Then reverting to such crimes nevertheless secretly puts a burden on the court to look at such issues. I, therefore, declare that time limits are irrelevant in this case. Only the truth shall destroy the accused.
- 9) Further, I want to point out the fact that the accused's master namely Britain is the one partly responsible for forming several institutions, bodies like the UN and other courts like the International Criminal courts through statutes like the Rome statutes that exempts them from being tried especially for crimes like the ones in question as the courts won't have jurisdiction to point to them. This means gaps in injustice the very reason for the rise of Tomorrow's World Order.
- 10) It is not a lesser crime if you do the same or even worse but using technology through concealed secret medical devices etc. but to do even more evil. The current system is flawed in that they disregard modern methods of torture for example brought about by high voltage diodes fired deep into the body in favor of marks left by the whip, physical torture, etc. I want the court to look at this case using sequence logic in causal-effect in that if a diode or cathode is implanted in the body it logically follows that it can also emit voltage high enough to torture that person without the court asking for such proof. Picture dog collars and then a secretly fired diode in the left buttock of an individual used to punish someone secretly for whatever reason.
- 11) Secondly, the reason for such devices is to punish or act as death sentences or electrocuting chairs in countries especially where there is a monarchy. Therefore, there is specific intent to kill in the end as there is often no death penalty. So, abuse is also high as there are rewards for killing illegal immigrants for which they might have thought that I was an illegal immigrant. The hospital the accused in particular is funded by the government and is indirectly responsible for controlling borders as well by getting rid of illegal immigrants etc. Nevertheless, still a crime.
- 12) Further, I want to argue that the combination of the crimes in itself renders any time limits, etc. null and void. The accused is carrying out crimes that fall in the Jus Cogens norms that are peremptory and as such no derogation is permitted yet they are breaking all laws. Speaking of torture. I was born in June 1976 the first time the

accused's master Britain was being dragged to court in Ireland's Hooded Men's case for torture. For using the five techniques of torture; namely, hooding, white noise, sleep deprivation, food and water, and putting in a stress position.

- 13) Yet forty-plus years down the line I am the victim of the same techniques only that they have invented hidden clever undetected ways of doing the very same thing if not worse. Just to prove that since 1833 this is what this country and the accused after 1948 have been doing. Taking advantage of technology to go underground and do exactly if not worse the very thing prohibited. In most cases as a clever way to dodge being pointed at in the future denouncing that practice but then use advanced technology to go underground and do just that. But remotely and countless using drone technology etc. The hooding in the past of covering the face with a hood etc. is now replaced by the use of the medical device to rotate the eye's pupil say ninety degrees in the eye socket to block vision so vision is lost with same or even worse traumatic experiences.
- 14) The television without aerial white noises to stress a person has now been replaced by noises recorded using blackboxes implanted in humans as part of the medical devices. Using GPS and the satellite and advanced technology like the Delayed Time-Space Continuum theory they use to record the person's voices and conversations then replay at a given time so that the victim hears his own voice so that they come in and say he was hallucinating. Read below for details. My point here is that we have a clever and manipulating cheating evil monster here who won't stop at anything.
- 15) The court has a duty to all humanity to look at this case and weaver all time limits demands etc. in that if they have nothing to hide you will find them innocent and let them walk away. I want to argue to the court that what is at stake here is serious and gravest in that the accused surely if they were innocent would not stop the court in assessing this just based on time limits. In cases like this if innocent the accused would authorize the court to go ahead because it will know the court will find it innocent.
- 16) What is at stake here overweighs the need for time limits in that to be cleared of such horrendous evil accusations the accused in normal circumstances would challenge the court to find fault. As a way to clear its name as only the court would do that. So, it is reasonable to argue that in cases involving secret slavery, torture, genocide, racism, and racial abuse the accused looking at Britain's stand of abolishing such practice in 1833 as bad would now not oppose a request by us for the court to investigate.
- 17) **Slavery Abolition Act, (1833)**, in British history, act of [Parliament](#) that abolished [slavery](#) in most British colonies, freeing more than 800,000 enslaved Africans in the Caribbean and [South Africa](#) as well as a small number in [Canada](#). It received Royal Assent on [August 28, 1833](#), and took effect on August 1, 1834.
- 18) <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Slavery-Abolition-Act>.
- 19) My main argument here as I will explain later under the 1750 Royal Company Act is that Britain used the company formation act a law that deals with the opening and closing of companies to wind up an insolvency company as defined by the law of that statute and NOTHING AT ALL to do with the abolishing of slavery as in human suffering etc. I will later explain that the compensation was an act required by the law in dealing with companies established by Royal Charters that experience problems and nothing to do

with the abolishing movement etc. The compensation paid is a requirement in dealing with insolvency companies. Not an act of kindness and empathy towards those enslaved is evidenced by the fact that no one received compensation.

- 20) BUT above all the transfer of the company's assets to the Crown therefore the government. A major factor that explains all cases dealing with people of slave descended from the areas once assets of the slave traders were now transferred to the government. That all these people are British assets acquired when they abolished the joint-share companies of the West Indian company etc. that traded slaves. The very fact that the British refuse to offer compensation to the Windrush people from a colony whose assets at windup were transferred to the British government. So why would they pay compensation when the people were at the time regarded as British assets? All the other arguments are just excuses.
- 21) I want to argue that the Parliament therefore the government was sued by the creditors who were city merchants who provided credit to the slave traders who argued that the passing of the 1807 act and the royal prerogatives of demanding that the slave traders were carrying out public duties and to continue doing that for the benefit of the British Empire and its colonies were wrongful trading. This is because the 1807 act restricted the trading of slaves bringing them from abroad which was the lucrative part of the business. Meaning that the last leg from these slave-trading trips would be eliminated. The most lucrative trip where slaves, goods from the West Indies like sugar and tobacco, and exchange bills will be brought to be traded and exchanged in England was missing. The only trip that would bring wealth and profits to the city merchants and every shareholder most of which were members of parliament. The passing of such an act was an act against the interest of the shareholders and a direct act of Parliament therefore at odds with the interest of the company.
- 22) The main argument being that the companies are registered or chartered by parliament or the crown and as such must act in its interest as well. Banning slavery after giving it the royal charter an approval to trade in such a way was against the company law. As such the government at fault as the company was legally incorporated as joint-share-ventures meaning for profit. Such an act was contrary to the interests of the shareholders most members of parliament. So, the city traders and on behalf of the slave traders and owners accused the government of not acting in their best interest therefore guilty. As such must pay compensation from the year the first act was established in 1807 to 1833. This is the compensation the government was paying to all these slave owners for the loss of income in perpetuity.
- 23) So the directors in this case the government can be sued by the creditors the city merchants owed by the slave traders for enacting the 1807 slave trade that contradicts the aims of a joint-stock company of that of maximizing profits leaving the company as a public company. To avoid being sued after the winding up of the Royal African Company established just that: a non-profit company that will regulate and provides an environment that facilitates free trade of slaves. Therefore, indebted to them and responsible for providing a stipend. Compensation for subsistence.

- 24) One can argue that this was a tactical plan by the government to take over from the slave traders an argument throughout the case that it was not liberation but a takeover. Visit the Royal African Company of 1750. They created the act to put these into insolvency, so they easily hand over the assets for a fraction of the actual value of the assets.
- 25) I want to point to the court that this was cashflow insolvency where the traders had slaves who they can't easily liquidate as cash to pay for the debt. Therefore, stepping in to get assets at a fraction of their true value. Continuing with the abuse and then establish the commonwealth to carry on treating these as their assets in the name of emancipation nevertheless secret slavery.
- 26) What were parliament options on the insolvency of the West Indian Company and others together with other slave owners?
- 27) Liquidation.
- 28) This is what happened. The 1833 Act just like the 1750 act before that liquidated the West Indian Company and all other companies dealing with slave trading.
- 29) The listing of all slave traders and owners was a requirement by law to disclose all assets.
- 30) I want to highlight to the court also that if the situation in Britain had changed this oldest **Anti-Slavery International**, founded as the **British and Foreign Anti-Slavery Society** in 1839, would have at least changed the scope and focus. The fact that it is still focused on anti-slavery centuries later here in the UK points to issues of secret slavery. Surely, we would have assumed it to have changed focus, etc. It is an [international non-governmental organization](#), [registered charity](#)^[3], and [advocacy group](#), based in the [United Kingdom](#). It is the world's oldest international [human rights organization](#) and works exclusively against [slavery](#) and related abuses.
- 31) *"In 1833, Britain used £20 million, 40 percent of its national budget, to buy freedom for all slaves in the Empire. The amount of money borrowed for the Slavery Abolition Act was so large that it wasn't paid off until 2015. Which means that living British citizens helped pay to end the slave trade."*
- 32) [tweet from the British Treasury official Twitter account](#)
- 33) The British Treasury's repayment of the slavery loan as late as 2015 makes slavery very much a contemporary concern. Continued racial discrimination and Caribbean impoverishment reveal [the long shadow slavery cast](#).
- 34) <https://www.aaihs.org/british-culpability-in-the-trading-and-enslavement-of-africans/>
- 35) Why would they still linger around when they know there was no more slavery abolished by the UK?

36) According to Anti-slavery international Modern slavery in the UK

37) *Modern slavery in the UK can take many forms, including forced sexual exploitation, domestic slavery, or forced labor on farms, in construction, shops, bars, nail bars, car washes, or manufacturing. Forced labor is the most common form of slavery in the UK, fueled by a drive for cheap products and services, with little regard for the people behind them. A growing form of slavery is trafficking into crime. In the UK, it's fueled by the trafficking of primarily British children, forced into 'county lines' drug trafficking. Vulnerable British people are also targeted, especially children from disadvantaged backgrounds, to be groomed into drug criminal gangs in so-called 'county lines' trafficking.*

38) I want to argue also that this case reveals who is really behind this so-called modern-day slavery. I want to draw the attention of the courts to the fact that this case highlights that the accused the NHS and not the gangs are the ones behind all this trafficking and child grooming. First, I want to highlight to the court that;

39) It is the accused the NHS who illegally and at birth human-hack everyone especially these kids who end up being groomed by the gangs. Weakening them by hacking them and exposing them.

40) It is this accused who makes these kids vulnerable first by removing the breadwinner of the house in the so-called Colony Collapse strategy see emails Where the accused and their accomplices the police and council staff create work for themselves and remain viable targets the breadwinner. Setting him or her up. Torturing him or her so that he is hooked on drugs. Or spy on him or her through GPS, blackboxes, etc. to see if he gets a loan then carrying out a scorched earth campaign to restrict sources of help so that he ends up without a job and unable to pay the loan. That weakens him or her so that in the end they have access to his or her children.

41) Mind you all hacked up at birth. Who is then tortured and sexually stimulated remotely through electromagnetic genital tampering making them stimulated to turn them into child prostitutes? Or torture them until they start self-harming. That makes them reclusive in that they are taunted by the accused through hospital ambulance and police sirens until they seek help underground from gangs who supply drugs to ease the pain in return ask them to work for them.

42) Once again, I want to point out that it is the accused central to all this. It is the accused who torture the father remotely and secretly that in the end, he takes his own life blackmailed and, in most cases, so that his children are left alone. But the accused the NHS goes in like an animal to torture the kids too to drive their agendas. Promotion for drugs, helping with the safety of the monarchy by getting everyone hacked. Give the groomed kids as gifts to billionaire celebrities for their money and have strong reasons to kill them using radiation in the end. The parent only takes his life to protect the children. But the accused goes on to further groom and abuse the children through the teaching hospitals. The accused then loads the groomed kids with watermarks in the form of viruses and gives them as gifts. Then to prove that say the billionaire celebrities accepted the gifts link the viruses etc.

43) I want to point to the court that we don't care why they do it we are saying that the problem is not even the gangs, but the accused here in the NHS. This is my argument.

- 44) The accused human hacked everyone from birth apart from the foreigners who are the targets and whom the children are used. So, the accused and their accomplices have full knowledge of the situation as everyone is hacked. I want also to point out that the accused has in the past deliberately caused disability in families especially ethnics like the Asian community so that they hack at least a member of the family for surveillance purposes.
- 45) Implanting recording devices and surveillance instruments like GPS in almost every house. This is how evil this accused is your honor. This is beyond evil stances. Using fart and hydraulics to spoil the eggs and embryos using the hacking devices in almost everyone born here. So that they spy on every house and using the disability to conceal their evil hacking practices.
- 46) I want to point to the court that they will use this disability argument to justify the human hackings, but I want the court to not be fooled. The accused is so intelligent and advanced that it is them deliberately causing disability hiding behind the fact that say Asians marry relatives etc. Since the beginning of times there have always been these kinds of relationships but not with the rates of disabilities in here.
- 47) So, I am arguing that even the gangs are known to them in that most are human hacked, and all have been given what the British call protection. A way similar to death row whether they are asked to take part to help these with jobs as the accused acts like a film director and recreates scenes. Where the gangs or anyone on protection will take part in most cases often left to go until the day, they ambush him and kill him. Visit all incidents in the UK. Take the 7/7 bombings for example there were two teams and often one was rehearsing what then to happen on the other end. If you look at how the terrorist as they call them carry out this fooling everyone will only point to what this case is revealing that the accused has broken all international laws and took the laws into its own hands.
- 48) Thereby acting like a film director to create jobs and remain viable and direct the terrorist bombing commanding and directing everyone. This is only possible through this human hacking and all this secret remote control.
- 49) My main argument is that Britain uses a secret sign-mirroring language, and everything is structured and institutionalized and directed from the center to the bottom. Britain uses numbers to send messages etc. I argued that as far as the time of King Edward I through the Edict of Eviction of the Jews. The government has rewarded the landlords, councils, and everyone by allocating funds from the center as rewards through tax benefits, etc. for carrying out task orders by the government. Tasks like helping expel illegal immigrants, reporting illegal immigrants, etc. See hospital's practice of reporting all illegal immigrants to the home office etc. They use figures in the pool of funds released etc. to tell the people what is needed by the government and why etc.
- 50) Take example an announcement by the Home Office.
- 51) Home Secretary Priti Patel and Health Secretary Matt Hancock yesterday announced a £148 million new investment to cut crime and protect people from the scourge of illegal drugs. First, ask yourself why £148 million? Why not 168 million? Or 170 million? This is a call to action. A clear message that the money is a tax reward to those who release 14-year-olds for duties of the monarchy for taxes. It is an order to use torture on 14-year-

olds. So that they start cutting themselves showing signs of self-harm so that they go and seek help from only one place a person in that situation will go. To the drug dealer. These people can't go to the hospital etc. first they know who exactly is torturing them. Through the implanted devices. Surely you would not expect them to go for help to the NHS who is behind this. The only source of help is the gang who supplies drugs to ease the pain. Who in turn asks them to work for them? This triggers the need for more police officers who now have to deal with these gangs who are drugging their kids etc. but everyone is human hacked, and the real culprit here is the accused. The real gang leader.

52) These are my arguments.

53) It all follows a pattern.

54) The central authority sends a message to trigger action.

55) Thursday 21 Jan 2021 The Tax Rewards

56) Home Secretary Priti Patel and Health Secretary Matt Hancock yesterday announced a £148 million new investment to cut crime and protect people from the scourge of illegal drugs. The £148 million package takes a system-wide approach to the problem of illegal drugs. It gives extra resources to law enforcement to dismantle organized criminal gangs and tackle the supply of drugs. At the same time, it delivers more money for drug treatment and recovery to help cut drug-related crime and the cycle of misuse and reoffending. It represents the largest increase in drug treatment funding for 15 years.

57) Look at the combination of the Home Office in charge of the police etc. and the Health secretary in charge of the NHS, hospital, etc. This is not a coincidence, but the health secretary approves the use of in this case the pandemic to get to all mainly 14 years old as the nurses illegally use human hacking to kill the parents or to torture the 14-year-olds mainly girls.

58) The next few weeks etc. are marked by reports of self-harm of this group. Ready to dispatch it through torture and scorched earth policy to only one possible source of help; the gangs.

59) 16 February 2021 The torturing of teens preparing them for dispatch out in the world to gangs.

60) 'Concerning' rise in pre-teens self-injuring.

61) Hospital admissions of nine to 12-year-olds because of self-injury across the UK are averaging 10 a week, according to data analyzed by a BBC [File on 4](#) investigations. Can you see that the age group is the one that can't run away from home and most don't know who is behind and end up in the hospital? But the real wanted group the 13 to 15 group does not seek help from the hospital as they know who is doing the torture. The accused. These are the ones who seek gang help to stop the torturing pain.

62) Sarah's self-harm wasn't spotted until more than a year later when a teacher noticed scars on her arm. "I got referred to CAMHS [Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services] and there was **a long wait**, which is quite typical, and then I spiraled.

63) Note here the emphasis. Long waits because they went to the wrong source of help, so the hospitals use delaying tactics to put them off and divert them to gangs in the end.

Imagine if this is as bad as they want to picture it that they will make them wait for queues etc. unless they wanted them to go somewhere else for help.

- 64) "And the moment I saw CAMHS for the first time, I got discharged because I wasn't severe enough at the time," she says.
- 65) Last spring, an [online peer-support course called Alumina](#) led to her stopping completely and she has not hurt herself for eight months.
- 66) Note the disguise of the process of gang drug-related method by naming the same process as Alumina. The reference to the needed aluminum foil needed for taking the gang drugs. This is not a coincidence. The accused is a manipulating evil monster that if left unpunished the lives of these kids are in danger.
- 67) A quarter of 14-year-old girls in the UK have self-harmed, report finds
- 68) More than 100,000 children aged 14 in the UK are self-harming, with one in four girls of this age having deliberately hurt themselves, according to a new report.
- 69) In figures that show the scale of the mental health crisis affecting young people, the Children's Survey analyzed a survey of 11,000 14-year-olds which found that a quarter of girls and nearly one in 10 boys had self-harmed in a year.
- 70) The charity estimated that 110,000 children aged 14 may be self-harming, including 76,000 girls and 33,000 boys.
- 71) My greatest argument is the fact that the accused is no different from the gangs. Yes, you heard me correctly. The accused is an illegal drug grower and owner. Yes, the accused has heroin plantations. What is their difference from gangs who sell heroin etc.? The accused is indeed the kingpin and I want to argue that the gangs get their drugs from the accused. I don't care whether indirectly etc. The accused grows heroin that ends up in the hands of the gangs. All these talks about drugs being imported from Europe are baseless. The accused is creating work for these through the so-called protection. In which it places everyone on death row and then asks them to earn freedom on daily basis by performing illegal acts like selling heroin. In most cases letting them go until that time when as we will see the central authority will have recruited enough police force to justify such numbers.
- 72) <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1028504/The-opium-fields-England--heroin-producing-poppies-grown-make-NHS-pain-relief-drugs.html>
- 73) *The opium fields of England... heroin-producing poppies grown to make NHS pain-relief drugs It is a crop more usually associated with the drug lords [gangs] of Afghanistan. But these lilac poppies are growing much closer to home. Stretching out across acres of rolling*

Hampshire fields, they will be harvested later this year to produce pain-relieving drugs for the NHS.

- 74) **Leading doctors say Afghanistan's opium-poppy harvest should be used to tackle an NHS shortage of diamorphine.**
- 75) The British Medical Association says using the poppy fields in this way, rather than destroying them, would help Afghans and NHS patients.
- 76) But here is the problem.
- 77) But the UK and Afghan governments reject using the poppy fields to address the UK's diamorphine shortage. So, there is no government direct approval for the use that leaves unethical methods like the one employed above. Where the actual drugs are brought but diverted then the gangs are advised to use corn yes roasted sweet corn that is ground to a powder and sold as heroin to unsuspecting customers who are then given the buzz feelings by the hospital through the hacking devices through electromagnetic nerve tampering. Dr. Vivienne Nathanson, head of science and ethics at the BMA, said it was time for a new approach. "If we were harvesting this drug from Afghanistan rather than destroying it, we'd be benefiting the population of Afghanistan as well as helping patients and not putting people at risk.
- 78) <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/health/6287975.stm>
- 79) The Afghan authorities - backed by the UK government - reject the idea of local licensing to produce poppies for medicines. They're stepping up their program of poppy crop eradication, and prosecution of drug traffickers. This brings me to the next stage in all this. The recruitment drive of police officers.
- 80) Age-related drug-taking is implicated also by the number of funds released.
- 81) **Among 17-year-olds in the UK, one in 10 will have used hard drugs, such as ketamine and cocaine, a study suggests.**
- 82) **Race-related drug-taking implicating the systemic nature of this.** Drug-use rates were higher among white teens than black teens. Help explain that blacks are targets. According to the Office for National Statistics, **overall drug use among 16-24-year-olds in 2020 was much lower** than it was in the late 1990s.
- 83) The study found that as these young people "approached adulthood, reports of anti-social behaviors mostly remained stable or declined compared to rates at age **14**".
- 84) The age in question is related to the number of funds available. UCL's Aase Villadsen said she was surprised how the findings showed "**the peak in anti-social behaviors in this generation has been reached earlier than usual and rates** have already started to come down by age 17".
- 85) <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-55730999>
- 86) 31 March 2021
- 87) +8,771 police officers provisional uplift figure as of 31 March 2021
- 88) 14 April 2021.
- 89) County lines gang 'recruited teen in 80 minutes via Snapchat'

- 90) Stories about county lines gangs recruiting teens start appearing everywhere. **A teenager has told how he was groomed by criminals to sell heroin and crack cocaine after being recruited through a post on Snapchat.**
- 91) Two years ago, aged 14 and wanting to run away from home, he responded to a message offering cash-in-hand work and accommodation, on the social media app.
- 92) The boy, who has asked to remain anonymous, said he was made to hide drugs inside his body.
- 93) I want the court to know also that it is true that at this age the children discover in shock that they are hacked by the accused. This is a point the accused start tampering with their genitals passing electric current to stimulate them pretending to help them grow faster but grooming them. Confused and scared the first instinct is to run away from home.
- 94) Two years ago, aged 14 and **wanting to run away from home.**
- 95) <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-kent-56717403>
- 96) Here you can see how easily everyone blames the gangs when the issue, in the beginning, is to do with the torture by the accused who abuse these so that they run away from home. Who is having a problem caused by gangs will run to the gangs and away from home? So, from outset, the accused is the culprit. So that the teenagers end up with the help of the gangs who provide drugs first to alleviate the pain. Most themselves having been tortured to breaking point by the accused the NHS and now on death row earning their freedom earning days alive etc. for helping these first. Until they are hooked that they start working for them for a fix.
- 97) I argue that the accused is a clever manipulating crook who knows exactly what he wants and how the teens will end up with the gang.
- 98) Further, your honor I want to point to the fact that the accused here is recruitment staff to push its drug and then destroy the evidence in the end by getting these arrested or even killed by the police they will recruit in the end after making a kill in sales.
- 99) I want to remind the court once again that we are dealing with a drug dealer. The kingpin uses remote torture and nerve tampering through implanted medical devices to torture and traumatizes teens and recruit them to work for drug dealers. Making them run away from home. I want to emphasize that the teens are not lured from home by the gangs. Not that I am siding with the gangs. No. But the court must see the accused as it is; a clever manipulating crook who declares that something is wrong just as in abolishing slavery then finds a clever way of doing exactly that same thing but secretly and underground. Then after marking all the people he wants then gets rid of the evidence. The gangs who most are under the so-called protection. After destroying the credibility of these teens who might report them as this is the age of discovery will then pretend to have hacked the kids because of the drugs they took after running from home.
- 100) But a lie as the accused is covering its tracks of illegally hacking everyone at birth. Now the teens are known to them they have nothing to worry about. The very things they are doing to nearly hacked to cover up their evil acts.

- 101) I want the courts to know that I am not saying that all cases are by the accused by I bet the majority has a lot to do with the torturing and running from the home of these teens.
- 102) Why would the accused do all this? Alibi.
- 103) It is a drug dealer owning poppy fields.
- 104) It has to cover its tracks of illegally hacking everyone at birth by damaging the credibility of these teens as they enter the period of discovery when they first notice that someone else plays with their private parts remotely using drone technology.
- 105) Remain viable. I argued through emails etc. that the accused the NHS has become obsolete through the years as health improved to an extent that they are making digital viruses recreating past situations like England 1665-1666 pandemic. Killing millions of innocent people so people find how useful they are. There can never be an excuse for creating some diseases that nearly wiped out humanity.
- 106) Simply because they can and who is to stop them? Lack of an effective court to deal with the accused.
- 107) Test their digital and bioengineered viruses which they use as watermarks to mark the teens to be groomed who they then end up giving to the billionaires linked to the monarchy etc. as gifts trapping them so that they kill them, in the end, using radiation, etc. through the hacking device.
- 108) To groom and use them as prostitutes to weaken the to-be-powerful opposing of the government or monarchy etc.
- 109) To create jobs for everyone and justify the injection of cash into the system that is used to recruit more staff etc.
- 110) To create mental health jobs etc. and generate support and sympathy as the people won't see that they are the ones behind all this causing all this suffering.
- 111) To enforce tougher immigration laws by proving that not just gangs but all ethnic foreigners are not right for the country as they are the ones abusing their holy angels by hooking all on drugs. To justify a tough stance towards foreigners especially gangs as the ones spoiling their angels when it is the accused through the hacking that is now exposed causing all these problems.
- 112) Mainly as I will argue throughout to hide a system of racial abuse that amounts to structured racism in which the accused on behalf of the government systematically target all ethnics BAME for destruction, hacking all and controlling when all dies in a genocide tendency by weakening all and speeding all to grey fast. Loading all with watermarks and viruses until they are dead is a way of keeping their people undiluted. I argue that this is the main idea to get rid of all foreigners as during King Edward 1 with his Edict of Eviction of 1290. In return get tax rewards just as they offered rewards to anyone who helped get rid of the Jews then.
- 113) Carrying out a Colony Collapse Syndrome where they target blacks and then torture them to breaking points making them take drugs before killing them. Then take over their kids and raise them through the teaching hospital etc.
- 114) To entice inhumane degrading treatment towards ethnic minorities. To incite violence and hatred among foreigners to create jobs for themselves as these are attacked etc. raising hospital numbers or police incidents etc. To further abuse the

victims by rallying the masses against them using the hacking devices to locate and identify them and the surrounding people and ask not to help them in a scorched earth policy. Then if not hacked end up easily getting them hacked.

- 115) To paint a picture where evil is associated with foreigners who are often gangs etc. and create fiction in society. That not all foreigners are angels but we don't want a situation where a scorched earth policy has been used to corner them in this way where the accused hack everyone and at a radiation-point then command everyone in the name of the monarchy to do evil or die at their hands. See arguments of hostage-taking and hijacking by the accused below. That to some extent the blame so as the punishment has to be apportioned with the accused being made accountable for its action and brought to justice too.
- 116) I want to argue too that an illegal act can never be used and justify a legal act. In that, a hacking done illegally by the accused whether the accused and the doctors concerned should be brought to justice and punished is instead used to further abuse everyone and the evidence used to enforce all this. When the whole system is at fault when the accused should be tried in court for all acts of evil from hacking at birth or knocking the victim unconscious by heavily sedating them etc.
- 117) Then use all forbidden practices like torture, secret slavery, hostage-taking, nerve tampering, genocide and making of viruses, etc. all against international laws then expected to use all this to punish the victims, etc. The gangs if they are to fall let them fall but the accused must fall too. Especially worse for it has breached peremptory norms. International laws that fall in the Jus Cogens where derogation is not permitted. That overrides the acts by everyone else in that the accused must face tougher punishment instead.
- 118) As it is the biggest culprit and the gangs etc. now if they can prove that they are hacked too can prove that they were indeed working for the accused directly as it gave commands through the hacking using the Auxiliary unit's language and techniques of spies and advanced electromagnetic nerve tampering. Like the passing of electric currents or zapping the victim to action.
- 119) The courts must look at this in the light that they might never have come across something like this, but this is happening, and the courts must deal with the accused accordingly as a Hostis Humanis generis. An enemy of the people because if not stopped the accused will pose a threat to the survival of the ethnics. As it is carrying out an eradication scheme through colony collapse strategy where they say foreigners have a half-life cycle and must all be buried here without anyone living. Thereby greying everyone faster to kill half the age their white counterpart dies.
- 120) We want to argue also that the use of teens this way is associated with kings and queens that are monarchies of the past centuries where the king request all fourteen-year-old to carry out monarchical duties by volunteering etc. or forced to be given as gifts to monarchies enemies. Or tortured so that they run away to seek help. Meaning acting as trojans then when accepted the king sends his soldiers to retrieve them and kill everyone. The main reason being that the King will not be blamed for eliminating his enemies this way.

- 121) But I want to point to the courts also that this same king or monarchy would kill the very same age for treason. Meaning if old enough to be killed for treason then the same person is old enough to be offered help. It is like the accused torturing these first so that they run away from home only that that could not be proved all these years but can be now. Meaning, whatever happens, is a direct act of the accused's act. Therefore, also hugely to blame.
- 122) This is because the accused is also helping the gangs by zoning the teens to them using technology to link the gang to the teens through the hacking devices with GPS, and infrared search where the device linked to a phone can be used to search and link gangs to teens. The accused is in the business of making things happen. Matchmaking the tortured teen to a gang with a stash of its heroin from its fields most believed to be stolen from it the NHS by nurses and hospital staff etc. Or to one with roasted grounded cornmeal who they protect with meaning finding sources of income for him or her by making him sell fake heroin for a living and the accused soothing the victim remotely through the implanted devices. Or simply stopping the torture when in the dealer's circle or vicinity. So that the victim clings to the dealer so that when the accused sends his accomplices to the police etc., they will have incriminating evidence that will enable them to get a conviction, etc.
- 123) But is this fair or it is a gross form of institutional racism where the accused goes to length through a scorched earth policy corner the gangs then use a trojan to trap them and attack to get rid of the ethnics.
- 124) I want the court to know that we don't side with gangs. We are against drugs etc. but where the accused is breaching all international laws most peremptory Jus Cogens laws are not supposed to be broken. Laws that make any other laws in conflict with them void then it is open to us to suggest to the court that the accused and its accomplices can't be trusted and scrutiny must be done first to assess the situations where ethnics end up dead in police custody or through raids etc.
- 125) Where there are slight tendencies to carry out the abolished practices that also hint at cover-ups for institutional racism by the accused therefore the courts must investigate fully.
- 126)
- 127) Further on the above point. I want to argue that Britain therefore the accused of the NHS has already given us indirect approval to investigate and for the court to look at any issues that relate to things they have abolished themselves. I want to argue to the court that the 1833 Slavery Abolishing Act has given us express rights to bring cases of secret modern-day slavery against any British institution.
- 128) **Slavery Abolition Act, (1833)**, in **British** history, the act of Parliament that **abolished slavery** in most **British** colonies, freeing more than 800,000 enslaved Africans in the Caribbean and South Africa as well as a small number in Canada. It received Royal Assent on August 28, 1833 and took effect on August 1, 1834.
- 129) The Slavery Abolition Act of 1833 abolished slavery in the British dominions, and a sum of £20 million was granted by Parliament to compensate the slave proprietors. The actual payment of the compensation claims awarded by the Slave Compensation

Commissioners was made by the national debt commissioners under the Treasury warrant.

- 130) Irredeemable Debt Refinancing the government debt.
- 131) I want to argue that the abolishing of slavery had nothing to do with the fact that this was an evil act or for empathizing with the victims no. This was a new scheme to improve Britain's national debt. I will first look at a similar earlier case. An Act of Parliament gave the South Sea Company charter rights to help solve national debt by issuing new shares for every annuity surrendered. The aim was to reduce debt by issuing annuities that would be converted into the South Sea Company stock. The government would then pay interest and annuity yearly while it hands on the loan until it repays it. All holders of company debt at the time in question in 1720 were to surrender it to a new company that had been formed by a new charter in return the company would issues shares. The government then would make an annual payment to the company equal to 5-6% plus expenses. This would then be divided to shareholders as dividends. The company had to offer a new loan to the government at the time roughly 750 000
- 132) This meant the government trading more debt for equity in its quest to solve the national debt. After 5 years the interest rates would drop from 5-6% to 4%.
- 133) Total government debt was 50 million
- 134) 18.3 to large corporations.
- 135) 3.4 Bank of England
- 136) 3.2m British Indian Company
- 137) 11,7 by the South Sea Company
- 138) 16.5m was privately held redeemable debt.
- 139) Check-in comparisons to the debt at the time of the 1833 emancipation of slaves. Check how many people in England received what and what amount remained in Britain.
- 140) The values are the same.
- 141) But also, was irredeemable debt. This was 15m of irredeemable annuities which were long-term fixed annuities of 72-87 years and short annuities of 22 years remaining to expire.
- 142) Irredeemable annuities were annuities that could not be bought back. This meant these were had for the government to reduce or payback because interest was always high and could not sell these for fewer interest debts and in most cases often missed repayments ending up owing a debt of up to 10 million.
- 143) The purpose of this national debt refinancing scheme believed that debt holders and annuitants might prefer to receive less in return in total but an illiquid investment in shares of a company like the South Sea Company that is easily and readily traded. All government debtors were therefore expected to surrender the debt for company stock.
- 144) The purpose to consolidate and reduce the national debt and the cost of the debt. The charter given had given a monopoly to the South Sea Company to trade in slaves supplying the South Sea and the South Americas.

- 145) I want the court to know that the Irredeemable annuities here were metaphorically represented by the slaves themselves in dealing with the slave traders. Don't forget these traders were also considered as carrying out public service of supplying slaves were needed for the expansion of the British empire. In financial circles, an irredeemable annuity is defined as a retirement income product that you buy with some or all of your pension pot. It pays a regular retirement income either for life or for a set period. Normally these retirement products are issued by insurance companies.
- 146) This is relevant here as you will see that the abolishing act was enacted so that it specifically deals with these making it possible for the government to be able to clear the debt it owes the slave traders, and everyone involved.
- 147) Every effort to reduce the national debt through the new scheme was working but the only problem was to do with these irredeemable debts. These debt contracts gave the traders an upper hand in that forever as long as there are there the government will forever be indebted to them. The main reason being that the government had no right to interfere or redeem these. These were private property where the government could not compel the holders of this type of debt to sell it back. This meant that government could not pay for these as they were associated with high interests. That also meant failing to pay for these. This meant that the debt-for-equity swap was not a success when it comes to these.
- 148) This is true as I will point later that creditors accused the government of still trading in slaves despite the 1807 abolishing act. They could argue that they were carrying out public service for the Crown as they were bound by the royal charter. So, the government forever would be indebted to these as long as slavery was still in place. Meaning national debt and high-interest rates continue to rise despite all efforts because these irredeemable. This meant missed payments totaling 10 million representing half the share capital during the South Sea Company times. The inability to pay and deal with these saw other government players calling for these to be written off arguing that the parliament can authorize the company to swap these debts with new shares to raise capital. That resulted in 2000-3000 shareholders.
- 149) To deal with government debt especially these irredeemable debts the government had no option but to act to force the holders of these irredeemable annuities to relinquish their claims in exchange for joint-stock company stocks.
- 150) The way to affect this was through the slavery abolishing act of 1833 that redeemed the annuities in slaves as these were viewed as the slave traders and other debtors' property which the government had no right to and most of which purchased through exchange bills. Working on behalf of the crown therefore indebted.
- 151) The emancipation you hear to them is a clever way to deal with irredeemable annuities that were costing them and indeed that put themselves into slavery to the debtors.
- 152) I want to make it clear that this has nothing to do with the emancipation of the slaves etc. but with the ability of the British government to finally be able to clear these irredeemable debts.

- 153) Why and how?
- 154) Now they have rights to the irredeemable annuities associated with slaves. Freeing all meant this debt can now be negotiated or the value the slaves represented. The abolition and emancipating act emancipated the British national debt sector to be able to clear its debt at some time in the future which they say did in 2015 as this meant that in the end they paid the ransom in slaves and got the slaves as their property now.
- 155) Mind you these debtors like the South Sea Company were against trading slaves as evidenced by company records. But the royal charter was endorsed on them by the bubble act that restricted any company to carry out trading activities without a royal charter as only the Royal Exchange and the London Assurance had rights to operate without a charter.
- 156) I will argue too that the debt had to do with the slaves which were not easily liquidated meaning the debtors having to fail to pay the creditors most London merchants bearing in mind that half of the compensation stayed in Britain roughly 10 million.
- 157) The government could not pay the slave debt as prices rose sharply that they end up asking the debtors to write off the debt before Parliament can through an act approve them to issue more share in exchange for the missed payments.
- 158) I can therefore say that the abolishing of slavery through the 1833 act freed Britain from the debt bondage and made Britain hold more tightly to the so-called 800 000 freed slavery through another secret colonial way of controlling people through the commonwealth and British colonies acts. First through the apprentice scheme that was only meant to reduce its debt further as a national debt restructuring plan. Meaning as the cost of the slaves drops as they become apprentices who can only be paid a stipend rather than the market value of the said labor that meant also the faster Britain will be able to repay the loan borrowed.
- 159) The striking similarity of the South Sea Company to this slavery abolition era is more than just coincidental. I have argued throughout that British often go to the past for answers to present problems. Tomorrow's World Order I went a step further to prove that the British recreate history so that the future is predictable. This is because everything that happened then is documented and they play the role of film directors putting people in place and making sure that it goes to that plan. Read emails to be convinced that the similarities to most of the incidents to past events can only be explained by this fact. That they are playing film director running yesterday's script.
- 160) The Abolishing of slavery act of 1833 only meant the slaves cheaper otherwise the government would not have afforded to repay the debt. The act meant that they had rights as the act made slaves public goods for everyone to enjoy without restriction and title deeds.
- 161) Further and more serious is the fact that the abolishing of the slavery act gave the titled deeds to the government.
- 162) First, I base my argument on the fact that at the time in question the slaves were not regarded as legal entities. They were the debtor's property.

- 163) The fact that the government found it hard to deal with irredeemable debt represented by slaves is that they were privately enforced by law through title deeds.
- 164) The compilation of names and number of slaves is a legal requirement under the Companies act on which most debtors were enrolled through the charter. The law forbids the government to interfere when it comes to property. This act of recording all names of slaves and their value is a legal requirement before the passing of property from one person to another. Just like in the sale of property today. Furthermore, as I argued below too this is a requirement through the company law that at insolvency as was the case with most debtors like the West Indian Company, they were insolvency or facing bankruptcy. This was the transfer of assets from the government debtors most of who were slave owners to the government. Hence the keeping of records etc.
- 165) I can argue too that this was intentional by the government as a way to weaken the debtors by making their insolvency solve national debt easily.
- 166) The 1807 act abolishing the most lucrative part of the slave trade.
- 167) First, I want to argue that the reason for giving these royal charters by parliament that is the government then was to consolidate debt and let these help solve national debt.
- 168) This was part of the government's national debt solving plan. To give monopoly in slave trading to make the company like the South Sea Company profitable. But because of this irredeemable debt, the government's debts increased as they could not negotiate cheaper loans with interest. So first the aboding act was to cut their lucrative source of income. 1807 restricted the bringing in of more slaves but did not abolish slavery itself as one would have expected if the aim was emancipating slaves. This act cut off the last lag lucrative journey where the traders brought all kinds of goods, slaves, and exchange bills back to Britain. See also the proportion of the compensation that remained in Britain.
- 169) This meant insolvency and bankruptcy of these for the next 22 years to 72-87 years. This is the life span of the irredeemable annuities. Meaning the life span also of those who held these. Most of these irredeemable annuities would easily be redeemed if the owner was dead. So, they waited for the meaning of 22 years for the debtors to either die naturally to deal with these short terms. That meant another abolishing act roughly around 1829 takes effect in 1833. That meant this act would remove the legal burden that would have complicated the situation had all the debtors and title deeds were alive. You would not be surprised that of the people who received this compensation 25% were women and some were legal representatives of the deceased. The remaining were in the long-term category of debtors with title deeds. Those expected to live for the next 72- 87 years and the beneficiaries.
- 170) Banning the last lag that brought slaves meant insolvency and bankruptcy for the traders and death. This would mean the government holding the bull in its debt by the horns and free itself from the debtor's enslavement be able one day to free itself forever by being debt-free. At the same time acquiring all this property in slaves to the tune of 800 000.

- 171) The very reason why it can't be asked to pay compensation to anyone who is a slave descendent; Windrush etc. All these people until 2015 were a government of Britain's 'property'.
- 172) I here argued that all talks about the bailout, emancipation, liberating slaves, etc. are misconceptions as Britain is still actively practicing a form of secret slavery. One I will explain in detail below. The very fact that I have brought this case to you. So, I have argued beyond doubt that since their main reason had nothing to do with emancipation rather than solving national debt Britain is still disregarding international laws to solve its national debt problems. This is supported by a quote from Treasury that means practicing secret slavery even though they might not see that as such. Even the Nuremberg doctors were adamant that they were doing this for the benefit of the victims until the day they were hanged.
- 173) On 3 August 1835, somewhere in the City of London, two of Europe's most famous bankers agreed with the chancellor of the exchequer. Two years earlier, the British government had passed the Slavery Abolition Act, which outlawed slavery in most parts of the empire. Now it was taking out one of the largest loans in history, to finance the slave compensation package required by the 1833 act. Nathan Mayer Rothschild and his brother-in-law Moses Montefiore agreed to loan the British government £15m, with the government adding £5m later. The total sum represented 40% of the government's yearly income in those days, equivalent to some £300bn today.
- 174) The Guardian
- 175) <https://www.theguardian.com/news/2018/mar/29/slavery-abolition-compensation-when-will-britain-face-up-to-its-crimes-against-humanity>
- 176) I am going to touch on other arguments to support this main argument that abolishing had nothing to do with emancipation rather than Britain burying itself out of national debt.
- 177) "The total sum represented 40% of the government's yearly income in those days, equivalent to some £300bn today."
- 178) This clearly, without doubt, illustrate the real national debt problem and the measure taken to deal with it. 40 % of yearly income was a real issue hence the abolishing act and the measures taken and how Britain deals with former people from its colonies.
- 179) If the slaves presented such a problem to eat away 40% of the income of this government would you not expect them to put measures to control these people who caused problems and headaches that lasted only until as recently as 2015?
- 180) I want to argue that this points to the fact that they are still controlling people as their property where they have control to deal with this kind of debt. I want to further add that the increasing demands of competition since the 1960s with the Windrush generation has made Britain also solve this issue just like they did then? Have title deeds to everyone so that this new irredeemable debt; through calls for compensation from

the Windrush, etc. can be something they can control so that they can negotiate the amount of compensation, etc. so that they can repay this and help solve national debt?

- 181) In that light and as I argued throughout Britain to control people who might likely to claim compensation due to its evil parts is deliberately hacking everyone mostly ethnics illegally so they can control the amount it pays if one happens to claim compensation. If they can human hack the person, they can use digital viruses to control that person. They can set him or her or torture that person through the human-hacking to reduce compensation claims.
- 182) I want to argue that this is open to the court that the victims not only suffered at the hands of the accused the NHS and the government but are continuing to do so just because it can solve its national debt.
- 183) Mind you this is an evil empire that has creamed everywhere of all resources to drive the empire for the past centuries. The courts must come to the same conclusion as me that the accused is not only evil but will forever be.
- 184) Further, I want to argue that what started this horrendous act of trading humans was the need to solve national debt as far as 16
- 185) The reason for enslaving is still there as the national debt is still a problem in Britain. Therefore as I have successfully argued that abolishing had nothing to do with emancipation and is slave trading was a successful solution, therefore, it can be argued that Britain is and can still do the same enslave people using technology digitally to make sure that all the people who are likely to be irredeemable become redeemable through torture and other hacking ill practices.
- 186) The origins of the British national debt can be found during the reign of [William III](#), who engaged a syndicate of City traders and merchants to offer for sale an issue of government debt. This syndicate soon evolved into [the Bank of England](#), eventually financing the wars of the [Duke of Marlborough](#) and later Imperial conquests. The national debt increased dramatically during and after the [Napoleonic Wars](#), rising to around 200% of GDP. Over the 19th century, the national debt gradually fell, only to see large increases again during [World War I](#) and [World War II](#). After the war, the national debt once again slowly fell as a proportion of GDP.
- 187) The **Royal African Company (RAC)** was an English mercantile ([trading](#)) company set up in 1660 by the royal [Stuart family](#) and [City of London](#) merchants to trade along the [west coast of Africa](#).^[1] It was led by the [Duke of York](#), who was the brother of [Charles II](#) and later took the throne as [James II](#). It shipped more African slaves to the [Americas](#) than any other company in the history of the [Atlantic slave trade](#)
- 188) Originally known as the **Company of Royal Adventurers Trading into Africa**, by its [charter](#) issued in 1660 it was granted a [monopoly](#) over [English](#) trade along the west coast of [Africa](#), with the principal objective being the search for [gold](#). In 1663 a new [charter](#) was obtained which also mentioned the trade in [slaves](#).^[6] This was the third English African Company, but it made a fresh start in the slave trade and there was only one factory of importance for it to take over from the [East India Company](#),

- 189) **The South Sea Company** (officially **The Governor and Company of the merchants of Great Britain, trading to the South Seas and other parts of America, and for the encouragement of the Fishery**)^[3] was a British [joint-stock company](#) founded in January 1711, created as a [public-private partnership](#) to [consolidate](#) and **reduce the cost of the [national debt](#)**. To generate income, in 1713 the company was granted a monopoly (the [Asiento de Negros](#)) to supply African slaves to the islands in the "[South Seas](#)" and [South America](#).^[4]
- 190) [Emphasis added]
- 191) **Campaigners say they are concerned about the low number of compensation payments to victims of the Windrush scandal.**
- 192) About 400 claims out of almost 2,000 applications have resulted in a payment, government figures show.
- 193) The average claim to the scheme launched two years ago, takes 14 months to process, a freedom of information request found.
- 194) The British apply the same technique here. The irredeemable Windrush have two types the short-term redeemable in 22 years since first claiming and the ones who also include the second generation of claimants the ones with a life span between the 72-87 years since the first claim.
- 195) A new law probably to process the wind rush claims might or have already been enacted if it is 22 years already since the first claims or after 22 years have passed. Then they can start offering compensation but will hold most until probably dead hence the need to illegally human hack people to speed up tight causing early greying to help solve national debt. NHS at for front here the calls to save the NHS. If it is a war and soldiers are coming would you be expected to save the soldier and sacrifice yourself or you would expect the soldier to die fighting?
- 196) Irredeemable is a pain that requires the only secret enslaving according to these to have titled deeds so that the debt is easy to swap with equity.
- 197) To explain further first, I want to point out that:
- 198) "The slave trade generated enormous tax revenue and prosperity for Britain and so the horrors
slave
- 199) trade remained remote."
- 200) <https://www.bbc.co.uk/bitesize/guides/zpsc9j6/revision/5>
- 202) The slave trade itself was seen as the nursery of the seamen," apprenticeship in another word. "coasting trade was not only a nursery for seamen but a great domestic service in which the children of the sailor found early employment, "
- 203) I want to vehemently argue to the court that the abolition of slavery of 1833 came about as a result of similar conditions experienced as a result of the conditions and situation as in the Royal African Company chartered in 1660.
- 204) The **Royal African Company (RAC)** was an English mercantile ([trading](#)) company set up in 1660 by the royal [Stuart family](#) and [City of London](#) merchants to trade along the [west coast of Africa](#).^[1] It was led by the [Duke of York](#), who was the brother

of [Charles II](#) and later took the throne as [James II](#). It shipped more African slaves to the [Americas](#) than any other company in the history of the [Atlantic slave trade](#). It was established after Charles II gained the [English throne](#) in the [Restoration of 1660](#).^[4] While its original purpose was to exploit the gold fields up the [Gambia River](#), which were identified by [Prince Rupert](#) during the [Interregnum](#), it soon developed and led a brutal and sustained [slave trade](#).^[3]

- 205) It also extracted other commodities, mainly from the [Gold Coast](#). After becoming insolvent in 1708, it survived in a state of much-reduced activity until 1752 when its assets were transferred to the new [African Company of Merchants](#), which lasted until 1821.
- 206) I want to argue also that the solution prescribed then when the Royal African Company went into insolvency namely by the abolishing act of Parliament called the Africa Company Act of 1750 was the same solutions prescribed namely of transferring ownership of assets [slaves here] from the troubled company to the British government enabling them to take control of these colonies and in the name of the abolishing of slavery and emancipation continue with a regulatory kind of control. Again, to favor the British government's position and nothing to do with the humanitarian part nor that slavery is bad. But another underground form of recolonization and control.
- 207) I will argue below also that this is the main reason why the British government finds it hard to offer compensation to the Windrush and other people from the colonies simply because all these people no matter how harsh it sounds are British property. Assets transferred through the 1833 act of parliament now the same treaty celebrated as the abolition of slavery or emancipation worldwide but a calculated cold plan to take over from the troubled company and put British not just in control but leaving every one of the emancipated as British property. Carrying on slavery in the disguise of emancipation.
- 208) I am going to argue that this was to restrict other European nations but make the British continue doing the same as a monopoly to avoid competition from other nations for resources, trade, and labor.
- 209) I want to argue also that the compensation was not to free the slaves but compensation to keep the slave traders quiet.
- 210) After the establishment of the Royal Africa Company of Merchants established after the dissolution of the Royal African Company their records in the National, Museums archives show that they were established to act as a nonprofit.
- 211) The new system in place meant their role was to help free trade including that of slaves. Maintaining the Forts so that free trade occurs where rich private merchants can buy and sell slaves. Paying 40 shillings to the company as well as taxes to the crown.
- 212) Their presence was to deter other Europeans from trading in slavery but with them still doing.
- 213) The fact the company was nonprofit meaning, not a joint-stock company with Royals having shares in the company as well. Meant poor provisions of services and

goods as they received a stipend from the parliament as they were viewed as carrying out a public service.

- 214) Dissolving the Royal Africa Company meant their debt was carried forward. The debt they owed to the credit providers most of whom were city merchants and members of parliament. These had depended on the slave trade for business and incomes. The abolishing of slavery meant a loss of income for these. Secondly the city traders and merchants who offered credit for sale ended up being owed by the slave traders. Who still owned slaves who they can't easily liquidate for cash to pay the merchants. Above all, because the company now a nonprofit relied on parliament stipend. As the price of slaves rose, they found themselves unable to pay and had to go back to parliament to ask for more stipends.
- 215) The city merchants to get their money together with the slave traders sued the parliament.
- 216) For poor service provisions since they were now the ones responsible for making sure the Forts runs properly supplying goods to be traded for slaves etc. These private city traders were city merchants who represented the slave traders as well. They paid fees of 40 shillings to the company and taxes on top to parliament. Above all these accused the parliament of still doing slavery when they forbade everyone else. Hunting down Europeans who were doing the same but them at it. Selling slaves at Forts through supplying and exchanging of goods.
- 217) So, having highlighted this I can argue that the compensation was to keep these quiet. The Compensation records show that not just slave traders or owners were receiving compensation even city merchants were on the list and representatives of the slave traders. The who situation that the slaves themselves received nothing meant that this was to compensate them for loss of income or property and to keep them quiet because this was just a handover of assets from the traders and slave owners to the government where they pay three-quarters of the costs and get three quarters. The remaining quarter being children under the age of six.
- 218) So, this was a stipend small subsistence income for the apprentices as this was viewed as the 'nursery of seamen' an apprentice course to prepare the men for Royal Navy duties. Who would one day be involved in the Royal Navy if Britain happens to go to war?
- 219) For the government not to fully compensate them as they demanded it remained them by asking them to do the same to the so-called freed slaves by keeping them for extra 6 years etc. as apprentice indentures worth only of a stipend just as to them.
- 220) This established a direct link between the government and the slave trade. These were acting on their behave as a public service with no profits in mind but as a duty to the crown to provide labor to the empire's colonies. By royal charter.
- 221) The compensation was an award to keep the angry city merchants who once gained from slave trading by having a monopoly themselves. Now the act provided free slave trades but puts parliament in direct control through the committee and the nonprofit government who monitors the Forts and the colonies etc. To keep them quiet

about the slavery complaints that they forbid the Europeans while they still were doing it. The 1750 Charter clearly stated that the idea was to monopolize the slave trade to increase the empire's dominance.

- 222) I support my argument by the arguments in this book; *After Abolition*. by **Marika Sherwood** (I.B. Tauris, 2007).
- 223) *After Abolition* reveals the extent to which Britain continued to profit from slavery and the slave trade even after it had outlawed both practices, and it uncovers a hidden history of depravity, hypocrisy, and willful blindness. Sherwood, an honorary Senior Research Fellow at the Institute of Commonwealth Studies, is also a founding member of the Black & Asian Studies Association in the UK. *After Abolition* claims that Britain has used the heroic myth of 1807 as an excuse to avoid facing up to continued British involvement with slavery.
- 224) While Britain, and later other nations, supported an Anti-Slaving Squadron to catch slavers off the West African coast, many of the ships they confiscated were re-sold to known slavers. Even where the slavers were not themselves British, they often relied on British credit and shipyards.
- 225) Even after it was officially prohibited, slavery continued under other names as indentured service or forced labor. Remember the main aim of the 170 Royal African Company of Merchants was to transfer assets. The same here. Aim to transfer ownership of the slave traders to the government who would collect taxes and provide free trade. Meaning serious revenue.
- 226) The abolition Act of 1807 was a tactic so that the Crown can offer letters of marque to private ships to steal in day broad light going after ships for bounties so that they share the proceeds. Targeting their enemies in the name of abolishing slavery but doing slavery but secretly. An act to extend and improve trade with Africa making the empire the best in the world.
- 227) The arrangement was that Parliament provided most of the companies' finances as stipend. An Apprenticeship arrangement.
- 228) The company officers were tasked with assisting merchants by keeping the Forts supplied with slaves to trade.
- 229) The Forts acted as signs of possession a claim to ownership by the British who took over from the traders after they failed to pay the costs as slaves' prices and maintaining them rose. Hinting on monopoly as it deters European traders from establishing trade in the zone. Keeping British flags raise acted as a deterrent to other Europeans.
- 230) This meant Britain establishing them as the invitation of the African merchants to quash accusations of secret slavery.
- 231) But the once joint-stock city merchants out of pocket that the trade benefited the government only through taxes and provision of a service sued the government highlighting that they were carrying out slave trade for their benefits.
- 232) The merchants argued that they and the other Europeans traded in goods whilst Britain was still trading in humans-slaves. Seeing them as hypocrites.

- 233) The Royal African Company was dissolved by the [African Company Act 1750](#), with its assets being transferred to the [African Company of Merchants](#). These principally consisted of nine trading posts on the [Gold Coast](#) known as [factories: Fort Anomabo, Fort James, Fort Sekondi, Fort Winneba, Fort Apollonia, Fort Tantumquery, Fort Metal Cross, Fort Komenda](#), and [Cape Coast Castle](#), the last of which was the administrative Centre.
- 234) It is true also that the abolishing of the Slavery Act 1833 transferred the assets of the insolvent company to the hands of Parliament and the Crown. Meaning the crown in the Queen now still owes through the commonwealth a reference to the wealth of the then empire where charters gave anyone in the name of the Crown rights to go and trade and bring slaves to the colonies. The same is happening here in all these nations where there were compensation claims. Namely;
- 235) Jamaica, Antigua, Honduras, St Grenada, Dominica, Nevis, Virgins islands, St Lucia, British Guinea, Montserrat, Bermuda, Bahamas, Tobago, St Vincent's, Trinidad, Barbados, Mauritius, Cape of Good Hope. All these are still commonwealth colonies due to the 1833 Companies act of winding out insolvency companies.
- 236) The compensation was compensation for loss of employment for the nursery of seamen meaning the apprenticed slave traders and nothing to do with the slaves themselves. Supported by the fact that the slave traders are the ones who got compensation. Ones who were being apprenticed so that they will end in the Royal Navy especially in war times. Having in mind the prevalence of wars at that time. Loss of employment and the compensation as a way to pay for their expenses since they had not joined the Royal Navy but were at some time going to be; depending on the war situation.
- 237) Above all, they were performing a public service that was critical to the British Empire of providing labor for the empire's colonies.
- 238) I want to argue that Parliament did not yield to the abolitionist but simply looked back in time to centuries before when they experienced the same situation and prescribed the same solution to the same problem how coincidental that it seemed also as if they had abolished slavery.
- 239) I argue that the Royal African Act of 1750 is the same as the 1833 Slavery abolishing Act. An act to 'abolish' the **first and transfer assets of the troubled company to the new one and** provide a free trade of slavery but now a concealed secret one. The main argument of this case that Britain did not end slavery but simply went underground through a new neutral form just like they are doing today. From the act of 1750, we can easily see why the act of 1807 came about and the subsequent 1833 act. But did not stop slavery but restricted other European countries from monopolizing Britain in the slave trade business. Just to act as a deterrent so that other European nations won't compete with it. The idea was to establish a non-profit regulating authority that will pave way for the British establishing all these as its colonies. But in fact, depending on the fact that every one of the freed slaves had become British assets.

The abolishing was just to transfer assets as in slaves and Forts and colonies etc. to the Majesty's hands.

- 240) I want to use this quote to support my arguments that abolishing had nothing to do with emancipation.
- 241) Writing critically of English altruism in abolishing the slave trade, [African-American](#) historian [W. E. B. Du Bois](#) in 1948 said,
- 242) The rise of liberal and philanthropic thought in the latter part of the eighteenth-century accounts, of course, for no little of the growth of opposition to slavery and the slave trade; but it accounts for only a part of it. Other and dominant factors were the diminishing returns of the African slave trade itself, the bankruptcy of the West Indian sugar economy through the [Haitian revolution](#), the interference of Napoleon, and the competition of Spain. Without this pressure of economic forces, Parliament would not have yielded so easily to the abolition crusade. Moreover, new fields of investment and profit were being opened to Englishmen by the consolidation of the empire in India and by the acquisition of new spheres of influence in China and elsewhere. In Africa, British rule was strengthened by the anti-slavery crusade, for new territory was annexed and controlled under the aegis of emancipation. It would not be right to question for a moment the sincerity of Sharpe, Wilberforce, [Buxton](#), and their followers. But the moral force they represented would have met with greater resistance had it not been working along lines favorable to English investment and colonial profit.
- 243) **William Edward Burghardt Du Bois** ([/dju:'bois/ dew-BOYSS](#);^{[1][2]} February 23, 1868 – August 27, 1963) was an American [sociologist](#), [socialist](#), [historian](#), [civil rights activist](#), [Pan-Africanist](#), author, writer, and editor.
- 244) First, to understand the real reasons behind the abolishing of slavery I must look at the Royal African Company and the African Company Act of 1750 that followed that enabled the transfer of assets owned by the Royal African Company to the new African Company of Merchants.
- 245) The main themes here before I look into detail are;
- 246) Monopolization of slavery with this Royal African Company having the only right to be involved in slavery and highly linked to the king as the king's brother was the governor James II. On its incorporation, the constitution of the company specified a Governor, Sub Governor, Deputy Governor, and 24 Assistants.
- 247) [James Stuart, Duke of York](#), the future King James II – Governor of the Company from 1660 to 1688;^[28] and its largest shareholder. This is the James New York in America was founded through voyages by his ship. The brother of King Charles II.
- 248) [Edward Colston](#) (1636–1721), merchant, [philanthropist](#), and Member of Parliament was a shareholder in the Royal African Company from 1680 to 1692; from 1689 to 1690 he was its Deputy Governor, a senior executive position, the basis on which he is described as a slave trader. The notorious slave trader whose statue was toppled in Bristol.

- 249) Looking at investors and officials of the company we find the King himself on the list. King Charles II.
- 250) The reason why I highlighted this is the fact that this company shipped more African slaves to the [Americas](#) than any other company in the history of the [Atlantic slave trade](#). The king's brother was the leading man in the slave trade operating under a Royal Charter.
- 251) A clause can be inferred that guaranteed the crown that is the king three quarters of the proceeds and assets as long as they paid three-quarters of the costs.**
- 252) I can argue also that the Abolishing Act of 1807 was only meant to corner the slave traders into handing over their assets in slaves so that the Crown can easily pay their costs as compensation, and or as a payment called stipend just to cover their costs. So that the king can take three-quarters of the slave population and profits as long as they have paid three-quarters of the cost. To introduce a free form of the slave trade at Forts using the West African Squadron to deter and capture other Europeans but with them still at it. Taking slaves in small boats and hiding them but providing a lucrative market for slaves to rich merchants who pay high taxes a source of revenue for the British Empire.
- 253) The slave traders themselves received a fee of 40 % from any private merchants who wanted to trade.
- 254) A direct link between slavery and the British monarchy. Having said that I want to point also that the circumstances regarding the Royal African Company of 1672-1752 are the same as at the time of abolishing slavery. The difficulties faced by the company Royal African Company are the same at the time just before the abolishing of slavery. I want to point out to the court that the actions taken have nothing to do with the emancipation of people or changing habits or stopping slavery because it is bad. No.
- 255) I want the court to understand that the reasons for abolishing the Royal African Company Charter that had incorporated the company throughout the Royal African Act of 1752 had nothing to do with the realization that slavery was wrong. No. This was a financial matter brought about by the anti-slavery abolitionist pressures and the fact that even though the Crown had endorsed them for reasons explained below namely that they were performing a public good by providing labor for the British Empire. The lobbyist had meant the withdrawal of support by the Crown.
- 256) Likewise, the abolishing of slavery in 1833 had nothing to do with the fact that slavery was wrong, but it was due to the financial difficulties and less revenue brought back to Britain.
- 257) Before the campaigns by the anti-slavery movement, Britain benefited greatly from slavery. This is because every ship that was returning to Britain on its last leg, brought slaves, goods from the West Indies to sell back to Britain, and this financed credit
- 258) Facts.

- 259) The abolishing act of 1833's aim was to transfer assets of the slave owners to the British government meaning all slaves ceasing to be owned by the slave owners but by the government.
- 260) I argue to the court that the effect of the abolishing act of 1833 is the same as that of the Royal African company of 1752. Having the aim to transfer the assets from this financial distress company to the new company the African Company of Merchants.
- 261) The Royal African Company was unable to pay its debts meaning it was insolvent, but this was regarded as a cash flow insolvency because it lacked the liquidity to pay its debt, but it had slaves and 9 forts. The assets were later transferred to the new company.
- 262) I can argue that what happened then is what is known as remodeling of the financial and organizational structure in financial distress to permit the rehabilitation and construction of their business. Meaning closing one but opening a new restructured one to carry on with the business.
- 263) The 1750 act turned the joint-stock focuses company into a non-profit-regulated company with the following aims
- 264) Facilitate Britain's African trade by governing and maintain a series of trading establishments on the African coast.
- 265) To protect free trade a role the state would later adopt.
- 266) The new company was a non-profit company meaning the members would not participate to enhance the price and hence the wealth of the shareholders.
- 267) Their new role was to provide make sure trade was free and to provide maintenance and security for the forts to avoid competition between the company and the private merchants.
- 268) The goal was for private merchants to pay the company 40 shillings a year for the use of the forts where slaves were traded.
- 269) Because they were non-profit-oriented due to the Parliament act of 1750 the government through parliament would then provide most of the company's finance through an annual stipend.
- 270) This stipend was an annual loan to keep them in business as they were regarded as doing a public interest of supplying and providing an environment that would enable free trade of slaves at the forts.
- 271) The reason why the Royal African Company was in financial distress therefore insolvent was that it had lost its commercial viability.
- 272) Parliament noticed that there was no free market for slaves. The Royal African Company had a monopoly in trading slaves yet there were not getting enough fares for the slaves. Yet there were the individual traders with the finance but lacking institutional recognition. Therefore, the only way to stop this was to make then a non-profit company that facilitated free trade of slaves by providing a platform where this can freely take place on the condition that everyone willing to trade slaves would pay them a fee. This opened slaves to every trader out there and most who had the finance needed.

- 273) This meant increased tax revenues and price increases of slaves as the monopolist company had been turned to a non-profit thereby providing a public service hence the need for parliament to pay the compensation or stipend.
- 274) The act made sure that the forts were open for private traders to flood them and trade slaves as they like.
- 275) Assigning a non-profit company to oversee the forts for slave trading deterred competition from other Europeans like the Dutch who might want to trade slaves. This meant only the British would be slave dealing in that area.
- 276) This meant arming the forts and having British flags raised at the forts to deter other Europeans.
- 277) Had authority to seize other ships violating their monopoly.
- 278) The King received half the proceeds.
- 279) The act opened doors to all English merchants who paid a 10% levy to the company on all goods.
- 280) The Royal African Company provided gold for the English mints.
- 281) The company had become a tool of British success in its 17th-century commercial contest with the Dutch.
- 282) The final leg of the ship transported products like tobacco and sugar and the Africans for retail and re-exportation as well as bills of exchange by which the planters arranged credit payments.
- 283) The company was viewed as a public utility that would satisfy the economic need of the British empire of supplying a labor force to its colonies.
- 284) Compromise Act of 1698
- 285) Slaves were purchased by a means of a credit system through a bill of exchange.
- 286) **Among other miscellaneous privileges, the right of mine royal was conveyed to the company on condition that the Crown might claim two-thirds of the gold on paying two-thirds of the expenses the company retaining the remaining third.**
- 287) |

A handwritten signature or set of initials in black ink, appearing to be 'D. de' or similar, with a large, stylized 'D' and a cursive 'de'.

- 288) See part A enclosed pages A1 TO A7 of the PART A BACKGROUND, CIRCUMSTANCES, AND FACTS

- 289) Lack of a cheaper judiciary hearing system that is designed to address issues raised in this case.
- 290) I believe that the current system is inadequate to deal with the issues raised in this case. As these are issues probably never raised before, but issues that are happening as I write. Issues brought about by advanced technological development. The world has evolved greatly technologically that the methods of killing and committing a crime have changed significantly too. Yet the courts haven't evolved at all relying mainly on the case-law of the 18th century etc. not that this is wrong, but the timing is wrong. We are in an age where people torture people remotely and contactless, yet the courts insist on physical proof and physical markings, etc. Disregarding the fact that 1000 volts emitting diode could be well implanted in the left buttock of a victim that is used remotely using drone technology to inflict the gravest form of torture. Despite this happening the courts disregard this insisting on evidence etc. but how can the victim prove that a diode implanted illegally is indeed used to inflict treatment that exceeds the definition of torture without labeled as hallucinating, etc.? How can he prove that the torture is real and perpetrated by the NHS as argued? Since everything is so concealed and advanced that the talk of such things alone is considered as years ahead of us. Your honor ladies and gentlemen this is real because for the first time in a world's first we have proof that indeed the accused the NHS has become so devious and manipulating, operating secretly underground where all those who complain are regarded as mentally unstable. Even creating more work for this NHS and their accessories for all crimes cited in this case, namely the police, council staff, etc.
- 291) We, therefore, ask the court to look at this case with an open mind that even though there is no case law, this is the future and as such must be given paramount importance as this is the new world system.
- 292) Secondly, I want the court to know that money is not the intention of this case, but justice. I want to highlight the seriousness of a lack of a cheaper judiciary review that can be used by the ordinary to seek justice. The only cheaper route without having to pay 50 000 pounds in court fees. Not because that we feel less of the courts etc. but simply because this perpetuates the wrongdoings as the accused NHS knows that there is nothing the victims can do to get redress. Where can one raise such money? This money claim route is the only route open to us to get justice within our means. The amount requested is just a token so that we have some form of redress as soon as possible. The court charges 5% of the requested amount as fees and the minimum fees correspond to 10 000 pounds, but this does not mean the crimes committed by the accused have this value. No. I am going to argue that the accused committed gross crimes and violations of all laws known to men, and if the system was fair, I would have successfully labeled the accused as the *Hostis Humanis Generis*.
- 293) The extension of *hostis humani generis* blessed by courts of law has been its extension to torturers in, [*Filártiga v. Peña-Irala*, 630 F.2d 876](#) the court famously stated that "Indeed, for purposes of civil liability, the torturer has become like the pirate and slave trader before him *Hostis Humanis generis*, an enemy of all mankind."
- 294) This is not a coincidence of linking torturers, to pirates who are also hijacker's modern-day hackers just like the accused NHS here and the slave trader exactly the

accusations brought against the accused NHS here. This is because these things are linked and complement one another. Torture, pirates that are also hijacking that is hacking is linked to some form of slavery and slave dealing. The exact accusations were labeled against the accused.

- 295) I want to point to the court that this is a logical sequence that people who torturer do that because of some form of pirates that involves human hacking in this case, hostage tacking, violations of privacy rights, stealing of information, and destroying maliciously to cause malfunction as a way to weaken and make cheap to suppress rights and any form of an uprising to enslave in the end. Nevertheless, secretly the very fundamental arguments were brought against the accused.
- 296) This usage of the term *Hostis humani generis* has been reinforced by the ruling of the [International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia](#) in the conviction of a torturer in *Prosecutor v. Furundžija*,^{[10][11]} marking its acceptance as a [peremptory norm](#), part of the customary international law, held as [jus cogens](#), applying [erga omnes](#), upon any and every state and human individual without exception or reservation whatsoever.
- 297) I want to emphasize the fact that some acts have become part of the peremptory norms and these include all the acts the accused is committing under your radar. A [norm](#) from which no [derogation](#) is permitted.
- 298) No one is exempted or has special exemptions this is because *jus cogens* ban [genocide](#), one of the gravest crimes the accused NHS is accused of, maritime [piracy](#), another crime by the accused but in the form of human hacking, enslaving in general (i.e. [slavery](#) as well as [slave trade](#)) logic sequence followers also that the aim is to practice some form of slavery secretly because secretly controlling people through hacking will make it easy and the only route to secretly enslave people the accusation labeled against the accused NHS and in that if successful and if all this is looked together will show a genocide tendency by the accused NHS....., [torture](#), it is not a coincidence too that torture is linked to all this because the purpose of piracy which involves hijacking as in human hacking is to inflict some form of pain as punishment or a way to gather obedience will result in torture, and [refoulement](#).
- 299) I want to argue that there is no justification for anything that conflicts with a peremptory norm like torture, human hacking, secret slavery, genocide, hostage-taking, etc. Anything that does is void. No justification at all for torture, human hacking, secret slavery, hostage-taking, violation of privacy, etc.
- 300) Anything that does makes one be labeled as a Hostis Humanis Generis. An enemy of mankind. One who deserves an international response. One who must be attacked by all until all is destroyed. Hence the rise of Tomorrow's World Order. Concerning the principle of *aut dedere aut judicare*, we believe there are gaps in international laws that are exploited to let some commit crimes and get away with it. We are arising to make sure we close these gaps and make sure that everyone is under the law. Therefore Tomorrow's World Order is a global political party that I founded to implement a new system of law and order that is fair and above all reflects the world we live in closing gaps in the law to make sure that everyone is accountable for their actions. Where the accused is not simply going to abolish an evil practice just because he has invented a more secret concealed advanced way of doing the same thing. Then

go underground when people cry that slavery is bad and then use advanced technology to do the same if not worse but now remotely, technologically, and concealed. A crime is a crime whether advanced technology is used as in electrocuting as in dog collars but through implanted cathodes and diodes, or a whip is used. This is true in torture arguments see below and all attachments.

- 301) I am going to prove beyond reasonable doubt that the accused has acted maliciously and arrogantly just because it cannot be caught. Just because there is not a court on earth with jurisdiction to deal with the issues raised, just because the technology it has and is using will mean never exposed. But technology is nondiscriminatory, as we have the world's first proof beyond doubt of human hacking. This manipulating and tricking NHS had deliberately racially abused blacks; hacking all systematically then further abuse them so that they complain about racial abuse through hacking. Then further creates jobs by labeling all as hallucinating or mentally unstable. Accusing them of hearing things, for feeling extreme pain that does not exist, etc., and complains of having their systems hacked to malfunction, etc. and all this attributed to and all said to be signs of some mental disorder, etc. according to the accused NHS, thereby creating jobs under the mental health act. Simply because there is no way to prove this even though the victims were telling the truth. I am going to prove beyond reasonable doubt that the accused is using advanced technology thought to be years, if not decades, ahead of us to recreate situations. Using GPS technology, black boxes and electromagnetic nerve tampering to make it look like the victims were hallucinating when it is the one using remote contactless technology to tamper with the people.
- 302) The worst part is that the rotary magnetic propellers implanted devices; through continuous rotation and use of radiation end up destroying the brain of the victims. A crime under any law and a fact that triggers the Hostis Humanis Generic clause. So evil that if not stopped will mean gross injustice and highlights only a broken judiciary system. One so obsolete that if not replaced will only point to the need for a better system. A system where the people fear the law.
- 303) The courts must understand the seriousness of this case in that the accused is deliberately destroying the people, brains of blacks by first labeling them as hallucinating then implant devices that then literally destroy brains and body systems so that in the end they are justified in labeling these as mentally unstable. A crime as I will argue will see the accused labeled as not just a threat to the survival of the group concerned but a Hostis Humanis Generis one who must be punished by everyone. The writ of outlawry applies here too in that the accused has disregarded all international laws and therefore is an outlaw and must be treated as such. Meaning anyone is authorized by the law to attack and destroy such evil.
- 304) I want to point to the court that the accused is a hijacker of the human body system and must be treated like any hijacker and terrorist out there. Meaning this act falls under the act of aggression to an individual who as a self-defense clause will and is obliged and authorized by the courts to use any force and measure to protect self. To an extent of killing to preserve life without any consequences. The only way to stop this. Any human hacking is an act of aggression against an individual that triggers a response

fit for an aggressor. Meaning any acts in response to such an act of aggression by the accused falls under self-defense.

305) There must be an express death sentence for a hijacker or human hacker. What the accused is doing is no different from the hijacking of planes etc. worse this is done to a human body and for life and we argue that they must be treated harshly too.

306) This is just the tip of the iceberg.

307) I want to clarify that NHS here means the institution as a whole; that is its leader the head CEO etc. who make the decisions, etc.; then the doctors and consultant who work for it and lastly to everyone who has been delegated to continue with the abuse. Namely the police and the council staff. Meaning everyone involved is here accused of all the crimes committed in this case as long as they were involved. That means the punishments are not just for NHS, but everyone involved as a whole. The NHS; the heads who let this happening; the doctors who carried out the implanting operations; the consultants involved; the police delegated to and the council's staff who are abusing the people. Everyone must be punished. No one is immune.

308) Attempted Murder

309) *The crime of attempted murder can be charged when a person intends to commit murder and tries to carry out the killing but, for whatever reason, is unable to accomplish it. Like murder itself, attempted murder is a serious crime and one that comes with some of the most severe penalties in the criminal justice system. Attempted murder is a failed or aborted attempt to murder another person. Just like other crimes, attempted murder consists of both an action and an intention. In attempted murder, a person must take a direct step towards the killing and must have the specific intent to kill that person.*

310) I want to argue that the accused the NHS took a direct step towards the killing by deliberately implanting a dangerous device that emits radiation, high voltage, etc. and one that manipulates electromagnetic nerve tampering methods towards killing nevertheless slowly through an operation for a bone marrow operation on 25/01/2010 see part A for details.

311) The accused the NHS only stopped when the proof of hacking video surfaced and emails with the court cases came out. Secondly, I want to argue that they are using the implanted devices to slowly change things with the intent to kill. For example, among other things; the IMD is used to siphon semen from the genital region to other organs in the body. The specific intent is to cause system failure as semen in the wrong body parts leaves the body thinking that it is an antigen and attacking it. Weakening the immune system.

312) I also argue that there are further arguments for attempted murder. The IMD is designed to immobilize a person. It blinds a person. Digital hooding as in the Ireland Hooded men case v UK. Imagine being blinded while driving? Imagine blinded in front of the enemy with a gun or knife? You will stand no chance. The device has rotary propellers that rotate rotating the iris of the eye say ninety degrees up or down so that it is hidden in the eye socket so that vision is lost. See the technologically advanced five techniques of torture below.

- 313) The IMD through electromagnetic stimulation interferes with the normal body functions of the nervous system in particular. The IMD itself can choke one to death. The IMD rotates at enormous speeds shaking muscles to an extent that you get cramps and muscle paralysis causing untold pain if for a prolonged time cause damage to muscles and nerves. I urge the court to look at this with the understanding that this is a new IMD probably never heard of, but that exists and is being used underground as a secret weapon. There is a case also of a person who was hacked by the hospital when he was deported, he exploded like a bomb destroying the whole house. Meaning it is just not an IMD but a weapon too. The IMD is a bomb itself. It emits a high current. The IMD is a concealed-advanced method of killing but still an electric chair equivalent. So, for these and other reasons below, I urge the courts to find the accused guilty of attempted murder. There is no doubt that the defendant took all the necessary steps to accomplish a kill. Implanted the IMD, which is the weapon remotely operated. There are still operating the IMD remotely to torture me.
- 314) Semen siphoned to wrong body parts is recognized by the immune system as an antigen and causes an immune reaction, causing the immune system to attack the semen if it's in the wrong organs. This is well documented. Attempted murder by the hospital accused.
- 315) I also rely on R v Morrison [2003] 1 WLR 1859, the Court of Appeal in that this is attempted murder because the initial intent is to cause grievous bodily harm and as such there can be no intention to kill someone without the intention to cause grievous bodily harm. There is an intent to kill accompanied by action through the use of this remotely operated IMD since 2010 has been physically used to siphon my semen into the otherwise healthy organs. I further argue that here there is actual action to cause death. It's not just mere words. The IMD implanted emits a high voltage current frying my skin and the top of my head. I have marks on the head to prove that they are deliberately using the IMD to force the balding of the head. The IMD used to hack my body is designed to disrupt normal functions, restricting some functions. Bear in mind the objectives of hacking discussed above a great deal. The purpose of hacking is to cause malfunction, to alter normal systems, etc. The fact that they considered me as an illegal immigrant supports the fact that their intention was malicious to cause grievous bodily harm through the IMD remotely operated secretly. Forced on me during the operation. I know the intent is to hold me at ransom by damaging organs so, in the end, to justify this IMD and or kill. Therefore, guilty without reasonable doubt. I want to further argue that the accused is deliberately damaging some functions so that in the end the implantation of the IMD is justified that one will need it anywhere. This can't be accepted, and they must be heavily punished. Who on earth destroys normal functions to justify illegality? I swear the accused is as evil as no one has ever witnessed. The thinking is one of that during slavery where the accused impose things on slaves simply because they are his property. There have no rights at all and above all who is to challenge him? Who has the right to question his authority and on what basis? This accused has continued with the slavery thinking even up today.
- 316) See the recolonization of other nations. See the clinging to Scotland, Wales, and all commonwealth countries. I declared that without doubt, this is what is happening to

them too. All implanted with these torture devices. Most were implanted at birth without consent in the name of protecting the monarchy and the need for digital medical records. But again, to point to the court that it doesn't matter for what reason. This is illegal. Do you honestly think that Scotland does not want independence? Or they are going through the same having fallen into the accused and its master's trickery trap? This is not just about me, but this highlights the vilest empire in the history of mankind. One so deceiving and trickery that he announces that slavery is wrong and abolishes it but then searches for a better way of doing the same and goes on for centuries doing what is prohibited even by its standards. This only means you must take what I am saying seriously. The accused is like a diseased evil animal who can't control himself and one who requires an international response to stop. They are breaking their own rules. All acts about abolishing slavery etc. act against modern slavery are just fanciful to blind you all. The proof is in the number of so-called anti-slavery organizations etc. in recent years following the leaking of proof that the accused is evil to the bone. The organization especially in the UK would not simply organize to oppose such evil acts if there was nothing of that kind going on.

317) I want to point out that most are hacked and are being held at radiation-point as in gun-point by the accused in that they are further victimized. Killed using radiation-forming cancers etc. if they speak up against the accused.

318) There is no doubt that there is 'existence of an intention to kill, not merely to cause grievous bodily harm: R v Grimwood (1962) 3 All ER 285.' Further, it can also be argued that the defendant took a 'substantial step' to kill by implanting an IMD 'which is here 'use of a deadly weapon'. I argue that in the UK it has become recently a big issue of people illegally implanted with IMD and killed by these IMD. The UK does not have the death penalty BUT that does not mean it doesn't kill people illegally. I argue that the UK like the Pharaoh uses the midwives [here the Consultant who operated on me consultant Praveen Chaudhry] to implant a dangerous weapon here; the IMDs. The proof is inferred from the fact that: 'In fact, one study found that nearly half of crematoria staff in the UK. reported having experienced a pacemaker explosion in their facility. Statistics for the U.S. are scarce, perhaps because letting it get out that you blew up someone's grandfather wouldn't be good for business. But at least one recent report suggests that the risk of such mishaps is escalating in countries where both medical device implantation and cremation are becoming increasingly popular. I believe most are not pacemakers but IMDs implanted this way for the fact that if they were pacemakers that information would have been declared to the crematorium staff before the cremation and the pacemaker removed before the process. The fact that the explosions are still happening points to illegal hacking. Most certainly the deceased's doctors and relatives had no idea.

319) *The number of artificial cardiac pacemakers is increasing, as is the number of bodies being cremated. Because of the explosive potential of pacemakers when heated, a statutory question on the cremation form asks whether the deceased has a pacemaker and if so whether it has been removed. We sent a questionnaire to all the crematoria in the UK enquiring about the frequency, consequences, and prevention of pacemaker explosions. We found that about half of all crematoria in the UK experience pacemaker*

explosions, that pacemaker explosions may cause structural damage and injury and that most crematoria staff are unaware of the explosive potential of implantable cardiac defibrillators. Crematoria staff rely on the accurate completion of cremation forms, and doctors who sign cremation forms have a legal obligation to provide such information.

- 320) <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1279940/>
- 321) http://www.slate.com/articles/technology/future_tense/2017/10/implanted_medical_devices_are_saving_lives_they_re_also_causing_exploding.html
- 322) The action.
- 323) This shows that the accused took a “direct step” towards killing the targeted victim.
- 324) I here argue that the accused the NHS took a direct step towards killing the very moment they implanted a dangerously high voltage and radiation-emitting device see part A and Part B for details of when and how.
- 325) I further argue that this is clearly illustrated by the purpose of a hacker which is to;
- 326) Control.
- 327) The idea is to control the functions etc. to take control and determine when the victim system can malfunction that causes death. In that case, it is not just an attempted murder, but actual murder in the end.
- 328) The only aim of a hacker is to cause malfunction by destroying otherwise normal systems. By replacing or causing other functions to fail to cause a malfunction in the end. There is no hacking without modifying, altering, destroying, and changing functions. All hacking causes grievous bodily harm with intent. I rely on the aims of a hacker here nevertheless a computer hacker as this applies to human hackers too. In line with the issue regarding the accused’s making of digital viruses is the fact that the reason behind human hacking is the fact that the accused is using or planning to use trojan viruses and the IMD to take control in the end by destroying the immune system. The other factor related to this is the fact that they are attempting to destroy the normal reproductive system so that in the end nothing works using the implanted devices. See modern-day genocide arguments below.
- 329) Disruption.
- 330) Denial of functions attacks where they slow down or shut down functions that in the end, the person ends up dead or with severe mental problems.
- 331) I believe the attempted murder here is the fact that the implanted device [again I point to the court to the proof of hacking video] that these devices exist and maybe not in the form you know them today as the ones I am talking about are concealed and used to torture and kill. I believe also that the device that is a chip or incorporates a chip that can be linked to the computer acts like an MP3 player that relies on MP3 songs to release sound and play music. But this relies on digital viruses the accused is making to cause malfunctioning and even imitating diseases. I believe the accused is making digital viruses that imitate real diseases and cause the same issues in humans. I raised the

issues in the emails attached that the accused to remain viable have recreated everything digitally. All known diseases are known to man and now under the so-called protection uses these as watermarks offering managers and people with possessions to use to protect their belongings etc. Or to hold people at ransom as people are set up by the accused who stacks at them using GPS and recording blackboxes to follow and then test the watermarks on them like blemishes causing, wrinkle-causing, greying causes, and even disease-causing ones.

332) Damage.

333) The device is meant to damage the brain in the end mainly hence the speaking of hallucination etc. as it rotates continuously, mashing the brain so that a person forgets things in the end. The device is a propeller-like drone's rollerblades that vibrate as it rotates. If used over a long time, the brain will be damaged. The device has laser capabilities using radiation, as a strand of bearded can be grayed in seconds. The damage, in this case, is intentional. Evil if you ask me. The second damage results from the fact that the gadget uses radiation etc. that in the end, the implanted device itself can cause radiation exposure. I argue that the accused took an action that puts the plan in motion and that would result in the intended killing through implanting a device that can kill.

334) The devices through electromagnetic nerve tampering control the human system. Can stop some functions etc. meaning can be used to instantly kill say by choking so this is not just preparation but putting a plan in place to see the specific intent of killing realized.

335) The kinds of actions that are enough to be a direct step differ from case to case, though there is a range of actions that can qualify, such as the following.

336) These are the direct steps taken by the accused the NHS;

337) The use of GPS means easily identifying my whereabouts and getting me ambushed. Tracking me everywhere and waiting for situations they can get me ambushed. Get me attacked. Lie so that people attack me for no reason. Using torture to drive me out of the country, then contact people to attack me. Lying abroad to damage credibility to all those who I report them.

338) Breaking-in. For example, unlawfully sneaking into a home, property, or another place where the victim is or thought to be. Illegally listening to conversations. Then hack the bank accounts and create double deductions so that the family fights so they break in pretending that they have been called in and separate the family. When all they are using is illegal hacking. Shame on all these institutions and the evil and corrupt system they rely on information obtained illegally and, in that way, to function. All this to separate the family so that the baby when born is given away. See also the modern-day genocide below.

339) Constructing. This is collecting all the information about me that they will use for the murder so that they are not caught or that people will not suspect them.

340) Soliciting. Tricking others to attack, lying to them about me so that other point guns at me.

341) The Intention.

- 342) Intent to act. The accused has knowledge of the immune system and the body and is making digital viruses and using hacking to destroy the body so that the body malfunctions in the end. The accused is doing it rather than just thinking about it.
- 343) Intent to kill. The accused intended to cause specific harm, namely, to kill the targeted victim. I argue that the accused is not intending to only maim, frighten, or disfigure me because damaging my system will leave me prone to attacks. Controlling the body and implanting a device that causes malfunction can only result in death if the accused is not stopped. The accused is destroying or attempting to destroy a critical function central to life. It is not just attempting to destroy reproductive functions but destroying everything that if not stopped will result in death. Therefore, a threat to life and self-defense is not just justified but mandatory. Since there are no courts or laws with jurisdiction to deal with the accused. I vehemently argue and advocate for an international response. I did in 2010 but the accused's master was heavily protected by Europe but now that it is independent of Europe and the isolation has been completed if this does not stop or that if the accused the NHS is not stopped an international response is the only solution; military style. Enough is enough. I will advocate for the sanctions before the military action and NATO is aware of the dire situation and itself having been a victim of the abuse nevertheless on a small scale will stand by me.
- 344) I have successfully argued that the accused committed a direct step and that the accused had the specific intent to murder. There are no other explanations for implanting a lethal high voltage emitting device that uses and emits radiation too other than for a specific intent to kill. It does matter what reasons they are going to give you. I have successfully established a direct step and specific intent.
- 345) Impossibility. An impossibility defense will not stand as a defense because the device itself regardless of its capabilities uses radiation that can cause cancers, etc.
- 346) Self-defense will not stand on the accused's part because everything about me and my political party is peaceful. We are registered in the UK under the Electoral Commission rules.
- 347) Renunciation or withdrawal.
- 348) This is not a defense open to the accused because it only slowed down its plan and not stopped at all. The abuse continues up to this day despite mentioning that the doctor who is responsible was no longer practicing, etc. What happened is that the doctor might have retired due to old age, but they since took over and the abuse continues because it is operated remotely so they don't care about being caught. Even though now it might not be the NHS as in doctors, they have solicited to others to continue and do the same so still responsible and the others as accomplices.
- 349) First Degree Attempted Murder
- 350) There is no doubt to what degree this is because we have the accused taking a direct step intentionally and with premeditation trying to kill me.
- 351)
- 352) Factors indicating higher culpability

- 353) The fact that they used the monarchy to perform the operation and implant the devices as this happened at the very time, I was preparing to join the Royal Navy. This medical screening was part of the medical requested by the Royal Navy to screen for all diseases. The reason being that I must be a hundred percent fit for the Royal Navy as I will be in a submarine for as long as six months underwater. I passed the medical screening. I had no diseases, all major diseases, and clear of all sexually transmitted diseases as well. All proof in my medical files with named doctor see part A and part B.
- 354) I argue that the accused must receive maximum punishment as the accused is in a position of trust. There is a real breach of trust just because no one can prove it. I believe that this is institutionalized and practiced at a larger scale because they simply can and above all this creates work for the police, the mental health specialist and the council, etc. who will further abuse the person so that they have a job. But knowing that the victim is telling the truth. This can't be expected from people in a position of trust, as it is the abuse of a position of trust. Imagine how many more people go through this without being able to prove it?
- 355) They used fear and threats of not getting the Royal Navy job and citizenship if I don't get screened just to implant the devices during the bone marrow operation. Meaning a structural form of abuse associated with all major government forms of power, including the monarchy. They knew the doctors would abuse me, knock me out heavily, sedating me so that they can implant the devices. If I complain, then they say I was hallucinating and further abuse me. What they are doing to black people. Another point related to this is the fact that this is how they are killing people. Look at the record number of black men dying in police custody. See Race Commission's report. This is systematic and deliberate. The way they are carrying out a Colony Collapse syndrome sees also modern-day genocide arguments below.
- 356) Evidence of the following factors may be considered in the intention to kill:
- 357) The accused NHS's calculated planning;
- 358) It all started when I got Refugee to stay in 2009 as a refugee, then decided to join the Royal Navy.
- 359) selection and use of a deadly weapon;
- 360) Implanting of a deadly lethal high voltage emitting device and one that emits radiation as part of its use as well clearly shows the accused's intent.
- 361) Torture and other abuse a form of threats.
- 362) The torture and abuse have lasted 10 years since 2010. See international calls for help in emails.
- 363) All this points to the fact that the accused the NHS intended to and acted on that intention to try to murder me.
- 364) ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES First I will quote the definition of torture given by the Istanbul Protocol: [Torture means any act by which severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, is intentionally inflicted on a person for such purposes as obtaining from him or a piece of third person information or a confession, punishing him for an act he or a third person, has committed or is suspected of having committed, or intimidating or coercing him or a third person, or for any reason based on discrimination

of any kind, when such pain or suffering is inflicted by or at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of a public official or other person acting in an official capacity..] Istanbul Protocol p1 I draw the attention of the court to the fact that in this case there is a great tendency of the professionals being coerced into the misrepresentation of facts, into falsifying documents, and or withholding of important documents to try to make it look like I am hallucinating just to cover their violations. In this case, I urge the court to look at the Istanbul protocol and make sure those who will try to withhold information are dealt with most strictly and given harsh punishments. This is because there is an absolute prohibition of torture, yet torture is still being practiced nevertheless hidden and concealed by the use of these Implanted Medical Devices [IMDs]. I raised this issue because I complained first to the hospital, but they set me up and accused me of hallucinating that resulted in further abuse.

- 365) Expressed Ethical Obligations of all health care public bodies to prevent torture. Even where ethical principles are not established in law in this way, all health professionals are morally bound by the standards set by their professional bodies. They are judged to be guilty of misconduct if they deviate from professional standards without reasonable justification. Istanbul Protocol the World Medical Association's Declaration of Tokyo; reiterates the prohibition of any form of medical participation or medical presence in torture or ill-treatment. This is reinforced by the United Nations Principles that specifically refer to the Declaration of Tokyo. [Doctors are prohibited from providing information or any medical instrument or substance that would facilitate ill-treatment.] Istanbul Protocol. This includes IMDs, especially without any consent and intending to kill or cause suffering. There is a pattern of abuse that is institutionalized with the resulting further abuse of the victim as documents and information is withheld.
- 366) According to Liberty UK: Article 3 also requires that public authorities [here; NHS and ST JAMES HOSPITAL HEMATOLOGY DEPARTMENT] take steps to prevent torture and ill-treatment. This requires laws in place to adequately protect vulnerable groups from ill-treatment and for public officials to act to protect vulnerable people... This places a great role and duty on the defendant to be more responsible and active in the prevention of this hacking that leads to torture and not them being the 'drivers' of torture, therefore, a clear breach of the act and therefore GUILTY as I argued. I argue that the defendant St James hospital Hematology department has malicious intent when they implanted the IMD. As argued above, I urge the court to find them guilty also of hacking and planning to cause grievous bodily harm. I argue that as in hacking one of the objectives is to disrupt normal function siphoning semen into organs to cause organ failure and in the end, try to justify the implanting of the IMD which was not necessary in the first place to force- the IMD on me and violate all my rights, in the end, causing death.
- 367) I want to draw the attention of the court to an IMD that has similar functions, namely the vibrating aspect that real exist proving that what I am saying is real and never imaginary. A company called AngelMed based in the USA has a similar IMD to the IMD model number, AM3G. According to their user manual. The manual reads: The AngelMed Guardian® Implantable Medical Device (IMD) is an implantable

programmable device that monitors the patient's electrogram, vibrates to warn the patient of alarms and alerts, and stores electrogram signals and other data. The IMD is one of the primary components of the AngelMed Guardian system. This is proof beyond doubt that what I am saying is true. They refused responsibility and lied so I further get mistreated and because like I have been arguing all the time their intent is evil- there can never be hacking that is good or for a good purpose. All hacking is to change and replace or destroy organs and replace these with this; that brings to the issue of ransom, hostage situation, and modern-day slavery. I, therefore, argue that the court finds the defendant guilty. IMDs do exist. Address of AngelMed the manufacture of IMDs with some similar functions. Angel Medical Angel Medical Systems 788 Shrewsbury Avenue, Suite 2144 Tinton Falls, NJ 07724 Direct: 732-542-5551 Fax: 732-542-5560 Email: info@angel-med.com But the one implanted should not be confused with this one. The one implanted is a lethal electric one.

368) Dangerous proximity

369) The accused was so 'dangerously close' to completing the crime or 'so near to the result that the danger of success is very great.' This is in consideration of the fact that the accused managed to carry out a direct step of implanting the device and if it wasn't for the proof of hacking, he would have continued making it dangerously close in, in carrying out the specific intent of harming me through use of the IMD.

370) First through its voltage, enabling it to zap me with a high voltage charge remotely.

371) Second through its tampering, changing, distorting, skipping other functions, etc.

372) Thirdly through siphoning semen to other body parts makes the immune system recognize it as antigen and initiate the attack process that can damage the immune system.

373) Fourthly through the use of manmade digital viruses.

374) Through manipulation of GPS and recording facilities to corner and ambush or set me up to be attacked by others to give it an alibi that whatever damage was not by the accused but by the attackers.

375) This proves that the accused had gone past the preparation stage and if not stopped might end up carrying out this dangerous act.

376) 2. Grievous Bodily Harm with Intent

377) "Whoever shall unlawfully and maliciously by any means whatsoever wound or cause any grievous bodily harm to any person, with intent, to do some grievous bodily harm to any person, or with intent to resist or prevent the lawful apprehension or detainer of any person, shall be guilty of an offense, and being convicted thereof shall be liable to imprisonment for life."

1. Causing.

2. I hereby declare that the acts of the accused nevertheless remotely and using contactless technology are the cause of the grievous Bodily harm and intent is expressed. The accused implanted a device they are using to literally damage the system through the tension of muscles and nerves. They are using a rotary propeller with tension properties to pull muscles and nerves so there are no functions. The accused is the one carrying out the destruction internationally remotely, nevertheless. It is the accused the NHS as defined at the beginning who meaning everyone involved who are deliberately, systematically, and defined over a long period of 10 years who are carrying out the attack.

378) I want to further highlight to the court that the motive is racially motivated and as such, I would like the court to note that cases that have racial connotations are valid for at least 25 years from the day the initial crime is committed. This is because such crimes threaten the fabric in which human societies and other people's lives are made. Such crimes and abuse with racial connotations mean maximum penalties and must be properly investigated.

379) Secondly, I want to highlight to the court that there are no time limits because of the graveness of the offense. Cases, where issues of race are raised, MUST be investigated regardless if time limits expired or not.

380) Thirdly, I want to argue to the court that maximum penalties in most cases the death sentence or imprisonment for life must be considered regardless of other issues like the case was not brought to the attention of the court on time, etc. The existence of evidence beyond doubt in the form of a video showing proof of hacking means the case must be taken seriously. The accused has once evaded justice by accusing me of hallucinated. But when video evidence surface, they started saying that the doctor responsible was no longer practicing. But because the abuse continued as the abuse is remotely and how can you prove who is torturing me. The burden is now with the owner of the NHS as an institution to find out who is doing this. This has no impact on the case because all they will be doing is apportioning the blame. Like the institution, this is the problem as the system is designed that way that all blacks are hacked illegally, and the doctors are sacked. Given huge packages to keep them quiet, then the abuse continues underground remotely. When you write to all channels of redress, they simply say the doctors involved were sacked or are no longer practicing. But the whole system continues with the abuse, with them now using voice technology to fake things; conversations, etc.

381) Don't forget we are dealing with the most advanced country in the world with technology that no one else has.

382) I as the leader of Tomorrow's World Order have the evidence to regard the accused NHS as a Hostis Humanis Generis by the way it is treating blacks in this country. Graying all after hacking all illegally. Then tell their own that it is a sign or mark to let members of the public know that the person is mentally unstable. But the destruction of a people. As those grayed are regarded as incapable of starting a relationship. In the end, have their reproduction system destroyed intentionally and deliberately. This is worse than the Nazis. All because it can't be proved, and they can still do it remotely

and underground. This is unacceptable that I as Tomorrow's World Order's leader is advocating so that the world come together, and attack just as happened to Germany on their treatment of the Jews.

- 383) This has grown out of proportion. See the belief in the divine right by the British in the emails. This is not all. We also have reasons to believe that the pandemic is an NHS-triggered pandemic. Yes, if you know the accused and what they are capable of, you will understand the Hostis Humanis Generis argument. Visit England 1665-1666. The British tend to recreate history as everything is documented looking for lookalikes. See King James II of England and the current prime minister. Read all about the pandemic of 1665-6 and then you will see how it is easy to say that Britain, NHS in particular, are the ones behind the pandemic. They have a motive; the technology and everything see emails for details.
- 384) This NHS is deliberately implanting devices like the GPS, blackboxes, propellers and electric diodes, etc. to destroy all blacks in their country or control their lives in such a way that imitates slavery. Yes, the calls for modern-day slavery are a cover-up for what this NHS is doing.
- 385) We believe that in 1831 they discovered an easy way to substitute the whip with electric currents in the form of torture, and this made them realize that they can carry on doing the same but underground and undetected. This is the reason for denouncing slavery and abolishing it in 1833. Not because it is evil, but they can do better underground hidden and out of sight of the public scrutiny. This all that I am talking about is the same as slavery of that time, only that they replaced everything digitally with technology. So, they are still practicing secret slavery, nevertheless so advanced technologically.
- 386) Picture all the above and look back to the images or videos of slavery then.
- 387) The whip is not a hidden high voltage medical device that tortures for real and kills.
- 388) Picture all the slaves marked on their skins like cattle stamped on their asses. Now picture strange gray hair patterns on blacks, etc.
- 389) Picture rationing of food and restrictions of movements, etc. Now picture an advanced of the same through GPS, blackboxes, electric diodes, and phone calls being made to everyone as the GPS can search all phone numbers around that person. Now all they have to do is tell the person to help the victim not to help him. Picture the devices used to hack the bank accounts of the victims, etc. to control their money. Now picture slaves being beaten up for going to areas they are not supposed to be. Or those who run away being chased and brought back. Now picture GPS being used even abroad first to lie to everyone who comes across the victim. To damage his or her credibility so that if the victim tells them that the British have implanted a spying device either they won't believe that person or further ill-treat him or her as a spy. Look at [Nazanin Zaghari-Ratcliffe's](#) case. The British human hacked her and when she went to Iran fearing that she might spill the beans, the PM then foreign affairs secretary gave incriminating evidence so that the Iranian authorities imprison her to cover for their hacking. Look at the charges raised. Spying and threatening to overthrow the government, etc. Probably set up by the British and the recording faked**

as well. After being imprisoned they then actually spy for the British who monitor what happens in the prisons of Iran through secret implanted GPS, blackboxes, and diodes to torture her deliberately so that she complains at the torture by the British. But she is in Iranian prison the Iran authorities now consider her mentally unstable. The British now come forward and declare that she used to suffer from mental disorders, etc. so the Iranian authorities rule the fact that their treatment might have caused the mental instability. They then keep her in prison instead of releasing her. For they will simply say she came like that and that won't change anything.

- 390) Now picture a high voltage zapping the victim if he or she goes into the wrong place as zoning equivalent to apartheid is used to control where one stays, etc. Now picture the high voltage dog-collars-like being used to send the person back from abroad as the locals are tortured as well that they ask you to leave as well.
- 391) Imagine slaves with no rights at all with their private organs being tampered with, mutilations castrations, etc. Now picture NHS remotely tampering with the reproductive system of a person using the pulley effect of the propellers to pull to breaking points nerves and ligaments. This is happening and I tell you it is not just a racially motivated attack but deep evil that requires only an international response.
- 392) The talk of local redress, in this case, is non-existent. Imagine a judge himself going through the same. Imagine a judge being grayed fast than normal tricked that is what people give more respect to. Imagine a judge with his genitals pulled to breaking point. Surely how can the judge himself prove that this is happening to him?
- 393) But as a world's first, we have used technology too to record the human hacking that can easily be seen to a visible eye. Proof beyond reasonable doubt that the accused is not just institutionally racist, that the attack is not just racially motivated but that it is so gross that if nothing is done, then the judicial system is useless. That means only a new system is needed, and fast.
- 394) The proof is there. The fact that the accused denies the existence of such technology means they are used for all the evil I have talked about. There is no secrecy about issues and cases with racial connotations.
- 395) So, I argue for severe punishment. Only the death of the people involved, all of them are suiting. To some extent, this must extend to their kids as well. As the saying goes. Evil can only breed evil.
- a. It is beyond doubt that the act (s) of the accused was the cause of the GBH. Namely implanting a device, they operate remotely and operating it to pull nerves and muscles as a way of damaging the system. Effectively, I argue also that "but for" the act (s) of the accused, I would not have suffered an injury that amounted to GBH. The accused was the operating and substantial cause of the GBH remotely, nevertheless. (i.e. that there were no subsequent events that would render the accused's part in the injury to have been very small).
- 396) "Grievous Bodily Harm,":

397) “Harm” can also include psychiatric injury, if sufficiently serious (such as Post Traumatic Stress Disorder arising from the incident itself. Bear also in mind that several injuries that would be considered minor on their own can be considered grievous if sufficiently serious when considered cumulatively.

398) “Intent,”:

a. I have successfully argued that the accused intended to cause harm, which amounts in law to serious harm.

1. I would like to argue to the court that wounds can be internal wounds that can't be proved just like these hidden devices that are used to cause wounds. So, the fact that human hacking can be proved sees the video titled Evil Hacking. Then it must follow also that a wound is possible that is internal, and proof of this hacking is sufficient to prove to wound.

2. The accused has pulled eyes as well to the side as in reptiles, something superstitiously associated with the British monarch but something that is happening. I believe this is part of hooding. A form of torture sees Ireland's Hooded Men case v UK. Only that this is done digitally where the iris of the socket is hidden as the propeller rotates even just ninety degrees will be enough to hide the iris in the eye socket so that vision is lost. You have probably seen those haunted houses etc. films where the eye is open but only the white part is left. This is something the accused is good at, spreading fear and threats. Mostly setting up people blinding people as a way to achieve loyalty or getting people abused as they won't see who is doing that to them. Speaking of grooming kids and giving them to billionaires like Epstein associated with the monarchy and their sons, e.g. Prince Andrew. The accused genitally activates the kids who end up given gifts to these billionaires using the same hacking. Stimulating them remotely as static electricity is passed on their genitals continuously, just like the shaking of the brain. To breaking points, until they want sex, they are then given as gifts to these billionaires who most go through the same genital arousal. The accused is doing this in return for donations, to destroy people who are considered to be threats; to protect the monarchy, to drive their drug treatment medicine campaigns, etc. as the billionaires end up being given huge radiation doses to their deaths and being used to campaign for drugs, etc.

399) Deliberately Killing Parents and Grooming of Their Kids.

400) The accused as a separate crime is grooming kids. Killing their parents through a Colony Collapse strategy see emails, where the breadwinners are removed especially in the house, holds with girls so that the NHS teaching hospitals take over after the death of the father normally to drug misuse. Meaning implanted as well with these torture devices and tortured to breaking points until they end up taking drugs to their deaths. Then the kids are implanted as well with these devices and trained through a mirroring

twin technique to be used as bait, carriers of the digital or genetically motivated viruses, etc. or simply to be given as a gift to billionaires. In what they call looking for a home for these orphans.

401) Factors indicating greater harm

- An injury that is serious in the context of the offense is present as the accused is making digital viruses that they feed into the device to cause all kinds of diseases in other people.
- The attack has been sustained over the past ten years, so sustained and repeated assault over the years. What has been happening is that they only stop after say reporting them abroad or to rights groups. They then change the people, putting new people who start over the attacks as well until the time someone informs them that this is wrong. Doing the same over the years. Stopping when the courts are involved but mainly international courts and as soon as they say they have no jurisdiction instead local courts have jurisdiction the abuse starts again.
- Simply because they start the Scorched Earth Policy of blocking any kind of help so one can't raise court fees.

402) .

403) Statutory aggravating factors

- Offense racially. The greatest challenge to the court here is to view this as a racially aggravated attack. I argue that if I were white all this would not have happened at all. The fact that I am black and would like to join the Royal Navy was my crime. The attacks would have stopped if I were white. For they would not have treated or attacked one of their own that way. Over ten years attack him. Imagine this treatment as the Leader President of Tomorrow's World Order? If I were white, they would not have abused me like this and this being the only political party registered and with a black leader, this now becomes a racially and politically aggravated attack. One that requires greater scrutiny and maximum sentences to all involved to send a clear message that the courts won't tolerate such behavior hidden or being carried out in broad daylight. To say that the British are not racist is just not in line with reality. They just don't know it.
- I want to argue to the court that even the Nazis to the day they were hanged were adamant that they were acting in the interest of the Jews. Meaning helping them.
- So, I challenge the court to the same issue that the accused to save his skin will try to trick you too as they have tricked everyone since 1833 that they have abolished slavery when indeed they had only gone underground. But doing even worse than they used to, but now using concealed undetected devices. A tricking magician

will always look for new tricks, and I bet it might take centuries for someone to catch them off guard again and expose them for who they are. Racially inclined devious and manipulating evil people who require an international response of justice. Meaning to be attacked by everyone until Britain is sunk in the sea. Peace for all must means that.

- Is this happening to all commonwealth countries? The Scotts, the Welsh, etc. If so, then this is the tip of the iceberg.
- Offense motivated by, or demonstrating, hostility to the victim based on my sexual orientation (or presumed sexual orientation) for I am a straight man. This means their defeat because their trickery works only if my sexual orientation would be different from being straight. That means having an alibi to make and use the device and digital viruses to destroy the immune system and attribute this to sexual orientation as the other sexual orientation is associated with diseases, etc. That can also explain the attempted attack on the reproductive system, so they can easily say he brought this on himself. Also, to justify the illegal hacking. No judge would care if it was not a straight man abused.
- Offense motivated by, or demonstrating, hostility to me based on my political standing.
- I founded and am the President of Tomorrow's World Order. A political party registered in Great Britain with the Electoral Commission. A party to put a new judicial system and change everything we know today. That includes what I regard as useless institutions and the accused being one in that they are doing more damage secretly and hidden than they are doing good in the open just to remain viable so that these people have jobs at the expense of others. This is wrong. No father should live in fear of being targeted so that these people have jobs as they take over and groom their kids. To use as child-prostitutes, as bait, or to test their digital viruses as carriers. Or simply to give as a gift. This must stop. If the current courts support them simply because a radiation gun is pointed at them as well, a new system is a must. We must stop all.

404) Other aggravating factors

- A significant degree of premeditation.
- As I have argued in Direct Response to the Commission on Race and Ethnic Disparities Report.: Tomorrow's World Order's Perspective
- https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Direct_Response_to_the_Commission_on?id=3NsnEAAAQBAJ
- All that is happening is part of the system. Something that has been going on for years. That the accused is making viruses; then

scare people to be checked when they go for checkups the accused NHS, then implant devices to control and alter and destroy otherwise healthy functions. This is part of a strategy to destroy foreigners with much influence to impact their system. The fact that I am arguing that if all the issues raised in the above book will amount to modern-day genocide and secret slavery. Imagine the recent years' calls about modern-day slavery etc.? The accused is the one people are talking about but because they can't prove it. The accused then recreate similar situations with people they have given what they call protection. Who covers for them? So, in short, this is a premeditated attack.

- Use of weapon.
- The accused has developed a highly lethal weapon that emits high voltage through the cathode and diode mechanism. It links with the satellite too, to emit doses of radiation. This was designed to do just that premeditated with a specific intent to kill secretly and blame, say the person's sexual orientation or other factors as the problem.
- This proves that the accused is not just killing people unlawfully through diseases it is making itself but breaking all international laws. Illegally over-sedating people during operation. Operating without consent for that region concerned the lumbar bone. The bone marrow was supposed to be a small drill on the hip. Then implant devices to destroy a person's brain and immunity, leaving him exposed to all kinds of issues. Then when he complains of body vibrations and tampering of the genital area, the accused then declares that he is hallucinating.
- Can you see the level of abuse and cover-up at all levels then further abusing the victim torturing him, so he is hooked on drugs? To damage his credibility or lie that he is not straight so that after they have damaged the system, they will say it is because of that. Evidence that the accused is tricking people to cover the fact that it is indeed making digital and bio-engineered viruses and playing God and killing innocent people as a way to get rid of foreigners in its country, nevertheless a crime against humanity.
- Intention to commit more serious harm than resulted from the offense.
- I have argued in the above book that the accused intend to destroy a people using all means described in the book. All looked

together proves beyond doubt a case of secret slavery and modern-day genocide. Again, I want to point the court to the fact that this is not genocide in the sense you know it or slavery as you know it. But a modern, highly concealed, and sophisticated way of doing the same without a trace and being caught. Unfortunately, technology does not discriminate in that it allowed us to expose the accused for what it is. A Hostis Humanis Generis that will not stop at anything, therefore, must be stopped through international military action.

- Deliberately causes more harm than is necessary for the commission of an offense.
- Deliberately cause malfunctioning of healthy functions and aiming to cause brain damage is something associated with barbarians of centuries ago, and it is shocking to find the accused at it in this day and age. Never believe all the arguments that this is protection. This is only that nobody complains, otherwise, we have evil lurking on your watch.
- The deliberate targeting of a vulnerable victim.
- The accused is using immigration status, people's vulnerable status induced by lack of permanent jobs, restrictions of foreigners who form relationships with locals, restrictions of rights to life, etc. to corner people and pretend they need to be checked before given status, etc. or employment but then heavily sedate them and then implant devices they are using to terrorize these people literally. Torturing all. This is real. We are dealing with a clever and manipulating trickery monster here.
- I want to rely on the above book and all documents included to show the court that it is only a matter of time before justice catches up with the accused. It is up to you to determine that time. Let the accused cause more suffering unnecessarily so that they remain viable and have jobs or put an end to all this and let a new just system take over. Imagine your children having to be raised by these to be used as baits. To be given away as gifts. To be used as watermarked prostitutes to set up innocent men so that everyone in this country is hacked and known to them? Speaking of breaking all international laws.
- I want to argue that all the basis the accused relies on is the fruits of the poison tree. Most are set-ups, so they are justified faking things, conversations, voices, etc. all illegalities.
- I want therefore to argue that illegality cannot be used to justify legality.
- They can't use information obtained from the illegality of this nature, especially considering that this has racial connotations.

- Instead, I argue that the accused and the doctors and everyone concerned be put on trial for all their evil deeds from illegally operating without specific consent for the area concerned, especially considering the risks of damaging nerves, etc. in that region. Secondly, the heavy sedation of the victim is a breach of law. Implanting lethal devices that because malfunction is not just a breach of international laws but evil enough to trigger the Hostis Humanis Generis clause. Illegal surveillance, breaches of privacy, restrictions of rights to family life, etc. as a hacked person has no freedom, etc. whatsoever. Torture using the devices to perform all five techniques digitally. See parts A and B.
- Attempted murder, grievously bodily harm with intent, secret slavery, hostage-taking, making and using digital and bio-engineered viruses, using the device to steal years off these people's lives by wrinkling them faster as the propeller rotates continuously, and they use the laser to crease the face. Graying fast hairs stealing years meaning killing destroying people in a modern-day genocide so it is believed that people are old and therefore died of natural causes, etc.
- All looked as a whole as argued in this book https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Direct_Response_to_the_Commission_on?id=3NsnEAAAQBAJ
- Will amount to structured and or institutional racism.
- Note the definition in the above book about institutional or structured racism to pinpoint exactly the real evil the accused is carrying out in the disguise of Protection etc. Simply because for centuries it could not be proved but the tides of change have arrived, and I tell you this that it will never be the same again. This is when they say let us see ink [pen] destroying an evil empire for more than a thousand emails have been sent globally. This brings me to the other point I want to make.
- That the accused destroys evidence shutting down companies etc. who have evidence against them. But we have been warned and most of the evidence is in safes and courts abroad who will keep these on file for the next five years or more. See emails and court papers.
- We are serious about peace for us and it is up to the courts to start the healing process. Imagine if you were in our shoes and we were in your shoes. Surely everyone on earth knows Britain is no angel and to make things worse they are still at it.
- Chagos case; all courts declared illegal dealings by the British, yet they decided to ignore.
- Look at Windrush cases, etc.
- Look at the number of blacks dying in police custody.

- Look at the number of knife attacks on blacks was there a shortage of organs from this group considering the age of the victims 16-24. Is this grooming and harvesting for body parts? Read more from the above report https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Direct_Response_to_the_Commission_on?id=3NsnEAAAQBAJ
- Direct Response to the Commission on Race and Ethnic Disparities Report.: Tomorrow's World Order's Perspective.
- Look at the race riots even though they started in the USA Britain is no angel.
- There are a lot of issues if put together would trigger the need for urgent change. Mind you, things are changing.
- Look at the case of Scotland. Do you think the Scots don't want independence? The big questions are that are they going through the same as well? All hacked on birth and being tortured and having their nerves and ligaments torn, etc. Is this happening to all including those in the Commonwealth, etc.?
- This case must not be taken lightly. I would urge the court to give this case careful considerations because the implications might have ramifications consequences for the empire as we know it today. This is not about me this is a test case. I warned all that the world is watching and I am like an inspector. Who on earth would abuse and tell the inspector all secrets unless they are dumb or have a death wish? Surely, we are here not by coincidence but by the design of some powerful power out there.
- I was born in June 1976 the very year and month Britain was being dragged to court by Ireland Hooded men the UK accused of torture and years down the line I am the victim of the same torture techniques ONLY that Britain unlike the courts has evolved. Now using sophisticated technology but to do the same only that now even more concealed. But the very crime they were accused of. Five techniques of torture.
- Hooding. Then putting a covering on the face of the victims and traumatize them. Modern nowadays hooding is through the use of the electric propeller device that uses drone technology to rotate the eye in the socket so that vision is lost. Unable to see with even worse trauma.
- White noise. Playing television noises etc. to traumatize and destabilize the victim. Nowadays they use GPS and blackboxes to record the person's voice and replay noises at a given time and space that the person can hear his voice etc. first time with traumatic effects. They use sophisticated technology called the delayed time-space continuum technology that can manipulate

the delay for events to be beamed to the satellite and then beam back at the same place events that had already occurred as happening that very moment.

- I want to direct the court that we are dealing with a very sophisticated manipulating and clever abuser here. I have written several books explaining all the tricks the accused is using to abuse blacks and destroy most in a modern-day genocide manner.
- Leading role in group or gang.
- The accused is the driver if you like of all the abuse since it is the one responsible for the hacking and everything else that will follow. There are other players involved. But the accused has a leading role who if caught or if all lifelines to appeal have expired will then delegate to the police and the council staff to set up and further abuse the victim.
- The main issue is that the accused might hide the doctors involved claiming that they are threatened by the victim and offer them protection and let others abuse the victim. Or if the doctor stops practicing the accused, simply let the others carry on with the abuse instead of switching off the device. It was illegal in the first place.
- I want vehemently to point to the courts that it is not a chicken or egg thing like the accused would like to put it. This is a clear-cut issue. The hacking was the first everything else followed. This is true. In most cases whatever they will use after like fights with their wife or girlfriend is after their initial abuse of hacking. In most cases, the girlfriend will turn up that it was them who provided them in the first place so the end is defined and predictable in that they will use her to give them an alibi. Then try to use the brain shaking and all the accusations the victim might have complained about the hacking to destroy the credibility that the victim forgot what was first and try to make this a chicken and egg thing.
- Offense motivated by, or demonstrating, hostility based on the victim's age, sex, gender identity (or presumed gender identity).
- The abuse is part of a systematic well defines structural racism act but to cover this they use gender or sexual orientation to try to blame everything on that the victim is bad, anyway. But in this case, this is not true. I am straight. The accused is attempting to damage functions so that maybe I end up that way so he can use digital viruses etc. otherwise nothing makes sense. Unless they are making digital or bio-engineered viruses and for cover, say the victim is not straight and therefore prone to be shot, etc.
- The accused has a lot hidden in its armpits. I have the World Health Organization and the World Medical Association

investigating this accused. There are a lot of accusations that are linked to the accused.

- It is a fact that the accused has and used bio-engineered viruses like Ebola in Africa to wipe out parents of children so that the children are orphaned and then get this someone some ending up here and given as gifts or hooked to prostitution rings. All are hacked like in this case with electricity passed on their genitals to make them horny to breaking points then make them prostitutes. When not needed are then loaded with these digital viruses the accused is making and sent back or killed. This is not just cruel but deserves the harshest punishment. This is something we all know to be associated with barbaric monarchies of the early centuries etc. who sent men abroad to bring them gifts including young children to give away as gifts, etc.
- I am not trying to funny, but the accused has taken the whole world centuries ago recreating everything then. So that the future is predictable etc. to profits e.g. if there is a financial crash, etc. They might have used a cycloid and the time ball of the 18th century to predict the fall of financial markets, etc.
- Another major issue is the 1665-1666 time in England that resembles the era we are with lookalikes then and now doing nearly the same. The similarities are beyond mere coincidence. This is the work of a very clever and manipulating institution as the accused kill millions just because they can and above all that the courts are powerless or have no jurisdiction to deal with them. This is not just wrong but threatens the fabric of humanity. Who on earth would create something that nearly wiped humanity in the black death?

405) Hacking. First for the court to understanding what is going on I will look at hacking in the technology world as it has the same objectives as human hacking.

- Cyber-dependent crimes - crimes that can be committed only through the use of Information and Communications Technology ('ICT') devices, where the devices are both the tool for committing the crime, and the target of the crime (e.g. developing and propagating malware for financial gain, hacking to steal, damage, distort or destroy data and/or network or activity).
- It is true also that the hacking by the accused NHS and its other institutions like the hospital and doctors, in this case, is to steal, damage, distort or destroy functions and network activity in the body.

406) Cyber-dependent crimes fall broadly into two main categories:

- Illicit intrusions into computer networks, such as hacking; and

- the disruption or downgrading of computer functionality and network space, such as malware and Denial of Service (DOS) or Distributed Denial of Service (DDOS) attacks.
- 407) Highly skilled individuals or groups who can code and disseminate software to attack computer networks and systems, either to commit a crime or facilitate others to do so;
- 408) Hacking is a form of intrusion targeted at computers, [in this case at humans] including mobile phones and personal tablet devices. It is the unauthorized use of, or access into, computers or networks by exploiting identified security vulnerabilities. Hacking can be used to:
- gather personal data or information of use to criminals;
 - deface websites; or
 - launch DoS or DDoS attacks.
- 409) .
- 410) Disruption of Human [Computer] Functionality
- 411) Human Hacking, I define as the manipulation of the body's function system, the nerves, the communication network, the organs, etc. through an Implanted Medical Device [IMD] that is operated remotely by a third party who in this case is the Master [in the master-slave relationship] rendering the hacked person powerless to an extent of making him or her a hostage victim or a slave that all his human rights are violated that a question of having any rights under the law is unfounded. The manipulation goes beyond that person to include his or her external network too, friends, and loved ones. Human hacking, in this case, is equivalent to a hostage-taking situation, and therefore there is no recognition of the human rights of the victim because the human rights act is based on the ability of an individual to exercise his or rights to choose and control what happens to him or her. When an IMD is used as a gun pointing at you and you getting dictated to then there are no rights of the victim. A hostage-taker no matter who and for what reason is a criminal under law. What separates the defendant from a hostage-taker a criminal whose if it's a public body in a position of trust.
- 412) The main theme here is the fact that there is no permission given and is very much synonymous with the Master-slave relationship. We all know that in such scenarios there can never be a question of freedom. What's separates this human hacking from the slavery era? I will tell you. It's now done underground concealed so much that you won't know it exists until you witness it first-hand. Caution should be taken when dealing with the United Kingdom. Until today there are countries still under British rule. Still without rights. I say that because when you are still under the rule of a colonial power the question of freedom in the real meaning of freedom is nonexistent. I argue that torture is used to cause people to obey.
- 413) 3 OBJECTIVES OF HACKING. I am going to argue that hacking is gross violations of all fundamental human rights of a human being. First look at the main goals of hacking. 1. MESSAGE CONTROL- the accused defendant hacked me to control the message heard and seen. 2. CAUSE DAMAGE This is a fact hacker no matter who hospital or normal hacker the idea behind hacking is to cause damage a very crucial point in this case.

Hacking aims to modify the physical body and systems internally through the implanted IMD. Insertion of malware electrode or the rotating propeller to change the body and nerve function through electromagnetic nerve stimulation.

- 414) Often in a way that inflicts real physical harm up to and including the destruction of that person. The main goal of hacking is to modify, delete or add something without the authorization of the owner. The same thing the hospital accused is doing. A breach of my human rights and the breaking of the law. >The main aim of hacking by the defendant St James Hospital Hematology is to cause a denial of services and functions.
- 415) They aim to cause the body unable to carry out some of the bodily functions including forcing it to engage in other particular functions. Controlling when and when some functions are carried out through manipulation of the nervous system by use of the implanted IMD and an electrode fired inside the body also during operation. See Electromagnetic nerve stimulation in humans.
- 416) SNOOPING SURVEILLANCE AND ILLEGAL INFORMATION GATHERING. Their aim here is to steal, information, introduce Trojans- to cause malfunctions. Putting sperms after siphoning this and placing it where it should not be. Redirecting body functions.
- 417) Public body/government system hacking. All government hacking substantially interferes with human rights. No matter what all hacking no matter who carries it interferes with HUMAN RIGHTS. The case of freedom in this situation is NON-EXISTENT. The simple fact that one is hacked is a clear-cut violation of his or her human rights. EXPRESS VIOLATIONS. This is because all hacking is to interfere with the system and functions with the main aim to destroy or to cause malfunction; be it brain damage or organ damage. There is no hacking that is beneficial otherwise it's not hacking. There is no permission from the victim. We are back to the slave-master era. Britain a major player in the slave-master era. Here a clear reminder that this might be just the tip of the iceberg.
- 418) The main reason why hacking violates human rights. This is because hacking often takes place remotely and surreptitiously, there is no inherent notice to the target of the activity. There is no permission granted. It's a crime. Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Article 12: "No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home [,] or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honor and reputation. Everyone has the right to protection of the law against such interference or attacks."
- 419) Hacking by the defendant not only violates human rights it has a huge impact also as it causes:
- 420) Financial harms. The negative financial impact on the victim. Accused of being a spy only because the hospital hacked me losing chances of getting into the navy.
- 421) ii. Bodily harm the implanted IMD is the one causing or going to cause damage. This was a routine check for joining the navy. Rotating propeller and vibrations will cause brain damage. Hacking is intended to change normal functions and restrict other functions to cause destruction in the end. Great human rights violate.

- 422) iii. Reputational harms- anyone hacked is viewed with suspicion especially if hacked in this way. Hacking damages image and chances of a job or family life as they pass wrong information and lies behind your back.
- 423) iv. Causal harm hacking also causes incidental harms, not intended or anticipated as part of the hack but directly caused by it through remote operation of the IMD and the electrode see Electromagnetic Stimulation.
- 424) v. Further attacks and harm. Hacking by hospital leaves one prone to further attacks and incited by the hospital itself so they have an alibi so that any brain damage caused by the IMD will be attributed to the attacker rather than the IMD they implanted.
- 425) vi. If hacking is done by a public body in a position of trust and if they try to conceal it and bring further harm to the victim as in my case it is agreed and believed to be a step towards a solution for the victim-myself to seek help by exposing the hacking to the world. This is because this type of hacking is irreconcilable with human rights. designed specifically to deprive This hacking is designed to deprive and violate my human rights. The very second, they implanted the IMD is the very second, they breached and violated my human rights. Therefore GUILTY.
- 426) vii. This hacking substantially interferes with human rights and is against all international laws and therefore should be presumptively prohibited and the defendant the hospital is guilty and as such MUST be held accountable.
- 427) Access without right or consent.
- Causing an IMD to perform a function with intent to secure unauthorized access to body functions. This offense means 'access without right' and is often the precursor to more serious offending. There is knowledge on the part of the accused the NHS that the access is unauthorized; There has been an intention to access the central nervous system the offense is committed irrespective of whether access is obtained.
 - Means also unauthorized access with intent to commit or facilitate the commission of further offense leading to unauthorized acts with intent to impair the functioning of a body. The offense was committed as the accused the NHS behaved recklessly as to impair, prevent access to, or hinder the functioning of the body.

428) I also rely on part A and B



429) Hostage Situation

26/07/2021

430) A hostage is a person seized by a [criminal abductor](#) to compel another party such as a [relative](#), employer, law enforcement, or government to act, or refrain from acting, in a certain way, often under threat of serious physical harm to the hostage (s) after expiration of an [ultimatum](#).

- 431) Technology has brought new meanings to the crimes we know. The crime of false imprisonment sometimes called criminal restraint or criminal confinement occurs when one person unlawfully restrains someone else without the victim's consent. This is what the accused is doing through the IMD see more information in parts A and B.
- 432) Intentional imprisonment. To be convicted of false imprisonment a person must intentionally limit or restrict someone else's personal freedom against the victim's consent. This is exactly what the accused the NHS is doing imprisonment can occur whenever the victim is either digitally or remotely restrained.
- 433) Use or threats of force.
- Torture
 - the United Nations Convention against Torture, which in Article 1 defines torture in terms of the intentional infliction of severe pain or suffering with the aim, inter alia, of obtaining information, inflicting punishment, or intimidating which is what the accused is doing through the device.
 - (1) "torture" means an act committed by a person acting under the [color of law](#) specifically intended to inflict severe physical or mental pain or suffering (other than pain or suffering incidental to lawful sanctions) upon another person within his custody or physical control;
 - (2) "severe mental pain or suffering" means the prolonged mental harm caused by or resulting from—
 - (A) the intentional infliction or threatened infliction of severe physical pain or suffering;
 - (B) the administration or application, or threatened administration or application, of mind-altering substances or other procedures calculated to disrupt profoundly the senses or the personality;
 - (C) the threat of imminent death; or
 - (D) the threat that another person will imminently be subjected to death, severe physical pain, or suffering, or the administration or application of mind-altering substances or other procedures calculated to disrupt profoundly the senses or personality; and
- 434) The device is used to shake the brain continuously, the device emits high voltage, the device can be loaded with digital watermarks and viruses, the device is used to siphon fluids from one body part to another, by the accused the NHS.
- Five Techniques of torture.
 - I rely on parts A and B.
- 435) .
- 436) Genocide

- 437) the deliberate killing of numerous people from a particular nation or ethnic group to destroy that nation or group.
- 438) Please find enclosed the email I want to rely on sent to the International Criminal Court.
- 439) The [United Nations Genocide Convention](#), which was established in 1948, defines genocide as “acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnic, racial or religious group, as such” including the killing of its members, **causing serious bodily or mental harm** to members of the group, deliberately imposing living conditions that seek to “bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part”, preventing births, or forcibly transferring children out of the group to another group. Victims have to be deliberate, not randomly, targeted because of their real or perceived membership of one of the four groups outlined in the above definition.
- 440) I rely on emails sent to the ICC court to prove that all mentioned acts are happening right now.
- 441) The CPPCG was adopted by the UN General Assembly on 9 December 1948^[5] and came into effect on 12 January 1951 (Resolution 260 (III)). It contains an internationally recognized definition of genocide which has been incorporated into the national criminal legislation of many countries and was also adopted by the [Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court](#), which established the [International Criminal Court](#) (ICC). Article II of the Convention defines genocide as:
- 442) ... any of the following acts committed with [intent to destroy, in whole or in part](#), a [national](#), [ethnical](#), [racial](#), or [religious](#) group, as such:
- (a) Killing members of the group;
 - (b) Causing serious [bodily](#) or mental harm to members of the group;
 - (c) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part;
 - (d) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group;
 - (e) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.
- 443) .
- 444) The [Genocide Convention](#) establishes in Article I that the crime of genocide may take place in the context of an armed conflict, international or non-international, but also the context of a peaceful situation. [Emphasis added]
- 445) two main elements:
1. A mental element: the “intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such;” and

2. A physical element, which includes the following five acts, enumerated exhaustively:

- 446) Killing members of the group
- 447) Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group
- 448) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part
- 449) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group
- 450) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.
- 451) o
- 452) Proven Intent. The special intent of the accused to specifically aim to destroy the ethnics mainly blacks. The *dolus specialis*.

- 453) King Edward 1 Edict of Eviction.

- 454) In 1290, Edward 1 was under pressure: having run up large debts waging war abroad, he needed to negotiate a financial settlement. But Parliament's permission was needed before a tax would be raised. One thing Edward was willing to barter was the remaining Jewish population. In return for an Edict of Expulsion, Parliament granted Edward a tax of £116,000; the largest single tax of the Middle Ages.
- 455) This is still commonplace in England in that generous taxes are offered to councils, landlords, hospitals, etc. for helping the monarchy or government get rid of foreigners. There is a monetary reward. The Edict of Eviction is the basis of the genocide against foreigners mainly black also because of the black death of 1665-1666. That has meant the English acting to get blacks killed in fear of the Black Death plague. The hacking among the English is known as the rat or mouse, associated with the black death of 1665 to 1666. Anyone with this kind of hacking is regarded as a rat waiting only to be disposed of.
- 456) I have mentioned throughout that the English tend to recreate the past and the year today is 1665 to 1666 coinciding with the Covid pandemic and the pandemic at that time.

- 457) 1673's Test Act.
- 458) **The Penal laws and The Test Acts of 1673 and 1678**, penal laws enacted by Parliament during the reign of Charles II of England, served the purpose of preventing [Roman Catholics](#) and political rivals from ascending to any civil or military office. The 1673 Act achieved this goal by making anyone filling an office take the Oath of Supremacy, which swore allegiance to the monarch as Supreme Governor of the Church of England, and within three months of admittance into office receive the sacrament of the Lord's Supper from the [Church of England](#). The 1678 Act perpetuated this antagonism towards Roman Catholicism by requiring all members of the House of Commons to reject transubstantiation, invocation of saints, and the sacrifice of the mass ("Test Act," *Wikipedia*).
- 459) These laws meant that the nonconformists were sometimes persecuted, frequently pilloried, and always, in law at least, second-class citizens.

- 460) That means in modern-day Britain the hacking is used to keep and maintain that status quo when foreigners etc. are second-class citizens. Either be a secret slave meaning life under the hack or be destroyed if you revolt.
- 461) Everyone must have made an Oath of allegiance or supremacy. The hacking therefore is a precondition that will pave way for the hacked person to take the oath of allegiance or be attacked and destroyed.
- 462) That supports also the arguments of secret slavery triggered by the monarchy's presents.
- 463) I am going to prove that there is a proven intent on the part of perpetrators to physically destroy a national, ethnic, racial, or religious group. Cultural destruction does not suffice, nor does an intention simply disperse a group. It is this special intent, or *dolus specialis*, that makes the crime of genocide so unique. In addition, case law has associated intent with the existence of a State or organizational plan or policy, even if the definition of genocide in international law does not include that element.
- 464) Importantly, the victims of genocide are deliberately targeted not randomly; because of their real or perceived membership of one of the four groups protected under the Convention (which excludes political groups, for example). This means that the target of destruction must be the group, as such, and not its members as individuals. Genocide can also be committed against only a part of the group, as long as that part is identifiable (including within a geographically limited area) and "substantial."
- Proving intention beyond a reasonable doubt is extremely difficult
- 465) The difficulty of defining or measuring "in part" and establishing how many deaths equal genocide.
- 466) Modern-day genocide.
- 467) Making digital viruses and or bio-engineered viruses and using them on ethics.
- 468) England 1665-1666
- 469) Institutional racism.
- 470) Rely on the Race report.
- 471) All combined prove a case of modern-day genocide through the use of concealed advanced digital technology.
- (a) Killing members of the group;
- 472) Blacks die at the hands of police; hospitals and in custody, etc.
- 473) Knife killings, etc.
- 474) Using viruses to kill blacks, etc.

- (b) Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group;
- 475) Using technology to rotate continuously and destroy the brain. Using torture and technology to cause disabilities, etc. All black people can't be mentally unstable unless if the system is making them that way. Illustrate the effects of propellers.
- 476) Two sides to the coin argument.
- (c) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part;
- 477) Tampering with life. Graying all early. Using viruses to control them, etc. Making everything difficult; driver's licenses etc. Framing people first as a way to pretend to help them through surveillances etc. but aim to destroy a people.
- (d) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group;
 - Using technology to damage and destroy reproductive systems intentionally.
 - Graying all so it is hard to form relationships, etc.
 - Restricting drivers' licenses and jobs etc. makes family life difficult.
- 478) ·
- (e) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.
 - Those who successful father children have their children raised in other European countries without access to them.
 - Grayed fathers are categorized as incapable of raising children, etc.
- 479) ·
- 480) All this amounts to institutional racism done to main blacks, so a case is argued, and we expect the maximum penalties.

STATEMENT OF TRUTH:

I DAVID GOMADZA [aka NICK SHUMBA AS ON 25/01/2010] the Claimant believes that the facts stated in these particulars of claim are true. I the Claimant sign this statement. Full Name DAVID GOMADZA aka NICK SHUMBA as on 25/01/2010] Signed: 16/06/2021.

Sincerely
David Gomadza
Founder President
Tomorrow's World Order
Reg 2331788
Electoral Commission
Postal address
6 Easyby Road
Bradford



26/07/2021

BD7 1QX

[RESIDENTIAL AND POSTAL ADDRESS]

15a Laisteridge lane

Bradford

BD7 1QU



26/07/2021

PART II.

BETWEEN BETWEEN DAVID GOMADZA DOB 28/06/1976 BRITISH CITIZEN / NI NUMBER SK956852A [AKA NICK SHUMBA AS ON 25/01/2010]: - Claimant

-and

ST JAMES HOSPITAL LEEDS HAEMATOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CLINICAL HAEMATOLOGY LEVEL 3, BEXLEY WING, ST JAMES UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL LEEDS, LS9 7TF, TELEPHONE NUMBERS, GENERAL ENQUIRIES, 0113 2068529 or 0113 2068819

Its employees as of 25 /01/ 2010 1] DR ELIZABETH JANE JOHNSON GMC Number 2311339 2] CONSULTANT PRAVEEN CHAUNDRY of LEEDS GENERAL HOSPITAL PAEDIATRICS DEPARTMENT as of 25 January 2010. Address LEEDS CHILDREN HOSPITAL CLAREDON WING, LEEDS GENERAL INFIRMARY, LEEDS WEST YORKSHIRE, LS1 3EX Tel: (0113) 2432799

_____ **PARTICULARS OF CLAIM** _____

1. A claim under section 7(1)(a) of the Human Rights Act 1998. At all material times the Defendant ST JAMES HOSPITAL HAEMATOLOGY DEPARTMENT here a PUBLIC AUTHORITY and as such I would like to rely on the provisions of the convention and seek justice and remedies available under this Human Rights Act as I have victim status.

2. Statement and arguments of alleged violations of the Human Rights Act: I hereby point to the violations and the articles invoked. [MORE DETAILS IN PART A& B STATEMENT AND ARGUMENTS OF ALLEGED VIOLATIONS ATTACHED.] ARTICLES INVOKED.

3. ARTICLE 3: Article 3 Prohibition of torture. Article 3 reads as follows: "No one shall be subjected to torture or to inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment." The defendant ST JAMES HOSPITAL infringed my absolute rights guaranteed by this Article. An absolute right that in no circumstance will it ever be justified to torture someone. The defendant a public authority in a position of trust breached and violated my rights safeguarded by Article 3 as it is explained in more detail in Part B SEE ATTACHEMENT. During a medical operation the defendant implanted a lethal high-voltage-emitting remotely controlled Implanted Medical Device [IMD] that it has been using to torture me breaching my rights safeguarded by the Human Rights Act. [SEE PART A&B ATTACHED FOR MORE DETAILS.] The IMD is a sophisticated probably never heard of before device which is a complicated advanced technological device yet real but nevertheless equivalent to the modern-day electric electrocuting chair. Lethal enough to emit high electric voltage and has other characteristics like vibrating and rotating that arguments other methods of torture as explained below.

4. BREACH OF ARTICLE 3: FREEDOM FROM TORTURE EXPLAINED FURTHER.

5. HOODING/BLINDING- the IMD is a torture device concealed and sophisticated that it is used to BLIND A PERSON- pulling the iris/pupil of the eye so that vision is lost. This is a sophisticated medical device implanted without consent and a real threat to all my human rights. This is the old hooding practice as in the Ireland V UK 1978 but now carried out using this IMD so complicated that it mimics the old hooding practice leaving one in excruciating pain and traumatized.

6. HACKING- The IMD is used to hack my system and all being [SEE VIDEO EVIDENCE 1-EVIL HACKING DVD ENCLOSED]. Hacking is same as a hostage situation. The hospital hacked me as evident in the video-DVD enclosed and I am a hostage with victim status and as such there is no speaking of Human Rights. A hacker even in computer circles is an illegal person, a criminal, as hacking is a crime. A hostage taker is a criminal same as a terrorist. It is worse when it's done by a public authority in a position of trust therefore the defendant ST JAMES HOSPITAL HAEMATOLOGY is guilty of a fundamental breach and violations to the worst degree ever imaginable. There is absolute right given to be free from torture and any kind of hacking or a hostage situation. HACKING AND HOSTAGE-TAKING threatens the fabric and base of which all human rights stems from. There is no speaking of any human rights in cases where one is hacked, where one is A HOSTAGE. A hacked person has no capacity whatsoever to make any decision, to exercise any rights and does not have any freedoms. It's the same as a SLAVE. Can you your Honor say a slave has any rights? A hacked person is a hostage, a victim, a slave no matter how hard people might not want to compare the two. I argue as explained in more detail in PART B SEE ATTACHMENTS that not only did the public authority in question here the defendant violated my rights under the human rights articles but to a great extend violated all international laws regarding rights and freedoms, hard earned freedoms and the defendant is taking us back to times when we were slaves. Hacking and everything controlled and monitored by a third party with no rights or any freedoms.

7. SLEEP DEPRIVATION. The IMD has rotating and vibrating properties. A propeller operated remotely like a drone is used to torture me as it vibrates nonstop shaking my whole body so much

as to deprive me of any sleep. When I am supposed to sleep the IMD rotates and vibrates so I can't sleep when I want to wake up the IMD then vibrates so that I sleep. This is evil manipulation and hacking. Bear in mind also that THERE IS NO HACKING THATS BENEFICIAL WHATSOEVER. Hacking's goal is to cause system malfunction and disrupt everything and change and modify everything. Hacking is classed as illegal activity comparable to hostage taking and terrorism and as such the defendant is guilty there can never be justification for hacking that leads to torture that infringes all human rights. A hacker just like a SLAVE-MASTER has one goal. To benefit illegally at the expense of a hostage. A clear fact that it is practiced underground and so concealed that they accuse people of hallucinating when in actual fact THANKS TO TECHONOLOGY TOO you can see evidence beyond doubt that one is illegally hacked [SEE EVIDENCE 1 VIDEO- EVIL HACKING DVD]. Just like in slavery, hacking and hostage taking is only for the benefit of the slave master. There can never whatsoever be a talk of human rights when one is hacked and a hostage. This is worse than slavery. The hospital has breached all international laws the day they implanted an IMD [and then tried to lie calling me a hallucinating person causing me to be even abused further] about a fact, in itself proving that their intentions are evil. There is no talk of freedom. All articles of THE HUMAN RIGHTS ARE THROWN OUT OF THE WINDOW.

8. ARTICLE 2 RIGHT TO LIFE: A huge breach that threatens every international law. The hospital poses a real threat of death by implanting an IMD they can use to kill. The hacking restrict some functions, with result of choking, threat of high voltage causing death itself, risk of cancers, risks of organs being damaged as semen is siphoned into health organs, hacking often is done to replace otherwise normal functioning organs with this IMD a real violation of human rights and an attempted murder case here. No one shall bring death upon anyone else in such a way. The defendant is more than an evil part implanting such a dangerous IMD and as such must be hold accountable.

9. REAL DANGER OF DEATH. The IMD emits high voltage frying me alive, The IMD a sophisticated hacking tool is meant to change current body functions, alter functions, restrict some functions, and control when and how some parts operate now or in the future. This is the same as in hacking a computer. The intention is in the end to cause malfunction and death. The IMD is like an electric chair. The IMD has rotating and vibrating functions. It vibrates and rotates shaking my brain. In the future if they are not stopped there can be brain damage, memory loss and organ failure and death. The IMD has satellite and GPS properties as well and increases risks of cancer. The IMD has pressure properties that it is used to siphon fluids like semen into organs where semen is regarded as an antigen causing body to attack its own organs with system failure in the future. The defendant here ST JAMES HOSPITAL HAEMATOLOGY is guilty of ATTEMPTED MURDER. The department of Hematology deals with blood and cancers. The fact that a department well versed in cancer causing objects and items will implant a radiation and cancer causing IMD is a malicious act and therefore guilty of attempted murder as well as breaching all human rights and international laws.

10. WHITE NOISE. The IMD is a sophisticated device that utilities satellite GPS positioning and has recording functions as well and as such is being used to record and playback noises that amounts to torture as white noises as in the Hooded men case Ireland V UK. The defendant is a highly educated body with skilled intelligent doctors and engineers that are also devious manipulating physics and other subjects to fool people and accuse them of hallucinating when they are in fact manipulating the SPACE TIME CONTINUUM or delayed time mechanism that stipulates that with

help of satellite positioning we can go back in space-time continuum to a given place and playback any recording at that specific place and replay them as if they are happening now. Bear in mind that the British are very intelligent that they build the Concorde and as such it is plausible that an IMD like this exist and can be used as I am arguing

11. ARTICLE 8: [SEE ALSO PART B FOR A DETAILED STATEMENT OF FACTS AND VIOLATIONS.] i] RIGHT TO LIFE. Where one is hacked and a hostage- with victim status there is no talking of rights to privacy and family life. There is a fundamental breach of article 8 and as such the defendant should be found as guilty. The device is a huge breach to privacy and family life. All conversations and private life are in the open as the IMD is used to snoop, monitor and cause interference with privacy and family life. The IMD leaves one vulnerable to attacks as privacy is breached. The defendant uses the IMD to locate me and inform my enemies exactly where I am ending up being attacked so the defendant has an ALIBI that the brain damaged I might experience in the future because of the operations of this IMD was due to the attacks rather than the IMD. They alert people when I am leaving the house with the results that when I return, I find the house burglary. They use the IMD for creaming me with unjustified parking tickets one after the other through siren alert parking staff know exactly where I am through IMDs GPS SO I GET ticket after ticket. As all along history from the beginning of times and into slavery. Master intention is to manipulate and gain unfavorably at the expense of the victim. There is no speaking of human rights when it is like this relationship when one is hacked and or a hostage-victim. The defendant a public authority in a position of trust breached all human rights and as such is guilty as I argue you to find out. A third party, a hacker, a hostage taker a terrorist is a criminal and as such breaches all international and human rights laws. It is a fundamental principle that a hacker the defendant in this position is no better than a slave master, manipulating and controlling with the resultant death therefore guilty as argued. SEE PART B ATTACHED FURTHER DETAILED ARGUMENTS.

12. ARTICLE 13 A RIGHT TO A REMEDY GIVEN BY THE ACT: In case of a hacker-hostage victim a case so synonymous with master-slave there is no speaking of any freedoms and any human rights and a remedy for that matter. The hacker like in computer circles is there to manipulate, change, restrict and alter functions, steal information, snoop and in the end cause death and or malfunction. In such just like a hostage taker a terrorist there is no talking of a remedy. The hacker in this case the defendant has been manipulating and maliciously deceive to cover its tacks that brings further abuse on the victim myself. The hacker here the defendant will accuse whoever accuse them of such of hallucinating bringing further suffering to the victim myself in this case. BUT thanks to advanced technology too there is proof that the defendant is dishonest and manipulating and as such the talk of a remedy is non-existent. SEE PART B ATTACHED FOR FUTHER ARGUMENTS.

13. ARTICLE 14 PROHIBITION OF DISCRIMINATION. Here I want to make it clear that it is not discrimination because of color or race but as I explained in PART B ATTACHED this is due to the implanted IMD. The defendant or his delegated abusers alert every one of my whereabouts through siren when I leave or return home that in the end everyone is aware that I am hacked and as such an evil thing then no one wants to be associated with a person illegal hacked because they are gone after as well. Parking fines accused of neglecting their kids and being setup with staged scenes like in a film production.

14. ARTICLE 10 FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION. This is like in the snooper charter with one's freedoms and rights trampled upon. There can never be freedom of expression as one is tortured and silenced whenever they exercise this right with further mistreatment and abuse. There is a strong case of withholding of information, misleading as well and other dirty tricks by the defendant or its delegates.

15. ARTICLE 4: NO ONE SHALL BE HELD IN SLAVERY OR SERVITUDE. The defendant through the implanted remotely operated IMD has breached article 4 that safeguard rights of freedom from slavery and servitude. The IMD renders one immobilized and freedom-less. This is the equivalent to modern day slavery. There is no doubt whatsoever that when a person is hacked, when a person is a hostage that the talk of freedom and rights is non-existent. Just like in the master-slave relationship. There are no rights whatsoever on the part of the victim, the hostage me in this case. The IMD has made it possible for the defendant and his delegates to manipulate and control and monitor unlawfully rendering me a slave as they remotely control every aspect of my life and body despite all international pleas since 2010. The motive of a hacker NO MATTER WHO AND THIS DEFENDANT CAN NOT SAY OTHERWISE is to manipulate to their advantage unfairly at the expense of the victim. There is no talk of freedoms as such.

16. ARTICLE 5: RIGHT TO LIBERTIES AND SECURITIES. Where one is a hostage victim and a hacker victim there is no liberties and securities because the ONLY idea behind hacking is to expose liberties and securities and leave the hacked victim open to all kinds of attacks. The IMD is to immobilize and leave the victim myself vulnerable to further attacks as detailed in Part A and B. There is no talk of such freedom. SEE PART B FOR DETAILED EXPLANATIONS.

17. ARTICLE 12: RIGHT TO MARRY AND FAMILY LIFE. When there are three in a marriage the relationship is unworkable. Any third party- the hacker- here the defendant will make it impossible for any married life. They interfere with everyone I come into contact with and ends relationships through gossip and snooping and staging fights like a film director. SEE PART A AND B STATEMENTS OF ARGUMENTS AND ALLEGED VIOLATIONS IN DETAIL.

18. On 25/01 2010 the Claimant, I (DAVID GOMADZA DATE OF BIRTH 28/06/1976 ALSO KNOWN AS NICK SHUMBA DATE OF BIRTH GIVEN AS 22/07/1982 ON THAT SAME DATE 25/01/2010) I had a medical biopsy -hospital operation at Defendant ST JAMES HOSPITAL 2PM to assess blood and any cancers. The Claimant [myself]I was given political refugee status in June 2009 as a refugee from Zimbabwe.

19. On 30/06/2009 I applied to enroll to join the Royal Navy as an engineer with the Leeds Branch. Registration number 1000000083386 [SEE PART A ATTACHED FOR DETAILED FACTS]. There was a requirement of a medical test as well which was carried out by my doctor Raja Imran of Horton Medical Centre. [Details in Part A] As I was advised by the Royal Navy that I was to be in a submarine for at least 6 months per year I had to be physically and medically fit hence the further tests. My doctor just to make sure I was 100% fit he referred me to the hospital for tests. [See part A and B] Xray's, etc. that can't be performed at his center. One of the tests the checking for genetic-blood related disease was the only one left. Bradford hospital had a long waiting list and at the advice of the nurse she asked me to try Leeds. Bigger hospital and more appointments. 20. I rang to arrange but refused because I was based in another city as they checked my address which I gave them. So, they said operation to be carried out in Bradford because our local area is Bradford. Weeks passed by, then I decided to use a different name and date of birth and a local address in Leeds and went through the tests. I passed the medical at Leeds General infirmary.

Then was referred to ST JAMES HOSPITAL HAEMATOLOGY for biopsy to check for cancers and blood related diseases met above Doctor DR ELIZABETH JANE JOHNSON who arranged for the biopsy.

21. On 25/01/2010 at 2pm for the first time I met the operating consultant DR PRAVEEN CHAUNDRY OF LEEDS GENERAL HOSPITAL PIADETRICALS. He explained briefly procedure and asked if DR ELIZABETH JANE JOHNSON HAD explained the procedure. I said yes, a small hole on the hipbone, local anesthetic lasting 20 minutes.

22. The procedure took 2 hours or more I came around 5pm heavily sedated with a huge hole on the lumbar instead of the hipbone. His tray was full of blood. Surely a huge piece of the lumbar was removed and a swab was in place. Shocked and scared asked what was going on why so much blood. He said he could not operate on hipbone because I had too much fat. Which turns out to be a lie. He operated on lumbar bone damaging the lumbar removing parts of this highly sensitive and dangerous part of the body with so much nerves that any interference can cause permanent damage. SEE PART A AND PART B ATTACHED FOR DETAILS.

23. The defendant illegally and maliciously implanted an IMD during the operation. As argued in PART A operated on the lumbar bone without given specific consent to operate there. Consent given for the hipbone only. A breach of my human rights and international laws. The world medical association stipulates that no doctor shall implant an IMD that is used to cause torture and suffering. Their ethics stipulates also that doctors are in a position of trust and such violations are to be taken seriously. SEE PART A AND PART B for detailed arguments.

24. When I made a complaint to the doctor DR ELIZABETH JANE JOHNSON, she withheld the information of the operating doctor. He had only told me his first name and to lodge a complaint they wanted all the details. I phoned receptionist and they refused to pass that information unless with appointment. I went back to the other hospital that referred me there i.e., LEEDS GENERAL INFIRMARY and saw DR ALISON CRACKNELL [DEATILS IN PART A] who then gave me the correct details. When I went for my appointment, they falsely accused me of harassing the receptionist and was told not to complain or contact the hospital. I then wrote to the General Medical Council, but they did not reply until 2015 after a follow up. And only on 19 February 2018 did they send me a letter dated 19 February 2015 which I did not see at that time. They said they sent via email with AOL but in AOL there is no that email but they have kept all my emails since 2011.

25. I wrote to the international community, anti-torture campaigners, prime ministers anyone who can help. I started getting response only after recording proof beyond doubt that the defendant indeed did hack-me with an IMD they are using to torture and reverse and change my system, snooping and violating all human rights and international laws.

26. I wrote to the European Court of human Rights and they sent back my letter as I did not specify which articles were violated and whether I complained locally. When I applied again, they did not receive the DVD and asked me to apply locally first especially now that the UK is out of the EU.

27. I rely on part A and B attached as my main arguments of violations of the Human Rights Act and all international laws. [SEE ATTACHMENTS.] I argue that the defendant had and still has a duty as a public authority to uphold the Human Rights Act and other laws and not abuse their position a position of trust that carries more weight and responsibilities to do whatever is necessary to stop torture and human rights abuse and not implant a torture and death causing

IMD. There is a clear breach of the Human Rights act as argued in part A and B attached. The hospital especially this department Hematology should be in a better position not to implant cancer causing implants that can be used as a torture weapon against World Medical Association guidelines and as such guilty as argued. There is a clear and absolute right of freedom from torture and abuse and other human rights. The defendant should be found maliciously and deviously manipulating its position to implant a concealed torture weapon breaching my rights as argued.

27.1. The defendant had a duty of care even though I used a wrong name the operation was free of charge anywhere. I was a refugee a political refugee and as such this treatment is against the treatment of victims of torture and abuse. Implanting an IMD does permanent damage worse than any evil people there as like argued above renders one a hacked victim, a hostage victim and a modern-day slave permanently. There is no justification for such acts even just for punishment is beyond this case. The defendant's aim was to kill as argued in Part A and B attached. Breaching all human rights acts. Hacking is for causing damage and nothing more. Therefore, your Honor I urge you to find the defendant guilty as argued and hold them to account.

27.2. There has been a huge case of withholding information and misrepresentation and falsifying of documents and a lot of cover ups. Let not the defendant take us back to slavery times with these sophisticated devices. Torture no matter how complicated, sophisticated, concealed or disguised is still AND WILL ALWAYS BE TORTURE. This is for the defendants gains nothing more than that. They are reversing hard earned freedoms. THATS WRONG YOUR HONOR.

27.3. Please look at my arguments in part A and B and find the defendant guilty.

28. There is no doubt there has been a breach to my human rights and all international laws as argued above and in statement Part A and B.

29. I cannot say how much I want to recover. The court to decide.

30. Statement of Truth:

I DAVID GOMADZA [aka NICK SHUMBA AS ON 25/01/2010] the Claimant believes that the facts stated in these particulars of claim are true. I the Claimant sign this statement. Full Name DAVID GOMADZA aka NICK SHUMBA as on 25/01/2010] Signed:
16/06/2021.



26/07/2021

