



FISH SURVEY REPORT

Crystal Lake

Prepared For:

Woodland Lakes HOA

12 Woodland Lks

Sullivan, MO 63080

Introduction

A survey of the fish community and other physical, biological, and chemical factors directly affecting the fish community was completed at Crystal Lake on May 5, 2026. The major objectives of this survey and report are:

1. To provide a current status report on the fish community of the lake.
2. To compare the current characteristics of the fish community with established indices.
3. To provide recommendations for management strategies to enhance or sustain the sport fish community.

Water Chemistry

When managing an aquatic ecosystem the quality of water should always be considered first. If a lake or pond is perfectly constructed with abundant food and habitat, but has poor water quality, the fishery will ultimately suffer and never reach it's full potential. Although oxygen is typically not a year-round issue there are certain situations that can cause oxygen to drop to detrimental levels. If parameters such as pH or alkalinity are too low or too high it can put tremendous stress on the organisms living in it or even create a toxic environment all together. Other important parameters to consider are nitrogen and phosphorus levels. Nitrogen and phosphorus are two major nutrients that drive the plant growth in an aquatic ecosystem. If the ratio

Table 1. Selected lake and water quality parameters.

	Surface	Ideal Range
Acres	53.4	-
Temperature (F)	67.4	-
Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	9.08	5.0+
pH	8.24	6-9
Alkalinity (ppm)	74	20+
Total Hardness (ppm)	80	20+
Total Phosphorus (ppm)	0.007	0.01-0.09
Total Nitrogen (ppm)	0.6	1.0-10.0

of nitrogen to phosphorus is below 17:1 there is potential for blue-green algae to become abundant. These species of algae can create a stressful environment for fish due to disruption of the food web.

The results of selected physio-chemical parameters from this waterbody are presented in Table 1. Dissolved oxygen, pH, alkalinity, and hardness levels were all in acceptable ranges. The lake had sufficient oxygen (>5.0 ppm) down to 24 feet. The temperature profile has decreasing temperature with increasing depth (Figure 1).

The nitrogen to phosphorus ratio is approximately 85:1 at the surface. Overall, water quality parameters indicate this waterbody appears to be capable of supporting a healthy fish population.





Figure 1. Temperature and Dissolved Oxygen profiles.



Crystal Lake

Fish Collection

Fish sampling was done with the use of an electrofishing boat. Electrofishing is simply the use of electricity to capture fish for the evaluation of population status. Electrofishing equipment used in this survey consisted of a 16-foot aluminum boat equipped with a Midwest Lake Electrofishing Systems Infinity Box powered by a 6500-watt portable generator and two booms mounted with Wisconsin style rings. Electrofishing was done around the entirety of the shoreline and totaled 60 minutes of shocking.

All fish collected were placed in water filled containers aboard the sampling boat for processing. Each fish collected was measured to the nearest half-inch. Five fish in each half-inch group were weighed to determine average and relative weights. Relative weight is a condition factor used to determine the overall plumpness of an individual fish. Relative weight values from 90-100 indicate good condition while anything under 90 is considered in poor condition. It can be assumed that fish with higher relative weights are finding enough food and are growing at a higher rate than fish with a lower relative weight.

A total of 203 fish weighing 60.59 pounds and representing seven species was collected from Crystal Lake. The relative abundance of these species can be found in figure 2 and a full data table can be found at the end of this report. The data collected are adequate for management implications; however, there will be unanswered questions




Figure 2. Relative abundance of species collected.



regarding aspects of the fish population and other related factors of the biological community in the lake. All fish numbers used in the report are based on the samples collected and should not be interpreted to be absolute or estimated numbers of fish in the lake.



Largemouth Bass caught during survey.



Redear Sunfish caught during survey.

Predator-Prey Relationship

Even the most diverse systems can be broken down into predator-prey relationships. Often times the Largemouth Bass-Bluegill relationship is the most important. Bluegill are a great prey item for Largemouth Bass because they spawn multiple times a year and are continually creating food for Largemouth Bass. Managing for one species typically involves influencing both and as one of these populations change the other typically changes with it. In a balanced state both Largemouth Bass and Bluegill can experience proper growth rates.

Crystal Lake—Bluegill

Bluegill were collected at a rate of 31 fish per hour during the survey, indicating a severely limited forage population relative to predator demand. Approximately 84% of sampled Bluegill measured between 3–6 inches, demonstrating strong representation within an important forage size class. However, only 13% exceeded 6 inches in length, indicating that relatively few Bluegill were recruiting into larger size categories. Average Bluegill relative weight was 86.



Bluegill

Bluegill in Crystal Lake appear to be suffering from high levels of predation from Largemouth Bass. This high level of predation has created an imbalance size distribution within the Bluegill population. Overabundant and stunted Largemouth Bass feed heavily on the smallest individuals. During the survey there were more Largemouth Bass caught than Bluegill. This will always result in slow growing predators. The Bluegill population needs to be much higher in abundance than Largemouth Bass. If this ratio can be fixed, the lake will be pushed more towards a balanced state.




Figure 3. Length frequency distribution of Bluegill




Figure 4. Bluegill relative weights

Predator-Prey Relationship

Largemouth Bass are an opportunistic predator that will eat just about any species of fish they can catch. To keep a Largemouth Bass growing properly there needs to be several different sizes of forage available. This allows the bass to continually find the optimal size of prey as it continues to grow. When the optimal size of prey is available the fish can conserve energy, resulting in a higher growth rate. If the prey is too small a Largemouth Bass could potentially spend more energy chasing a meal than it gains by eating it. This results in skinny and slow growing fish. Managing a forage base to create a variety of sizes is key to creating a healthy and balanced Largemouth Bass population.

Crystal Lake—Largemouth Bass

Largemouth Bass were collected at a rate of 118 fish per hour during the electrofishing survey, indicating an extremely abundant predator population and substantial competition among individuals. Relative weights averaged 81 for Largemouth Bass between 8–12 inches, 82 between 12–16 inches, and 93 for greater than 16 inches, demonstrating that forage resources were insufficient to maintain desirable body condition throughout much of the population.



Largemouth Bass

Approximately 10% of sampled Largemouth Bass exceeded a relative weight of 90, while only 1% exceeded a relative weight of 100, suggesting that very few were maintaining excellent body condition. Only 1% of sampled Largemouth Bass exceeded 18 inches in length, indicating very limited recruitment into quality-size fish.

Largemouth Bass were stunted and overabundant at the time of the survey. Largemouth Bass were heavily accumulated within the 8–12 inch size classes. This high level of competition limits growth and contributes to poor relative weights. Without a reduction in these size classes, relatively few individuals are expected to recruit into larger size classes.




Figure 5. Length frequency distribution of Largemouth Bass




Figure 6. Largemouth Bass relative weights

Harvest

Harvesting fish is often one of the most important and under utilized management practices in a pond or lake. Harvesting, or culling, fish is simply the act of intentionally removing fish from a specific population to decrease competition among the remaining individuals. The culture of catch and release bass fishing started in the 1970's and still has a strong hold on fisherman today. There is a misconception that taking a fish out of a system will be detrimental to the population and if released someone could catch that fish again after it has "grown up." The reality is in some situations there is too much competition and the next time that fish is caught it could be the exact same size a year later. By removing that fish, and others, it leaves more food available for the remaining individuals to continue to grow each and every year.

Ponds and lakes can both become overrun with predators or prey. Each scenario presents a different set of problems. In a predator (Largemouth Bass) dominant system prey populations are decimated and the lack of food results in slow or stunted growth. In a prey (Bluegill) dominated system spawning and recruitment success of other species can be negatively impacted due to egg predation or direct competition with young-of-year fish, along with slow growth within the population.

Fixing these issues requires targeted annual harvest. In an unbalanced system generally only one species requires a



Example of Stunted Largemouth Bass

heavy amount of the harvest, while in a balanced system fish should be removed from most populations to maintain a continuous level of growth.

Crystal Lake currently has an overabundant Largemouth Bass population. The extremely low relative weights is evidence of this (Figure 6). Harvest should be focused on any Largemouth Bass 14.0 inches in length or less. With enough harvest and other management tactics implemented Largemouth Bass will begin to grow faster and more consistently.

There should be no Bluegill or Redear harvest at this time. These populations need to be increased as much as possible and any adults that could be harvested are extremely valuable for reproduction.




Figure 7. Largemouth Bass relative weights

Structure and Habitat

Structure and habitat are an extremely important factor to consider no matter what body of water is being managed. Just like anything else, the amount of structure in a lake should be kept in moderation. Too much or too little can lead to predictable scenarios. When very little or no structure is available Largemouth Bass spend too much time roaming around looking for food instead of saving energy and waiting near a piece of structure for food to swim by. The other end of the spectrum allows so many places for Bluegill or other prey species to hide that Largemouth Bass can't efficiently catch their prey. In both scenarios Largemouth Bass tend to have low relative weights even with proper harvest rates in place. In most cases roughly 20% of the shoreline containing structure is sufficient. This number can vary depending on the complexity of the cover.

Adding structure to a pond can be beneficial in a variety of ways. It can be a great way to increase the survival of small juvenile fish. This provides a forage base with a wide range of sizes available for your predators. Another benefit of adding structure to a pond is that they attract fish. Strategically placing structure can give you places that you can reliably catch fish.

Fish structure can take many different forms. Aquatic vegetation, brush piles, Christmas trees, and a variety of man-made structures can all be utilized by fish. All of these different structure types have different benefits that make them good management options. Aquatic vegetation



Largemouth Bass utilizing a Mossback Root Wad Kit

grows on its own but can be hard to manage at times. Brush piles and Christmas trees are often free, but will break down over time and need to be replaced. Manufactured structure can be costly initially, but will last a lifetime. Variety is important when assessing structure in a body of water. Adding structures of varied complexity and in varied depth can help to provide habitat to a variety of fish at different stages of life.

Little shoreline habitat was present at the time of the survey. Spawning habitat also appeared inadequate. Overall habitat availability remained well below desirable levels and is likely limiting forage production, recruitment, and long-term fishery stability.

Complex habitat is critical for the survival of young and developing forage fish. Improvements in habitat will help create a broader and more stable forage base. Increasing structure is ideally done using a mix of woody and artificial habitat. Habitat improvements should focus on increasing the amount and distribution of available structure throughout the lake. These improvements should improve forage survival, strengthen year-class production, enhance predator efficiency, and increase the overall stability of the fish community.



Pickerelweed

Supplemental Forage Stocking

Stocking supplemental forage is a great pond management tool capable of significantly altering a fishery in a short period of time when done correctly. If a prey base, such as the Bluegill population, is being heavily predated on temporary forage stockings can be used to bolster the current population. Supplementing the Bluegill population is the most beneficial stocking. Rebounding this population will solve the major food source problem for predators. Other common species used are Fathead Minnows and Golden Shiners. Fathead Minnows are great for initial stockings in a pond due to their small size, while the larger Golden Shiners are better for an already established pond. Both of these species rarely produce a self sustaining population and will need to be stocked annually to have the greatest impact on the fishery.

Recommendation:

Stocking on a lake of this size will have limited impact until significant harvest can be done on the predator population. Promoting natural recruitment of forage fish will be the biggest focus at this time



Bluegill Stocking



Golden Shiner

Supplemental Fish Food

Using a fish feeder with a high protein feed is an excellent way to push the limits of a pond in regards to fish production. By adding a huge additional food source to the pond the carrying capacity is being raised. Often the immediate effect of this management tool is growing really large Bluegill. While having large Bluegill is great there are additional benefits that work their way up the food chain. Larger Bluegill produce more and larger eggs while spawning. This is important because larger eggs have a much higher survival rate. The more offspring the Bluegill are producing each time they spawn results in a much larger forage base for Largemouth Bass to take advantage of. Even when managing for a balanced fishery using a fish feeder is always a great idea, but when looking for trophy Largemouth Bass or trophy Bluegill it is a must.

Recommendation:

Lot owners can install Texas Hunter Fish Feeders with AC Trophy Pond Feed on or around their docks to create areas of high quality Bluegill growth.



Texas Hunter fish feeder

Summary/Recommendations

The fishery currently exhibits characteristics of a predator-heavy system dominated by an overcrowded Largemouth Bass population. Largemouth Bass abundance was extremely high, while body condition remained poor across nearly all size classes. As a result, very few are successfully recruiting into larger size classes, and growth throughout the population is being limited by intense competition for forage resources. Reducing the abundance of smaller Largemouth Bass should be the highest management priority. Decreasing competition within the population will improve growth rates, body condition, and increase the likelihood of recruiting fish into larger size classes.

Bluegill abundance was extremely low relative to predator demand and poor Bluegill body condition indicates that the forage population is under considerable stress. Improving forage abundance and productivity will be necessary to support the existing Largemouth Bass population and improve predator growth.

Habitat conditions further limit the fishery. Structural habitat was absent throughout the lake, and spawning habitat appeared inadequate. Increasing habitat complexity should improve forage survival, strengthen recruitment, and increase overall fishery stability.

On a system of this size, meaningful forage stocking will need to be of significant size, and will not be impactful until the Largemouth bass population has been reduced. Bluegill remain the backbone of most pond fisheries due to their ability to reproduce multiple times annually. Golden Shiners can supplement predator diets and reduce predation pressure on Bluegill, while Redear Sunfish provide additional forage benefits and may help suppress parasite abundance.

The following recommendations, **listed in order of importance**, will help protect and enhance the fishery in Crystal Lake:

1. Harvest any Largemouth Bass under 14.0 inches
2. Increase the amount of complex structure in the lake
 - Can be done with a combination of brush piles and artificial structures
3. Install pea gravel spawning beds in shallow areas throughout the lake
4. Lot owners can install Texas Hutner fish feeders with AC Trophy Pond Feed on or around their docks
5. Conduct a Fisheries analysis survey in 2029.

ALL PROPERTY OWNERS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR FOLLOWING STATE AND LOCAL REGULATIONS WHEN MANAGING THEIR FISHERY.

Other Species Present

Channel Catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*)

Channel Catfish are members of the Ictaluridae family. Channel Catfish can be problematic to a fishery if overabundant, but in small or moderate abundances, rarely cause problems. They are often desirable sportfish and can be good table fare. Channel Catfish are typically not represented very well in electrofishing surveys, and can often be more abundant than the data shows. Channel Catfish often do not have a high level of natural reproduction in small ponds and some lakes, and



Channel Catfish



Green Sunfish

Green Sunfish *Lepomis cyanellus*

Green Sunfish are a member of the Centrarchidae (Sunfish) family. Green Sunfish can be aggressive and competitive with Bluegill and other species for food and resources therefore they are generally considered an undesirable species. Green Sunfish look superficially like Bluegill. They can easily be distinguished by their larger mouths and more rounded pectoral fins

Longear Sunfish (*Lepomis megalotis*)

Longear Sunfish is in the Centrarchidae (Sunfish) Family. Longear Sunfish will eat invertebrates, zooplankton, and a variety of small food items. Longear Sunfish can be considered undesirable because they can compete with Bluegill for food resources.




Longear Sunfish

Other Species Present

Brook Silverside (*Labidesthes sicculus*)

Brook Silverside is in the Atherinidae (Silverside) Family. Brook Silverside is a good baitfish species for Crappie ssp., Yellow Perch, and the juvenile stages of larger predator species.



Brooks Silverside



Redear

Redear Sunfish (*Lepomis microlophus*)

Redear Sunfish are a member of the Centrarchidae (Sunfish) family. Redear Sunfish are not as fecund (reproductively successful) as Bluegill and rarely become overabundant. They can grow to large sizes and are regularly sought after by pan-fisherman. Redear Sunfish primarily feed on mollusks and invertebrates and have been shown in many cases to reduce levels of parasitism in fish populations.

Fish Collection Tables

SIZE GROUP (IN)	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE	AVERAGE WEIGHT (lbs.)	TOTAL WEIGHT (lbs.)	WS	RELATIVE WEIGHT
<u>BLUEGILL</u>						
<3.0	1	3.23%	0.01	0.01	-	-
3.5	4	12.90%	0.02	0.08	0.03	74
4.0	3	9.68%	0.04	0.13	0.04	103
4.5	4	12.90%	0.06	0.23	0.06	92
5.0	4	12.90%	0.08	0.30	0.09	85
5.5	6	19.35%	0.10	0.60	0.12	82
6.0	5	16.13%	0.14	0.72	0.16	89
6.5	4	12.90%	0.17	0.67	0.21	79
TOTAL	31			2.74		

LARGEMOUTH BASS

4.5	5	4.24%	0.04	0.18	0.04	-
5.0	10	8.47%	0.05	0.45	0.06	-
5.5	7	5.93%	0.06	0.40	0.07	-
6.0	10	8.47%	0.09	0.88	0.10	-
6.5	8	6.78%	0.11	0.88	0.13	-
7.0	7	5.93%	0.15	1.04	0.16	-
7.5	3	2.54%	0.18	0.54	0.20	-
8.5	1	0.85%	0.25	0.25	0.30	84
9.0	4	3.39%	0.31	1.22	0.36	85
9.5	9	7.63%	0.35	3.17	0.43	83
10.0	12	10.17%	0.41	4.94	0.50	82
10.5	19	16.10%	0.48	9.05	0.59	81
11.0	8	6.78%	0.53	4.27	0.68	78
11.5	4	3.39%	0.58	2.30	0.78	73
12.0	3	2.54%	0.76	2.28	0.90	85
12.5	2	1.69%	0.78	1.56	1.02	76
13.5	3	2.54%	1.11	3.33	1.31	85
16.0	1	0.85%	2.06	2.06	2.25	92
18.0	1	0.85%	3.16	3.16	3.28	96
TOTAL	118			46.33		

Brook Silverside

3.5	1	6.25%	0.01	0.01		
4.0	15	93.75%	0.01	0.15		
TOTAL	16			0.16		

Green Sunfish

5.5	3	60.00%	0.12	0.36
7.0	1	20.00%	0.90	0.90
8.0	1	20.00%	0.36	0.36
TOTAL	5			1.62

Longear Sunfish

<3.0	8	36.36%	0.01	0.08
3.0	2	9.09%	0.01	0.02
3.5	3	13.64%	0.03	0.08
4.0	4	18.18%	0.05	0.19
4.5	1	4.55%	0.07	0.07
5.0	2	9.09%	0.10	0.19
5.5	2	9.09%	0.11	0.22
TOTAL	22			0.85

Channel Catfish

14.0	1	16.67%	0.72	0.72
14.5	2	33.33%	0.98	1.95
15.0	2	33.33%	1.23	2.45
15.5	1	16.67%	1.16	1.16
TOTAL	6			6.28

Redear

7.0	1	20.00%	0.20	0.20
9.5	1	20.00%	0.54	0.54
10.0	3	60.00%	0.62	1.87
TOTAL	5			2.61

Species	Scientific Name	N	%N	Size Range (in.)	Total weight (lbs.)	%Wt.	N/hr.
Largemouth Bass	<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	118	58.13%	4.5-20.5	46.33	76.46%	118
Bluegill	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	31	15.27%	<3.0-6.5	2.74	4.52%	31
Longear Sunfish	<i>Lepomis megalotis</i>	22	10.84%	<3.0-5.5	0.85	1.40%	22
Brook Silverside	<i>Labidesthes sicculus</i>	16	7.88%	3.5-4.0	0.16	0.26%	16
Channel Catfish	<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>	6	2.96%	14.0-15.0	6.28	10.36%	6
Redear	<i>Lepomis microlophus</i>	5	2.46%	7.0-10.0	2.61	4.31%	5
Green Sunfish	<i>Lepomis cyanellus</i>	5	2.46%	5.5-8.0	1.62	2.67%	5
Total		203			60.59		

N = number of individuals

%N = percent number of a species as compared to the total number of fish collected

%Wt = percent weight of a species as compared to the total weight of all fish collected

N/hr. = catch rate of species (number of fish of a species collected per hour of electrofishing effort)