

Our Congress And Its Supply Side Debacle

Income Percentile Level	1975 Actual Income	2018 Actual Income	2018 At US 120% Econ Growth	Income Act to US 120% Econ Growth	Portion of US 146MM Working Population	Purchasing Power (\$ Billions)		2018 Incremental Purchasing Power (\$ Billions)
						2018 Actual	At US 120% Econ Growth	
Top 1%	\$ 289,000	\$ 1,384,000	\$ 630,000	-54%	1,460,000	\$ 1,212	\$ 920	\$ (293)
99th Percentile	\$ 257,000	\$ 761,000	\$ 560,000	-26%	1,460,000	\$ 1,111	\$ 818	\$ (293)
75th to 98th Percentile	\$ 58,000	\$ 81,000	\$ 126,000	56%	35,040,000	\$ 2,838	\$ 4,415	\$ 1,577
50th to 74th Percentile	\$ 42,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 92,000	84%	36,500,000	\$ 1,825	\$ 3,358	\$ 1,533
25th to 49th Percentile	\$ 28,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 61,000	85%	36,500,000	\$ 1,205	\$ 2,227	\$ 1,022
Zero to 25th Percentile	Unknown - income information not available.				35,040,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
							2018 added consumer spending at US 120% Economic Growth (70% of total)	17.3% \$ 3,546
							2018 added investment spending at US 120% Economic Growth (30% of total)	5.2% \$ 1,845
							2018 GDP Increase Based On 2018 US Econ Growth	22.5% \$ 5,391
							Additional federal tax receipts at US 120% Econ Growth	\$ 1,078
							2018 Actual GDP Growth (\$420 billion, including \$984 billion federal deficit)	2.1% \$ (984)
							Federal surplus at 2018 US 120% Econ Growth	\$ 94

Source: Income data from Rand Corporation study: Price, Carter C. and Kathryn A. Edwards, A New Approach to Measuring Income Inequality Over Recent Decades. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation, 2021. https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_briefs/RBA516-1.html The accompanying working paper, including the methodology and details by state is at https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_briefs/RBA516-1.html

See Notes below.

Our Congress And Its Supply Side Debacle

Notes: US Real Economic Growth from 1975 to 2018 was 120%, per Rand Study.. If that growth were shared equally across the economy, the various income levels would have earned income as shown in the column entitled 2018 At 120%. Instead, since Congress and Presidents have allowed the federal minimum wage to deteriorate to its 1955 purchasing power level. With this legislatively suppressed federal minimum wage floor, the lowest 90% of wage earners have all been systematically left behind as the economy has grown, so incomes only reached the amount shown in the 2018 Actual column.

For simplicity, all incomes from the noted base percentile, such as the 50th Percentile, to the next greater level, such as the 75th Percentile, are considered to earn the base income for that lowest Percentile, in this 50th Percentile case that was \$50,000 noted in the column entitled 2018 Actual, even though this significantly understates the total income which would be earned by all those between the lower 50th Percentile limit and upper 74th Percentile limit.

Tax withholding and personal savings are not considered, except that Top 1% are considered to spend only 60% of their 2018 Actual income since the upper extreme incomes for top earners can be in the tens of millions and above. Since governments typically spend all they take in at state and local levels, and more than that at the federal level, the tax withholding effect is assumed to be neutral for this simplified analysis, as governments also spend the total taxes withheld on a range of products and services in the general economy, similar to consumer spending.

As a result of these simplifications, the overall practical economic growth effect of the net added consumer purchasing power which the lower 98% of incomes would add to US GDP (our total economy), if those incomes had kept pace with 120% overall US real economic growth between 1975 and 2018, as shown in the column entitled 2018 US 120 Econ Growth, is understated in this analysis.

Note that the net effect of this profound policy change from failed supply side economics to market driven demand based economics, with a floor to more broadly share prosperity, is to wipe out the federal deficit while providing 98% of Americans with substantially higher disposable incomes. Government income subsistence programs could be dramatically reduced as 25 million people who now rely on government dependencies could self-support. Over time, lower income workers could also accumulate savings, reducing family stress in financial emergencies and the need for added government support in their retirement years.

While higher wages would increase labor costs, particularly for higher labor content services industries, overall company earnings and stock values would increase for many publicly held and privately held companies as a result of \$3.5 trillion in additional consumer buying power, a 17.3% increase. The Top 1% would benefit from the increasing value of their investments in companies, at earnings multiples of 15 to 30 times those added earnings, in companies which profitably produce goods and services, 90% of which are sourced from within America.