

emotional stability (320e and LPEE pages 190-236), and to explain a series of lethality attempts (paragraphs 604, 606 HEXP-1, 3; 694-710 LETHL-1-17) by defendant UNITED STATES then believed to be and made to look as if they were accidents.

Title 18 Racketeering Acts And Title 42 Civil Rights Violations Sustain Government Cover-Up

8. The illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system used against Lead Plaintiff and other members of this plaintiff class of US persons violates:

- (i) the “unalienable” rights of US persons under our Constitution and its *First, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Eighth, Ninth, Thirteenth, and Fourteenth* Amendments,
- (ii) the ratified 1972 *Bioweapons Treaty* internationally effective in force March 1975,
- (iii) 18 U.S.C. § 175, Prohibitions with respect to biological weapons,
 - a. “175(c) Definition.— For purposes of this section, the term “for use as a weapon” includes the development, production, transfer, acquisition, retention, or possession of any biological agent, toxin, or delivery system for other than prophylactic, protective, bona fide research, or other peaceful purposes.”
- (iii) numerous other federal and state statutes (paragraph 251), and,
- (iv) as used in known abuses of plaintiffs, other ratified international treaties having force of law (paragraph 251).

Defendant CIA Murder of ARMY Contract Biomedical Researcher Frank Olson

9. Defendant UNITED STATES has and does employ extreme methods to cover up and sustain its illegal operations. In 1953, as defendant CIA’s illegal LSD drug dealing program MKUltra was being kicked off, Frank Olsen, a contract researcher, raised legal and ethical objections to the program plan. He was secretly dosed with LSD later in that same November 19, 1953 meeting, and died five days later on a New York City sidewalk, after his semi-conscious

body came through an upper floor hotel room window around 100 feet above the sidewalk, which he had been sharing with the MKUltra program assistant director, at around 2AM on November 28, 1953. There was no criminal prosecution. In 1975. President Ford and CIA Director Colby apologized to the Olsen family for his death.



Source: Wikipedia https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Frank_Olson

To sustain illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system program secrecy, maintain involuntary servitude, and perpetuate the fraudulent concealment and cover up of defendants CIA and ARMY's illegal human subject medical abuse of the Lead Plaintiff and other members of the class, defendant DOJ (including its various police powers agencies) has and does also directly manage these unwitting human subjects as its involuntary servants in its own illegal programs of domestic spying and surveillance on US persons, foreign nations, and foreign nationals. As it did in defendant FBI's violent Cointelpro program from the 1950s into the 1970s for which there were no criminal prosecutions, defendant DOJ has and does use defendant FBI, USMS, and other federal police powers agencies to conduct durable patterns of rights violations and associated-in-fact enterprise patterns of racketeering acts in conspiracy with other defendants, against these plaintiffs. Over time, an increasing number of domestic police powers

departments and agencies have been entangled in these illegal operations against rights and in associated-in-fact enterprise patterns of racketeering acts, as have foreign intelligence services and police powers in allied countries, along with members of the press, media, and entertainment industry, and certain members of the public. This overall program of illegal BRMT, rights, and racketeering conspiracy violates Title 5 administrative procedures, Title 18 criminal statutes, and Title 42 civil rights statutes of the United States Code and common law. The myriad federal constitutional, ratified treaty and statutory violations are summarized at paragraph 251 and, together with state law violations, are specifically enumerated at each relevant claim in Claims for Relief paragraphs 801 through 854.

**Homicidal Conduct Against Lead Plaintiff's Extended Family Matches Other
BRMT Indirect Perpetrator Pattern Evidence**

10. The illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system quite probably played the critical role in the homicidal death of at least one member of Lead Plaintiff's extended family, Audrey Brewer, 18, on September 6, 2011 (Interline Exhibit 1 below) around 1:15AM (4:15AM Eastern time), as described in great detail at paragraphs 803 and 805.

[Intentionally left blank.]

Interline Exhibit 1: Probable September 2011 Illegal BRMT Bioweapon Brain Hijacking Homicide

Union-Bulletin

WALLA WALLA - Angela Effinger was sentenced this morning to 10 years and three months in prison for murdering a woman during a fight in September in the vicinity of the former Blue Mountain Mall. Effinger, 30, looked straight ahead and nodded in understanding when the sentence was imposed by **Superior Court Judge John Lohrmann** following a half-hour hearing. She was led from the courtroom at the County Courthouse a short time later.

Effinger pleaded guilty April 12 to second-degree murder in the stabbing death of 18-year-old Audrey Brewer, who had started a relationship with Effinger's former boyfriend, Andrae Jackson.

.....

Before the sentence was imposed, Effinger tearfully said, "I didn't mean to hurt (Brewer)." Effinger's attorney, Jim Barrett, read a statement she prepared in which she acknowledged taking Brewer's life, expressed remorse for choosing to drink heavily and then fight with Brewer, and prayed for forgiveness.

Effinger's statement also included claims that her years with Jackson were filled with physical and emotional abuse. Barrett said Jackson - who attended the fight between Effinger and Brewer - had instigated it, therefore Barrett asked for an exceptionally low prison term of five or six years for his client.

Lohrmann declined to depart from the standard range of 10 years and three months to 18 years and four months. **But he said there is a strong indication Effinger was acting unlike herself when she killed Brewer.**

While calling the crime horrendous, Lohrmann pointed out that Effinger has no criminal history whatsoever, was in a difficult mental state as the result of an abusive relationship with Jackson and "something snapped."

"She certainly was greatly manipulated," Lohrmann said.

Source: Partial excerpt of news article from Walla Walla Union Bulletin, July 2, 2012. Full text of news article at LPEE65-1 (emphasis added, see note at paragraph 230 to locate original document in evidence).

On this specific September 6, 2011 date, Acting CIA Director Morrell was replaced by the incoming Senate confirmed CIA Director Petraeus, creating a moment of organizational ambiguity which could be exploited by the agency and/or program managers to conceal this criminal act from internal notice and accountability. Petraeus would later resign on November 9, 2012 after disclosure of his sharing of classified information during an adulterous relationship. This biographical similarity of conflicted romance and classified program information in

common between these two separate sets of events comprises an intelligence community tradecraft rhyme for a criminal psychopath, used to act out (see discussion of criminal psychopathy at paragraph 820O-Q) in a criminal field test of the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system tools of violence on Angela and Audrey over the months leading to the murder and through the murder itself. These common story lines would have been known to the agency perpetrators at the time this assassination field test crime was conceived in the intervening months between the April 28, 2011 nomination, the June 30 confirmation, and the September 6, 2011 assumption of duties. See the knife as a weapon illegal BRMT mental manipulations hijacking of Lead Plaintiff at paragraph 805BH, beginning in April 2011, five months before Audrey's murder in September 2011. Without making an accusation, it is also notable that acting director Morrell, from July 1, 2011 to September 6, 2011, bears a strong facial resemblance, according to his Wikipedia biography picture, to Lead Plaintiff's uncle Bruce in Walla Walla, WA. Recall that similar violent acts have occurred without any criminal investigation or other action being taken by defendant DOJ including, without limitation, in (i) the 1953 murder of Frank Olson as the illegal defendant CIA MKUltra program got underway (paragraphs 359-363), itself the predecessor program to this illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system program, (ii) in the overall illegal MKUltra LSD drugging program from 1953 to 1973, and (iii) for the obstruction of justice MKUltra program evidence destruction ordered by CIA Director Helms, all of which resulted in zero criminal prosecutions against any defendant CIA personnel ever (paragraphs 9, 61, 308, 332, 801F, 805T). Both the victim's estate and the supposed perpetrator, if acted upon remotely and/or locally using the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system, are plausible members of this class of injured

plaintiffs, as more fully explained through the technical analysis of the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system at paragraphs 359-399.

11. While the Lead Plaintiff is not a forensic psychiatrist, he notes the strong symbolism across this murder and other multiple events perpetrated by defendants over time, including:

- (i) the above mentioned September 6, 2011 murder date which incorporated illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system brain hijacking tools of violence (paragraphs 803, 805),
- (ii) the September 2007 attempted inculcation of Lead Plaintiff into a totally specious terror investigation, which included his repeat human trafficking to London (paragraph 465, 519, 599D(i)(e), 601C-F, 603C) which was related to pattern 2007-2008 fraudulent employment at defendant ESTABLISH by defendant ROSENBERG (FBI) in northern New Jersey (paragraphs 99e, 165, 166, 213, 320c, 320f(v), 416, 425-436, 462-471(i), 471(v), 472, 474, 482, 503, 518-519, 521, 536, 557, 599D(i)(e), 603, 611, 634A, C, 641, 650D, 656D),
- (iii) September 11, 2022 lethality attempt on Lead Plaintiff and others in a mass casualty event documented at Interline Exhibit 15B,
- (iv) September 11, 2001 attack in reprisal for prior invasion of sovereignty operations in the Middle East by defendant UNITED STATES as related at paragraph 610 HEXP-7.

12. This particular forensic observation, inculcating the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system in this specific murder, was made in January 2024. It is strongly circumstantial, based upon specific understandings of (i) comparable patterns of typical US intelligence tradecraft, (ii) forensic analysis of comparable patterns documented in publicly available sources including Congressional reports and press reports, and (iii) known aspects of

the life experiences of close family members and extended family members and friends. These patterns of comparable life circumstances and adverse experiences have repeatedly occurred across many decades to Lead Plaintiff and across extended family members own life experiences.

13. The Lead Plaintiff notes his attention has repeatedly been drawn by illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system brain hijacking to the time 9:11 over many years, even in the midst of other critical tasks when there would be no reason to want to know the time in that moment. An obsessed remote operator or computer daemon (an automated scheduler of an event in computer software) can orchestrate this specific pattern of notice by an illegal BRMT command delivered to the brain in that moment.

14. This pattern of conduct is worthy of close examination at trial by a criminal forensic psychiatrist or psychologist expert witness. The conduct echoes known conduct, as investigated by defendant FBI of predatory OB/GYN criminal conduct against trusting and vulnerable victims in the Dr. Larry Nassar case at Michigan State University, in its badly flawed and negligent investigative conduct, which resulted in additional crimes by the perpetrator for several years after initial reports. Comparable assaults on other trusting and vulnerable victims by Dr. Robert Hadden at Columbia University went uninvestigated for many years until reported by the wife of a US presidential candidate. Defendant BURNS, cited herein both in official capacity and individually, ostensibly practiced as an OB/GYN in Kirkland, WA into the 1990s when he lived across the street from Lead Plaintiff's second spouse Jeanette, which BURNS orchestrated into Lead Plaintiff's life for that fraudulent coerced relationship and marital community, as described in paragraphs 609-610 HEXP-6, 7.

15. The Quaker spin-off religious community which Lead Plaintiff grew up in and which many members of his extended family and thousands of others still practice today, is a very tight knit high trust community, so it is particularly vulnerable to these forms of surreptitious neurotic, predatory, and psychopathic conduct, as these types of events are extremely uncommon among the members of this community. When combined with a novel bioweapon which can hijack brain functions and which is outside of all known human experience, this high trust religious community provides a perfect target group of vulnerable people for these types of criminal exploitations.

Individual Defendant Identifications In 2023-24 Confirm Government Defendants Are Key Perpetrators

16. These patterns have emerged gradually but persistently to Lead Plaintiff, as they were observed in fact and in their extremely adverse effects over the course of decades, but the root causes and the identities of the surreptitious perpetrators were elusive and not understood. These defendants' fraudulent concealment of their malign purpose by their abuse of state secret privilege, and fraudulent concealment of the specific actual identities of the corrupt defendants operating under multiple names and covers at various times and places as identified to date, has emerged only very gradually since mid-2021 through (i) diligent forensic analysis of known events and fact patterns, (ii) research into the progress of science, medicine, and technology across recent decades, specific identification first known to Lead Plaintiff in mid-2022 (ARPAIO) and others from September 2023.

17. Specific defendant culpability has become extremely clear and convincing in the past few months with the specific individual identifications between September 2023 and April 2024 which provide explicit definitive links to the particular institutional defendants named herein (paragraph 99, LPEE pages 12251-12261). These identifications have crystalized the elements of