

## **FACTS – 110 ILLEGAL PATTERN ACTS, VIOLATIONS, AND INJURIES**

593. The 110 patterns of facts which follow (known as subcounts herein) are representative examples, not an exhaustive listing, of defendants' perpetuated conspiracy arranged by category of act, violation, and injury for ease of understanding. These illegal patterns of practice have been intertwined throughout this entire conspiracy from its beginning to the present, but are disentangled for ease of understanding. Six principal categories of acts, violations, and injuries are defined and described in this section of the complaint. These six categories illuminate these defendants' primary illegal patterns of color of law abuses, criminal acts, and constitutional, civil, and human rights acts, violations, and injuries in an associated-in-fact enterprise pattern of racketeering act and other constitution, civil, and statutory rights violations which span more than fifty-six years of fraudulent concealment relying on defendants' abuse of the state secrets privilege and their deliberate, knowing, willful, fraudulent entanglement of these plaintiffs in national security matters to sustain involuntary servitude and other constitutional rights abuses.

594. The six primary categories of BRMT (Brain Remote Management Technology), constitutional rights, and racketeering pattern acts, violations, and injuries are:

### ***594.1 National Security Pretexting and Entanglements (subcounts NSEC-1 through 4)***

– deliberate and intentional fraudulent color of law abuses by police powers and intelligence departments and agencies and other defendants, which pretext and entangle targeted US persons and others in “state secret “ privilege national security related events, operations, projects, and program for the corrupt purpose of fraudulently conceal continuing associated-in-fact enterprise patterns of racketeering acts, rights violations, and illegal biomedical experiments through abusive color of law operations, deliberate entanglements in a repetitive pattern of baseless

“investigations,” to sustain illegal cover company, surveillance, domestic and international cover operations and espionage, and other corrupt acts abusing police powers, and national security regulations applied under color of law outside the legal limits imposed by 5 U.S.C. § 301.

Similar to being “swatted” except that national security and complicity are incorporated directly into the corrupted police powers process.

***594.2 Illegal Human Experimentation - BRMT Brain Hijacking Abuses (subcounts HEXP-1 through 17)*** – forcible human biomedical and psychological experiments on unwitting plaintiff human subjects without their consent, including a wide variety of attacks on and interferences with liberty; direct attacks on human autonomy, free will, and rights; and direct attacks on civil and Constitutional rights; including in and affecting interstate commerce.

Primary subcategories of offenses are:

- a) Biological and Medical Invasions – To And Including Torture: HEXP-1 through 4
- b) Orchestrated Personal and Intimate Relationships – To And Including Deliberate Orchestration and Malicious Termination: HEXP-5 through 10
- c) Biological and Medical Invasions – To And Including Personal Humiliation, Reckless Willful Endangerment, And Imposed Illnesses: HEXP-11 through 17

***594.3 Individual Rights Violations and Conspiracies (subcounts RGTS-1 through 17)*** - direct interferences with liberty and freedom of choice in personal life and relationships, including pretexting, entrapment attempts, discrimination, incrimination, and related color of law malicious practices and patterns of practice. Primary subcategories of offenses are:

- a) Entrapments, Illegal Searches, and Willful Blindness: RGTS-1 through 11
- b) Direct Interferences in Personal and Intimate Relationships: RGTS-12 through 14

- c) Hacking, Harassment, Disinformation, Abuse of Official Records: RGTS-15 through 17

***594.4 Racketeering Acts - Personally Targeted (subcounts RICO-1 through 10) -***

racketeering acts and patterns of racketeering acts including, without limitation, frauds and predicate act frauds which have and do result in the direct and indirect loss of constitutionally property rights including, without limitation, personal, real, and financial assets, and career, employment, and income opportunities, all as managed for the convenience of the defendant UNITED STATES as the primary subjugator of unwitting involuntary servants in forced labor and peonage, and as a key element of perpetual involuntary servitude and involuntary servitude, to control all aspects of the life of the Lead Plaintiff and others similarly situated, to promote development of the illegal and internationally prohibited BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system, and to perpetuate the fraudulent concealment of illegal BRMT, rights, and associated-in-fact enterprise racketeering acts and conspiracy, which have and do injure these plaintiffs. Primary subcategories of offenses are:

- a) Thefts and Takings: RICO-1 through 7
- b) Color of Law Entrapment Attempts: RICO-8 through 10

***594.5 Racketeering Acts - Business and Enterprise (subcounts RICO-11 through 55) –***

associated-in-fact enterprise patterns of racketeering acts including, without limitation, common law frauds, predicate act frauds, deprivation of government benefits to small businesses, which deprivations have and do result in direct loss of business sales and income opportunities including, without limitation, property rights to contracts, projects, financial assets, real property, equipment, and other assets, all as perpetrated for the convenience of defendant UNITED STATES as the primary subjugator of involuntary servants, and including, without limitation, the

key elements of involuntary servitude, of involuntary servitude, and of forced labor and peonage used to dominate and control all aspects of the life of the Lead Plaintiff and others similarly situated. Primary subcategories of offenses are:

- a) Thefts And Takings: RICO-11 through RICO-12
- b) Fraudulent Financings: RICO-13 through RICO-34
- c) Fraudulent Sales Leads: RICO-35 through RICO-42
- d) Dishonest Professional Services: RICO-43 through RICO-52
- e) Fraudulent Production Asset Sales: RICO-53 through RICO-55

***594.6 Lethality Attempts (subcounts LETHL-1 through 17)*** – personal injuries and potential injuries which are likely to result in severe injury or death.

595. These acts, violations, and injuries, and racketeering patterns thereof, are managed by and for the convenience of defendant UNITED STATES’ departments and agencies, and their co-conspirators, as they have and do engage in an associated-in-fact racketeering enterprise within their coordinated set of roles generally described at paragraphs 102-113. Certain of these abusive color of law operations have been and are conducted, by co-conspirators in this associated-in-fact enterprise which include, without limitation, state and local police powers departments and agencies NYPD, NJTPD, PAPD, NJSP, MARICOPA SHERIFF, BERGEN SHERIFF, and by other defendants who have and do conspire with defendant UNITED STATES’ departments and agencies, and/or with other co-conspirators in the overall associated-in-fact enterprise of illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system program, constitutional and civil rights violations, and associated-in-fact enterprise pattern of racketeering acts, violations, injuries, and conspiracy.


596. All these acts, violations, and injuries, and the patterns thereof, are key elements of defendant UNITED STATES' and co-conspirators' constitutional and statutory violations by, without limitation, involuntary servitude, forced labor, and peonage, which have been and are used to dominate, subjugate, and control all aspects of the life of the Lead Plaintiff and others similarly situated. Defendant UNITED STATES' overall intent has been and is to perpetuate the development of the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system through its past and continuing abuses of these plaintiff victims through its illegal human subject biomedical experiments and victimizations, to and including death. Imposed involuntary servitude among these plaintiffs ranges from short intervals of time to the lifetime abuses of some victims including, without limitation, Lead Plaintiff, whose injuries, short of actual loss of his life, are broadly representative of acts, violations, and injuries, and recurrent patterns of same in this conspiracy against this entire class of plaintiffs.

597. A compendium at LPEE pages 934-1075 lists key entities and individuals, selected emails, documents, and disbursements in both date order and alphabetic order using the RED colored page number found at bottom of each page which had been curated through the date of compendium. Additional materials are included in other exhibits not referenced therein as they were added after the date the compendium was prepared. Note there are spelling errors in the email subject lines referenced throughout these subcounts. These errors have not been corrected to maintain 100% traceability to the relevant LP Evidentiary Exhibit (LPEE). The directories of emails listed by date and party name in the compendium can be used to access these emails. Emails and documents discovered and curated later than the January 3, 2002 date of the compendium are listed in the later volumes of mixed documents beginning at LPEE page 10132. For most efficient retrieval, search the lower page numbered volumes first, then the higher

numbered pages of mixed documents which do contain some documents dating back to the 2000s.

598. The listing of 110 subcounts below (NSEC-1 through LETHL-17 paragraphs 600-710 inclusive) are a comprehensive set of examples in the Lead Plaintiff's own personal and direct experience over 56 years of abuses by these defendants. These 110 subcounts are the series of specific acts, violations, and injuries which these plaintiffs have experienced in common to varying degrees over varying periods of time. These 110 subcounts relate these acts, violations, and injuries directly to the 54 statutory and common law claims for relief which follow this section of the complaint. Each and every one of those 54 claims for relief are a specific and discrete violation of a specific federal statute and/or common law, as well as the directly related state laws cited therein. This layer cake organization structure is used to explain the full scope, extent, and duration of the incredibly complex and intertwined (a) illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system program illegal human subject experiments, field tests, and other offensive weapon deployments against US persons, (b) associated-in-fact enterprise pattern of racketeering acts, (c) constitutional and civil rights violations and injuries, and (d) other statutory violations of these plaintiffs over decades by these perpetrator defendants.

[Intentionally left blank.]



599. Each and every one of the 110 subcounts at paragraphs 600 through 710 includes ALL of the following subparagraphs, which are incorporated therein by reference:

**599A. Common Themes And Definitions In And Among Intertwined Subcount Acts, Violations, And Injuries**

- (i) The BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system, which is illegal and subject to criminal penalties under 18 U.S.C. § 175, which provisions of law have been and are systematically ignored by defendant DOJ and by defendant UNITED STATES; and which is prohibited from development and operation by our Constitution, and by international law under the ratified 1975 *Bioweapons Treaty*;
- (ii) Each of the five subcount series' (NSEC national security entanglements, HEXP illegal human experiments, RGTS rights violations, and RICO racketeering acts and patterns, LETHL lethality attempts) includes a summary table at one or more of the subcounts in that series. This summary table relates the common evidence of all the subcounts in that specific series to every other subcount in that series and, where specifically noted, to specific subcounts in other series. For example,

the table at subcount LETHL-1, paragraph 600Q, relates each of the 17 LETHL series subcounts to each other, and to the subcounts in other series' which are specifically described therein.

- (iii) Each subcount consolidates multiple acts, violations, and injuries perpetrated by one or more defendants to the overall associated-in-fact enterprise. The exact number and date of certain violations remains to be determined through discovery against these defendants, as the identification of specific perpetrators and co-conspirators has been concealed by color of law abuses of state secret privilege and of police power exemptions.
- (iv) Relevant emails and other documents which provide predicate act fraud and other evidence are incorporated by reference in each subcount, (a) as specified in the table contained in that subcount and (b) as specified in the summary table for that subcount series. These entries are summarized in a compendium which contains a directory of evidence of key entities and individuals; and of selected emails, documents, and disbursements, which are listed in both date order and alphabetic order. This compendium is at LPEE pages 934-1075 (use the RED colored page numbers found at the bottom of each page to look up materials in LPEE) for materials curated by the date of the compendium, see also the note at paragraph 597 related to other materials discovered and curated after the date of the compendium.
- (v) Individual emails are listed in the compendium alphabetically by date. Most emails are found in date order (not filed in alphabetic order) from 2008 to 2022 at



LPEE pages 1076-6094. Additional emails and documents are in the mixed volumes of documents and emails in other LPEE volumes filed herewith.

- (vi) Relevant direct evidence is currently blocked, or hacked and deleted, from various Lead Plaintiff's email accounts by defendant UNITED STATES, including virtually all business and personal emails from March 4, 2018 through July 7, 2020, all of which are inaccessible to Lead Plaintiff as this complaint is being written.

**599B. Overall Purpose And Intent of Defendants' Associated-In-Fact Enterprise Conduct**

- (i) Defendants have and do fraudulently conceal their acts, violations, and injuries, and perpetuate their acts, violations, and injuries for the purpose of, among other things, (a) concealing their criminal and illegal deployment of the BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system against US persons and other innocents, and (b) concealing illegal acts of co-conspirator defendants, as these defendants have and do conspire to do since the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system program was initially conceived in the 1960s.
- (ii) Defendants' programmed and abusive color of law operations and entrapments have been and are intended and used (i) to pretext and attempt entrapments and inculcation of innocent victims, (ii) to attempt to exculpate this class of defendants and their co-conspirators, and (iii) to sustain the intricate illegal human subject biological and neurological medical experiments on, and abuses of, these unwitting plaintiff victims, who have been and are used to further develop and to sustain deployment of defendant UNITED STATES' illegal and internationally prohibited BRMT brain hijacking bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system.

(iii) Defendant UNITED STATES has and does continue to fraudulently arrogate to itself the liberty to act freely and willfully in the corrupt interests of its own institutions, departments, and agencies, and of these named and yet unnamed individual defendants, and of its co-conspirators, in patterns of unconstitutional acts, and statutory violations, and associated-in-fact enterprise patterns of racketeering acts and conspiracy which have and do directly contradict the liberty interests and “unalienable” constitutional, civil, and human rights of US persons, which these institutions and individuals are explicitly constitutionally sworn to protect, while systematically sustaining willful blindness and official silence in direct violation of the mission and purpose of defendant DOJ’s initial establishment in 1870.

(iv) These acts have been and are conducted by defendant UNITED STATES and by its co-conspirators, some of whom are explicitly sponsored, funded, and contractually bound co-conspirator institutional and individual defendants, to sustain defendant UNITED STATES’ perpetual control, involuntary servitude, forced labor and peonage, against Lead Plaintiff and other similarly situated US persons, in violation of our Constitution, of other statutes cited throughout this Complaint, in its continuing fraudulent abuse of the “state secrets” privilege which violates the mandates of 5 U.S.C. § 301 (paragraph 260, Interline Exhibit 2) and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1, 12 (1953) (paragraphs 260, 319).

(v) All subcounts throughout this Complaint (NSEC-1 through LETHL-17 paragraphs 600-710 inclusive) are driven by defendants’ conspiracy to commit, and together, as actually perpetrated in defendants’ field operations, comprise an

associated-in-fact enterprise pattern of racketeering acts, constitutional and civil rights violations, state statutory violations, and conspiracy.

**599C. Actual Defendants' Associated-In-Fact Enterprise Operational Conduct**

- (i) Fraudulent illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system biochemical brain hijackings, illegal human subject experiments, and deprivations of constitutional and civil rights, resulting from these defendants' careful timing of events, and from deliberate and malign brain hijackings during public exposure to facilitate vigilantism, have been and are elaborately contrived at vast taxpayer expense by defendants to appear as life circumstances and events, so as to conceal them from public understanding. These incidents, events, and cycles of misconduct have been and are used to control and human traffick Lead Plaintiff and other plaintiffs through a series of physical and emotional traumas and humiliations, as related throughout this complaint.
- (ii) These deliberately perpetrated traumas and humiliations include, without limitation, (a) the selection, assignment, and destruction of teenage and adult personal friendships and intimate relationships; (b) destruction and recovery of physical and mental health; (c) enduring long-cycle and episodes of short-cycle torture; (d) extreme periods of biochemical brain hijacking to invoke suicide ideations; (e) homelessness and the related stress of losing relationships and virtually all possessions from a position of relative propriety and comfort; (f) enterprise failures, arbitrary terminations from employment, and extended deliberate unemployment; (g) de facto takings of real, financial, personal, and intangible assets; (h) various dire emergency situations with sometimes avoided

lethal consequences (paragraph 10, Interline Exhibit 1 is indicative of lethal consequences most probably not avoided); (i) other traumas and frights directly created by or arising from these defendants' acts, violations and injuries, and from their willful and negligent violations of the privacy and other constitutional rights of these plaintiffs. These which acts, violations, and injuries have and do expose these plaintiffs to abnormal public safety risks from (j) public vigilantism, and from (k) police powers departments and agencies which have and do engage in discriminatory patterns and are known to use excessive force. Illegal field tests of medical practices and of tools of violence have resulted in the deaths of victims, as cited herein.

(iii) Defendant inflicted and perpetrated acts affecting interstate commerce include, without limitation, fraudulent commercial sales opportunities, and the business necessity to expend time and financial resources to locate and secure financings thereof which have and arise as a result of, and have been and are continuously interfered with by defendants, through their offering of fraudulent pending sales opportunities they have no intention be completed, and as elements of a pattern of commercial and police powers frauds and conspiracies of defendants affecting commerce and interstate commerce. The overriding intent of defendants in these violations, has been and continues to be, to consume the financial resources and management time of Lead Plaintiff and the entities he legally owns, controls, and/or manages, and of other plaintiffs similarly situated, to perpetuate their involuntary servitude in violation of the *Thirteenth* Amendment and other

elements of violations of constitutional and civil rights and of statutes of the United States and the various states.

- (iv) Defendant inflicted and perpetrated acts affecting interstate commerce include, without limitation, fraudulent impositions in interstate commerce conducted by Lead Plaintiff, and by others similarly situated, of corporate officers, employees, consultants, legal and professional service providers, who are actually defendants' own undercover defendant police powers personnel, intelligence personnel, and military personnel in civilian dress, or others they elect to infiltrate for their own corrupt purposes, who thereby have and do supplant legitimate qualified private individuals, and thereby deprive Lead Plaintiff and other plaintiffs similarly situated of their legal and constitutionally protected access in interstate commerce to qualified individuals, as elements of these defendants' conspiracy to, and pattern of practice of, acts, violations, and injuries which deprive Lead Plaintiff, his related entities, and others similarly situated, of their right to pursue and benefit from commerce and interstate commerce. These defendants have and do sustain their associated-in-fact enterprise and pattern of racketeering acts, injuries, and violations against Lead Plaintiff and other plaintiff victims, with the overriding intent to illegally consuming the financial resources and management time of these plaintiffs, including, without limitation, Lead Plaintiff and the entities he has and does legally own, control, and/or manage to, without limitation, maintain involuntary servitude, forced labor, and peonage.

**599D. Pattern Abuses Of The Revocable State Secrets Privilege And National Security Regulations Sustain Illegal BRMT, Rights, And Racketeering Acts, Violations, And Injuries**

(i) This deliberate pattern of human trafficking and cross-border entanglements in national security and related investigations repeats a pattern of practice which defendant UNITED STATES has and does use to facilitate color of law abuses of US persons by and in their own service, and by foreign intelligence services who can conduct otherwise illegal operations against US persons and then “share” this otherwise illegally acquired intelligence with defendant UNITED STATES. These representative color of law abuse patterns of practice include, without limitation:

- a. 1978: Defendant UNITED STATES acting through, without limitation, defendants FBI, CIA, ARMY and associated individual defendants, used defendant WSU for human trafficking and involuntary servitude by directly placing Lead Plaintiff, while a Teaching Assistant and graduate student, in shared offices with foreign nationals under the care and surveillance of defendants UNITED STATES, CIA, and FBI, particularly including a foreign national from Iran during the Iranian Revolution against the Shah of Iran (Mohammed Bahari-Kashani) and a graduate student from Malawi, so as to abuse national security regulations as tools for otherwise illegal surveillance of Lead Plaintiff.
- b. 1983: Defendant UNITED STATES acting through, without limitation, defendants FBI, CIA, ARMY and associated individual defendants, used Deloitte Seattle for human trafficking and involuntary servitude in interstate commerce, and Queen Elizabeth II’s visit to the Seattle Westin, a national security event which integrated MI-6 (Martin Astengo) into the

Westin Hotel staff for a time, and to abuse foreign intelligence operations and information sharing as tools for otherwise illegal surveillance of Lead Plaintiff.

- c. 1992-1994: Defendant UNITED STATES acting through, without limitation, defendants FBI, CIA, ARMY and associated individual defendants, used PAN for human trafficking and involuntary servitude affecting interstate commerce, and for cross-border trafficking and associated-in-fact enterprise fraudulent financings to involve RCMP, CSIS, MI-5, MI-6 and London Metropolitan Police. and to abuse foreign intelligence operations and information sharing as tools for otherwise illegal surveillance of Lead Plaintiff.
- d. 2003 - Defendant UNITED STATES acting through, without limitation, defendants FBI, CIA, ARMY and associated individual defendants, used Engelman Associates, Vancouver, WA, dba SoftSelect, and entertainment industry actors in an illegal domestic spying operation of defendant UNITED STATES (FBI) to provide fraudulent sales leads for services into Iran which violated US government sanctions on the Islamic Republic of Iran in an attempt to pretext and entrap Lead Plaintiff in violations of US sanctions law, and to abuse national security law and regulations as tools for otherwise illegal surveillance of Lead Plaintiff. This specific entrapment attempt featured an entertainment industry actor, name not recalled, who posed as Mark Engelman, the proprietor of the company doing business as SoftSelect, Vancouver, WA during the wrecking of

Allegent. LLC by defendants UNITED STATES, FBI, ROSENBERG, FAUCI, PRAY, CALDWELL, and unknown others. The actor is a public figure who has starred as a male lead actor in one or more Martin Scorsese films, subject to identification during discovery.

- e. 2007-2008: Defendant UNITED STATES acting through, without limitation, defendants FBI, CIA, ARMY and associated individual defendants, used defendant ESTABLISH (paragraphs 11, 465, 603 NSEC-4) for cross-border trafficking and associated-in-fact enterprise involuntary servitude and employment discrimination to involve MI-5, MI-6 and London Metropolitan Police. and to abuse foreign intelligence operations and information sharing as tools for otherwise illegal surveillance of Lead Plaintiff.
- f. 1984-2022: Defendant UNITED STATES acting through, without limitation, FBI, CIA, ARMY and associated individual defendants, have and do use various cross-border meetings, seminars, and presentations, brokered international sales opportunities, and direct sales opportunities with international subsidiaries of US companies to abuse national security regulations and foreign intelligence services as tools for otherwise illegal surveillance of Lead Plaintiff.
- g. 2015: Defendant UNITED STATES acting through, without limitation, defendants FBI, CIA and associated individual defendants, have and do use the forgery of a Qatari government form and fraudulently misrepresent



its royal family as interested investors who sign a \$52 million investment agreement with Lead Plaintiff to invest in his Winnett entities.

- h. 2018-2023: Defendant UNITED STATES acting through, without limitation, defendants FBI, CIA, ARMY and associated individual defendants, have and do use the Senator Menendez foreign agent investigations and indictment (Egypt, Qatar) and an Egyptian foreign national proposed by defendant CFO SEARCH (MAGGARD, FBI) to human traffick and abuse national security regulations as tools for perpetuating otherwise illegal surveillance of Lead Plaintiff (paragraph 300-302, 563-569, 624 RGTS-4, 670, 672, 682, 689 RICO-32, 34, 44, 51).

- (ii) This set of abusive practices are routinely and illegally deployed in color of law abuses to deliberately ensnare, ensnarl, and attempt to entrap Lead Plaintiff, perpetuate his involuntary servitude, forced labor, and peonage, and to sustain the illegal continuing development of defendant UNITED STATES, CIA, and ARMY's illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system from at least 1968 to the present time, and to abuse state secret privilege, national security regulations, foreign intelligence operations, and foreign intelligence information sharing, as tools for otherwise illegal surveillance, subjugation, and involuntary servitude of Lead Plaintiff in defendants' pattern of illegal BRMT, rights, and racketeering acts, violations, and injuries against Lead Plaintiff and other similarly situated.

- (iii) This associated-in-fact enterprise pattern of predicate and illegal practices has been applied against Lead Plaintiff, and others similarly situated, by defendant

UNITED STATES, named and unnamed co-conspirator defendants, since at least 1968.

**599E. Fraudulent Concealment Of Abuses Behind The Revocable State Secrets Privilege**

- (i) Defendant UNITED STATES has and does engage in claiming “state secret” privilege as if this privilege were an irrevocable privilege, and “national security” regulations” which are not enforced as required, as playing cards by which it invalidly claims it can arbitrarily of its own accord and without review, deploy at will to trump the “unalienable” constitutional rights of individual US persons, in the fraudulent game these defendants play with the lives of these abused plaintiffs, with the lives of other US persons, and with plaintiffs’ personal, mental, physical, and financial well-being, and property rights, while depriving these plaintiffs through its own whims, accords, conspiracies, and failures to act, of their “unalienable” constitutional rights.
- (ii) Discovery of the primary defendants in this case was carefully, and at enormous taxpayer expense, fraudulently concealed for decades by these perpetrator defendants, despite their continuing undercover operations in plain sight. Forensic breakthroughs in this case beginning in Summer 2023 (LPEE pages 12251-12261) led to specific identities of individual perpetrator defendants who figure in the overall illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system, constitutional and civil rights, and associated-in-fact enterprise pattern of racketeering acts and conspiracy. These identifications then explicitly connected the pattern of acts, violations, and injuries, and the underlying corrupt means, motives, and *mens rea*, directly to the responsible defendant departments, agencies, and institutions. This

coordinated fraudulent concealment by these defendants was extensive, deliberate, and nearly foolproof, for almost six decades.

- (iii) This pervasive fraudulent concealment by these defendants, as further described at paragraphs 307-321, 550-583, equitably tolled the statute of limitations for this entire complex intertwined pattern of acts, violations, and injuries in the decades-long associated-in-fact conspiracy including, without limitation, defendant UNITED STATES' illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system, related patterns of constitutional and civil rights violations, and the associated-in-fact pattern of racketeering acts and conspiracy.

#### **599F. Inextricably Intertwined Pattern Of Acts, Violations, And Injuries**

All 110 subcounts herein are critical elements of the defendants' overarching and continual pattern of involuntary servitude, forced labor, and peonage at all times from inception, in at least 1968 if not earlier, to the present time which violate, without limitation, the *First, Third, Fourth Fifth Eighth Thirteenth* and *Fourteenth* Amendments to our United States Constitution, in furtherance of the defendants' conspiracy to, and systematic violations of, without limitation:

- i. 18 U.S.C. § 175 prohibiting the use and deployment of biological weapons and biological weapons delivery systems against US persons; in furtherance of conspiracy to and violations of
- ii. 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242, 246, 247, prohibiting conspiracy against and violation of rights; and in furtherance of conspiracy to and violations of
- iii. 18 U.S.C. § 1581 relating to peonage,
- iv. 18 U.S.C. § 1584 relating to involuntary servitude,

- v. 18 U.S.C. § 1589(a)(3) relating to forced labor, and
- vi. 18 U.S.C. § 1590 relating to human trafficking with respect to peonage, slavery, involuntary servitude, and forced labor,
- vii. Dozens of additional sections of the United States Code listed at paragraphs 8 and 251, and
- viii. Related state statutes, as listed at each of the 54 claims for relief at paragraphs 801-854.

**599G. Inextricably Intertwined Bad Faith Acts, Violations, And Injuries By Individual Defendants**

- (i) All 110 subcounts incorporate, without limitation, constitutional rights claim(s) made against individual defendants herein under 28 U.S.C. 2679(b)(2), and, without limitation, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968, and/or 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, 1986, which claims for relief are made under the *First, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Eighth, Ninth, Thirteenth*, and/or *Fourteenth* Amendments to the Constitution.
- (ii) At each paragraph below, each set of emails and correlated documents in the tabular listing of cross-references and evidentiary exhibits (LPEE) is one set of the thousands of constitutional rights, fraudulent concealment, and/or pattern of racketeering acts sequences undertaken against Lead Plaintiff by the associated-in-fact enterprise of these defendants originated by defendant UNITED STATES in the late 1960s. If pled in detail in this initial filing, these individual sequences would extend the Complaint by many thousands of pages and be filled with redundant citations of the same curated evidence. The tabular listing at each paragraph is a far more efficient use of this pleading and provides particularity as required by F. R. Civ. P. Rule 9(b) without excessive redundancy.

(iii) Each of these thousands of sequences is pled in this fashion for efficiency and brevity in pleading in an already voluminous pleading. This is a necessity for judicial efficiency (a) in view of the fact that special access granted by defendant UNITED STATES was and is required to sustainably communicate with Lead Plaintiff in the unconstitutionally constrained environment of lies, disinformation, and hyper-intrusive surveillance created and perpetuated by defendant UNITED STATES and its co-conspirators, (b) defendants' systematic abuse of cover entities, fraudulent and spoofed websites, and information sources, used for their own purposes in this unconstitutionally constrained environment, (c) defendants' pervasive use of cover entities and identities for themselves and those to whom they have and do grant special access to a never convicted or incarcerated person (Lead Plaintiff) who nonetheless has been and is subjected to illegal human trafficking, undue restraints, on-going human experimentation and continual illegal brain biomedical hijackings by the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system, and (d) the reasonable expectation that the hundreds of contact entities listed and the number of specific individuals directly culpable will collapse to a limited number of actual defendants, including a limited number of police powers and intelligence agencies subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, and to media and politically connected persons and institutions granted special access to Lead Plaintiff's environment by defendant UNITED STATES, its departments and agencies, and through and by other sovereign governments' departments and agencies, as defendants have and do (i) systematically and illegally constrain constitutional rights of these plaintiffs including, without

limitation Lead Plaintiff, for (ii) their own illegal purposes, and (iii) the illegal personal privilege and purposes of certain individual defendants, named and unnamed, who have and-or do participate over the course of this illegal program as field operatives and/or in executive leadership roles during these decades of illegal constraints and restraints of constitutional rights, and who have and do knowingly perpetuate these illegal constraints and restraints of constitutional rights of US persons for their own direct personal benefit and convenience.

**599H. Discovery Will Support Additional Acts, Violations, And Injuries**

- (i) Discovery against these defendants will produce further evidence of the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system induced bodily reactions and involuntary responses included in each subcount. Discovery, as required by law under, among others, the *Nietzke* and *Denton* mandates will, without limitation:
- (ii) provide further evidence of extensive correspondence and documentation of exchanges among, by, and/or with these defendants using email and other electronic means,
- (iii) provide crucial further identifications of known and unknown institutional and individual perpetrators and of at least some portion of the victims who comprise this class of injured plaintiffs,
- (iv) recover Lead Plaintiff's own electronic records prior to 2007 which are currently in the hands of defendant FBI, having been handed by Lead Plaintiff in Fall 2007 to defendant ROSENBERG while he posed as William Drumm at defendant ESTABLISH in Fort Lee, NJ, after being transcribed from a hard disk, likely by an FBI lab then using cover company third party identity,

- (v) recover medical records related to Lead Plaintiff and to other plaintiffs, likely including copies secretly maintained by defendant UNITED STATES, its medical contractors and/or researchers, for the purposes of sustaining illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system research and development operations including, without limitation, an extensive array of illegal human subject experiments, which validate these claims, to the extent those records have not been destroyed by obstructions of defendants; and/or copies of those records secretly maintained by defendant UNITED STATES' to conceal from evidence records secretly maintained and destroyed from normal discovery by abusive human trafficking which has and does lead to lack of contact with initial providers and their destruction of records due to the passage of time and lack of continuing interactions between plaintiffs and those providers,
- (vi) recover financial, business, and personal records related to Lead Plaintiff and to other plaintiffs, likely including copies secretly maintained by defendant UNITED STATES, its contractors and/or researchers, for the purpose of sustaining illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system research and development operations, which validate these claims, to the extent those records have not been destroyed by obstructions of defendants; and/or copies of those records secretly maintained by defendant UNITED STATES' to conceal from evidence records secretly maintained and destroyed from normal discovery by abusive human trafficking which has and does lead to lack of contact with initial providers and their destruction of records due to the passage of time and lack of continuing interactions between plaintiffs and those providers,

- (vii) provide further evidence of federal funding and cross coordination of military departments and agencies including, without limitation, defendants ARMY, USAF, NAVY, JOINT STAFF, DARPA in violations of posse comitatus by illegally leveraging interpersonal relationships, personnel, and facilities; and in conspiracy to violate constitutional rights, federal and state statutes; together with defendants DOJ, DHS and their police powers agencies including, without limitation, defendants FBI, USMS, DEA, USSS, and CPB; together with intelligence agencies including, without limitation, defendants CIA and ODNI. These violations and conspiracies also have and do extend to domestic research institutions funded by defendant UNITED STATES in developing knowingly psychologically and medically coercive and intrusive operations and in knowingly developing illegal medical technologies deployed with the illegal BRMT bioweapon; to press, media, and entertainment who have and do play active roles in violations of rights and statutes; to police powers departments and agencies who engaged in and perpetuated illegal coercive operations against rights exceeding statutory authority throughout the United States; and to collaborators in foreign intelligence and police powers departments and agencies in Canada, United Kingdom, France, and Israel, not incorporated as defendants herein,
- (viii) facilitate development of additional evidence through interrogatories, depositions of direct witnesses, as well as through the routine internal reports of these incidents authored and controlled by defendants, particularly relating to the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system, prejudicial and



pretexted concealed police powers operations, and the associated-in-fact patterns of racketeering acts.

[Intentionally left blank.]

## **National Security Pretexting, Frauds, and Entanglements (NSEC series offenses)**

### **600. NSEC-1 National Security Frauds: Government Orchestrated Family Assignment and Deliberate Entanglements in National Security Matters, 1961 to Present**

A. Lead Plaintiff is a descendant of Quakers, who serve in military service as religious conscientious objectors. His great-great grandfather, Willian John Brewer, is buried five miles north of West Point, where the US ARMY Military Academy has been located since after the Revolutionary War. At Appomattox Courthouse, Virginia on April 4, 1865, he earned the Medal of Honor for his service in the Union Army during the final major battle of the Civil War to end slavery. He then attended assassinated President Lincoln that same month as a member of the Honor Guard. Lead Plaintiff, and other members of this class, among them many direct descendants of this honorable servant to these United States, have been and continue to exist in involuntary servitude, in violation of the *Thirteenth* Amendment, which was ratified by the states effective December 6, 1865. This status, in involuntary servitude, has been perpetrated and perpetuated by defendant UNITED STATES, and particularly inculcates the original and continuing unconstitutional and illegal conduct of, without limitation, defendants ARMY, CIA, and DOJ, whose paramount duties are to provide for the common defense and to establish justice, in accordance with our Constitution. They do not.

B. As forensically reverse engineered, Lead Plaintiff's maternal grandfather, also a member of a Quaker related religious group, was employed beginning in the 1950s at a coopted farmer's cooperative (Farmer's Union Central Exchange, now known as Cenex) for much of his adult life after World War II. Both Lead Plaintiff's father and uncle served in the US ARMY Medical Corps as conscientious objectors. Lead Plaintiff's uncle's life and career path bears a

marked resemblance to the Lead Plaintiff's own subsequent path, to and including college era romantic interests and difficulties experienced throughout their careers and entrepreneurial activities. There are echoes directly across the generations between his now deceased father and the Lead Plaintiff as well. And among members of this extended family. And in common with the families of marital communities formed and destroyed by defendant UNITED STATES. And among private enterprises formed and/or acquired by these US persons and destroyed by defendant UNITED STATES. And among members of this close knit religious group, some of whom have been recruited and/or maneuvered into sustained series' of fraudulent church services operated by defendant UNITED STATES. Defendants ARMY, CIA, and DOJ paramount duties are to provide for the common defense and to establish justice, in accordance with our Constitution. They do not.

C. Lead Plaintiff's father was employed by an illegal FBI cover company, Pacific Paper Products, Tacoma, Washington, from approximately early 1961 to June 1963, first in northern and then southern California, for the stated purpose of selling examination table and surgical table disposable paper drapes to medical doctor practices and to medical facilities such as hospitals. The actual surreptitious purpose of this FBI cover company was to destroy physical medical evidence of crimes committed by agents, informants, police powers, and violent militia members engaged in the violent anti-civil rights campaign then underway, unmasked as Cointelpro in 1971 when it discovered by a citizen activist burglary of a Media, PA FBI satellite office. By his unwitting actions, Lead Plaintiff's father was being misdirected and duped into removing and recycling X-ray films from targeted medical practices to destroy this highly incriminating evidence, as defendant FBI and its collaborators continued their illegal Cointelpro program and other illegal and violent operations against civil rights activists and others. When

offered a third transfer, this time from southern California to Texas, Lead Plaintiff's father quit and returned the young family to Washington state where he pursued work as a route delivery employee, later delivery route owner under the watchful eye of another defendant FBI or USMS undercover agent named Earl Keller (paragraphs 414, 415).

D. Defendant UNITED STATES has purposefully and repeatedly entangled Lead Plaintiff in national security matters as a corrupt pattern of practice from the age of 12 (paragraph 417). Lead Plaintiff attended a government designated spin-out school in the Federal Way, WA school district, Decatur High School, with 83 "students" in its initial graduating class, which included youthful undercover agents posing as high school students. As a base for a secret government program, this school included, among many others, KATYAL (FBI or ARMY), posing as Shawn Morrissey a fellow student who fell from a horse while riding bareback lacking experience and broke ribs while riding one of the family horses (paragraphs 221, 490).

E. Defendant UNITED STATES continued its pattern of pretexting Lead Plaintiff in police powers investigations, and in intelligence and national security matters for the purpose of perpetuating and covering up his involuntary enrollment as a human subject of BRMT in the early 1970s while he is a high school teenager (at age 16). He and his cousin, Steve Smith, encountered an apparent hitchhiker, actually a federal agent who left a classified briefcase satellite phone in the bed of Lead Plaintiff's pickup truck in Summer 1972. The briefcase was spotted by Lead Plaintiff in the pickup bed a few miles after the hitchhiker was dropped off, and safely returned to that agent at the Greenwater Tavern in Greenwater, Washington. With his fingerprints on the handle and locks of the briefcase, Lead Plaintiff had again been deliberately pretexted into a national security matter, as satellite phones would have been classified equipment, as satellite and cellular telephones unknown to the general public in 1972.

F. As a teenager, Lead Plaintiff worked for Larry's Market, an independent food market in Federal Way, Washington, which was co-owned by Larry Brewer, a cousin of Lead Plaintiff's father, and unbeknownst to the family, by FBI acting through an undercover agent who posed as a business partner and produce manager for a time. WEISSMAN (FBI agent, later as General Counsel under Director Robert Mueller) was then illegally embedded at Associated Grocers, a wholesale grocery cooperative which supplied Larry's Market, among others. Lead Plaintiff worked for Larry Brewer for three years through high school and his first year of college at Green River Community College in 1973-74.

G. Transferring to Washington State University in Fall 1974, Lead Plaintiff entered Perham Hall, a WSU student dormitory. Close college era friends included numerous persons who were in fact government employees of defendants FBI, DOJ, CIA, and the military, (including, without limitation, defendants PAGE, William SACKVILLE-WEST, other SACKVILLE-WEST family members in Spokane, WA, GARLAND, CUNHA, BREYER in Spokane, WA; as well as Linda Pogreba, Karen Raines, Katherine Andrews, Susan Irish) some of whom unwittingly assisted in managing and sustaining the involuntary servitude of the Lead Plaintiff and others to support the secret, illegal development of the internationally prohibited BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system by defendant CIA (Science and Technology Directorate) and defendant ARMY (Bioweapons Lab). This program was and is sustained by racketeering operations and rights violations perpetrated and perpetuated by defendant DOJ, primarily through defendants FBI and USMS.

H. After graduate school, Lead Plaintiff was trafficked to Deloitte Seattle, where FBI and CIA were involved in his initial professional assignment to an audit of Safeco Mutual Funds in Seattle. He met his first wife Lynne in 1980 at Deloitte Seattle. Lynne was the former wife of a

then King County Sheriff's Department serial killer task force commander and later Maple Valley, WA precinct commander, with shared two early teen daughters. Lynne was later removed from Lead Plaintiff in late 1987-1988 by a divorce which resulted from sustained BRMT induced overdoses of oxytocin in the presence of serial adulterer, Robert SWAIN.

I. While at Deloitte Seattle (a cover operation described elsewhere herein), Lead Plaintiff spotted his first national security spy, Christopher Boyce, a convicted submarine espionage escapee from Lompoc, CA captured near Port Angeles, WA in or around late August 1981 in an orchestrated event, as Lead Plaintiff walked one morning from an ATM machine near his Seattle-First Bank building office at Deloitte Seattle, back toward his car to pay for parking that morning. An unmarked prisoner van and two unmarked Ford Crown Victoria federal police cruisers swept into the secured loading dock of the U.S. Federal Courthouse on Fifth Avenue in Seattle about 60 feet ahead of the Lead Plaintiff, carrying the convicted spy while Lead Plaintiff walk back up Spring Street to pay for parking at a lot on the east side of the I-5 freeway.

J. Defendant WEISSMAN (FBI) first appeared to Lead Plaintiff in 1981 or 1982 as the General Manager of Puget Consumers Cooperative, which Lead Plaintiff joined at the suggestion of an embedded department secretary at his first employer, Deloitte Seattle, and became a Board of Trustees member, then Chair.

K. After defendant BURNS (then program manager, now current CIA director) destroyed his first marriage to Lynne using an illegal BRMT oxytocin sequence against her in 1987-1998, BURNS orchestrated his next marriage through WATERS (paragraph 609 HEXP-6), to his second wife, Jeanette, which resulted from an coerced orchestrated introduction related to the deferred prosecution of this defendant ARMY enlisted member, and timely illegal BRMT oxytocin boosts after they met. The introduction itself was a field operation in 1988 undertaken

by defendant UNITED STATES. Stephen M. WATERS, an unknown federal agent, posing as a software engineering contractor at LazerSoft, orchestrated the introduction with other field agents of defendant UNITED STATES during the latter stages of Lead Plaintiff's divorce from first wife , Lynne. During this period, Lead Plaintiff also sought out an offline dating service and was orchestrated into a fruitless cover operation by defendant FBI instead. Defendant FBI then presented again overtly as friends, Kerry (FBI, bank robbery squad) and Laurie Vanderberry (embedded at Jeanette's employer) introduced through his second spouse, Jeanette.

L. Lead Plaintiff's second extended family included numerous persons portraying themselves as friends or relatives who were in fact government employees of defendants FBI, DOJ, CIA, ARMY, and other military services. These include specific individual defendants who concealed their actual identities and official positions, which actual identities were unknown to the Lead Plaintiff until September 2023 or later. In several cases, these individuals have built new legends which morph then from their undercover identities, changed their ages to significantly younger ages to cover their chronological age at that time, modified certain aspects of their biographies, and in some cases modified their appearances in relatively minor ways, in order to conceal their prior roles in this illegal program. These include, without limitation, BREYER, a former Associate Justice of the Supreme Court; Alexander and Yvgeny VINDMAN, two former members of the National Security Council; STONE, a consultant to Republican candidates and presidents; and MELBER, WEISSMAN, ROSENBERG, RUBIN, media personalities, who were defendant DOJ and/or FBI employees at the time of their fraudulent interactions with Lead Plaintiff. These known individual defendants are individually identified at LPEE pages 12251-12261. Others will be identified through the discovery process.

M. This color of law pattern of deliberate national security event pretexting and targeting has continued through forensic review which began in mid-2021 and through preparation of this complaint. The complaint has been drafted in late 2023 and 2024 in the most recently human trafficked location – Edgewater, NJ, the epicenter of the Senator Menezes domestic and national security corruption investigation by defendant DOJ's FBI and SDNY US Attorney's office, where Lead Plaintiff was human trafficked in November 2018, a few months after that investigation was started by defendant FBI.

N. This narrative comprises and has consumed Lead Plaintiff's entire adult life as related at paragraphs 350 through 584, and all subcounts herein at paragraphs 600-710. This narrative is representative of the scope of acts, violations, and injuries at the hands of the defendants to this entire class of plaintiffs. Defendant UNITED STATES has and does reprise the same illegal patterns of practice and conspiracy, together with its institutional and individual co-defendants, in illegal color of law abuses of state secrets privilege which it used to perpetrate, fraudulently conceal, and neglect to prevent in its acts, violations, and injuries under defendant CIA's MKUltra illegal LSD drugging program and defendant FBI's Cointelpro, its war on US persons "unalienable" constitutional rights under the *First, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Eighth, Ninth, Thirteenth* and *Fourteenth* Amendments to our Constitution.

O. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content in



searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	2, 3
Complaint paragraphs:	221, 414, 415, 417-418, 490, 350 through 584, 600-710, 609 HEXP-6
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	LPEEV65-6, 7
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Emails and documents are controlled by defendant UNITED STATES - as delivered to ROSENBERG (FBI) in 2007, and in USPS handled mail surveillance in 2008, 2010, possible recovery at Ramsey, NJ in 2018

P. Defendant DOJ's USMS managed Deloitte Seattle in 1979-1986, managed CNA Industrial Engineering in 1996-2002, and Establish in 2007-2008 as illegal cover companies used by DOJ and other federal departments and agencies for their illegal surveillance and spying operations. Harold Hopper and Michael Henderson at Deloitte Seattle, Joseph Holden at CNA Industrial Engineering who declined to spin-out to Allegent, as he explained he was close to Cook (FAUCI), and unknown member of the Establish employee team were the through line USMS undercover personnel in these roles. Hopper supervised the Deloitte Seattle office until he retired and was replaced by Hendersen. Holden worked on various CNA projects including, without limitation, with David Brown on Port of Seattle International Airport and Anchorage International Airport baggage systems for Alaska Airlines and others, worked on the Larry Harding Rapistan-initiated Nikken project which Lead Plaintiff redesigned for the Irvine, CA distribution center which included the mandated and unneeded package sorter required by the illegally embedded CFO which facilitated illegal spying on the multi-level marketing company's independent sales team, and on HomeGrocer warehouse design projects. Defendant

ESTABLISH hosted defendant ROSENBERG as its ostensible General Manager, replaced in rotation by ROSS during Lead Plaintiff's ten month employment tenure during that human trafficking sequence.

Q. This associated-in-fact enterprise pattern and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; human medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075. Directly cited relevant pre-discovery evidence and information which relates this subcount to other relevant subcounts includes, without limitation:

Interline Exhibits:	2, 3
Complaint paragraphs:	221, 350 through 584, 414, 415, 417-418, 445-449, 454, 465, 471, 490, 494-501, 555-562, 600-710; 604D, 606N, 609 HEXP-1, 3, 6; 626, 635, 636, 637 RGTS-6, 15-17; 644, 645, 646, 647, 649, 650, 651, 653, 669, 670, 683 693, RICO-6-9, 11-13, 15, 31-32, 45, 55
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-006 through 1-017, 1-020 through 1-026, 1-031, 1-032
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	Entirety

LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	140 et al, 416-426, 428, 569-571, 575-581, 598-606, 766-769, 778-780, 8294-8346, 10376-10393, LPEEV65-6,7,17
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Emails and documents are controlled by defendant UNITED STATES - as delivered to ROSENBERG (FBI) in 2007, and in USPS handled mail surveillance in 2008, 2010, possible recovery at Ramsey, NJ in 2018

**601. NSEC-2 National Security Frauds: Human Trafficking, Forced Labor, Peonage, Inculcating Allied Intelligence Services - CSIS, RCMP, MI-6, MI-5, London Metropolitan Police, UK 1990-1994**

A. As forensically reverse engineered, during 1990 through 1994, defendant UNITED STATES, its agents, officers, and confidential informants, co-opted and/or conspired with Canadian and British intelligence and police powers organizations to develop further national security entanglements of Lead Plaintiff beyond the already existing initial entanglements related to Lead Plaintiff and his marital family's travels to Vancouver, British Columbia and Queen Elizabeth II's visit to Seattle, WA in March 1983. This corrupt collaboration facilitated the abuse of international spying operations across borders against citizens of various allied countries by allied foreign intelligence services. Alliance was destroyed by FBI and caused the personal bankruptcy of Lead Plaintiff and his spouse, Jeanette, in 1993 (paragraphs 445-449).

B. As forensically reverse engineered, as part of defendant UNITED STATES' intentional financial wrecking of Lead Plaintiff's company Alliance, which incorporated (i) fraudulent co-ownership and control through a nominee (David J. Carey as nominee, FBI, paragraphs 445-449, 649 RICO-11), (ii) fraudulent legal representation (HIBBS and Susan THORBROGGER, DOJ/FBI, both embedded at Short Cressman Burgess law firm, paragraphs 446; 626 RGTS-6, 649, 651, 653, 683 RICO-11, 13, 15, 45), (iii) fraudulent deprivation of government benefits (SBA bonding, paragraph 446, 471; 649, 653 RICO-11, 15), (iv) theft and

compromise of receivables (Steve and Kerry Brewer, FBI, paragraphs 644, 650, 651 RICO-6, 12, 13), was then succeeded by (v) this Vancouver, B.C. fraudulent financing.

C. During this specific defendant FBI wrecking process – this time through Alliance (Steve’s Maintenance) the business secretly co-owned by defendant FBI through Carey, through Lead Plaintiff’s orchestrated personal bankruptcy, and through Lead Plaintiff’s subsequent fraudulent employment at P.A.N. Environmental Services (PAN), defendant UNITED STATES directly and through confidential informant or agent CORNWELL (CIA commercial cover agent, who had operated in north Africa while posing as an irrigation equipment dealer) and his supposed spouse (a female FBI agent), abused the Lead Plaintiff affecting interstate commerce in his search for equity financings for Alliance, where he was CEO and principal owner. CORNWELL and FBI used an extended series of fraudulent equity financing search trips made to Vancouver, British Columbia, while seeking financing intended by Lead Plaintiff to offset the prior frauds, thefts, and denial of SBA bonding and loan guarantees by defendant UNITED STATES against Lead Plaintiff’s environmental services company, Alliance in 1990-1993, tot sustain involuntary servitude, forced labor, peonage, and perpetuate the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery program illegal human experiments and associated-in-fact enterprise pattern of racketeering acts, rights violations, and conspiracy.

D. CORNWELL had previously operated with his twin brother in CIA commercial cover operations by selling center pivot irrigation systems to farmers throughout the United States to cover this form of covert intelligence operations in northern Africa, primarily Libya, in the 1970s and 1980s, from a dealership in Pasco, Washington.

E. The Canadian intelligence and police powers operations, most likely RCMP and CSIS, posed in various roles and as domestic and international mining executives and financiers

in various office locations throughout Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, include various associates of CORNWELL known as John Young, Ralph Shearing, and Rory Godhino, a barrister from the Vancouver, British Columbia region, all of whom figure in the fraudulent Alliance financing sequence.

F. After Alliance was destroyed by defendants in 1993 and unable to find other employment as result of defendant wire frauds and other interferences in employment, Lead Plaintiff joined PAN, where Cornwell was CEO and Lead Plaintiff became PAN COO. Cornwell arranged trips to Ontario, California where two of the three alleged PAN subsidiaries were located, and to London, UK for equity financing. In London, Cornwell with and Lead Plaintiff met and worked with MI-6 and other British intelligence officers and police powers deep cover personnel and cover operations (likely London Metropolitan Police and MI-5), primarily operated through an individual known to Lead Plaintiff as Michael Kurtanek, likely an MI-6 agent, posing as a Managing Director - Mining for Credit Lyonnaise Laing, an international investment bank headquartered in France, who operating from its stock trading operations in London. Lead Plaintiff traveled to London on three occasions, including one three week long business trip. On one of these trips between Summer 1993 and the end of 1994, Lead Plaintiff is greeted by a trotting Metropolitan Police counter-terror squad in a lengthy construction tunnel at Heathrow Airport. He was the only other person in the 500 plus foot long tunnel in mid-afternoon at a busy Heathrow Airport international terminal. This is the second of three similar instances related to national security spying and terror-related alerts and episodes, including one in Seattle in August 1981 and another in New York City around November 2007.

G. This series of defendant FBI and CIA international RICO frauds included persons posing and/or acting as financial brokers, barristers, company executives, and in other

professional roles to facilitate these frauds and swindles and permit foreign intelligence operations to “legally” engage in color of law spying upon the Lead Plaintiff in British Columbia, Canada, and London, England as well as within the United States using intelligence acquisition methods and assets which defendant UNITED STATES cannot legally deploy against its own citizens. Agents operating in international cover roles as financiers engaged in fraudulent financings on behalf of the Lead Plaintiff’s own company, Alliance, and on behalf of PAN, all of which were fruitless and intended to perpetuate defendant UNITED STATES’ involuntary servitude of the Lead Plaintiff both in the United States and in their own countries.

H. RCMP and/or other Canadian officers, agents, and cooperators, together with defendant UNITED STATES undercover agents and officers, have also been engaged by FBI and CIA to provide discrete security and surveillance of Lead Plaintiff and his families for various trips over many years to Vancouver and Whistler, British Columbia. Lead Plaintiff’s solo trip to the Rocky Mountain area of western Alberta in 2005 in late Summer 2005 included an overnight disappearance and search of the Lead Plaintiff’s briefcase travel bag including his personal computer and all related tracking data and documents to that point, somewhere between Lake Louise, Calgary, Canada, and its recovery at the front desk of his condo hotel in Canmore, Calgary, Canada, the following day.

I. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants’ long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES’ involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; human medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and

racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	445-449; 626 RGTS-6; 644, 649, 650, 651, 653, 683 RICO-6, 12, 11, 13, 15, 45; 446, 471
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-017
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0003 through 2-0012, 2-0024, 2-0059, 2-0060, 2-0095, 2-0097, 2-0109, 2-0153, 2-0155, 2-0202
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	140 et al
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Not applicable

**602. NSEC-3 National Security Frauds: Involuntary Servitude, Forced Labor, Deliberate Entanglements To Violate Rights - Nuclear and Space Deliberate Entanglements, 9/11 Attack, Domestic Sabotage Campaign 1996-2009**

A. As forensically reverse engineered, the Lead Plaintiff was human trafficked from PAN onto Pacific Pipeline’s Board of Directors, Kent, WA, around Summer 1994, then to the COO position there in late Summer 1995 (paragraph 454, 465), by FBI’s Charles ROSENBERG (Chuck LeFevre as then known while CEO at NutraSource). This cover company was a defendant FBI embedded domestic book wholesaler spying operation. Over Lead Plaintiff’s private objections to the “founder” Vito Perillo, PERILLO elected to proceed with what became

a disastrous ERP software system implementation in Fall 1995 to early Spring 1996, which extensively damaged finances and sales reputations both at the company and at a large number of independent retail booksellers in the Pacific Northwest who were out of stock from their key distributor due to the ERP problems with order fulfillment for what turned to be yet another Christmas season of many. These problems were blamed on computer problems (but were actually deliberate and intentional targeted sabotage aimed at these small independent businesses, which were often founded on overstretched credit card limits, personal loans, and second mortgages with personal guarantees. Defendant FBI could then pick and choose who got damaged by using the computer system to arbitrarily short-fill orders for certain retailers, could establish and then cut generous credit limits to damage sales, give slow credits for returned books and thereby reduce access to credit lines and store inventory stocking levels, demand customer financial information including personal financial information on owners to spy on them and to identify personal vulnerabilities, and engage in other *Fourth* Amendment violations against both small businesses and their owners by posing as their preferred supplier offering generous terms and free shipping on volume orders which were used to capture and retain customers, including those disfavored individuals targeted for financial wrecking, which Lead Plaintiff himself has now experienced multiple times from the 1980s into the 2020s.

B. Once the aforementioned ERP problems were resolved after eight to nine months of exhaustive efforts by the operations team and Lead Plaintiff to sustain company order fulfillment operations and in the late stages of his buyout attempt which would fail, PERILLO arbitrarily terminated Lead Plaintiff from his unwitting involuntary servitude at Pacific Pipeline by its “founder” Vito PERILLO (USMS) in Spring 1996. PERILLO wrecked Pacific Pipeline a few months after the Lead Plaintiff left in 1996, during a Barnes and Noble annual review in New



York City when he fired them as Pacific Pipeline's largest customer, about 55% of revenue which eventually led to the company's bankruptcy and demise when expenses were not controlled to match dramatically declining revenue, a pattern now recognized as a defendant DOJ trademark signature pattern. These cover company wrecking processes cover up evidence of years-long targeted defendant DOJ police powers agency spying and illegal spying and inquiries into company and personal finances and assets which violate the *Fourth* Amendment.

C. The company was also used by defendant FBI to orchestrate targeted self-publishers who wrote on disfavored topical areas or who wrote about unfavored perspectives into financial ruin by offering to distribute their books on consignment. Imagined and fictional inflated sales opportunities were used to encourage authors to self-publish. Large print runs were encouraged to get full case shipments into discount stores, chains, and buying clubs. Print run expenses on these consigned books were borne by the author and could consume substantial personal financial resources, requiring thousands or tens of thousands of dollars of personal loans or home mortgages based upon falsely and grossly inflated expectations of sales envisioned by the cynical undercover buyer embedded at Pacific Pipeline and the author who thought they had just written one of the greatest books ever. Consigned books that were stocked by Pacific Pipeline for sale at retail bookstores and at large retailers like COSTCO and Barnes and Noble would not be reimbursed for their sales for six months or more after those press run expenses were incurred because books which do not sell through to retail customers are returned to the distributor, Pacific Pipeline, and then to the publisher, spelling financial ruin for some when those over-inflated sales expectations did not materialize. Staff reported these types of incidents to the Lead Plaintiff while he worked there.

D. When defendant DOJ destroyed Pacific Pipeline, it was done in bankruptcy court. Consigned inventories do not belong to the consignor (original self-published author who paid the printing expenses and other costs). The consigned inventories belong to the bankruptcy estate and are liquidated to benefit secured creditors, then unsecured creditors, so the self-published author gets to see that inventory be sold for little or nothing to a liquidator and the funds used to satisfy secured creditors and others, typically receiving nothing in the bankruptcy liquidation. With careful planning, undercover embedded police powers personnel can maximize the financial losses to a self-publisher by pressing them for additional inventory in the months leading to the pre-planned bankruptcy date when the self-publisher will lose 100% of the funds they have advanced for print runs and other expenses.

E. Lead Plaintiff's arbitrary termination from Pacific Pipeline in Spring 1996 was followed by about six months of programmed unemployment facilitated by wire fraud hacking of employment sites and telephone intercepts and fraudulent employment interviews with FBI and/or USMS personnel posing in prospective employer roles. Lead Plaintiff had a base salary of about \$125,000 in 1995-96 at Pacific Pipeline before this defendant UNITED STATES programmed six month period of unemployment.

F. He was next human trafficked to C.N.A. Industrial Engineering, (CNA) Bellevue, WA, by CNA employee Greg Lins in late Summer 1996, and a couple of months after a September 1996 consulting project contract sales proposal to Henry Schein, a medical supplies wholesaler in Port Washington, NY, did not succeed, Lead Plaintiff was offered an \$80,000 salary by Charles Hadjinian (a former CIA covert asset from Nicaragua's Samozza regime who was spirited out of Nicaragua and into this DOJ/USMS cover company sometime before the Samozza regime collapse) which he negotiated up to \$88,000. He had no bargaining power as all

other employment options were surreptitiously foreclosed by defendant FBI mail and wire fraud to sustain his involuntary servitude in defendants' illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system program, constitutional and civil rights violations, and associated-in-fact enterprise pattern of racketeering acts. He joined CNA full time in November 1996 in Kirkland, WA, and met FAUCI within the first four weeks of his employment. About the same time, he began experiencing severe headaches which were traced to presbyopia (vision issues associated with middle age) and required bifocal eyeglasses. The prescription progression was normal for a time at each annual renewal but has gradually reversed since his first renewal in New Jersey in 2008. This medically implausible sequence of lesser strength eyeglass prescription requirement at each renewal since that time is the reverse of the normal aging process. This is but one element of a variety of bizarre health issues and outcomes health issues perpetrated by defendant UNITED STATES using its illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system (paragraph 617 HEXP-14).

D. Soon after the Lead Plaintiff's full time employment in November 1996, CNA began work on a material handling systems engineering design and implementation supervision contract for the Boeing/USAF Delta IV rocket assembly plant in Decatur, AL, which was secured by H. Paul LOWBER (FBI undercover), who left soon after the contract was secured. Lead Plaintiff was once again deliberately inculpated in another national security project. USAF "could not locate" this multi-billion dollar heavy lift rocket project despite extensive dialog with the USAF FOIA representative during Lead Plaintiff's FOIA request in 2021, another clear lie in this long-running government cover-up. This CNA material handling design and implementation engineering subcontract was with The Austin Company, the general contractor which constructed the Boeing/US Air Force Delta IV rocket assembly plant in Decatur, AL. Lead

Plaintiff's further unwitting pretexting and entanglements in national security matters and his unwitting involuntary servitude was thus continued without any notice.

## DELTA IV ROCKET FACTORY THE BOEING COMPANY



E. As this project progressed, CNA sent Lead Plaintiff to Torrance, California to work on a programmed project failure, ostensibly for Davidson Entertainment (later CUC after a buyout of Davidson), a gaming and educational software company, which involved an Accu-Sort laser barcode sorter from this USPS mail sortation contractor. The sorter was ostensibly set up to fill custom orders for software retailers in a warehouse in Torrance, ran successfully on occasion, but sabotaged over its telephonic support line as FBI personnel tied up the Lead Plaintiff in this Los Angeles area project, and a co-employee at CNA worked with a team of contractors to construct a failed software system implementation project alongside the sorter sabotage project for about 18 months. Incomplete and failed software projects became a common theme which emerged over many years through 2022. Defendant FBI forced expenditures of about \$1.2 million on this programmed project failure, as ordered by their Davidson embedded John Goodman who ran operations, to keep the Lead Plaintiff occupied for about 18 months. Soon after this project failure, Chuck Hadjinian was “terminated” from CNA (just as STONE had

been “terminated with sturm und drang from Lazersoft in early 1987, paragraphs 437-440), and Lead Plaintiff was promoted to Managing Director for CNA, consistent with the still not understood FBI pattern of practice in the unwitting Lead Plaintiff’s involuntary servitude.

F. CNA also engaged in other sensitive technologies projects during Lead Plaintiff’s tenure, including software selection for non-destructive eddy current technology testing equipment and services company (Zetec, Issaquah, WA) which supports the nuclear industry, aerospace, rail and other key industries in the United States and elsewhere. Software selection and engineering services require extensive detailed knowledge of processes and procedures, so Lead Plaintiff and his team developed an extensive body of knowledge of the technology, company services, and customer base to assist this client to make the optimal software system selection.



## Sustaining the energy for the power generation industry

We have extensive experience in conducting feasibility studies and developing inspection solutions in the power generation industry. From developing and maintaining standardized processes to developing customized solutions for client specific needs, we are a leader in enabling utilities to reduce their inspection costs, improve their safety and reduce their downtime. Our clients can count on our [eddy current and ultrasound technologies](#) to be safe, sophisticated and successful in the procedure of flaw detection of their critical systems.

### Nuclear

With our world- class manufacturing and breadth of offerings in the [eddy current instruments](#) and [ultrasound technologies](#), we can exceed the demands of the power generation industry. Our extensive experience in the [nuclear segment](#), and our ability to leverage learnings from other segments, helps us deliver products that are well-engineered and field-tested. [Discover our nuclear solutions.](#)



G. Lead Plaintiff was also engaged by Media Arts Group in San Jose, California to design its new art production and distribution facility to be built in Morgan Hills, CA. This study and design process began under the project management of a defendant CIA commercial cover covert agent temporarily redeployed from Thailand to San Jose, CA, who was able to use Media Arts Group as his interim commercial cover while in the United States. This defendant CIA asset then reportedly redeployed to the Asian outsource manufacturing technology sector about six months later. CNA continued with the project through design, implementation, and completion. A similar study, design, and implementation process for Nikken, a Japanese consumer products multi-level marketing firm, was undertaken in Orange County, CA, allowing defendant FBI to spy on yet another “Japanese Miracle” company and its myriad levels of individual Americans

who purchased these products as small business independent distributors by installing a unneeded shipping sorter from Rapistan, the American subsidiary of Dematic. The sorter allowed the capture of destination name and address shipping information at the Nikken distribution center, and was ordered by the illegally embedded CFO. The former illegally embedded distribution center manager was recycled out of deep cover there, reportedly “dying” soon after the sorter was up and running in the distribution center, probably resurrected into her next assignment in a new identity.

H. These projects continued CNA’s long history of unprofitable industrial design and engineering projects, which had already included various US NAVY nuclear submarine base construction and maintenance facility projects in the Pacific Northwest; domestic international airport projects at Sea-Tac and Anchorage on baggage systems; the Boeing 747 assembly plant; and the “Japanese Miracle” Sega, Sony, Nintendo, and Panasonic manufacturing and distribution plants in the United States. These prior projects, and the projects Lead Plaintiff was involved in were in fact, undertaken as commercial cover domestic intelligence and counterintelligence operations of the United States, some legal, some simply broad gauge illegal spying on, and wrecking where deemed appropriate by defendant DOJ and its police power agencies. The Lead Plaintiff was thereby continually being entangled unknowingly in various commercial cover domestic and international intelligence operations as he has been since arriving at his supposedly private employer, Larry’s Market, in 1972. (As a historical note about patterns of culpability and patterns of illegal practice, defendant DOJ’s Attorney General Robert Kennedy had approved some of the illegal surveillance of civil rights leader Dr. M. L. King, Jr. by defendant FBI, and it was “no holds barred,” according to senior FBI officials who testified to the Senate for the 1975 Cointelpro report at pages 6885-7288).



I. In May 2001, the Lead Plaintiff traveled to Washington, DC for the annual May AeA National Board Meeting and Capitol Hill visit. The Lead Plaintiff's taxi from Dulles Airport was halted on Constitution Avenue west of 15th Street, soon after passing the White House, for the Vice President's motorcade enroute west from Capitol Hill toward the White House or Naval Observatory in late afternoon as Lead Plaintiff traveled to his hotel a few blocks south of the Capitol in Washington, DC. During this visit, Lead Plaintiff was part of a group which toured the West Wing of the White House one evening and has his picture taken at the Press Room podium.


J. On this 2001 visit, the Lead Plaintiff spent an surprisingly long 90 minutes with Representative Jennifer Dunn (the Washington Eighth District Republican Congressperson who represented Lead Plaintiff's congressional district and was close to the President) in a House office building television studio - which was set up to look like a typical Congressional outer office but had television studio lighting and an interview style conference set-up in what is typically expected to be the representative's inner office. The normal ten-to fifteen minute sessions with Representatives and Senators for ordinary constituents can take months of waiting to hit a scheduled date and then are subject to cancellation, when committee and floor votes occur, whereupon a staff member typically takes the Representative's place, or the meeting must be rescheduled. As an aide sat nearby, Representative Dunn and the Lead Plaintiff discussed higher education policy and the Lead Plaintiff's policy related report and work with the Washington State Legislature, Governor, universities, colleges, and AeA's private sector members, including Intel, Microsoft, Motorola, Hewlett-Packard, and others during that 90 minute session, used by defendant FAUCI and team in establishing a psychological baseline for their next destructive sequence, through the illegal Allegent, LLC bad check and sales frauds



financial wrecking, separation and divorce of the fraudulently orchestrated marriage to Jeanette (*Third* Amendment violation), coercive psychological and illegal BRMT biochemical torture to suicide ideation, and eventual human trafficking sequence to Boston, MA in 2005, paragraphs 19(iv), 225, 457-462, 499-500.

K. In Summer 2001, CNA was requested by Berger ABAM Engineering, Inc., a Naval Facilities Command regional office (NAVFAC, NAVY) contractor to perform an indefinite quantity engineering study at Puget Sound Naval Shipyard (PSNS), Bremerton, WA, to repurpose and redeploy engineering and maintenance spaces in several dockside buildings at this nuclear submarine and aircraft carrier heavy maintenance and decommissioning facility. This project was placed on hold immediately after the 9/11/2001 attack on the World Trade Center, Pentagon, and in Shanksville, PA.

L. When the project resumed in Spring 2002, the Lead Plaintiff and one other CNA employee (Darrell PRAY, then not known to Lead Plaintiff as a federal undercover agent) were escorted through the approximately six to seven buildings to be studied in the 1.48 mile long shipyard docks area. On a typical weekday, the shipyard employs about 15,000 people. On this regular workday, not a federal holiday, there were about two dozen total employees in the entire dockside industrial shops complex. This is one example of a now well understood pattern of vacated facilities used by defendants FBI and CIA in this decades long sequence of national security deliberate entanglements across decades, intended to preserve defendants' deniability and their version of events due as the only plausible narrative (whether accurate or not), due to a complete lack of public witnesses to these entrapment attempts.



M. During this detailed hours-long tour, Lead Plaintiff was left standing beside a highly classified nuclear submarine pump (highly classified because it is an exotically engineered pump essential to the silent running of submarines) sitting on a wooden pallet covered by a green tarp. The pump was completely out of place, sitting on the floor in an engineering building which had absolutely no tooling or machine tools which would be used to repair or maintain such a pump (this building housed a giant lathe used to balance massive surface ship drivelines which transfer power to propellers from the ship's engines. The PSNS tour leader was most likely a key illegal BRMT program operative known to the Lead Plaintiff long before these events (plausibly fka as Mike CUNHA, the AFROTC medical psychiatrist candidate and WSU Resident Assistant at Perham Hall in 1974) and long after these events (plausibly known as David Keller, LIBERTY WEST, as Arizona EB-5 financier, still later as Mark GROSS at DOMINICK, then again still later at Westmark Capital, both New York City boutique international investment banks).

N. Lead Plaintiff and CNA co-employee PRAY were left standing unescorted in this maintenance and engineering building for about fifteen minutes as the entire escort team of about five to six people simply walked away. Upon the return of the escort team, the tour resumed without comment or explanation. This was a deliberate major breach of security protocol in a secure U.S. NAVY facility handling nuclear materials and classified technologies, and with sand-bagged gun emplacements protecting its submarine pens. The Marine Corps detachment which guards this facility was on heightened alert status after the 9/11/2001 attack and did and does have shoot-to-kill authorization to protect sensitive and classified technology, nuclear fuels, and other sensitive equipment and materials, as needed.

O. This PSNS tour was undertaken a few months after Lead Plaintiff had visited New York City at the same time (though in different locations) as President Bush. During that November 2001 visit, Lead Plaintiff visited the 9/11 World Trade Center family viewing platform one afternoon as recovery work was underway and as the workforce stopped periodically to honor the dead as they were removed.

P. Lead Plaintiff also had extensive interaction with an internationally deployed US commercial cover CIA intelligence asset while that individual was working in a domestic cover assignment at Media Arts Group, San Jose, California before redeploying back to Asia. Lead Plaintiff led a CNA engineering project for Media Arts Group to relocate their production and distribution operations from San Jose, CA to Morgan Hill, CA. This was similar to Lead Plaintiff's experiences with other defendant CIA commercial cover assets dating back to the 1980s, including, without limitation, STONE, Bannon, THORPE, Zoulas, Treadway, the UK's Astengo embedded at Westin Seattle in 1983, and others.

Q. CNA Industrial Engineering, Inc., operations were reportedly terminated by its "founder" Larry R. Cook (FAUCI) around 2003, after the Lead Plaintiff's departure, just as Pacific Pipeline had been in the years before. This enterprise destruction pattern is a now familiar theme to the Lead Plaintiff as a result of the forensic reverse engineering undertaken since mid-2021 and the individual identifications which began to clearly link the corrupt and illegal practices together most clearly beginning in September 2023. These particular patterns of corrupt practice abuse the federal bankruptcy courts to destroy business records periodically as the cover enterprise is financially wrecked. Alternatively, defendant DOJ and federal departments and agencies use the passage of time, asset sales, and common records destruction practices to eliminate historical financial and other records, which would otherwise be used to inculcate defendants DOJ, FBI, CIA, USMS, and other participating departments and agencies, in these illegal general searches, in these criminal acts, and in these associated-in-fact enterprise patterns of racketeering acts and conspiracies against targeted persons and their constitutional rights including, without limitation, religion, speech, property, and other rights. The overarching intent of all these techniques is to evade future discovery, such as through this type of civil litigation and through criminal appeals, of illegal search methods commonly used in general searches by defendants' cover companies, by embedding agents in positions in private enterprises, and by co-opting management of private enterprises and cooperatives such as PCC, CENEX, Associated Grocers, and other cooperatives, which illegal methods have long been common methods of illegal domestic spying. Evidence tampering, blocking, and outright technical deletion are also commonplace techniques illegally used by these agencies to fraudulently conceal inculpatory evidence - paragraphs 635, 636, 637 RGTS-15-17; 645, 646,

647, 669, 670, 693 RICO-7-9, 31-32, 55; and LPEE 11708-11925, comprise a non-exhaustive set of examples.

R. An affiliated company, CNA Architecture, was spun out of CNA as Collins Woerman through much manufactured drama (sturm und drang) during the Lead Plaintiff's tenure and continued to operate long after the demise of CNA Industrial Engineering. That firm engaged primarily in the architectural design of health care facilities in and around Seattle, Washington. Its current status is unknown.

S. Defendant Anthony FAUCI operated as the program executive for the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system as it evolved from its crude hormone hijacking form toward a more science and technology based illegal bioweapon which used a locally deployed device by exploiting medical, neuroscience, psychological, and technological advances, to modernize the illegal bioweapon used on unwitting human subjects and in secret offensive operations against other nations' leadership targets, and in its evolution from a local device toward remote platform deployment with precision ground correction, in the middle 1990s into the 2000s.

T. Defendant FAUCI was specifically identified by Lead Plaintiff in February 2024 as Larry R. Cook (Cook), the alleged founder of CNA Industrial Engineering and CNA Architecture in Bellevue, WA. He also allegedly controlled CNA Manufacturing, another local cover company used in illegal spying in aerospace manufacturing (primarily at Gulfstream, the private jet manufacturer) which was located some in Woodinville, WA, and explained as his primary work location during his prolonged absences from the Bellevue, WA CNA location. David Brown, and later Joseph Holden actually supervised day-to-day CNA Industrial

Engineering onsite operations during much of the Lead Plaintiff's tenure, both as supposed subordinates reporting to Lead Plaintiff.

U. Defendant FAUCI, as Larry Cook, appeared in person to the unwitting Lead Plaintiff between 1996-2002 for a few hours at a time every few weeks to few months, and through periodic phone calls and emails. He primarily played a "man behind the curtain" role and operated in meetings and events which ranged from direct one-on-one conversations to various confrontations about business matters, and at often lavish holiday events, funded by both private sector revenue from undercutting legitimate competitor pricing on projects and the subsideis disguised as loans from shadow banking system cover bank Banner Bank Bothell, where the unwitting Lead Plaintiff's unwitting uncle Bruce was employed during most of this same time period. Defendant FAUCI's direct and specific interactions with the Lead Plaintiff included, without limitation:

**In Personal Matters Related To Lead Plaintiff's Involuntary Servitude**

- (i) FAUCI staged various elaborately staged company Christmas parties most early years,
- (ii) FAUCI staged company Christmas lunches in later years,
- (iii) FAUCI staged a Christmas party conversation with Lead Plaintiff's BURNS fraudulently orchestrated (and disguised enlisted military under deferred prosecution) spouse Jeanette about a toy soldier collection in a staged Woodinville, WA mansion one Christmas which few recognizable people attended, none from CNA Industrial Engineering recollected,
- (iv) FAUCI staged tear-jerker emotional talks about his wife Jody and her health while affecting deep emotion, during which Lead Plaintiff was also BRMT hijacked to tears,
- (v) FAUCI staged drove a newly leased Jaguar at all times during his appearances,

(vi) FAUCI was allegedly a Mormon elder in the Bellevue/Woodinville, WA area stake who occasionally discussed his supposed work as a church elder (which recollects BREYER as fraudulent church elder Snow when Lead Plaintiff's sister Sandra was murdered, paragraph 99d).

**In CNA Industrial Engineering Company Related To Lead Plaintiff's Involuntary Servitude**

(vii) FAUCI met Lead Plaintiff briefly for first time in November or December 1996 when Lead Plaintiff was first trafficked to join CNA by Hadjinian while CNA was at the staged Carillon Point office site in Kirkland, WA, before moving to its location in Bellevue, WA,

(viii) FAUCI continually drained about \$150,000 per year from the company without doing any work at the company,

(ix) FAUCI frequently told Lead Plaintiff not to be concerned about cash stripping and losses as he had a special relationship with Banner Bank in Bothell (forensically reverse engineered as a fake cover bank used to deploy appropriated funds to cover illegal company operations which incurred losses on operations while undercutting private sector pricing as CNA competed with actual commercial enterprises for certain business opportunities used for illegal DOJ/FBI/USMS spying and surveillance operations), and as the employment location of Lead Plaintiff's uncle Bruce, also employed in involuntary servitude,

(x) FAUCI allegedly worked primarily to rescue his CNA Manufacturing company in Woodinville, WA, which had an air-based tooling jig used in aerospace manufacturing at Gulfstream, the private jet manufacturer,

- (xi) FAUCI supposedly worked in the background on the national security project USAF/Boeing Delta IV rocket factory, as CNA had before Lead Plaintiff was employed on other military, aerospace, and “Japanese Miracle” companies as they entered the US including Sony, Sega, Nintendo, Pioneer,
- (xii) FAUCI facilitated company overstaffing by Hadjinian lead shortly before Lead Plaintiff was tasked with unlikely necessity of dozens of employee layoffs (actually rotations to new illegal assignments as embeds in commercial enterprises where they could illegally spy on and sabotage other people and enterprises),
- (xiii) FAUCI staged the fake failed rescue of a Titleist Golf distribution system software project where embed Tim Auld went to work at Fortune Brands to strip about \$100,000 from the company to make the financial recovery Lead Plaintiff was tasked with accomplishing more difficult,
- (xiv) FAUCI staged a faked control fight with Collins and Woerman during the supposed spinoff of CNA Architecture, another illegal DOJ spying operation,
- (xv) FAUCI staged a theatrical crying performance with a long employed industrial engineer then being laid off by Lead Plaintiff at CNA out of financial necessity, who later infiltrated the Microsoft X-box platform program,
- (xvi) FAUCI stripped money from the company by making a promise to indefinitely support that engineer during his extended job search,
- (xvii) FAUCI staged a completely clueless faked sales pitch to the supposed HomeGrocer (HG) Board of Directors including the former COO of FedEx (to Smith) as investor, gave feedback to Lead Plaintiff on discussions with HG CEO Terry Drayton at the Irvine, CA distribution center project site which was less than positive, as Lead



Plaintiff was trying to be realistic with HG CEO about local public permitting and inspection processes during HG's rapid geographic expansion,

(xviii) FAUCI expressed strong disappointment in the HomeGrocer arbitration outcome (a valid \$500,000 arbitration claim netted \$250,000 in previously withheld account receivables, which was also used to financially distress CNA and thereby the Lead Plaintiff who was forced to reduce his personal income to help save the company and was a still continuing involuntary servant in the on-going illegal employment operations of defendant DOJ and its police powers agencies),

(xix) FAUCI strongly suggested the company move to focus on security immediately after the 9/11 attack (obviously knowing the actual nature of the illegal cover company's operations which the unwitting Lead Plaintiff did not understand),

(xx) FAUCI and others at CNA worked with embedded undercover police powers personnel and police powers personnel in other locations, such as southern California (where the Rapistan sales team incorporated and was led by defendant FBI illegal embeds) both to stall projects and to present only projects of captive interest (such as the illegal FBI spying operation at Nikken in Irvine, CA with its Rapistan package tracking sorter used to illegally capture shipping information for tracking to independent individual multi-level marketing sales persons) as distribution systems engineering project opportunities,

(xxi) FAUCI stripped cash to force staff compensation cuts (which actually only impacted the unwitting Lead Plaintiff as a captive of this illegal cover company, all other personnel were actually federal undercover police powers personnel assigned from

defendants USMS, FBA, CIA, ARMY and other undercover government positions and stall either temporarily or long term),

(xxii) FAUCI allegedly killed embedded agent/engineer Art Thompson's alleged independent Utah Alcohol Beverage Control post design engineering proposal, supposedly made outside of CNA after this project was lost to another company due to CNA missing the bid deadline, after an extreme cash flow problem caused by asset stripping and project delays forced Lead Plaintiff to temporarily layoff core team member Art Thompson, who was the lead engineer and project manager on the USAF/Boeing Delta IV project,

(xxiii) FAUCI stripped \$100,000 of badly needed cash for company payrolls from CNA in a telephone conversation with Lead Plaintiff shortly before the national security PSNS facilities reengineering project was to be started in late Summer 2002, making it financially impossible for CNA to conduct the project and to meet the company's essential payroll and payroll tax obligations during the project.

**After CNA Employment In Legal Matters Related To Lead Plaintiff's Involuntary Servitude**

U. Due to this PSNS time period imposed financial duress, Lead Plaintiff resigned in a facsimile message to Cook (defendant FAUCI) sent to CNA Manufacturing on the Friday afternoon before Labor Day 2002, after determining that conduct of the PSNS subcontract engineering study was not financially possible due to FAUCI's (Cook) immediate prior cash stripping (this specific operation has been determined during forensic reengineering to be a 941 federal employment tax entrapment on a national security project – an FBI ROSENBERG

operational signature form of entrapment, repeated by defendant FBI in slightly different form in 2018-2023 in Edgewater, NJ, paragraph 648 RICO-10), whereupon

(xxiv) FAUCI (a) legally maneuvered to stall the King County Superior Court compensation theft case (paragraph 641 RICO-3) for many months by changing lawyers, adding about 8 months delay and additional financial distress, which (see the specific continuation of this a, b, c sequence below),

**In Post 9/11 High Intensity Illegal BRMT, Coercive Psychological Operations, Torture and Suicide Ideation Related To Lead Plaintiff's Involuntary Servitude**

(xxv) legal maneuvering which delayed the six-figure CNA compensation case and added further personal financial stress during (b) the simultaneous DOJ/FBI/USMS financial wrecking of Allegent, LLC, the interstate consulting company which Lead Plaintiff had formed with illegal DOJ embed PRAY following CNA, (wherein defendant DOJ's CALDWELL appeared in an effort to discourage intellectual property litigation against ShipNow, another illegal FBI cover company which had conducted the check frauds and intellectual property theft, actually just part of an overall set of racketeering frauds by defendant FBI against the targeted Lead Plaintiff), and (c) the simultaneous repeated and final marital separation from fraudulently orchestrated and embedded military spouse Jeanette which led to the divorce, and the forced sale of the 149th Street Kirkland home, which Lead Plaintiff had remodeled and expanded by 60% over the prior ten or twelve years (Interline Exhibit 14).

(xxvi) During a 14 hour arbitration, FAUCI had an apparently very friendly lunch with Allegent and Lead Plaintiff's personal counsel Michael Larson, an attorney who had

originally been referred by Conte (another FBI intelligence embedded agent long known to and trusted by unwitting Lead Plaintiff).

V. Defendant FAUCI, who Lead Plaintiff forensically identified February 2024 in the string of federal identifications which began in September 2023, thus played a key role in plain sight as the Lead Plaintiff was rolled out of CNA, into and through the financial wrecking of Allegent, LLC dba Performa, and was driven by defendant UNITED STATES through illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system biochemical mental torture and simultaneous coercive psychological torture operations to a forced suicide ideation, and out of fear through a defendant FBI/ROSENBERG human trafficking sequence to Boston, MA (assisted by SUMMERS) in 2005.

W. From Boston, Lead Plaintiff was then human trafficked to northern NJ/NYC in 2007 through a defendant FBI (ROSENBERG) orchestrated Mossad interview to faked employment at defendant ESTABLISH in Fort Lee, NJ, on PPG Pittsburgh and Clipper Windpower to Carpinteria and Cedar Rapids, IA, the faked relationship with defendant MODDERMAN including the faked Pankowski wedding, all intended to retraumatize the Lead Plaintiff before the next follow-on asset stripping, financial wrecking, deprivation of benefits, theft of labor and materials, physical and metal biochemical torture to suicide ideation, psychiatric confinement to force federal civil rights litigation drop in October 2010, and the subsequent rehousing in Ramsey, NJ in March 2011. The precise timing of defendant FAUCI's exit from supervisory, management, and executive roles in this sustained illegal BRMT program operation is presently unknown.

X. Subsequent to his departure from CNA, Lead Plaintiff was skylined by this illegal secret defendant CIA and DOJ conspiracy to conceal illegal patterns of BRMT bioweapon and

bioweapon delivery system, rights violations, and associated-in-fact enterprise patterns of racketeering acts and patterns of acts, and pretexted by association through police powers front-running related to violent news reports and domestic sabotage events which were known to those police powers defendant departments and agencies far ahead of general public knowledge, and some most plausibly assigned to malign federal intelligence operations, subparagraphs 602Y and 602Z immediately below, as they were likely perpetrated from within defendant UNITED STATES and/or by corrupted criminal and intelligence assets of the UNITED STATES, acting against the broad public interest.

Y. Domestic sabotage incidents include the fully involved arson fire in Conyers, Georgia against the Bio-Lab chemical warehouse in May 2004, which generated a very large cloud of extremely poisonous phosgene and chlorine gases over this suburban and mostly Black city. This arson fire occurred within weeks after a fraudulent defendant FBI orchestrated sales call at Bio-Lab's Lawrenceville, Georgia headquarters office location with Allegent, LLC dba Performa co-owner Darrell PRAY (illegally embedded federal agent), for a meeting with the Great Lakes Chemical parent company CIO Zoe SCHUMAKER and members of the Bio-Lab information technology team (all now known to be unidentified federal officers operating undercover). Lead Plaintiff's analysis of this event sequence is at LPEE pages 766-769. An EPA report on this arson fire is producible from the public record on the EPA.gov website.

Z. The other most notable domestic sabotage event was the bird strike double engine flameout and crash of U.S. Airways Flight 1549 on January 15, 2009, which was preceded by two flights of Canadian geese over Lead Plaintiff's involuntary USMS assigned "safe house" in Cliffside Park, NJ which overlooks the Hudson River. Lead Plaintiff was human trafficked there in August 2007 by defendants ROSENBERG, ESTABLISH, FBI, and USMS. The Lead

Plaintiff saw the aircraft pass his Hudson River view living room as it was on its final glide south over the Hudson River enroute to its forced landing crash site near midtown Manhattan. Defendant CHALOM (posing as landlord and former television producer of Contact 1-2-3) closely questioned Lead Plaintiff about this incident in an unusual visit to his residence soon after the crash. Sometime in this event sequence, Lead Plaintiff experienced an illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system hijack of his visual nervous system which included the image of a noiseless commercial jet aircraft to the north of his apartment over the Palisades in a literally impossible flight configuration about 500 feet above the Palisades near Fort Lee, NJ. The date of this specific BRMT hijack is not recollected but is believed by Lead Plaintiff to be the initial event in the sequence which preceded the crash, see paragraph 606B HEXP-3).

**Other Relevant DOJ/FBI/USMS Trafficking and Spying 1996-2002 Known Through Lead Plaintiff's Involuntary Servitude**

AA. Known defendant DOJ/FBI connections to other illegal enterprise embeds, spying, and sabotage:

- (a) As Larry Cook, defendant FAUCI also supposedly tried but was reportedly cut out from investing in Point B, a software consulting firm also used as another illegal spying platform by FBI to infiltrate Starbucks and other commercial enterprises.
- (b) CNA - Dennis last name not recollected was subcontracted from CNA to Point B in Point B's early formation years to work on a failed extended warehouse management software implementation being run by Point B.
- (c) NutraSource - Starbucks employed and then fired a former NutraSource VP Operations Dana Smith (defendant DOJ ;police powers agency embed, who had formerly worked for ROSENBERG, FBI) while he ran its Seattle roasting plant.

- (d) Rapistan - Larry Harding, Rapistan sales manager in the greater Los Angeles, CA area, was a primary source of CNA project opportunities in southern California through referrals to CUC/Davidson, Nikken, and to other site visits made in the greater Los Angeles region in the second half of the 1990s to support illegal enterprise infiltrations.
- (e) Throughout this period, defendant ROSENBERG (FBI) operated in the background at NutraSource where he had been embedded by acts of defendant WEISSMAN while at PCC in the 1980s. After the sale of NutraSource, defendant ROSENBERG allegedly invested funds with partners in a golf driving range in Gig Harbor which failed, and into a Seattle wine shop which continued in operation.

AB. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added

subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	454, 465; 604D, 606N HEXP-1, 3; 635, 636, 637 RGTS-15-17; 645, 646, 647, 669, 670, 693 RICO-7-9, 31-32, 55
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-020 through 1-026
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0003 through 2-0012, 2-0024, 2-0059, 2-0060, 2-0095, 2-0097, 2-0109, 2-0153, 2-0155, 2-0202
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	140 et al, 416-426, 766-769, 8294-8346, LPEEV65-17
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Not applicable

**603. NSEC-4 National Security Frauds: Human Trafficking, Forced Labor, Violations of Rights – Mossad, MI-6, MI-5, London Metropolitan Police, UK 2007**

A. As forensically reverse engineered, during 2007 through 2008, defendant UNITED STATES, its agents, officers, confidential informants, and other defendants, after the defendant ROSENBERG led human trafficking Lead Plaintiff from Washington state to nearly two years of homelessness in Massachusetts, then again human trafficked Lead Plaintiff to ten months of fraudulent employment in Fort Lee, NJ at ESTABLISH Inc, a logistics consulting firm domestic and international cover operation.

B. In Summer 2007, ROSENBERG orchestrated a “consulting firm” interview in western suburb of Boston, MA for Lead Plaintiff, which was actually with Mossad, the Israeli intelligence agency with expertise in terrorism and in terrorism screening, for the purpose of creating a fictional terrorism legend to be associated with the Lead Plaintiff, placing him in further physical danger from misguided police powers personnel and potentially members of the public as he was further human trafficked to northern NJ and the greater NYC area. This absurd premise, the interview with Mossad, was then spread by defendant ROSENBERG (who had



known Lead Plaintiff in his prior commercial cover in Seattle, WA for about two decades), defendants FBI, CIA, and possibly media personages including, without limitation, MELBER (formerly FBI with a direct former undercover relationship with Lead Plaintiff, paragraphs 494-501), to other defendant police powers operations and media (some previously also having had surreptitious relationships with Lead Plaintiff) in New York City and northern New Jersey, shortly before his August 2007 human trafficking to Fort Lee and Cliffside Park, NJ. This investigation was confirmed in 2021 by defendant NYPD, then immediately fraudulently concealed by NYPD and FBI, paragraph 555-562 and Interline Exhibits 17-18.

C. In September 2007, FBI's Charles ROSENBERG (while known as William Drumm, ESTABLISH General Manager of US operations) orchestrated and conspired with British intelligence and police powers organizations to human traffick Lead Plaintiff to London, United Kingdom for an ostensible week-long company international business conference, and thereby again develop pretexts (as in 1995 at PAN, see NSEC-2) for international spying on Lead Plaintiff. British intelligence and police powers operations (likely London Metropolitan Police, MI-5, and MI-6) posed at this September 2007 London meeting as international employees of defendant ESTABLISH posted at varied location in Europe, including its ostensible Swedish headquarters, and as employees in China.

D. This series of defendants' RICO frauds included police powers and media personnel posing as company executives and employee in professional police powers (and some media) roles, which facilitated color of law pretexting to permit foreign intelligence operations to "legally" engage in color of law spying upon the Lead Plaintiff in London and elsewhere in Great Britain, as well as within the United States using intelligence acquisition methods and assets which defendant UNITED STATES cannot legally deploy against its own citizens.

E. For the several months before and during this London trip, and into early 2008, defendant UNITED STATES operated primarily through William Drumm (ROSENBERG) and several other US based “employees” of ESTABLISH (including agents known as Ray KOVONUK, Piotr PREGNER, Steve MCDONALD, and Jason PANKOWSKI), and engaged other various federal, state, and local police powers and intelligence operations and assets in the greater New York City area in this corrupt color of law civil rights and racketeering pattern of acts, violations, and injuries, which continues into the present.

F. In September 2021, defendant NYPD verified and then disappeared evidence of a terror related investigation against the Lead Plaintiff (Interline Exhibits 17 and 18) surrounding the initial human trafficking, when Lead Plaintiff was met around November 2007 on his first recreational outing into New York City at the Port Authority Bus Terminal by about two dozen NYPD uniformed counter-terror squad members in bulletproof vests, helmets, and bearing submachine guns along the west side of Eighth Avenue. Together with defendant FBI’s fraudulent concealment, these acts further delayed discovery of the primary responsible defendants (FBI and CIA) for the decades long overall pattern of BRMT human experimentation and bioweapon abuse, the racketeering associated-in-fact enterprise, and their fraudulent concealment, until the September 2023 forensic breakthrough in this case described at LPEE page 12251-12261.

G. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants’ long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES’ involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent,

to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. Witness testimony, including direct examination of defendant UNITED STATES undercover personnel, including ROSENBERG, KOVONUK, PANKOWSKI, MCDONALD, ROSS, and others who worked at ESTABLISH, and discovery against these defendants will confirm this specific incident and the surrounding events. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	17, 18, 19
Complaint paragraphs:	494-501, 555-562, 604D, 606N HEXP-1, 3
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-031, 1-032
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0003 through 2-0012, 2-0024, 2-0059, 2-0060, 2-0095, 2-0097, 2-0109, 2-0153, 2-0155, 2-0202
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	140 et al, 8351-8355, 11639, LPEEV65-6, 7, 17
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Not applicable

[Intentionally left blank.]

## ILLEGAL HUMAN EXPERIMENTATION (HEXP series offenses)

### *Biological and Medical Invasions- Torture*

#### **604. HEXP-1 Illegal Human Experimentation: BRMT Induced Torture, Washington State 2002-2005**

A. As forensically reverse engineered, defendant UNITED STATES used BRMT and carefully orchestrated extremely adverse life event sequences in 1974-1977 at WSU, Pullman, WA; in 1988-1989 in Redmond, WA; and in 2002-2005 in Kirkland, WA; to disguise and enhance a series of extreme biomedical hijackings of Lead Plaintiff's brain chemistry. The most intense in this specific series occurred in 2002-2005. Stealthy, very high intensity, and long running illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system hijackings of serotonin levels comparable to months and months of "Chinese Water Torture" were endured by the Lead Plaintiff, inducing periods of clinical depression, among other adverse health effects, as early as the 1970s into the 2020s. "Serotonin is a neurotransmitter and hormone that influences mood, sleep, digestion, and other body functions" (Source: Cleveland Clinic website).

B. These extreme illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system brain chemistry hijackings were combined with (i) a years-long campaign of defendants' field-deployed coercive psychological operations, with (ii) the stress of a fraudulently derived relationship from 1988, subsequently manipulated and repeatedly damaged to total destruction by 2004 with Jeanette his second wife, with (iii) a total loss of income, and with (iv) multiple simultaneous litigations required in the early 2000s (*Brewer et al v. CNA*, *Allegent v. ShipNow* check fraud and *Allegent v. ShipNow* intellectual property theft litigation, paragraph 644 RICO-6) to attempt to recover various racketeering thefts, frauds, and the programmed destruction of Allegent, LLC, his small business which he unwittingly co-owned with defendant UNITED

STATES' PRAY during this period, all as described in other subcounts herein, for the benefit of defendant UNITED STATES, as perpetrated through the orchestrations and direct participation of, without limitation, defendants FAUCI, ROSENBERG, CALDWELL, MELBER, and other unknown individual defendants.

C. Defendant UNITED STATES also developed a program intended to invoke public vigilantism focused on Lead Plaintiff which its departments and agencies propagandistically used to shaped public perception by his deliberate online public exposure during this period unbeknownst to him at the time, and by an accompanying public narrative of lies and rumors pushed by these same defendants, principally defendant UNITED STATES. Together with defendants' direct manipulations, this public vigilantism created by propagandistic manipulations of the public narrative and the creation of sustained oppressive life circumstances across all dimensions of Lead Plaintiff's life, which foreclosed career and entrepreneurial choices, otherwise his to make in a free society in the absence of the false narrative created by defendant UNITED STATES (these choices were forcibly removed and were not his to make), to intimate personal relationship choices he believed were his to make (these choices were forcibly removed and were not his to make), and shaped public perceptions and direct public vigilantism, including threats of lethal violence, against the Lead Plaintiff.

D. This was an overwhelming campaign by defendant UNITED STATES and its co-conspirators directed against the Lead Plaintiff which is comparable to and worthy of any of the world's great demagogues and propagandists throughout history. Together with the serotonin hijacking using the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system, it was nearly fatal. See the full text on psychological torture at LPEEV65-17:

# Torture vs Other Cruel, Inhuman, and Degrading Treatment

## *Is the Distinction Real or Apparent?*

Metin Başoğlu, MD, PhD; Maria Livanou, PhD; Cvetana Crnobarić, MD

**Context:** After the reports of human rights abuses by the US military in Guantanamo Bay, Iraq, and Afghanistan, questions have been raised as to whether certain detention and interrogation procedures amount to torture.

**Objective:** To examine the distinction between various forms of ill treatment and torture during captivity in terms of their relative psychological impact.

**Design and Setting:** A cross-sectional survey was conducted with a population-based sample of survivors of torture from Sarajevo in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Banja Luka in Republica Srpska, Rijeka in Croatia, and Belgrade in Serbia.

**Participants:** A total of 279 survivors of torture accessed through linkage sampling in the community (Banja Luka, Sarajevo, and Rijeka) and among the members of 2 associations for war veterans and prisoners of war (Belgrade).

**Main Outcome Measures:** Scores on the Semi-structured Interview for Survivors of War, Exposure to Torture Scale, Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV, and Clinician-Administered PTSD (posttraumatic stress disorder) Scale for DSM-IV.

**Results:** Psychological manipulations, humiliating treatment, exposure to aversive environmental conditions, and forced stress positions showed considerable overlap with physical torture stressors in terms of associated distress and uncontrollability. In regression analyses, physical torture did not significantly relate to posttraumatic stress disorder (odds ratio, 1.41, 95% confidence interval, 0.89-2.25) or depression (odds ratio, 1.41, 95% confidence interval, 0.71-2.78). The traumatic stress impact of torture (physical or nonphysical torture and ill treatment) seemed to be determined by perceived uncontrollability and distress associated with the stressors.

**Conclusions:** Ill treatment during captivity, such as psychological manipulations, humiliating treatment, and forced stress positions, does not seem to be substantially different from physical torture in terms of the severity of mental suffering they cause, the underlying mechanism of traumatic stress, and their long-term psychological outcome. Thus, these procedures do amount to torture, thereby lending support to their prohibition by international law.

*Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2007;64:277-285

E. Lead Plaintiff sought out his physician Paul Mayeda one day after the suicide ideation, but was met by a so-called female physician's assistant in an otherwise empty Lakeshore Clinic medical office. This had never happened before. As Lead Plaintiff left the patient examination area, the figure representing his doctor Paul Mayeda had his back turned to the Lead Plaintiff on a stool and he did not turn to greet the Lead Plaintiff – very unusual. There were no other patients nor any other personnel than one receptionist, the physician's assistant, and the white coat male figure on the stool, observed in this entire normally moderately busy 2 story multi-doctor Lakeshore Medical Clinic facility near Evergreen Hospital, Kirkland, WA. A prescription

for paroxetine (Paxil, an SSRI, antidepressant) was entered and used to relieve the BRMT induced serotonin overdose.

F. Defendant UNITED STATES has since actively conspired to conceal its medical acts by destroying the Lead Plaintiff's personal medical records through the passage of time. After human trafficking the Lead Plaintiff through programmed destruction in Washington, through homelessness in Massachusetts, then to fraudulent employment in New Jersey, these essential medical records from about 1990 to 2005 were not sent to the Lead Plaintiff in 2007 despite his written request sent by US Mail to his Kirkland, Washington primary care physician.

G. This form of evidence suppression and destruction is a common pattern of practice of defendant UNITED STATES, as documented elsewhere throughout this Complaint. Lead Plaintiff's own father was a medical practice examination room paper salesperson between about 1960 and 1963. He routinely bought expired x-rays for recycling by his employer from medical practices in northern and southern California which sales targets were specifically assigned by his employer, Pacific Paper Products, Tacoma, Washington. This was a defendant FBI cover operation to cover its illegal tracks and suppress evidence of criminal violence during Cointelpro, a violent felony-filled campaign against civil rights activists and others, which was in full violent operation at the time. When Lead Plaintiff's father was asked to undertake the same pattern in Texas, he quit the company and returned to Washington state with his young family (paragraph 414-418).

H. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT



development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	414-418, 606N HEXP-3, 644 RICO-6
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-013, 1-014, 1-015, 1-023, 1-062
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0003 through 2-0006, 2-0015
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	1 et al, 140 et al, 371, 575-597, 9679-9696, 10372, LPEEV65-1, 17
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Not applicable

I. These schemes and conspiracy in the illegal human experimentation (HEXP) series required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment;



illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597.

Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	13, 14, 16-18
Complaint paragraphs:	10, 99, 61-67, 226 table, 308-311, 320e, 332-341, 357-399, 414-418, 419-584; 600H, 602, 603B, F, G, L NSEC-1, 3, 4; 604, 605, 606, 608, 609C, 613, 615-619 HEXP-1-3, 5, 6, 10, 12-16; 621 626, 629, 630 RGTS-1, 6, 9, 10; 634C RGTS-14, 641 642 644 648, 649, 650B(i), 651D, 653, 656 683 RICO-3, 4, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 18, 45; 802B, 821, 839, 842B(ii); Appendix 1 – Prior Filings History
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	1 et al, 140 et al, 368-793, 7467-8179 (2014-2018), 2023 Financial Times photo confirmation of identity at 7470-7470A, 8233-8262, 8263-8287, 8347-8350; 9679-9696, 10256-10258, 10306-10310, 10335-10342, 10346-10351, 10365-10375, 10372, 10394-10422, 10428, 11653-11654, 11668, 12129 (third paragraph), 12121-12149, 12150-12159, LPEEV65-1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 17
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Hurd Pine Street Inn update 110419.pdf Bergen Regional Sinisi re resume and cover ltr 101230 D Brewer Marriage Cert May 5 1984 Lynne 840505.pdf D Brewer Marriage Cert May 5 1984 Lynne 840505.pdf

	D Brewer Marriage App Jeanette 1990 900330.pdf D Brewer Marriage App Jeanette 1990 900330.pdf Jeanette Timeline 1 061001.pdf Jeanette Timeline 2 061001.pdf Jeanette Timeline 3 061001.pdf Jeanette timeline email 061001.pdf Match Group Second Notice re Preserve Evidence 220122, Match EPL Response 221110, Match Group Legal Dept Email 221110, MODDERMAN email re PANKOWSKI wedding Drumm attends 080625, MODDERMAN email re PANKOWSKI wedding Drumm attends 312pm 080625, MODDERMAN re wedding 080626, MODDERMAN email re PANKOWSKI wedding Drumm attends 817am 080627 AKOTO re AltaVista bad actor 161018, AKOTO re BLACKPOOL then DD 170315, AKOTO Laura re \$2K to Mr Prince from Porter Patten \$3K 171021, AKOTO Hints of money laundering entrap scam 171025, AKOTO Ramsey Fixup Expenses 171027 AKOTO Mailing Address 150101.pdf Gia first date 211207 (note actual date was in 2019) Match Group Second Notice re Preserve Evidence 220122, Match EPL Response 221110, Match Group Legal Dept Email 221110 New York Cares Library Bowling Outing 080815 Certain emails are blocked by a defendant UNITED STATES computer hack
--	--

**605. *HEXP-2 Illegal Human Experimentation: BRMT Induced Torture And Psychological Operations, Massachusetts 2006-2007***

A. As forensically reverse engineered, during 2006-2007, defendant UNITED STATES again used the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system and defendants’ carefully orchestrated life event sequences to disguise and sustain a series of biomedical hijackings of Lead Plaintiff’s brain chemistry. This combination of stealthy high frequency hijackings (comparable to “Chinese Water Torture”); long duration extreme daily headaches and

severe vision impairment for protracted periods of extreme duress; and BRMT hijacked adrenaline levels induced hypersensitivity to certain sounds (such as the crinkling of plastic bags) which were employed each night in a public shelter by Lead Plaintiff's nearby minders while he was homeless. These acts, together with occasional "fights" among the "homeless residents" which included a rotating security detail at Dorchester Heights Catholic Church basement where most of this period was spent by the then unwitting Lead Plaintiff, and the drug and alcohol abuse induced collapses of consciousness which lead to concussions among the actual homeless residents at Pine Street Inn, and the destruction of his eyeglasses during which period he could not see clearly for about ten days as replacements had to be paid and manufactured, worked together with other illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system imposed symptoms to amplify this emotional anxiety.

B. The October 31, 2017 symptoms identified at LETHL-9 were experienced daily with extreme pain and blurred vision for approximately 12 of the 17 months spent in the Pine Street Inn homeless shelter system in Boston, MA between about April 2006 and August 2007. This daily extreme head pain and blurred vision recurred each morning as Lead Plaintiff left the Dorchester Heights satellite shelter location, rode a 12 passenger van to the Pine Street Inn, then walked to the Boston Public Library where he spent most days reading. Despite the extreme pain, he was required to keep his eyes open and focused on reading material so the circulating security guard would not order him to leave the premises because he was not actively reading or had his eyes closed as the extreme headache and blurred continued for about 90 to 120 minutes each day. A further 60 minutes or so was required to fully recover from the pain and blurred vision. At one point, his eyeglasses were crushed and he was unable to see clearly for about ten

days, but necessarily had to focus on books to avoid being removed from the shelter of the library, described at subparagraph E below.

C. Identical extreme headache symptoms recurred in Cliffside Park, NJ, in 2008-2010 each morning soon after he returned to his apartment from the Edgewater, NJ Starbucks coffee shop he went to each day. These symptoms consistently recurred in connection with these same event sequences each morning, and abruptly appeared and months later abruptly disappeared with no clear medical explanation. These symptoms also directly correlate with extreme headaches and blurry vision experienced on occasion for a few days during 2021 and 2022 at his Edgewater, NJ apartment. A neurological examination in Boston, MA, in 2007, and MRI brain scans in New Jersey at Bergen Regional Medical Center hospital in 2010, and Palisades Medical Center hospital in 2021, provided no medical explanation for these symptoms or for the long-duration episodes of recurrence and abrupt disappearances. The illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system imposed these torturous symptoms, effectively 90 to 120 minute daily periods of direct torture imposed remotely and triggered by operators with knowledge of Lead Plaintiff's schedule.

D. In late June 2006, one of Lead Plaintiff's minders left a single broadsheet page (four pages of newspaper content on a single sheet as printed) of the Boston Globe newspaper carefully folded to that story on the end table next to the Dorchester Heights shelter common room couch on which Lead Plaintiff normally watched the evening news. The folded section face up on adjacent coffee table contained an article about the suicide of Denise Denton, then Chancellor of the University of California at Santa Cruz. Lead Plaintiff quickly noticed this announcement about Chancellor Denton, who jumped to her death from her 33rd floor high rise apartment in San Francisco which she shared with her female partner. She had previously been

the Dean of the College of Engineering at the University of Washington in Seattle, WA, and had invited the Lead Plaintiff to join the College's Board of Advisors, which met periodically to advise Dean Denton.

E. These psychological operations, also included, without limitation, (i) the above reminder of his own previous suicide ideation in Kirkland, WA (paragraph 604 HEXP-1), (ii) the theft and destruction of Lead Plaintiff's eyeglasses from his locker at Pine Street Inn while he was showering each morning, which were then crushed beyond use and left on a sidewalk he used each day to reach the Boston Public Library on the day after the theft, and (iii) his left big toe which was abraded with a file so it split down the middle during deep sleep which can be easily secured by a BRMT brain hijack, and then had to be ripped out of the nail bed piece by piece with surgical pliers at the local hospital so it could regrow properly.

F. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their

children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:


Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	604 HEXP-1, 606N HEXP-3
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-030
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0125, 2-0150
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	10306-10310, LPEEV65-17
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Hurd Pine Street Inn update 110419.pdf

**606. *HEXP-3 Illegal Human Experimentation: BRMT Induced Torture And Psychological Operations, New Jersey 2008-2011***

A. As forensically reverse engineered, during the second half of 2008 through early 2011, defendant UNITED STATES used the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system and defendants' carefully orchestrated life event sequences to disguise and sustain a series of biomedical hijackings of Lead Plaintiff's brain chemistry. The methods used by defendant UNITED STATES, and its defendant police powers co-conspirators and private sector co-conspirators during this period were even more extreme than previously experienced. Illegal BRMT imposed brain chemistry and physical hijackings of brain, central nervous system and muscles was particularly extreme, severe, and of long duration, leading to Lead Plaintiff to repeatedly cry out in pain and suffering (which he had not done in any previous months-long sequence) imposed by defendant UNITED STATES use of BRMT. These illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system hijackings continued to be combined with the

coercive field-deployed psychological operations routinely used by defendants to influence a variety of Lead Plaintiff's personal decisions.

B. In late 2008 and the first few days of 2009, the Cliffside Park apartment events sequence included two flights of Canadian Geese which flew about ten feet above the building's parapet. The same birds would cause the January 15, 2009 dual engine flame-out of US Airways Flight 1549 as two formations of the birds were ingested into the intakes of both jet engines. Lead Plaintiff stood at the same top floor living room window to witness this event as he had in those preceding weeks when the two flights of geese had passed his living room window. He also experienced a BRMT imposed visual grayscale image on his visual cortex (the brain's vision processing center, see illustration below). The aircraft was in a very unusual slow flight condition and was completely silent when it necessarily would have been in full power slow flight mode. An actual aircraft of this type and size would not have legally been able to operate in this manner, nor in the almost impossible aircraft structural and aerodynamic configuration it supposedly operated a few hundred feet above the Palisades over Fort Lee, NJ, directly north of his Cliffside Park, NJ apartment. During forensic analysis of tradecraft patterns undertaken by the Lead Plaintiff in 2021-2022, it became apparent that these tradecraft signals (Canadian Geese sightings) and illegal BRMT hijackings (the out of position jet image over Fort Lee, NJ and the Palisades), clearly indicated foreknowledge and planning of the US Airways Flight 1549 Hudson River emergency landing which Lead Plaintiff witnessed on January 15, 2009 from the Cliffside Park apartment living room window.



C. The US Airways Flight 1549 emergency water ditching on the Hudson River occurred five days before the Presidential inauguration on January 20, 2009. An earlier Conyers, GA Bio-Lab arson fire in May 2004 had occurred about five and one-half months before the 2004 Presidential election, and a few weeks after a fraudulent “sales appointment” with defendant UNITED STATES (Zoe SCHUMAKER who also possibly posed at other times as Phyllis PRAY, Darrell PRAY, and others in a “Bio-Lab” conference room in Lawrenceville, GA for an alleged IT project, which was an element of the surreptitious programmed destruction of Allegent, LLC, (paragraph 602 NSEC-3), and in records handed to defendant ROSENBERG (FBI, paragraph 462), an element of the pattern of precursor events by defendant FBI (ROSENBERG) human trafficking to eliminate the 2005 FTCA claim from consideration, and human traffick Lead Plaintiff from Kirkland, WA to Boston, MA and homelessness in



December 2005. Several years earlier, Lead Plaintiff had earlier visited the White House West Wing during an AeA trade association (formerly American Electronics Association, representing Microsoft, Hewlett-Packard, Intel, Motorola, and other technology companies) Board meeting in May. While at the White House, a USSS agent pulled a hatch cover in an aisle and he was shown an old swimming pool which was under the Press Room wherein his picture below was taken at the podium by another USSS agent, and later mailed to Lead Plaintiff by AeA Executive Director Bill Archey.



D. Based upon forensic analysis of tradecraft by Lead Plaintiff, both events' tradecraft (Bio-Lab meetings and Canadian Geese (preceding Flight 1549), and the related BRMT visual cortex jet aircraft imagery described paragraph B above, were and are indicative of national security related willful domestic sabotage, which was almost certainly internally known in advance and the US Airways Flight 1549 internal sabotage event was deliberately signaled to him in advance by elements of defendant UNITED STATES, as they intended and did engage in knowing and willful domestic sabotage.

E. This early 2009 aircraft ditching was followed by a brief out of place sighting, likely in the few months of 2009 soon after an Obama visit to NYC, wherein the “Beast” Presidential limousine was driven by the Lead Plaintiff’s Cliffside Park NJ apartment as he stood at his kitchen window looking down onto Palisade Avenue, a kitchen window he rarely visited as he spent his daylight hours almost exclusively in his living room area.

F. A combination of stealthy high frequency illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system brain hijackings including brain chemistry hacking, a long-running repeat of the series of the Boston extreme headache series (paragraph 605 HEXP-2) comparable to a severe recurring form of “Chinese Water Torture,” with the addition of intense physical cramping symptoms and other body manipulations and malfunctions, comprised a protracted pattern of extreme duress and biochemical torture, with newly added physical torture, over many months of 2009 into 2010. These extremely coercive circumstances led directly to Lead Plaintiff’s second suicide ideation at the southeast corner of Thompson Lane, Edgewater, NJ, during a return trip from his typical morning Starbucks coffee and newspaper reading visit at Edgewater Commons, where he was blocked from entering active on-coming street traffic by two pedestrians standing on that street corner blocking his path.

G. Somewhere during this period, Landlord CHALOM (USMS) visited the apartment and reported the removal of a “terror suspect” from the premises by FBI for deportation proceedings. Lead Plaintiff pursued a civil rights claim, preparing it over months in Spring 2010 still not recognizing he was in an USMS “safe” house run by CHALOM at that moment. The case was docketed on June 23, 2010, a federal district court complaint (Newark, case number 10-3204 (SDW)), never acted upon by the district court judge it was assigned to as legally required under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Lead Plaintiff was notified of his ejection from the monthly

rental as of August 30, 2010 by landlord CHALOM (USMS) in July 2010, and was later forced to leave his Cliffside Park, NJ apartment on October 1, 2010 after a thirty day overstay.

H. Shortly before his forced departure from this Cliffside Park, NJ apartment on October 1, 2010, he experienced three aural messages delivered from behind one afternoon which appeared as aural hallucinations from the “United States Secret Service” (defendant USSS) instructing him to descend to the building’s basement and hide, a behavior which was witnessed by two female undercover agents standing at the doorway of the apartment immediately below his apartment. These agents were most probably defendant USSS personnel temporarily at this location in this Cliffside Park USMS “safe” house.

I. On October 1, 2010, Lead Plaintiff traveled to Hackensack, NJ to a defendant BERGEN COUNTY homeless shelter and was sent on to a non-existent BERGEN COUNTY daily shelter at a non-existent address nearby, walked to and was referred by Hackensack Police to a South Hackensack budget motel where he was aggressively BRMT hijacked overnight, called 911 the following morning, and was then contacted by a South Hackensack, NJ Police officer and ambulance, transported to, and kidnapped into Bergen Regional Medical Center on October 2, 2010, about 100 days after that federal court filing was made (paragraph 808).

J. This involuntary commitment on October 2, 2010 occurred while Lead Plaintiff sat in the emergency room of Bergen Regional Medical Center for about 12 hours, before being wheeled to a locked psychiatric ward, and was told about five days later by his “counsel” he had been ordered involuntarily committed for fourteen days. The lack of any actual NJ state law compliant court procedure is discussed at paragraph 808.

K. The ejection from Cliffside Park, NJ housing mimicked the prior ejection in 2005, about 100 days after hand delivering an FTCA claim to Washington, DC, in September 2005,

where he had traveled soon after that FTCA claim had not been delivered by either USPS or FedEx while he resided in Kirkland, WA.

L. This event sequence was not understood by Lead Plaintiff until after the 2021 forensic analysis was started, whereupon the Flight 1549 circumstances and other related events were reported as they became more clearly understood to the US Attorney for SDNY in December 2021 (LPEE pages 368-793, LPEEV65-11-16). Explicit identifications which facilitated the institutional defendant connections were not yet understood at that time but have become much more apparent since other identifications were able to be made beginning in Summer 2023. All letters, hand delivered, have been met with total silence from SDNY (DOJ), just like all prior communications from Lead Plaintiff to federal police powers and intelligence personnel. The kidnapping sequence was not apparent until the failure to comply with state law was made clear during a forensic review of the hospital admittance process lack of legal compliance was discovered in April 2024 and led to the addition of the kidnapping count to this series of complaint drafts. Defendant UNITED STATES has and does engage in direct acts of fraudulent concealment and a classic surreptitious whistleblower war against Lead Plaintiff (and others in this class), at least since defendant ROSENBERG (FBI) began the process in the early 2000s soon after the September 11, 2001 attacks using newly expanded powers to drag the Lead Plaintiff from national security entanglements into the terrorism space as acknowledged by defendant NYPD at Interline Exhibit 17. Apparently praying in a home church led by fraudulent church infiltrator BREYER, working, babysitting, and socializing with these defendant UNITED STATES personnel, and with other undercover USMS and CIA personnel, among others, from high school age forward (BREYER's "Snow family" children and "Sackville-West family" children, paragraphs 99b, 226 table, 421, 492-493, 501), babysitting FBI and ARMY personnel

children from the 1980s into the 1990s (including children of RUBIN, MELBER, and both VINDMANs), a complete lack of any adverse contacts with police powers while he was unwittingly in their presence almost constantly since high school (KATYAL and others, paragraph 99), and being assigned his fraudulent second spouse Jeanette by them in direct violation of the *Third* Amendment (BURNS, WATERS, paragraph 494), enduring enterprise destruction, property theft, illegal biomedical experiments and torture, among other associated-in-fact enterprise acts, violations, and injuries recounted herein, was insufficient to persuade these defendant UNITED STATES agencies of the Lead Plaintiff's character and conduct, so they have and do feel compelled to continue, without limitation, their sweeping violations of constitutional rights, illegal BRMT bioweapon human subject biomedical abuses, lethality attempts, and other patterns of racketeering acts, violations and injuries, as they perpetuate their associated-in-fact enterprise to sustain involuntary servitude in systematic violations of the *Thirteenth* Amendment, ratified in 1865, from the early 1960s to the present day.

M. Defendants have and do continue other coercive psychological operations, and public vigilantism inspired by defendants, and have and do create and sustain oppressive life circumstances across all dimensions of Lead Plaintiff's life, from career and entrepreneurial choices and outcomes, to intimate personal relationships, to illegal BRMT bioweapon brain hijacking, and continuing efforts to shape public perceptions and direct public vigilantism, including by multiple threats of violence and coercion to and including lethal violence attempts directed at Lead Plaintiff, as related herein.

N. The torture escalation sequence across the illegal BRMT torture psychological and illegal BRMT biomedical hijackings from 2002 coercive psychological operations have and do progress as follows:

	Location	Defendant UNITED STATES Illegal BRMT Brain Hijackings And Racketeering Patterns
2001-2002	Kirkland, WA 149th Street home	Prelude sequence - 9/11 attack is proximate to 90 minute Capitol Hill interview with Rep. Dunn, close to Bush 43, meet with Adam Smith, all intended to secure a baseline for torture escalation sequence below – illegal medical research methodology used by FAUCI and other researchers
2002-2003	Kirkland, WA 149th Street home	Allegent, LLC financial wrecking, another in the series of prolonged marital separations from Jeanette, Jeanette suicidality phone call from the Lewis (MELBER, FBI) second home, CNA compensation theft litigation, and street level coercive psychological operations begin
2004-2005	Kirkland, WA 149 <sup>th</sup> Street home and 124 <sup>th</sup> St apartment	(a) Coercive psychological operations, <i>adding</i> (b) then unrecognized illegal BRMT biochemical brain hijacking <i>used</i> to induce biochemical depression and suicide ideation
2006-2007	Boston, MA hotel (4 months) then homeless shelter (17 months)	(a) Coercive psychological operations and (b) unrecognized illegal BRMT biochemical brain hijacking to depression, <i>adding</i> (c) Extreme daily morning headaches imposed by illegal BRMT hijacking while enroute to Boston Public Library - where eyes must be kept open at all times to avoid being removed from the Library for sleeping in the Library, required reading with vision extremely limited
2008-2010	Cliffside Park, NJ apartment, forced homelessness upon filing of civil rights litigation	(a) Coercive psychological operations, (b) illegal BRMT biochemical brain hijacking to depression, (c) extreme daily morning headaches, <i>adding</i> (d) Extreme physical body cramping of arm, leg, and chest muscles to induce verbalizations, biochemical depression, and suicide ideation
2010-2011	Paramus, NJ involuntary confinement in behavioral health ward after no-notice no appearance civil hearing, if any	Violent thoughts <i>added</i> through illegal BRMT brain hijacking, coercive drop of federal civil rights litigation leads to rehousing ten weeks after coercive drop, housing had always been available during confinement, clear coercion to force drop in using an embed “patient” to describe prolonged confinement
2011-2018	Ramsey, NJ	Initial violent thoughts and biochemical depression, transition away from covert biochemical torture, continued coercive psychological operations, followed by federal and local police powers illegal coercive operations and entrapments, e.g., sex traps, FBI and/or
2018-present	Edgewater, NJ	

		CIA Akoto and interstate commerce asset stripping and structured payment entrapments, sex traps, psychological isolations reprises, then 2018 human trafficking and coercive psychological operations again into national security entanglements from the 1970-1990s, <i>adding</i> accelerated lethality sequences in 2021-2024
--	--	--

O. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	99, 226 table, 421, 444, 462, 492-493, 501, 602 NSEC-3, 605 HEXP-2
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-032
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0153

LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	LPEE pages 368-793, LPEEV65-11-17
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Bergen Regional Sinisi re resume and cover ltr 101230

**607. *HEXP-4 Illegal Human Experimentation: BRMT Induced Emotional Swings And Short Cycle Torture Sequences Through 2023***

A. As forensically reverse engineered, defendants have and do orchestrate a variety of short cycle torture sessions in public places subsequent to the sequences above including, without limitation:

- 1) Ongoing: frequent apparent emotional excursions from calm baseline behaviors (see paragraph 320e and LPEE pages 190-236, independent psychological validations of actual personal emotional stability), which would be indicative of bipolar emotional swings, have been and continue to be illegal BRMT hijackings in all variety of venues, ranging from Lead Plaintiffs' personal residence (which is systematically surveilled without consent) to public accommodations and venues he attends from bus transportation to sidewalks to parks, to theaters and museums. These BRMT hijackings have been and are used to create false public perceptions about Lead Plaintiff's emotional stability as he has been and is pervasively surveilled and hijacked at defendant UNITED STATES' convenience to create false perceptions as it manipulates and controls this false narrative about his emotional stability, which is directly contradicted by independent tests (LPEE pages 190-236). These emotional hijackings are particularly pervasive but still erratically applied, such as in moments when Lead Plaintiff cites his great-great grandfather and the act which resulted in his Medal of Honor award in 1865, so



are most probably indicative of the personal backgrounds of defendant UNITED STATES' BRMT operators in their continued illegal acts.

- 2) Mets game August 2021: Defendant UNITED STATES (CIA), inflicted extended extreme pain in a left knee lateral collateral ligament (for about 7 to 10 minutes as a USMS/CIA security team member (white male early 30s) stretched his left leg to a fully extended position over the empty seat in front of them), and the Lead Plaintiff was put to sleep immediately prior to two base hits with loud home crowd noise, and reawakened as the two men stood on first and second base.
- 3) August 1, 2023: when sleep deprivation over a three day period was used to prime the Lead Plaintiff for one of thousands of attempts to orchestrate some sort of public outburst of violence by Lead Plaintiff. None occurred, and never has occurred, though he does at times speak openly in public places without a direct audience, as he recognizes the pervasive pattern of surveillance that accompanies his unintended public notoriety as purposefully perpetrated by technical hacks by defendant police powers and collaborating media.
- 4) September 23, 2023: during a Wynton Marsalis concert, as defendant UNITED STATES, likely CIA, inflicted extended extreme pain left knee lateral collateral ligament for ten minutes initially, then an additional five minutes after the intermission.
- 5) October 10, 2023: during a bus trip after depositing written evidence of BRMT and racketeering with members of Congress as defendant UNITED STATES, likely CIA, inflicted extreme pain by an extended period of cramping of the right

palm and an extended period of extreme pain in a knee tendon behind both knees, see LPEE pages 12146-12149.

- 6) October 20, 2023: while awaiting NJ Transit bus route 158 from about 6:00 P.M., as defendant UNITED STATES, likely CIA, with a strong adrenaline (angry emotional sensation) surge which was physically associated with the simultaneous arrival of a bearded male agent at the bus stop immediately south of Thompson Lane, Edgewater, NJ, after some delay in the scheduled arrival of the bus; but which was actually the direct result of an illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system hijacking to create a flash release of extreme adrenaline (fight or flight anger momentary response), intended by the BRMT operator to be directed by the Lead Plaintiff at that federal undercover officer who arrived at that moment. This sequence is quite familiar to the Lead Plaintiff from other similar events. The BRMT hijacked emotion is experienced by the victim as completely authentic, as it directly hijacks the specific brain biochemistry in which that emotion originates (by causing a short-term extreme biochemical surge which is similar in intensity to a muscle cramp). The hijacking is impossible to detect without prior specific experience to recognize it as fraudulently manipulated by the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system. This poses a clear and present danger to the member of the public who is the victim of this extreme biochemical hijacking, and to any nearby member of the public or undercover police officer who would be completely unaware that the entire sequence leading to the assaultive moment was being remotely hijacked by the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery

system. See the contemporaneous write-up of this hijacking event by defendant UNITED STATES (CIA) at LPEE pages 12150-12159.

B. These occurrences have become commonplace in Lead Plaintiff's life experiences over the past twenty years since the first illegal BRMT cramping of the palm was experienced around 2004, though they were certainly not recognized for what they actually were and are – the direct hijacking of the human brain's biochemical and central nervous system to control a victim's mood and muscles through an illegal brain hijacking. Incidents of short cycle torturous abuses of the central nervous system by defendant UNITED STATES against the Lead Plaintiff number in the thousands, or perhaps tens of thousands over the years, so no attempt has been made to document each event as they were not recognized for a long time and there have simply been too many to count. Medical records, including neurological examinations and MRIs, show no neurological damage which would provide any alternate organic medical explanation for these central nervous system hijackings by illegal BRMT abuse.

C. Defendant UNITED STATES most probably employed this method of extreme illegal BRMT biomedical abuse to orchestrate the murder of Audrey Brewer in September 2011 (paragraph 10) using an physically and emotionally abused female intermediary as the direct perpetrator while acting in apparent extreme rage under the direct influence of the illegal BRMT bioweapon system used to physically hijack her rate of pineal gland extreme adrenaline surge (adrenaline fight or flight hormone) to provoke the knife slashing attack which resulted in Audrey Brewer's death from the slashing of her carotid artery in her neck. The female perpetrator had absolutely no history of violence at any time but was also being psychologically provoked by the psychologically manipulative male who was involved in the relationships with both women at various times. The psychological abuse by the apparent perpetrator was used in

the moment to conceal the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system and its human operator from detection as the actual perpetrator of the extreme biomedical hijacking.

D. This momentary sense of extreme rage which was most probably experienced by the knife wielder is comparable to the momentary biochemical rage induced in Lead Plaintiff by the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system in the August 2023 Manhattan Subway Tunnel Flash Incident documented at paragraph 619 HEXP-16, LPEE pages 11668 and during an unrecorded incident adjacent to Lead Plaintiff's residence between August 2008 and October 2010 in Cliffside Park, NJ. The intent of defendant UNITED STATES (CIA) in orchestrating this process against US persons would have been and would be to facilitate its future deployment against others which it targets for assassination.

E. Since (i) the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system is highly classified through on-going abuse of the state secret privilege and defendant DOJ's active participation in its fraudulent concealment from public view, (ii) there is no previously known analogous weapon at any time in human history, and (iii) illegal BRMT hijackings leave no trace evidence behind as these hijackings are biochemically driven using a series of carefully focused energy pulses which penetrate the skull into the brain, and like any energy wave or pulse leave no trace evidence behind. The energy pulse is invisible, there is no abnormal sensation associated with it so it remains concealed. The actual perpetrators. a defendant UNITED STATES' BRMT operator and their chain of command, remain fully concealed from view and could only be detected by understanding enough about this energy weapon to develop a specific detection device for an energy pulse weapon which was not even known to exist. The simple act of destroying any residual classified evidence, such as computer software tracking logs and error trapping log files, encrypted communications transmission logs, and similar device logs, makes

this a perfect crime perpetrated by these defendants. In this homicide case, it was one of the two victims in that moment of purely evil surreptitious biochemical extreme pulse hijacking of adrenaline, who was charged with the crime because her hands and body were hijacked to conduct this crime, actually most probably a live field test of an assassination method, under an extremely well fabricated set of field conditions in a moment of organizational transition at defendant CIA (paragraph 10). These types of obstructions of justice by various departments and agencies of defendant UNITED STATES are common practices extensively documented by Lead Plaintiff herein at (a) paragraphs 308, 556, 633A, 785, 793, 801, 804B, 806C, 807C, 839, Interline Exhibits 17-19, (b) in public reports such as the 1975 Senate Select Committee report referenced at paragraph 339 and LPEE pages 6885-7288, and (c) in the 2014 Senate Intelligence Committee CIA Torture practices report referenced at paragraph 340.

F. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their

children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	10, 320e, 604D, 606N, 619 HEXP-1, 3, 16
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-067
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0217
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	11653-11654, 11668, 12129 (third paragraph), 12150-12159, LPEEV65-1, 3, 17
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Not applicable

### ***Orchestrated Personal and Intimate Relationships***

#### **608. HEXP-5 Illegal Human Experimentation: Personal and Intimate Relationships - Orchestrated Romantic Interests Using BRMT Hormone Hijacking, Generally**

A. As forensically reverse engineered, defendant UNITED STATES has and does use its illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system to deliver and suppress natural occurrences of hormones including, without limitation, melatonin (sleep), oxytocin (love), and adrenaline (fight or flight) to manipulate Lead Plaintiff to their desired goals, established by executive program management including, without limitation defendants BREYER, BURNS, HOPPER, and FAUCI. Those goals have included, without limitation, the melatonin (sleep) induced double murder attempt by motor vehicle, see paragraph 694 LETHL-1, and oxytocin hijacking used for thefts of real property, cash, and personal property, see paragraphs 609-613 HEXP-6-10.

B. Defendant UNITED STATES has used illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system hijacked oxytocin dosing to manage the romantic and intimate relationships of

Lead Plaintiff by suppressing or accelerating the oxytocin (love) hormone, began as early as 1968 (paragraph 417) to gain and sustain control of the Lead Plaintiff and his involuntary servitude from that time to the present. These illegal BRMT induced brain biochemical hijackings occurred in the presence of, and/or to, his long term college girlfriend Susan Irish, a second strongly interested college friend who later became a regional television news anchor, Katherine Andrews, and other interim dates and relationships, all of whom were carefully maneuvered into place and/or removed from other people they could or did naturally develop an interest in, by defendant CIA and its agents, officers, or confidential informants. Defendant haas and does use the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system to extend involuntary servitude and illegal control of human victims including, without limitation, Lead Plaintiff, throughout the weapon's development, testing, and deployment across multiple generations of technological and medical progressions. This aspect of defendant UNITED STATES' pattern of involuntary servitude was forensically identified beginning in 2021, while examining Lead Plaintiff's own evolving circumstances during key periods in his life.

C. Defendant UNITED STATES, primarily CIA, FBI, ARMY, USMS, BREYER, GARLAND, CUNHA, DICKOVER, BRUNTON, William SACKVILLE-WEST, PAGE and NG, were among the team which continued this manipulation of romantic and intimate interests forward from high school through his undergraduate program at Green River Community College, Auburn, WA in 1973-74 and Washington State University, Pullman, WA in 1974-1977. See also the more recent examples at paragraphs 611-614 HEXP-8 through HEXP-11, including various interim romantic interests and both spouses Lynne and Jeanette.

D. On knowledge and belief, defendant UNITED STATES also orchestrated and conducted interferences in and of his romantic partners and their level of interest and/or

disinterest, who were most probably subjected to both psychological manipulations and to illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system hijacking to manage this aspect of their lives, so they themselves are also most probably members of this class of injured US persons.

E. Various defendants (including, without limitation, UNITED STATES, ARMY, CIA BREYER, William SACKVILLE-WEST, Craig PAGE, BURNS, WATERS, FAUCI, unknown others) deliberately conspired to place romantic interests in Lead Plaintiff's life facilitated by illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system brain hijackings of oxytocin and other hormones (see paragraphs 611-614 HEXP-8 through HEXP-11) from the 1970s through the 2020s. Defendants have and do continue this pattern of romantic and intimate interests manipulation through the present, as partially related in other subcounts herein, by purposefully screening-in and screening out potential romantic interests using various means, including orchestrated meetings, relationships orchestrated using wire fraud on spoofed dating sites (currently on-going since about 2004), incomplete relationships formed on dating sites from 2004, and Lead Plaintiff's known concern in recent years to retain traceability of these manipulations, to sustain their psychological isolation of Lead Plaintiff.

F. Defendant UNITED STATES most probably employed this method of extreme BRMT abuse to orchestrate the murder of Audrey Brewer in September 2011 (paragraph 10). A physically and emotionally abused female intermediary was the knife wielder and apparent direct perpetrator who acted in a confrontation and moment of apparent extreme jealous rage, but actually directly manipulated into the emotional state under the direct influence of the illegal BRMT bioweapon system which was used to physically hijack her pineal gland to biochemically surge adrenaline (the fight or flight hormone). This specific BRMT manipulation of her pineal gland provoked the knife slashing attack which resulted in Audrey Brewer's death as she bled



out from the unrepairable longitudinal slashing of her carotid artery. The female perpetrator had absolutely no history of violence at any time but was also being psychologically provoked by the manipulative male who was involved in relationships with both women at various times.

G. The psychological abuse of the apparent perpetrator by the misogynistic male former partner who was with Audrey that night at a Walla Walla, WA restaurant was used as the obvious public explanation of the extreme conduct in a fit of jealous rage - but was in fact a psychological device (similar to a sleight of hand trick performed by a magician) used to conceal the actual BRMT perpetrator, almost certainly a field operator concealed near the scene somewhere in the background, who commanded the extreme adrenaline biomedical hijacking of that specific victim in that specific moment of apparent rage. Since the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system is an illegal highly classified weapon, of a form not previously known in human history, which leaves no trace evidence (the series of carefully focused energy pulses absorbed through the skull into the brain leaves no trace evidence behind)., there would be no reason for anyone investigating the scene to look any further than the obvious facts - jealous women, murderous sequence, clear perpetrator, clear victim, case solved, another community tragedy.

H. HOWEVER, specifically during the months leading to this murder in Walla Walla, WA, Lead Plaintiff, 2,700 miles away in Ramsey, NJ, having just been relocated from Bergen Regional Medical Center on March 30, 2011 as described at paragraph 523, was being manipulated by that same illegal BRMT bioweapon to encourage him to choose a kitchen knife to assault his roommate Emil while they sometimes stood in the apartment kitchen they shared. Lead Plaintiff began experiencing the urge soon after his arrival, and he continued to experience it frequently for several succeeding months, finally reporting it to his psychiatric doctor, a

medical resident doctor at Bergen Regional Medical Center, who elected to increase his dose of Abilify as a result. Upon learning of Audrey's death in September 2011, who Lead Plaintiff had met at a Tacoma, WA family event at Johnny's dock when she was four years old, he reported his shock to an assigned minder, a male counselor assigned from Advance Housing, but made no connection at the time between the knife attack and his own impulses to pick up a knife in the preceding months in the presence of his roommate, which act he never undertook. But the connection to a family relative, and the date on which the attack occurred, September 6, 2011, the repeated drawing of his attention to the time 9:11 by BRMT remote operators which occurred hundreds of time disrupting his concentration during normal thought patterns and routine tasks over many years, led him to the eventual realization in April 2024 that the transition from acting CIA director (Assistant Director) Michael Morrell to Senate confirmed Director David Petraeus occurred on the exact date of Audrey's Walla Walla, WA murder, September 6, 2011, and that the knife impulse provocations sequence that had been run on him for about four months before it was almost certainly experienced by the physical perpetrator who was used to attack Audrey in September 2011. The specific transition date of the transition from Acting Director Morrell to Director Petraeus is sourced from Wikipedia.

I. FURTHER, the knife wielding physical perpetrator's momentary sense of extreme rage during the attack was most probably very similar to the momentary biochemical rage induced in Lead Plaintiff during an unrecorded incident adjacent to Lead Plaintiff's residence between August 2008 and October 2010 in Cliffside Park, NJ, and then again years later using the illegal BRMT bioweapon in the August 2023 Tunnel Flash Incident documented at paragraph 619 HEXP-16, LPEE pages 11668.

J. FINALLY, the intent of defendant CIA, and of other elements of defendant UNITED STATES, in orchestrating this process against US persons would have been and would be to facilitate its future deployment against others which it illegally targets for assassination using unwitting third parties. Though targeted assassinations are illegal under US law, this entire complaint relates to systematic evasions of US law by defendant UNITED STATES including, without limitation, agencies of defendant DOJ, which have and do perpetrate such violations including within the sphere of state secret privilege, to systematically abridge the unalienable rights of US persons, which defendant DOJ, acting in its own perceived interests, not in the People's interests, has and does choose to continue to perpetrate and to ignore as conducted by others claiming discretion as the reason for its own self-interested acts.

K. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and

lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	10, 417-418, 612-615, 619 HEXP-9-12, 16
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-001
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0038, 2-0128, 2-0171, 2-0179, 2-0185
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	1 et al, 140 et al, 11668, LPEEV65-1
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Not applicable

**609. *HEXP-6 Illegal Human Experimentation: Personal and Intimate Relationships - Orchestrated BRMT and Other Interference in Marital Community With First Spouse, Lynne 1980-1988***

A. As forensically reverse engineered, defendants including, without limitation, FBI, CIA, and KCSD purposefully orchestrated the initial meeting of Lead Plaintiff and Lynne Boyle. Lynne Boyle was the double ex-wife, as they twice married, of a King County Sheriff's Department serial killer task force member, Gregory Boyle, who reported to defendant REICHERT, then was leader of that Task Force when REICHERT was promoted, and still later was Maple Valley, WA precinct commander, all while Gergory Boyle reported to REICHERT. Lead Plaintiff and Lynne were professionally isolated together at a months-long financial audit assignment at Safeco in early 1980. Deloitte Seattle was used by defendant UNITED STATES. Primarily supervised by defendant USMS, Deloitte Seattle was a commercial cover operation for various legal and illegal domestic and international spying operations, as well as for the continued illegal development of the BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system (paragraphs 359-399). Lead Plaintiff's introduction to Lynne was facilitated by the direct

assignment of an embedded FBI agent or romantic interest thereof, Margaret Dufresne, to the Safeco financial audit project as its overall manager. Margaret presented as the romantic partner and later wife of Bruce Ciosacchi, who was known to Lead Plaintiff and to Lynne, to be an FBI agent. Lead Plaintiff, romantic partner and later wife Lynne, Margaret Dufresne, and Bruce Ciosacchi maintained a social relationship for several years after this initial four month project assignment in 1980.

B. Among other illegal BRMT and coercive psychological manipulations in the 1980s, while defendants WEISSMAN, ROSENBERG, and BURNS, were proximate, defendant UNITED STATES later attempted to endanger spouse Lynne and to entrap Lead Plaintiff in, among other malign events, the Stevens Pass Ski Area anger (BRMT hijacked adrenaline) flash and subsequent dangerous walk-off by Lynne, related at paragraph 621 RGTS-1.

C. In 1987-88, defendant UNITED STATES, principally acting through BURNS, SWAIN, ROSENBERG, WATERS, TARPLEY, destroyed this first marital community with Lynne. Defendant UNITED STATES used the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system to emotionally hijack Lynne with heavy doses of the hormone oxytocin while she was engaged in a heavy professional work schedule at US West New Vector Group in the presence of serial adulterer SWAIN (paragraph 440, 496, 600H NSEC-1, 609C HEXP-6) to orchestrate a relationship with SWAIN. A combination of (i) Lead Plaintiff's work-related absences for extended weekly travel at Deloitte Seattle, her two daughters having both recently left the family home to attend college, and Lynne's excessive work assignments requiring extensive overtime hours and creating exhaustion, were used to create fatigue and emotional distance, and illegal BRMT hacks of her pineal gland to produce oxytocin, which operated together to create her attraction to serial adulterer SWAIN, and to break Lynne's relationship

with Lead Plaintiff. Defendants eventually succeed in causing and creating the circumstances of the divorce by delivering these overdoses of oxytocin (love hormone) in 1987-88 to Lynne in the presence of her best work friend's husband, SWAIN, a serial adulterer. This pattern of racketeering acts resulted in the divorces of both couples; forced the liquidation of community real property, improvements, and other assets; and caused and created the loss of marital community, of mutual emotional and financial support, and a wide range of future financial benefits from that marital community which would have been sustained if it remained intact including, without limitation, accretion of financial assets and real property appreciation of the residence on NE 133rd Street, Redmond, WA, Interline Exhibit 13.

D. Defendant UNITED STATES used this sequence in the 1980s, as it would do again many times in the future with steadily increasing frequency as times passes as related herein, to inflict psychological stress on its unwitting human biomedical experiment subjects to support its development and deployment of the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system, as it directly interfered in the personal lives, careers, and brain biochemistry of both spouses. This cycle of destruction and its acceleration across time are indicative of obsessive, compulsive psychopathy (paragraph 820O-Q). It is also indicative of the sustained and increasing damage knowingly and willfully perpetuated and accelerated by the pattern of fraudulent concealment, willful blindness, and neglect to prevent of defendant DOJ, which enables these emboldened criminal perpetrators of defendant UNITED STATES to extend and accelerate their pattern of illegal acts, violations, and injuries. The pathology of this cycle is repeatedly demonstrated in the series of development cycles of the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system described throughout this complaint. This specific cycle was both a successor and a precursor to the murderous cycles cited at paragraphs 609 and 803.

E. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. Paragraph 604 HEXP-1 subparagraph I is incorporated herein by reference. Paragraph 608 HEXP-5 subparagraphs C, D, E are incorporated herein by reference See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	13
Complaint paragraphs:	359-399, 440, 496, 600H NSEC-1, 609C HEXP-1, 621 RGTS-1
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-010, 1-011, 1-014, 1-015
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0023 through 2-0039
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	153-154 (para 42-45), 181-182 (para 107-111), 8233-8262
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	D Brewer Marriage Cert May 5 1984 Lynne 840505.pdf D Brewer Marriage Cert May 5 1984 Lynne 840505.pdf

**610. HEXP-7 Illegal Human Experimentation: Personal and Intimate Relationships - Orchestrated BRMT and Other Interference in Fraudulent Marital Community With Second Spouse, Jeanette 1988-2005**

A. As forensically reverse engineered, when Lead Plaintiff's relationship with wife Lynne completely ended in 1988, he was reintroduced to Dorothy V. FULLER, a friend he had met on a United Way community fund budget allocation panel while at Deloitte Seattle through 1986. Defendant UNITED STATES used FULLER to hold Lead Plaintiff's interest for a time in early 1988 with the support of TARPLEY, an embedded federal agent employee of LazerSoft where Lead Plaintiff was CEO from 1990-1993. As Lead Plaintiff's divorce from Lynne was being processed by the Court in 1988, FULLER was then dropped out in Spring 1988 after about 2-3 months, so defendants CIA, ARMY, and BURNS could introduce a longer term romantic interest, Jeanette. Jeanette was most probably coerced into the relationship with Lead Plaintiff due to her deliberate inculcation in national security matters by defendant ARMY, which knew of her then illegal (in military service) sexual orientation, and worked to incriminate her specifically for this purpose by placing her in undercover intelligence assignments in the Middle East, where she may have known CORNWELL, as described at paragraphs 494-501.

B. Defendant WATERS, then reporting to Lead Plaintiff as a contract software engineer at LazerSoft, orchestrated the meeting of Lead Plaintiff and Jeanette (paragraph 494). He badgered Lead Plaintiff into agreeing to a week-night drinks session which "coincidentally" had the two males "drop in" on an obscure hotel basement cocktail lounge with a live band (most probably a police powers personnel live band) at the Greenwood Inn, Bellevue, WA. Several female co-conspirators were present at this "girls night out" which was used to introduce Jeanette Smith, who was then a temporary employee assigned to First American Title Insurance, Bellevue, WA.



C. Without limitation, defendants BURNS, WATERS, CIA, ARMY, FBI, USMS maneuvered Jeanette into position (paragraph 494-501). As Lead Plaintiff would learn later in 1988 when he visited her residence, she “coincidentally” resided directly across the street from the BURNS residence on 149th Street, Kirkland, WA. At the time, BURNS was a Board member of LazerSoft originally introduced by STONE, who either posed as or was an OB/GYN practicing at Evergreen Hospital in Kirkland, WA, and though unknown at the time, was the primary executive in charge of the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery program, succeeding BREYER and HOPPER. This orchestrated introduction in Spring 1988 (as Lead Plaintiff was going through divorce and more emotionally vulnerable than usual) eventually resulted in Lead Plaintiff’s March 1990 second marriage to Jeanette, just as Lead Plaintiff was also purchasing the assets of Steve’s Maintenance, an FBI or USMS cover operation (then completely unbeknownst to the unwitting Lead Plaintiff), later renamed Alliance Environmental Services (Alliance).

D. At least four lengthy informal separations (four to six months typical) were most probably orchestrated under military orders to facilitate the further development of the illegal BRMT bioweapon under the management of defendant BURNS, then defendant FAUCI, over a very challenging 15 year marriage to Jeanette from 1990 to 2005, while she likely remained under threat of deferred military prosecution throughout the period to continue manipulating her. Lead Plaintiff’s stepson Bryce (Jeanette’s son) developed symptoms of schizophrenia during his teen years and engaged in several violent outbursts against both Lead Plaintiff and his wife Jeanette, forcing them to remove him from the family home to avoid a deadly outburst against his mother Jeanette.

E. The disastrous acquisition of illegal cover company Steve's Maintenance, which had been and was then being surreptitiously used by defendant FBI in field investigations of the asbestos abatement industry, including its fraudulent deprivation of SBA government bid and performance bond guarantee benefits, and other criminal racketeering acts by defendant FBI, related at paragraphs 445-453, 471, 626 RGTS-6, 644B(i), 649, 650B(i), 651D, 653, 683 RICO-6, 11, 12, 13, 15, 45, led to Chapter 7 personal bankruptcy in late 1993. Multiple periods of financial instability included multiple orchestrated business failures, employment instability and unemployment, arbitrary termination. This sequence was a fifteen year rolling psychological, emotional, and financial disaster for Lead Plaintiff and for Jeanette, while residing directly across the street from defendant BURNS' alleged primary residence. Defendant BURNS was replaced sometime in the early middle 1990s as the cross-street neighbor.

F. For example, without limitation, the first residents who succeeded BURNS directly across from the 149<sup>th</sup> Street, Kirkland, WA residence where Lead Plaintiff and Jeanette resided, ostensibly owned a Vibra-Clean franchise, which can be used to secure illegal entry and general searches of private residences and businesses of interest to DOJ police powers agencies and prosecutors. The second family's male breadwinner posed as a realtor. Realtors commonly receive financial information from clients to qualify and assist in mortgage applications and can also be used to sustain programmatic human trafficking to support illegal BRMT and other malign programs by orchestrating residential choices into surreptitious cover housing using favorable rental rates and sales prices. Lead Plaintiff notes that both his Redmond and Kirkland residences, paragraphs 609, 610 HEXP-6, 7, sold extremely rapidly within two weeks after listing at prices favorable to the purchaser (whether an authentic private party, a favored private party, or a straw purchaser to restore to surreptitious ownership under cover is unknown), which

prices were recommended by the realtors involved to the Lead Plaintiff and the divorcing spouses. One realtor then proceeded, without authorization, a \$5,000 discount on the agreed listing price without consent on the 149<sup>th</sup> Street, Kirkland, WA property.

G. Despite these orchestrated problems, Lead Plaintiff rebuilt the house he was living in near Kirkland, Washington (see Interline Exhibit 14) which is directly across 149th Street from the initial cover residence used by Dr. Heffron (BURNS) into the early 1990s. Lead Plaintiff also rebuilt his personal credit beginning in 1994, after the defendant FBI imposed business failure of Alliance, which also occurred while living across 149th Street from Heffron (BURNS), and through a series of further trafficking and related acts, violations, and injuries by, without limitation, BURNS, ROSENBERG, CORNWELL, PERILLO, COOK, RUBIN, VINDMAN, MELBER, CIA, ARMY, FBI, USMS while residing in Washington state and working in multiple states and briefly in the United Kingdom, as related at other subcounts herein.

H. After approximately two years of dating and fifteen years of marriage from 1988 to 2005, defendant UNITED STATES again orchestrated the final destruction of the Lead Plaintiff's marital community with Jeanette in 2004-2005. As before, defendant UNITED STATES (including ROSENBERG, BURNS, CIA, ARMY, FBI) acted to support its development and deployment of the BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system by interfering directly in the personal lives, careers, and brain biochemistry of both spouses, causing, among other acts, violations, and injuries, financial distress and extended separations for the purpose of harming and destroying the marital community, and engaged in other deliberate acts which stressed, harmed, endanger and attempted to entrap spouses.

I. Defendants eventually succeed in causing and creating the circumstances of the divorce from Jeanette in 2005 including, without limitation, forced liquidation of real property and improvements at 149<sup>th</sup> Street, Kirkland, WA, wherein a carefully pre-planned pre-payment penalty on the final mortgage of \$9,950 orchestrated with spouse Jeanette by defendant UNITED STATES was piled onto other losses as the forced sale of the 149<sup>th</sup> Street, Kirkland, WA property was closed and net proceeds were distributed, and loss of marital community and mutual support, and a wide range of future financial benefits from an intact marital community, including accretion of financial assets and real property appreciation. Similar property theft abuses just under the \$10,000 reporting limit, which also constitute racketeering acts in deprivation of property rights by defendant UNITED STATES include, without limitation, paragraphs 656, 661 RICO-18, 23, 830D, 831G, and of an international \$5,000 reporting limit at paragraph 665.

J. All these orchestrated acts, as documented in paragraphs 600-710 NSEC 1-4, HEXP 1-17, RGTS-1-17 RICO-1 through 55, LETHL 1-17, were in the illegally imposed involuntary servitude to defendant UNITED STATES and directly benefitted and promoted the development of illegal BRMT brain hijacking by providing brain chemistry and neurological insights to defendant UNITED STATES and its co-conspirators, while they engaged in a systematic conspiracy against constitutional, statutory, and common law rights in an associated-in-fact enterprise fraudulently concealed under the illegal assertion of state secrets privilege in violation of, without limitation, 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *Reynolds*, which defendant DOJ, in its own interests and those of GARLAND, BURNS, and others, who have and do conspire to and continue to willfully neglect to prevent criminal violations of constitutional rights as their duties require by

42 U.S.C. § 1986 and under the United States Constitution, which imposes upon all Executive Branch appointees the duty to “take care that the laws be faithfully executed.”

K. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants’ long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES’ involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 604 HEXP-1 subparagraph I is incorporated herein by reference. Paragraph 608 HEXP-5 subparagraphs C, D, E are incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	14
Complaint paragraphs:	445-453, 471, 494-501; 626 RGTS-6; 644B(i), 649, 650B(i), 651D, 653, 683 RICO-6, 11, 12, 13, 15, 45
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-017 through 1-027
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0040, 2-0045, 2-0046, 2-0054, 2-0055, 2-0062 through 0092, 2-100 through 2-0121

LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	155-164 (paragraphs 45-69), 8263-8287, 8347-8350
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	D Brewer Marriage App Jeanette 1990 900330.pdf D Brewer Marriage App Jeanette 1990 900330.pdf Jeanette Timeline 1 061001.pdf Jeanette Timeline 2 061001.pdf Jeanette Timeline 3 061001.pdf Jeanette timeline email 061001.pdf

**611. *HEXP-8 Illegal Human Experimentation: Personal and Intimate Relationships - Orchestrated Romantic Interests, Induced Fraudulent Relationship – Stephanie Clifford (MODDERMAN) 2008***

A. As forensically reverse engineered, defendants used the online dating platform Match.com, owned and controlled by defendant MATCH GROUP, or its spoofing by an unknown defendant police powers operation, likely defendant UNITED STATES, to screen-in and screen-out persons of interest to Lead Plaintiff, to sustain its involuntary servitude; and to orchestrate, to conspire to arrange the introduction of a co-conspirator whether involved by choice or coercion, and to arrange a brief fraudulent relationship (about six weeks, six week separation for a mother and children summer trip, then one date to break up) between Lead Plaintiff and Marinka MODDERMAN (Stephanie Clifford) in 2008. This relationship required at least one of the two parties to engage in interstate travel at all times during the relationship. Lead Plaintiff spent over \$1,000 to travel and pay for meals and other entertainment during these 2008 fraudulent relationship dates.

B. Lead Plaintiff had dates with this New York City resident in New York City and Cliffside Park, NJ. Defendant UNITED STATES and MODDERMAN intended this act to continue the public discrediting process of Lead Plaintiff continued by FBI (ROSENBERG), USMS, CIA, ARMY in their 2002-2005 fraudulent family and business wrecking process in the Kirkland, WA area, through trafficking to Boston, MA and homelessness in 2006-2007, then to

ESTABLISH in Fort Lee, NJ for ten months of fraudulent employment in 2007-2008, including a Pittsburgh, PA cameo appearance by former FBI Director MUELLER in an upper floor office in PPG headquarters orchestrated by defendant ROSENBERG.

C. During one of the Cliffside Park dates in Spring 2008, as Lead Plaintiff experienced a period of erectile dysfunction (ED), MODDERMAN suggested a relocation but did not explain the actual purpose, saying “I thought it might help.” It did result in placing the Lead Plaintiff in front of his television, whereupon the illegal BRMT induced ED symptoms immediately disappeared. On knowledge and belief, the forensically reverse engineered purpose of this illegal BRMT (defendants CIA, FBI) sexual abuse in conspiracy with MODDERMAN was to relocate Lead Plaintiff, placing him in a position to be captured on a video camera hidden in the flat screen television, used for public replay as desired by defendant UNITED STATES after the live session recorded in this Cliffside Park, NJ “safe house” (with defendant CHALOM as landlord, USMS) where the unwitting Lead Plaintiff had been secretly relocated during his human trafficking from Boston, MA, and then resided from August 2007 to October 1, 2010 due to the human trafficking to defendant ESTABLISH by defendant ROSENBERG (FBI).

D. Lead Plaintiff and defendant MODDERMAN also took one weekend trip to rural NY and CT in late June 2008 related to the “wedding” of former co-worker PANKOWSKI at ESTABLISH (FBI, USMS) which included one night in a hotel where they were the only occupants on a summer weekend night, and a second night at a cabin alleged to be the summer home of the President of Yale University with two other “couples” and children. Introduced as friends of defendant MODDERMAN, their actual agency, higher education, and/or media affiliations are not specifically known and are subject to discovery.

E. Defendants ROSENBERG, ROSS, and UNITED STATES' orchestration of these events was again intended, as in past events, to maximize emotional distress by closely timing (i) the defendant ESTABLISH employment termination in June, (ii) the ostensible Pankowski "wedding" in June where (iii) past peers and bosses defendants ROSENBERG, ROSS, MCDONALD and other ESTABLISH employees were present, and (iv) the defendant MODDERMAN six week long separation in July-August, and breakup immediately thereafter, which was followed a few weeks later by (vi) a call from defendant MODDERMAN to Lead Plaintiff to express interest in further dates, which Lead Plaintiff declined.

F. The sexual abuse herein and at paragraph 821 specifically includes defendant DOJ, FBI, USMS, and CIA, other unknown police powers and press, media, and entertainment defendants, and the individual officials and persons therein in their deliberate, knowing, and willful election to engage in sexual abuse, and to slander, smear, libel, and interfere with contract rights of Lead Plaintiff in orchestrating fraudulent relationships, using MATCH GROUP websites either administered for their benefit or spoofed by them, between Lead Plaintiff and MODDERMAN in 2008, which included episodes of illegal BRMT sexual abuse by erectile dysfunction administered in accordance with a plan coordinated between CIA or elements of DOJ and MODDERMAN, and GIA in 2019-2020, paragraph 613 HEXP-10, which included episodes of illegal BRMT sexual abuse by erectile dysfunction administered in accordance with a plan coordinated between CIA or elements of DOJ and GIA for the corrupt purposes of introducing salacious sexual content which included Lead Plaintiff. These events and their public availability were intended to publicly humiliate, smear, and defame Lead Plaintiff as an element of defendants' criminal intent and conspiracy to construct a defamatory narrative about Lead Plaintiff, and to prevent him from pursuing his own interests which have



and do contradict that corrupt narrative including, without limitation, by falsely communicating the site of the Pentagon 9/11/2005 memorial service in media reports so Lead Plaintiff could not attend in 2005, the abuse of volunteer and public events at paragraph 526, Interline Exhibit 16, and paragraph 842B(ii), and systematic misdirection (LPEEV65-5). Defendants also engaged in sexual abuse of the Lead Plaintiff through these two defendants, MODDERMAN and GIA. The entire associated-in-fact enterprise pattern of racketeering acts and rights violations were all intended, together with other entrapments described herein, to conceal defendants' long-running corrupt and criminal public conduct, using public funds to sustain the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system developed by imposing illegal human subject medical experiments without consent upon the Lead Plaintiff and others from this religious group, and on other unknown plaintiffs, abused as their illegal human medical experiment subjects over decades, and to conceal their associated-in-fact enterprise pattern of racketeering acts, and their rights violations against, among other plaintiffs, the Lead Plaintiff since he was first human trafficked by defendant UNITED STATES at age 12. Members of his family have, and some continue, to practice a Quaker-based religious pacifist faith which defendant UNITED STATES has and does discriminate against based upon those pacifist beliefs while in military service to defendant ARMY, and subsequent to military service, have and do target them and their posterity for religious discrimination, and through color of law, for crimes against them, which have been and are perpetrated by, without limitation, defendant UNITED STATES (DOJ, FBI, USMS, and CIA) and its co-conspirator defendants herein.

G. Defendants also created other false allegations against Lead Plaintiff including, without limitation, of pedophilia, which they acted out in various public venues, using the children of police powers personnel in volunteer outings with New York Cares and in

psychological operations conducted using the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system (paragraphs 526, and in full knowledge of his actual conduct as described at paragraph 839) to create false public impressions of the Lead Plaintiff; with sex traps and female officers who preceded him on his walks in New York City, and on buses, subways, and trains for the purpose of creating and sustaining false narratives intended to slander, libel and defame the Lead Plaintiff and have and do interfere with contract rights with dating sites and public venues for this purpose (paragraphs 505, 608 HEXP-5); and have constructed and did sustain for years a terror narrative (paragraphs 464, 519, 555, 560, Interline Exhibits 17-18, 603B, F, G, L, 634C, 802B, 839) for the purposes of endangerment, libel, and slander of Lead Plaintiff; caused an event of forced public urination by coordinated police powers operations (paragraph 618 HEXP-15); orchestrated and provoked an illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system flash temper attack coordinated with undercover police powers personnel (paragraph 619 HEXP-16); and have systematically misdirected public narratives and public opinion, and deliberately misdirected the actions of co-conspirator defendants, all as misdirection for defendant UNITED STATES' own slander, libel, misrepresentation, deceit, interferences with contract rights, and other myriad acts, violations, and injuries of Lead Plaintiff's rights, as described in all sections of this complaint.

H. When the continuation of this public humiliation campaign against Lead Plaintiff failed to provoke a criminal response of any kind as a result of these interactions with MODDERMAN, and the stress of the ESTABLISH termination in close proximity, the pace of discrediting by defendants UNITED STATES, ROSENBERG, ROSS, and others was further escalated and accelerated by defendants DOJ, FBI, USMS and CIA, with the assistance of USSS and police powers operations including defendants NYPD, PAPD, NJTPD, and others. This

sequence from June 2008 through October 2010 while residing at the 282 Palisade Ave Apt. 5, Cliffside Park, NJ “safe house” under landlord CHALOM (USMS) follows:

- (i) termination from ESTABLISH by ROSS in June 2008 (paragraph 466), and
- (ii) theft of thousands of dollars of ESTABLISH compensation in July 2008 (paragraph 641 RICO-3),
- (iii) the fraudulent ESTABLISH co-worker PANKOWSKI (FBI) wedding, per emails here listed in LPEE pages by date:

MODDERMAN email re PANKOWSKI wedding Drumm attends 080625,  
MODDERMAN email re PANKOWSKI wedding Drumm attends 312pm 080625,  
MODDERMAN re wedding 080626,

- (iv) a no-notice breakup initiated by MODDERMAN, after a six-week hiatus ostensibly for a summer family visit her mother in Canada; all within 90-100 days, which was then followed by:
- (v) a request to reunite from MODDERMAN, declined by Lead Plaintiff in August 2010, then
- (vi) renovation work to the Cliffside Park apartment requested by CHALOM (USMS) in 2010, which led to no income of any kind for about two months during the renovation (paragraph 642, RICO-4 which evidence was later destroyed by FBI using the method described at paragraph 656 RICO-18),
- (vii) which renovation work was never fully paid by CHALOM, who inspected the work, informed Lead Plaintiff he had no recourse as a written contract was required for improvements over \$5,000 and provided \$5,200, resulting in both an

- out of pocket loss for materials, equipment, and equipment rental; and loss of compensation for all labor hours expended over approximately 6-8 weeks, and
- (viii) approximately \$10,000 “Bank of America” credit card default when the payments could not be made after cancellation of expensive credit insurance (premiums of about 5% of outstanding balance over about two years), and due to the renovations short pay, as well as the loss of two months of unemployment income during the renovations, (paragraph 642, RICO-4 which evidence was later destroyed by FBI using the method described at paragraph 656 RICO-18), then
  - (ix) a pattern of further public discrediting and harassing combined with illegal BRMT physical assaults such as cramping and tensing of muscles, sleep deprivation by BRMT adrenaline awakening;
  - (x) escalation to illegal BRMT torture (paragraph 606 HEXP-3) for a period of time which was sufficient to
  - (xi) induce a second suicide ideation at the southeast corner of Thompson Lane and River Road in Edgewater, NJ about 0.7 miles from his Cliffside Park, NJ residence (consistent with the CIA pattern of practice documented by the 1975 and 2014 Senate Intelligence Committee reports at paragraphs 337-341, followed by
  - (xii) preparation and filing of litigation in Newark federal court in June 2010,
  - (xiii) a notice to vacate from CHALOM (USMS) in July 2010,
  - (xiv) rejection and misdirection from Bergen County, NJ homeless shelter to a non-existent homeless shelter on October 1, 2010, a mental distress call to 911, which led to

- (xv) involuntary commitment represented as being for fourteen days, which was actually kidnapping to confinement (paragraph 808), at Bergen Regional Medical Center, Paramus, NJ, after an alleged hearing with no knowledge of any hearing and no prior contact with the “legal counsel” who had allegedly represented the Lead Plaintiff at that supposed October 2, 2010 hearing, which contact occurred about five days after Lead Plaintiff’s entry to the locked facility.

I. Defendants ROSENBERG, ROSS, CHALOM, PANKOWSKI, MODDERMAN, and UNITED STATES’ orchestration of these events was again intended, as in past events, to maximize emotional distress. These acts, violations, and injuries were and are intended to operate psychologically together to maximize gratuitous cruelty. MODDERMAN’s whereabouts are currently unknown to Lead Plaintiff but are very likely known to police powers defendants including defendant UNITED STATES, and are known to the Manhattan, New York City District Attorney’s office.

J. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants’ long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES’ involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953).

Paragraph 604 HEXP-1 subparagraph I is incorporated herein by reference. Paragraph 608 HEXP-5 subparagraphs C, D, E are incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597.

Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	16-18
Complaint paragraphs:	337-341, 466; 464, 505, 519, 526, 555, 560; 603B, F, G, L NSEC-4; 606, 608, 613, 618, 619 HEXP-3, 5, 10, 15, 16; 634C RGTS-14; 641, 642, 656 RICO-3, 4, 18; 802B, 821, 839, 842B(ii)
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-031, 1-032
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0148, 2-0149
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	10335-10342, 10346-10351, 10394-10422, 10428, LPEEV65-5, 6, 7
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Match Group Second Notice re Preserve Evidence 220122, Match EPL Response 221110, Match Group Legal Dept Email 221110, MODDERMAN email re PANKOWSKI wedding Drumm attends 080625, MODDERMAN email re PANKOWSKI wedding Drumm attends 312pm 080625, MODDERMAN re wedding 080626, MODDERMAN email re PANKOWSKI wedding Drumm attends 817am 080627

**612. HEXP-9 Illegal Human Experimentation: Personal and Intimate Relationships - Orchestrated Romantic Interests, Induced Fraudulent Relationship, Laura 2014-2018**

A. As forensically reverse engineered, defendants used online dating platforms, including Match Group websites and the Bumble.com website, or their spoofing by an unknown

defendant police powers operation to screen-in and screen-out women between 2011 and 2014, and to arrange a fraudulent online relationship with Laura AKOTO, ostensibly a resident of Ghana from 2014 into 2018. “Laura” was actually an online psychological operation and illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system oxytocin hijacking, using personal and salacious photos sourced online from a website which featured a female who was actually from Broward County, Florida and sold photos and access online.

B. As forensically reverse engineered, Lead Plaintiff encountered an online dating match from the greater New York City area in 2014 while living in Ramsey, NJ. As that online discussion procced, it turned out that the white female “Laura” who lived in “Ghana.” Over time and through a sequence of illegal BRMT oxytocin (“love” hormone) hijackings, defendant UNITED STATES combined email and wire frauds with illegal BRMT oxytocin (love hormone) hijacking to orchestrate and sustain theft of more than \$14,000 via Western Union and by using other money transfer sites which permit anonymous pickup of cash; as well as two cell phones, LPEE pages 7845 mailed Sep. 9, 2015, 7824 mailed Nov. 15, 2015, a PlayStation 1, and game cartridges, all sent by unwitting Lead Plaintiff to Ghana, addressed to Prince B. Quaye, Agona Swedru, Ghana as directed by Laura AKOTO. This allowed defendant CIA agents or assets two clean cutout phones, game hardware and cartridges for use in Ghana. International postal services were used to deliver these hardgoods to Ghana. Around 2017, Laura asked Lead Plaintiff to relay payments among two international parties through his US bank account. He agreed to do this, and later expressed discomfort, and halted the practice after one or two transfers, specific emails below:

AKOTO Laura re \$2K to Mr Prince from Porter Patten \$3K 171021,  
AKOTO Hints of money laundering entrap scam 171025,

C. In 2018, Lead Plaintiff discovered that the entire relationship was an online hoax which had been boosted by the yet to be identified illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system using oxytocin hijacking, wherein defendant CIA used video feeds which it originated illegally so its BRMT operators could determine the timing of these oxytocin “love” hormone boosts. This had been combined with the 2017 defendant FBI structured payments entrapment attempt at subparagraph 612B above. Laura was actually nothing more than an online persona based upon ordinary and salacious pictures of a Broward County, Florida resident who sold the salacious pictures online, as Lead Plaintiff eventually discovered by using a Google photo-match search tool in 2018.

D. This ability to remotely manipulate human behavior completely online to and including monetary thefts by using illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system remote hijacking of oxytocin represented illegal progress in the effectiveness of BRMT’s neurological hijacking of its victims, which went well beyond the previous in-person illegal BRMT hijackings demonstrated in prior interpersonal relationship orchestrations and breakups in the 1980 into early 2000s, to total remote hijacking of the human victim by 2014. Prior progressions of the illegal BRMT program from (i) on-site hijackings at age 12 through 18 (in the late 1960s to early 1970s) to (ii) remote triggering of a local device by cell phone around age 30 (mid-1980s) was emblematic of the technological progression of the illegal BRMT system over that time. This clearly demonstrates the intentional, malicious, and psychopathic progression of the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery program overall to leverage neuroscience and technical progress in way which Nazi Doctor Josef Mengele could only have wildly fantasized when he was trying to create the perfect Nazi soldier with experiments on involuntary human subjects in the Dachau Concentration Camp system in



western Europe. Recall that this set of principles undergirded CIA's Dr. Sidney Gottlieb's quest for mind control through MKUltra from 1953-1973 (paragraphs 61-67, 308-311, 332-341, 357-364, Interline Exhibit 3), which defendant CIA has never renounced.

E. Defendant UNITED STATES most probably employed this method of extreme illegal BRMT biomedical abuse to orchestrate the murder of Audrey Brewer in September 2011 (paragraph 10) using an physically and emotionally abused female intermediary as the direct perpetrator while acting in apparent extreme rage under the direct influence of the illegal BRMT bioweapon system used to physically hijack her rate of pineal gland extreme adrenaline surge (adrenaline fight or flight hormone) to provoke the knife slashing attack which resulted in Audrey Brewer's death from the slashing of her carotid artery in her neck. The female perpetrator had absolutely no history of violence at any time but was also being psychologically provoked by the psychologically manipulative male who was involved in the relationships with both women at various times. The psychological abuse by the apparent perpetrator was used in the moment to conceal the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system and its human operator from detection as the actual perpetrator of the extreme biomedical hijacking.

E. This momentary sense of extreme rage which was most probably experienced by the knife wielder is comparable to the momentary biochemical rage induced in Lead Plaintiff by the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system in the August 2023 Manhattan Subway Tunnel Flash Incident documented at paragraph 619 HEXP-16, LPEE pages 11668 and during an unrecorded incident adjacent to Lead Plaintiff's residence between August 2008 and October 2010 in Cliffside Park, NJ. The intent of defendant UNITED STATES (CIA) in orchestrating this process against US persons would have been and would be to facilitate its future deployment against others which it targets for assassination.

F. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 604 HEXP-1 subparagraph I is incorporated herein by reference. Paragraph 608 HEXP-5 subparagraphs C, D, E are incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	10, 61-67, 308-311, 332-341, 357-364, 619 HEXP-16
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Not applicable
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0171, 2-0179 through 2-0181, 2-0185
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	7467-8179 (2014-2018), and 2023 Financial Times photo confirmation of identity at 7470-7470A, 11668, LPEEV65-1
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	AKOTO re AltaVista bad actor 161018, AKOTO re BLACKPOOL then DD 170315, AKOTO Laura re \$2K to Mr Prince from Porter Patten \$3K 171021,

	AKOTO Hints of money laundering entrap scam 171025, AKOTO Ramsey Fixup Expenses 171027 AKOTO Mailing Address 150101.pdf
--	---

**613. *HEXP-10 Illegal Human Experimentation: Personal and Intimate Relationships - Orchestrated Romantic Interests, Induced Fraudulent Relationship, GIA (Norelle Dean) 2019-2021***

A. As forensically reverse engineered, defendants used online dating platforms, including those of Match Group and Bumble, or their spoofing by an unknown defendant police powers operation to screen-in and screen-out persons of interest to Lead Plaintiff to arrange the introduction of their co-conspirator whether by choice or coercion. Except for the first female who was a white person, allegedly from the nation of Georgia, all fifteen or so participants were Black females of varying backgrounds and employment from entertainment clubs to medical school, belying their purposeful screening in by police powers defendants for this purpose, most likely to attempt to manipulate the Lead Plaintiff into some racially oriented speech or conduct, which pattern has been seen repeatedly since that time.

B. Defendants then arranged a fraudulent relationship between Lead Plaintiff and Norelle Dean (GIA), aka Gia Shakur, aka Tina Rhinehart, whereabouts currently unknown to Lead Plaintiff, but known to defendants DOJ, FBI, USMS, CIA and various other police powers defendants in the greater New York City area. Lead Plaintiff had dates with this New York City resident in New York City and in Edgewater, NJ, along with one trip to New Orleans, LA, all of which required at least one of the two parties to engage in interstate travel. Lead Plaintiff spent over \$1,000 to travel and pay for meals and other entertainment during these fraudulent relationship dates, provided cash gifts including to assist in paying for a poetry workshop, purchased an Apple computer, and purchased an air ticket and hotel stay for a solo return trip to

New Orleans for GIA after their relationship was ended by GIA. The dates occurred between December 2019 and 2021 and are further described at LPEE Table 2 paragraph 2-0188.

C. Both this relationship with GIA in 2019-2020, and the relationship with MODDERMAN in 2008, paragraph 611 HEXP-8, included episodes of illegal BRMT sexual abuse by erectile dysfunction administered in accordance with a plan coordinated between CIA or elements of DOJ and these women. The sexual abuse herein and at paragraph 821 specifically includes defendants DOJ, FBI, USMS, and CIA, other unknown police powers and press, media, and entertainment defendants, and the individual officials and persons therein in their deliberate, knowing, and willful election to engage in sexual abuse,

D. These relationships were both also intended to slander, smear, libel, and interfere with contract rights of Lead Plaintiff in orchestrating fraudulent relationships, using MATCH GROUP websites either administered for their benefit or spoofed by them, for the corrupt purposes of developing salacious sexual content which included Lead Plaintiff, and through their public availability were intended to humiliate, smear, and defame Lead Plaintiff as an element of their criminal intent and conspiracy to construct a defamatory narrative about Lead Plaintiff, and to prevent him from pursuing his own interests which have and do contradict that corrupt narrative.

E. This coercive psychological game plan has also been run repeatedly by these defendants since at least 2004, featuring other ethnicities and races who are screened in and clustered for provocative purposes. This pattern is psychologically consistent with other patterns of practice resembling psychopathy described at paragraph 820O-Q.

F. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running

schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 604 HEXP-1 subparagraph I is incorporated herein by reference. Paragraph 608 HEXP-5 subparagraphs C, D, E are incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	16-18
Complaint paragraphs:	10, 464, 505, 519, 526, 555, 560; 603B, F, G, L NSEC-4; 608, 613, 618, 619 HEXP-5, 10, 15, 16; 634C RGTS-14, 802B, 821, 839, 842B(ii)
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Not applicable
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0188 through 2-0192
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	10256-10258, 11668, LPEEV65-1, LPEEV65-5
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Gia first date 211207 (note actual date was in 2019) Match Group Second Notice re Preserve Evidence 220122, Match EPL Response 221110, Match Group Legal Dept Email 221110

***Biological and Medical Invasions – Personal Humiliation, Endangerment, Illness***

**614. HEXP-11 Illegal Human Experimentation: Personal and Intimate Relationships - Orchestrated Romantic Interests, BRMT Induced Erectile Dysfunction 2005, 2008, 2020-2021**

A. As forensically reverse engineered, defendant UNITED STATES used intimate relationships between Lead Plaintiff and a series of women in 2005, 2008, and 2020-2021 to field deploy increasingly sophisticated BRMT functionality against the Lead Plaintiff by inducing erectile dysfunction (ED). In 2005, two dates resulted in ED failures (BRMT induced). In 2008, BRMT was again induced but offset by the prescription medication tadalafil. In 2020 into 2021, defendant UNITED STATES again used its BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system to induce a progression of ED symptoms despite the tadalafil medication, indicating that BRMT bioweapon sophistication had evolved by that time to be much more granular in its effects on the brain, central nervous system, and muscular control required to attain and sustain an erection, as a variety of conditions could be induced and reversed in the moment as desired by the operator.

B. Periodically throughout and after each of these cycles, Lead Plaintiff's erectile dysfunction has completely disappeared, directly indicating that the ED symptoms were explicitly due to illegal BRMT bioweapon intervention. This BRMT direct control acts through the brain and the central nervous system to affect control of the muscles which control blood flow in the penis. Managed in the moment by a human operator, an employee of defendant UNITED STATES, most probably defendant CIA, this individual operator observing the intimate scene (using a locally embedded fiber optic camera, a PC camera, an infrared camera through non-metallic curtains, and other such options, or a coordinated verbal code with the accomplice in the scene) determines the specific timing of each BRMT ED intervention, electing

an outcome which in its most modern form can vary the degree and duration of the erection at the operator's command. Accomplishing this result required coordination among the personnel with direct operational control of the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system, field personnel - agents, confidential informants, or other consenting parties willing or coerced to engage sexually with the Lead Plaintiff.

C. Wire frauds were used to orchestrate all these sexual dates, some of which were the subject of other sub-counts in this Complaint. Lead Plaintiff expended personal funds on travel and entertainment during these fraudulent relationship dates.

D. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 604 HEXP-1 subparagraph I is incorporated herein by reference. Paragraph 608 HEXP-5 subparagraphs C, D, E are incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at

pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597.

Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	Not applicable
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-060
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0115, 2-0148, 2-0188 through 2-0190
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	1 et al, 140 et al
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Not applicable

**615. *HEXP-12 Illegal Human Experimentation: BRMT Orchestrated Personal Movements and Orchestrated Activities***

A. 2008: As forensically reverse engineered, illegal BRMT bioweapon inducement of strong anxiety in advance of a film festival in Telluride, CO which Lead Plaintiff had arranged, then cancelled as shown at LPEE pages 10365-10375 while employed at ESTABLISH, is emblematic of this pattern of illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system biochemical emotional hijackings. This anxiety-induced vacation cancellation came in May 2008 after an earlier Winter 2008 ski vacation to Park City, UT. The Park City, UT solo ski trip was used by defendant UNITED STATES to arrange an “incidental” view of classified pulse jet technology in flight operations during this early 2008 trip on the day before Lead Plaintiff visited the Hill Air Force Base Museum near Ogden, UT. See other examples of this type of physical and logistical movement intervention and control herein using the illegal BRMT brain hijacking system. These illegal manipulations are a daily occurrence to Lead Plaintiff by defendant UNITED STATES’ use of its illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system to hijack and sustain involuntary servitude of Lead Plaintiff in his daily life as described herein.



B. 2018-2023: As forensically reverse engineered, defendant UNITED STATES used the illegal BRMT bioweapon and online websites which it controls or spoofs including, without limitation, EventBrite and Club Free Time. These defendant UNITED STATES and other defendant police powers connected and manipulated activities and internally programmed events (which have and do incorporate press, media, and entertainment defendants who are permitted special access) most probably began in November 2018 or early 2019 (soon after Lead Plaintiff's human trafficking from Ramsey, NJ to Edgewater, NJ (paragraph 648 RICO-10) and continued until December 2023, as partially documented at LPEEV65-5, when the accessibility was to events was terminated as the reservation system used to receive the discount ticket was no longer accessible and complaints to Club FreeTime were met with no resolution. Other prior evidence of this specific conduct was deleted by defendant UNITED STATES from Lead Plaintiff's Outlook calendar in early September 2023.

C. These events and activities were intended to manage, direct, and control Lead Plaintiff's movements, and to organize controlled in-house events and schedule non-existent events for the purposes of developing specific narratives about personal interests, arranging fruitless travel to non-existent events to frustrate Lead Plaintiff, to organize delivery of verbal threats, and for other purposes (see LPEE pages 420, 456-459, 460-464, 543, 548-563, 564-571, 575-597, 598-606), all for the convenience of the defendants and to sustain management, control, direction, and frustration of the Lead Plaintiff including, without limitation:

- (i) managing venues and internally crafted and orchestrated events and performances performances to sustain isolation and attempt to introduce its own personnel for the purpose of controlling Lead Plaintiff's activities and associations with others,

- (ii) to foster a sense and reality of physical and emotional isolation and magnify a sense of aloneness,
- (iii) to impose control of daily movements as basic as the timing of shopping trips so orchestrated events, including, without limitation, contaminated foods (such as bagged spinach, milk, and brats), and stockouts of common products such as cereals and vegetables, could be orchestrated; and so field harassment sequences such as aisle blocking, physical obstacles, and personal obstructions, including knowing endangerment of fragile elderly persons by police powers defendants while the Lead Plaintiff has been subjected to illegal BRMT hijacking using elevated adrenaline (enhancing flight, fight, and anxiety as elderly people blocked his path in ACME market in Edgewater, NJ and other locations) have been run by field personnel and volunteers at all hours of day and night;
- (iv) to purposefully misdirect non-randomized directed walks which have and do include psychological operations by flipping Lead Plaintiff's sense of direction in New York City and by orchestrating apparently random walk paths using illegal BRMT brain hijacking at the moment of direction of travel decision;
- (v) to manage the timing of his arrival at events or his missing of events;
- (vi) to elect and cancel Lead Plaintiff's routine and vacation travel, and many other activities and actions inside his personal residence and in public places.

D. October-November 2023: A 20 day event sequence captured in Lead Plaintiff's notes from October 10, 2023 to November 8, 2023 incorporated herein as LPEEV65-3, is emblematic of overall police powers conduct across multiple jurisdictions in federal, state, and local governments since departure from CNA in September 2002. This specific event sequence

includes three local police powers operations (PAPD, DC, NYPD) in coordination with the defendant UNITED STATES BRMT team to create a street level narrative of an emotionally disturbed person (Lead Plaintiff) which were run during an October 10, 2023 trip to file letters and documents in Congressional offices in Washington, DC (LPEE pages 12121-12149), then a Complaint in the federal court in the Southern District of New York (23-cv-09605, Appendix 1 – Prior Filings History), then a series of local events in and around New York City (LPEEV65-3).

E. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants’ long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES’ involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 604 HEXP-1 subparagraph I is incorporated herein by reference. Paragraph 608 HEXP-5 subparagraphs C, D, E are incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	648 RICO-10, Appendix 1 – Prior Filings History
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	420, 456-459, 460-464, 543, 548-563, 564-571, 575-597, 598-606, 10365-10375, 12121-12149, LPEEV65-3, LPEEV65-5
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	New York Cares Library Bowling Outing 080815

**616. *HEXP-13 Illegal Human Experimentation: Reckless Endangerment Through BRMT Induced Defamation***

A. As forensically reverse engineered, defendant UNITED STATES has and does engage in decades long protracted coercive psychological operations and illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system biochemical hijackings of Lead Plaintiff's words and actions from approximately 2000, which have been and are intended to and do publicly defame and mischaracterize the Lead Plaintiff's own natural personal pattern of conduct when not being directly subjected to coercive psychological operations and/or illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system hijacking. Combined with defendant UNITED STATES' (i) careful pre-texting of Lead Plaintiff in national security matters, which accelerated after the September 11, 2001 terrorist attack allowed them new powers and virtually free reign to trample "unalienable" rights, (ii) their purposeful public internet exposure of Lead Plaintiff, and (iii) general public and police powers paranoia surrounding defendant United States' explicit documented failure at defendant FBI to interdict the 9/11 attack by disrupting the aircraft hijackers during training, these illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system hijackings have and do recklessly endanger the Lead Plaintiff's life and those who surround him, both members of the public and necessary undercover security now commonly required. Both deliberately malign police powers operations and public vigilantism have and do endanger and

have been and are used in numerous attempts to defame and discredit the Lead Plaintiff in the view of the general public and to attempt to exculpate these defendants.

B. Defendants UNITED STATES, primarily acting through defendants CIA, FBI, and USMS, and unknown co-conspirators who are almost certainly other police power departments and agencies, has and does engage in making and acting, directly and indirectly in threats and violence which is not directly attributable, and by engaging themselves and others through their agents, in public mayhem in an effort to attract a violent event directed specifically at Lead Plaintiff. Within one four month period in 2022, defendants (i) made an indirect verbal threat on the Lead Plaintiff in a New York City performance space on July 16, 2022 as described at Interline Exhibit 15A; (ii) a mass transit derailment attempt initiated just after sundown while traveling toward the setting sun in the train engineer's eyes at 50-60 mph against an express train operating on the Metropolitan Transportation Authority Hudson River Line on September 11, 2022 with the Lead Plaintiff as a passenger as described in paragraph 707 LETHL-14 (Interline Exhibit 15B), (iii) upon the Lead Plaintiff in defendant NYC (City of New York) Morningside Park on September 17, 2022 while using the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system, as described at paragraph 708 LETHL-15 (Interline Exhibit 15C), and (iv) on NYC (New York City) streets with their streetlights deliberately extinguished on November 18, 2022, and by a rapidly accelerating vehicle in a BERGEN (Bergen County) New Jersey shopping center parking lot on November 19, 2022 as described at LETHL-16 while traveling to and from theater performances (see also Interline Exhibit 15D, and these two specific theater performances listed at LPEEV65-5). The Bio-Lab arson fire and US Airways Flight 1549, paragraphs 602 NSEC-3, 606A-D HEXP-3, 673 RICO-35, both proximate to the Lead Plaintiff in relationship (Bio-Lab, paragraph 602 NSEC-3) or location (US Airways 1549, paragraph

606B, C, D HEXP-3), are elements of this overall pattern of domestic violence and sabotage intended by defendant UNITED STATES to create the appearance of mayhem and violence following the Lead Plaintiff, consistent with defendant's other unfounded rumors and misdirection intended to skyline Lead Plaintiff as the root cause of this violence, which these defendants themselves directly created to conceal their other illegal acts and misdirection. Discovery will provide further specific evidence relevant to each noted incident and more incidents of such acts, both survived and likely not survived, by members of this class of plaintiffs. These acts and injuries are representative of those perpetrated by defendants on this class of plaintiffs.

C. Defendants also created other false allegations against Lead Plaintiff including, without limitation, (i) of pedophilia which they acted out using the children of police powers personnel in volunteer outings with New York Cares, and in psychological operations conducted using the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system (paragraphs 526, and in full knowledge of his actual conduct as described at paragraph 839) to create false public impressions of the Lead Plaintiff; (ii) with sex traps and female officers who preceded him on his walks in New York City, and on buses, subways, and trains. Their intent and purpose has been and is to create and sustain false narratives intended to slander, libel and defame the Lead Plaintiff. Defendants (iii) have and do interfere with contract rights with dating sites and public venues for this purpose (paragraphs 505, 608 HEXP-5); and (iv) have constructed and did sustained for years a terror narrative (paragraphs 464, 519, 555, 560, Interline Exhibits 17-18, 603B, F, G, L, 634C, 802B, 839) for the purposes of endangerment, libel, and slander of Lead Plaintiff; (v) caused an event of forced public urination by coordinated police powers operations (paragraph 618 HEXP-15); (vi) orchestrated and provoked an illegal BRMT bioweapon and

bioweapon delivery system flash temper attack coordinated with undercover police powers personnel (paragraph 619 HEXP-16); and (vii) have systematically misdirected public narratives and public opinion, and deliberately misdirected the actions of co-conspirator defendants, all as misdirection for defendant UNITED STATES' own slander, libel, misrepresentation, deceit, interferences with contract rights, and other myriad acts, violations, and injuries of Lead Plaintiff's rights as described in all sections of this complaint.

D. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 604 HEXP-1 subparagraph I is incorporated herein by reference. Paragraph 608 HEXP-5 subparagraphs C, D, E are incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	17-18
Complaint paragraphs:	419-584; 603B, F, G, L NSEC-4; 608, 618, 619 HEXP-5, 15, 16; 634C RGTS-14; 802B, 839
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-027 to end
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0083 to end
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	140 et al, 368-793
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Not applicable

**617. *HEXP-14 Illegal Human Experimentation: BRMT Induced Adverse Medical Reactions, Symptoms, and Illnesses 1980 to present***

A. As forensically reverse engineered, Lead Plaintiff has and does experience notably atypical progressions of medical symptoms, quite unnatural to their normal progressions in medical presentation, to wit, the nasal allergy and eye aging progressions documented here. Other abnormal progressions related to the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system include unusual excess hormone production spikes, extreme unexplained illness symptoms upon return from London in 1994 or 1995 which led to life threatening deep vein thrombosis (severe blood vein clotting), symptoms of non-Hodgkins lymphoma detected in glandular swelling under both armpits in August 2007, and other symptoms noted in the referenced evidence.

**Persistent Atypical Allergy Symptoms**

B. Allergy symptoms, which in the early 1980s abruptly presented then later mysteriously disappeared despite having lived in the exact same environment with evergreen trees since birth, were diagnosed by a Redmond, Washington allergist as a primary allergy to deciduous trees, despite the near complete absence of deciduous trees in Lead Plaintiff's normal living environment. The problem steadily worsened from the 1980s through 2005 in Washington



state (nicknamed the Evergreen State for the obvious reason its trees are almost 100% evergreen trees). The condition required persistent nasal and oral steroid use and resulted in frequent nasal infections and antibiotic use.

C. During this worsening allergy progression, nasal surgery was performed in the early 1990s in the same Evergreen Surgical Center medical building where defendant BURNS allegedly had his OB/GYN medical practice adjacent to Evergreen Hospital in Kirkland, Washington. The nasal surgery may have been used to conceal a surreptitious implantation in the sinus cavity of a passive RF device used in the further development of illegal BRMT brain hijacking system to improve location accuracy for placement of remotely administered BRMT brain hijacks as the system was evolved from a local device to a remote device. Passive radio frequency devices (implanted and applied passive RF “chips”) are now commonly used in animal identification systems, in entrance control systems, to identify and track physical assets like computers, forklift, and trucks, and to locate and control inventory.

D. The extremely persistent allergy symptoms described above changed dramatically, in a very unexpected and medically unnatural direction, after Lead Plaintiff was human trafficked by the FBI wrecking progression from Kirkland, Washington and its millions of fir, hemlock, and cedar evergreen trees to Boston, Massachusetts on December 24, 2005. Boston is a heavily treed city with millions of deciduous trees. Lead Plaintiff’s medically diagnosed allergy, supposedly to deciduous trees, nearly vanished and has never again presented in any particularly notable manner though today, even when Spring and early Summer pollen loads are heaviest, despite deciduous trees being almost the only trees near any of his residences in the Boston and northern New Jersey area from 2006 to today.

### **Unexplained Bleeding From Eardrum**

E. Lead Plaintiff also noted an overnight hemorrhage from his left ear after a full night's sleep sometime later while Jeanette was still present in the 149<sup>th</sup> Street, Kirkland, WA house. There was no reasonable medical explanation for a near sea level eardrum break resulting in bleeding in the middle of the night in a healthy 40-45 year old with no history of ear infections, no audiology issues, and no earache or pressure being experienced during that period, and despite nearly a million air miles over several prior decades. The Lead Plaintiff's persistent head tilt to the left for many years was likely used as a field identification method, as his disturbed equilibrium from a device implanted in the left ear caused a persistent head tilt to the left, which was used to make him easier for field observers to identify during a development phase to test a new illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system set of pulsed commands. A possible hardware upgrade is another feasible explanation, though less likely, for this medically implausible event.

F. Since medical software can be hacked to conceal medical issues by surreptitious means, an independent MRI using medical technology and software securely furnished by the manufacturer, is required to establish whether this smoking gun evidence is present in Lead Plaintiff's sinuses and/or ear canal.

### **Strong Headaches, Presbyopia, And Atypical Reversal Of Presbyopia**

G. Further to improbable organic medical explanations for synthetically driven BRMT related medical sequences, Lead Plaintiff began experiencing strong headaches a few days after joining CNA Industrial Engineering in November 1996. Defendant FAUCI first appeared soon thereafter to the unwitting Lead Plaintiff posing as CNA founder Larry Cook, identified in 2024 as the executive program manager of the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system from at least 1996 forward for an unknown number of years. Lead Plaintiff's visit to an

optometrist resulted in a moderate strength bifocal prescription, consistent at age 51 with normal aging. Subsequent visits continued this normal age related progression until 2008. However, since 2008, Lead Plaintiff has been informed on each successive visit that his prescription strength was being reduced, through and including at his most recent vision check-up in 2022. This vision progression since 2008, as verbally represented by his eye doctors and in their written prescriptions, directly contradicts the normal progression over time of virtually everyone who must use prescription eyewear.

H. These unexplained and reversing progression are atypical, and further circumstantial evidence of defendant UNITED STATES' manipulations of medical symptoms through illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system biomedical abuses to sustain these adverse medical reactions, stresses, and deliberately contriving sinus symptoms and the resultant infections in Washington state in order to orchestrate sinus surgery as it continued its illegal involuntary servitude and illegal medical subjugation and victimization of Lead Plaintiff.

I. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953).

Paragraph 604 HEXP-1 subparagraph I is incorporated herein by reference. Paragraph 608 HEXP-5 subparagraphs C, D, E are incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	419-584
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0001, 2-0002, 2-0013, 2-0014, 2-0022, 2-0026, 2-0028, 2-0065, 2-0067, 2-0076, 2-0099, 2-0115, 2-0131, 2-0150, 2-0153, 2-0189, 2-0193, 2-0196, 2-0197, 2-0198, 2-0200, 2-0202, 2-0213
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	10306-10310, LPEEV65-3
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Not applicable

**618. *HEXP-15 Illegal Human Experimentation: BRMT Forced Public Urination Sequence, 2022***

A. As forensically reverse engineered, defendant UNITED STATES, CIA, NYPD, with MTA, orchestrated a forced public urination sequence using BRMT after Lead Plaintiff attended a Saturday afternoon rally in Foley Square, NYC in 2022. The normal 10 minute subway return trip from Foley Square to Grand Central Terminal took an exceedingly long time, nearly 60 minutes, including the initial Foley Square subway station wait for a train which runs every 20 minutes on Saturdays. This permitted additional fluid to accumulate during the delay and required cross agency coordination between NYPD (perhaps incorporating embedded CIA personnel), the MTA train operator, and the CIA personnel who operate the illegal BRMT brain

hijacking device. See LPEE page 11667 for the detailed description of this specific forced public humiliation, which is consistent with defendant UNITED STATES' overall historical pattern of practice of discrediting, humiliating, and retaliating directly against whistleblowers and victims.

B. Further evidence of these BRMT bioweapon induced bodily reactions and responses is likely to be available through the discovery process, including the recovery of the Lead Plaintiff's own records currently in the hands of defendants, through deposition of defendant UNITED STATES' BRMT operator (a local CIA employee in the vicinity of Lead Plaintiff or a remote operator acting through a locally deployed person acting as a scout), as well as the routine internal reports of these incidents authored and controlled by defendants, particularly the classified BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system defendant UNITED STATES uses without Constitutional authority and in violation of rights, law, and ratified international treaties, and which operations comprise crimes against US persons. To the extent they have not been destroyed, medical records, likely including copies maintained by defendant UNITED STATES, its medical contractors and/or researchers, can also be discovered to validate these claims.

C. This type of coordination has been experienced frequently in other situations including, without limitation, performance spaces and seating arrangements, bus trip delays and cancellations, fraudulent bomb scares at Port Authority Bus Terminal, protracted Lincoln Tunnel delays not experienced except in episodic cycles having little or nothing to do with seasonal, holiday, or normal peak hour traffic patterns, documented elsewhere including, without limitation, at paragraphs 629, 630 RGTS-9, 10.

D. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude

over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953).

Paragraph 604 HEXP-1 subparagraph I is incorporated herein by reference. Paragraph 608 HEXP-5 subparagraphs C, D, E are incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597.

Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	629, 630 RGTS-9, 10
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-067
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-001, 2-0217
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	140 et al, 575-597, 598-606, 10372
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Not applicable

**619. HEXP-16 Illegal Human Experimentation: BRMT Public Flash Temper Hijacking, 2023**

A. Defendants orchestrated a dangerous Tunnel Flash Temper Hijacking using the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system to brain hijacking the Lead Plaintiff in a

pedestrian subway access tunnel under 42 Street near the Port Authority Bus Terminal in New York City, which hijacking caused a very abrupt and totally out of character action during a routine walk to catch a subway train, something the Lead Plaintiff does multiple times each week in the often crowded subway tunnels of New York City. Crowded conditions while walking are nothing new to someone who has spent over 16 years in the greater New York City area, so this was an extremely unusual reaction to an entirely normal situation by a highly emotionally stable person (paragraph 320e) is a cause for concern and alarm. A sudden flash of intense anger was caused and created by a BRMT hijacked extreme adrenaline flash, exposing the Lead Plaintiff and nearby pedestrians to risk of assault or injury from this deliberately hijacked action. This can pose the risk of a violent reaction, including by nearby undercover police powers personnel with weapons who do not recognize the true source of the disturbance or assault, and thereby result in severe injury or death. See the descriptive narrative at LPEE pages 11668-11670.

B. Further evidence of these BRMT bioweapon induced bodily reactions and responses is likely to be available through the discovery process, including the recovery of the Lead Plaintiff's own records currently in the hands of defendants, through deposition of direct witnesses, as well as routine internal reports of these incidents authored and controlled by defendants, particularly the classified BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system defendant UNITED STATES uses without Constitutional authority and in violation of rights, law, and ratified international treaties, which comprise crimes against US persons. To the extent they have not been destroyed, medical and other records, likely including copies maintained by defendant UNITED STATES, its medical contractors and/or researchers, can also be discovered to validate these claims.

C. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 604 HEXP-1 subparagraph I is incorporated herein by reference. Paragraph 608 HEXP-5 subparagraphs C, D, E are incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	320e
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-067
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0001, 2-0217
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	Not applicable
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Not applicable



**620. *HEXP-17 Illegal Human Experimentation: Biological and Medical Invasions – Food Borne Illnesses 2008-2010, 2018-2023***

A. As forensically reverse engineered, defendants orchestrated multiple episodes of food borne illnesses to Lead Plaintiff by arranging for spoiled food products to replace fresh refrigerated products on store shelves at ACME Market in Edgewater, NJ in 2008-2010 and again in 2020-2022. Bagged salads were contaminated with rotted and blackened spoiled spinach from 2008 through 2010, which risked potentially deadly salmonella and listeria infections. This pattern of illegal practice recurred in 2020-2022 in fresh milk and packaged Johnsonville sausage bratwurst which were allowed to spoil, then placed on the refrigerated dairy shelf for sale to the Lead Plaintiff shortly before he arrived. This contaminated food, which carried similar infectious disease risks was then removed before other customers could select them. This element, likely carried out by embedded personnel of USMS. (Edgewater, NJ is the location where Lead Plaintiff was again human trafficked by DOJ, FBI, and USMS in 2018 into the midst of the Senator Menedez corruption investigation described in this Complaint.)

B. All refrigerated foods which are spoiled originated at the ACME grocery store in Edgewater Commons shopping center in Edgewater, NJ. Some packaged foods originate there, and others are home delivered from WALMART in North Bergen, NJ. Emails evidencing Lead Plaintiff's correspondence with the parent company of the Acme Edgewater, NJ grocery store, Albertsons, customer service organization in 2020-2022 are no longer on the Lead Plaintiff's personal Hotmail.com email account as of the date this Complaint is being prepared, and were not deleted by the Lead Plaintiff, providing further indications of evidence tampering by defendant UNITED STATES.

C. Lead Plaintiff has also endured several episodes of vomiting from instant rice purchased and fulfilled through WALMART, North Bergen, NJ which was home delivered, so the chain of custody is not clear, as an uncontaminated purchased package could have been replaced before delivery by an embedded undercover agent or officer posing as the delivery agent. This was most probably deliberately mishandled after pre-cooking in a small batch so it would spoil before being dried and specially packaged in normal factory packaging but outside the normal production process.

D. Further evidence of these food borne illness induced bodily reactions and responses is likely to be available through the discovery process, including the recovery of the Lead Plaintiff's own records currently in the hands of defendants, through depositions, as well as routine internal reports authored and controlled by defendants, particularly the classified BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system defendant UNITED STATES uses. To the extent they have not been destroyed medical and other records maintained by defendant UNITED STATES, its medical contractors and/or researchers, can also be discovered to validate these claims.

E. This same malign harassing pattern of practice has been experienced frequently with other types of products, including, without limitation, mismarked and improperly sized clothing ordered and fulfilled online, remotely electronically hacked and defective printers which have been rendered unusable by these technical hacks, extreme tear resistance plastic packaging being used for ketchup and mustard condiment packaging at Rumsey Playfield, Central Park, New York City. These acts, violations, and injuries are used by police powers personnel to harass targeted persons and have been experienced with especially high frequency over the past three to four years, consistent with other patterns of misconduct described elsewhere throughout this

Complaint. This experience is typical of police powers abuses of government resources to harass targeted persons, particularly targeting whistleblowers exposing corrupt police powers practices.

F. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 604 HEXP-1 subparagraph I is incorporated herein by reference. Paragraph 608 HEXP-5 subparagraphs C, D, E are incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Not applicable
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0001, 2-0006, 2-0151, 2-152, 2-0191
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	Not applicable

Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	ACME emails are blocked by defendant UNITED STATES computer hack or deletion from electronic records
---	--

**INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS AND CONSPIRACIES (RGTS series offenses which incorporate paragraph 504 at all subcounts herein by reference.)**

***Entrapments, Illegal Searches, and Willful Blindness***

**621. RGTS-1 Rights Violations: Entrapment/Incrimination Attempts, Inculpatations - Stevens Pass 1980s, Longacres Murder 1980s, Pierce County Corruption Follow-on 1980s, Alistar Capital Probe 1996**

A. Stevens Pass 1980s: As forensically reverse engineered, defendant UNITED STATES and its defendant co-conspirators engaged in an illegal BRMT brain hijacking operation which posed extremely dangerous personal risk to Lead Plaintiff's first spouse Lynne during a ski outing to Steven's Pass, Washington in the 1980s. This operation also posed a grave risk of false incrimination to the Lead Plaintiff.

B. Defendant UNITED STATES created this scenario by initiating a public argument initiated by Lead Plaintiff's first wife Lynne expressing a surprising verbal outburst toward the Lead Plaintiff. During his reply, Lead Plaintiff swept his left hand to his left from a centered position and knocked over a glass of red wine. His spouse Lynne rose explosively and angrily from the table (carefully timed illegal BRMT gives this result from an extreme adrenaline surge, as shown in the 2023 Tunnel Flash incident documented herein at paragraph 619 HEXP-16). She walked angrily from the basement café in the oldest Stevens Pass day lodge (now replaced by the much larger Granite Peaks Lodge) and began to walk west down Steven Pass Highway toward Kirkland, WA, where they lived about 68 miles away.

C. After loading the ski equipment on the car, Lead Plaintiff located Lynne walking down the north side of the highway about 1 mile west of the summit and stopped to persuade her to return to the vehicle for the ride home, about 75 minutes by car. Lynne reluctantly and angrily

got into the car after a brief conversation. Had the Lead Plaintiff angrily left his spouse walking on that highway that night, Lead Plaintiff believes it is likely his spouse would not have returned home alive. Though it did not occur to him then, this would have been the perfect setup of police powers witnesses in the basement café, and an angry events sequence for her mysterious disappearance and his probable incarceration as the prime suspect. See paragraphs 494-498, 600G through I, 609 HEXP-6, LPEE page 182.

D. During the 1980s, Lead Plaintiff functioned as a member of the unwitting clean-up crew who assisted with accounting and finance related projects which followed defendants FBI and USMS in, without limitation, the Longacres Racetrack, Renton, WA chemist murder, a Pierce County, WA public corruption probe, and dozens of other domestic surveillance projects and cover operations dressed as consulting projects. Lead Plaintiff was deliberately positioned to see a Christopher Boyce (the recaptured convicted Navy nuclear submarine spy) cameo as Boyce's USMS prisoner transfer caravan entered the secured underground transfer location in the Federal District Courthouse in Seattle, WA in August 1981. As Lead Plaintiff searched for funding to acquire Pacific Pipeline in 1996, John C.T. Conte (defendant FBI Seattle, WA deep cover financial intelligence) directed him to Alistar Capital, whose founder, Bud Greer, and his spouse were later jailed for contempt after transferring funds in violation of their fiduciary duty to Britannia Corporation, a Seattle-based apparel company. Lead Plaintiff was later subjected to an attempt to use this style of court sanction entrapment by defendants FBI and ROSENBERG as a King County Superior Court Order, setting aside a default judgement entered by attorney Michael Larson who had formed Allegent, LLC binding Lead Plaintiff and embedded defendant PRAY as co-managers, was used as a pressure tactic in the forced wrecking of Allegent, LLC during the course of the ShipNow litigation, paragraphs 275(i), 471(ii), 650 RICO-12. These

pretexting sequences are indicative of defendant UNITED STATES’ perpetual on-going effort to sustain its pattern of bad faith acts and the subjugation and involuntary servitude of the Lead Plaintiff. These acts are representative of acts, violations, and injuries of the constitutional and statutory rights of this entire class of plaintiffs.

E. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants’ long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES’ involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	445, 494-498, 600G through I, 609 HEXP-6, 619 HEXP-16, 675 RICO-35
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0030

LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	140 et al
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Not applicable

F. These schemes and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants’ long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES’ involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	4-12,16-19
Complaint paragraphs:	10, 104, 171, 275, 276, 301, 303, 320, 337, 374-375, 417-418, 424-436, 441, 445-449, 450-451, 457-462, 462-463, 464-466, 471, 481, 494-498, 490-584, 514-515, 516, 518, 522-524, 525, 526, 565, 600-603 NSEC-1-4, 604, 606, 609, 611, 612-620 HEXP-1-3, 6, 8-17; 622, 624-626, 628-632, 635, 636 RGTS-2, 4, 5, 6, 8-12, 15, 16; 638-693 RICO-1-55, 694-710 LETHL-1-17

Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	1 et al, 140 et al, 368-794, 797-865, 934-1075, 6044-6084, 8453, 10187-10250, 10251-10255, 10259-10301, 10376-10393, 10423-10433, 10434-10444, 10614, 10620, 11630-11936, 11668, 12160-12232, LPEEV65-1, LPEEV65-3 through 16, 18
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	See emails and documents listed at all relevant individual subcounts in HEXP series and all other subcounts in other series listed at Complaint paragraphs above. Certain emails are blocked by a defendant UNITED STATES computer hack.

**622. RGTS-2 Rights Violations: Entrapment And Incrimination Attempts, Money Laundering - Alliance Nominee Cash Bank Deposit 1990, Akoto Structured Payments 2016-2017**

A. 1990-1993: As forensically reverse engineered, as part of defendant UNITED STATES' intentional financial wrecking of Lead Plaintiff's company Alliance, which incorporated (i) fraudulent co-ownership and control through a nominee investor (David J. Carey as nominee, FBI, paragraphs 445-449, 649 RICO-11), (ii) fraudulent legal representation (HIBBS and Susan THORBROGGER, DOJ/FBI, both embedded at Short Cressman Burgess law firm, paragraphs 446; 626 RGTS-6, 649, 651, 653, 683 RICO-11, 13, 15, 45), (iii) fraudulent deprivation of government benefits (SBA bonding, paragraph 446, 471; 649, 653 RICO-11, 15), (iv) theft and compromise of receivables (Steve and Kerry Brewer, FBI, paragraphs 644, 650, 651 RICO-6, 12, 13), was then succeeded by (v) a Vancouver, B.C. fraudulent financing which failed (paragraph 653 RICO-15).

B. Defendant UNITED STATES made an approximately \$80,000 cash bank deposit at a U.S. Bank, N.A. branch on 14<sup>th</sup> Street NW, Auburn, WA in the middle of 1990, a few months after Lead Plaintiff purchased the assets of Steve's Maintenance. The physical deposit was made by Kerry Brewer (defendant FBI, no relation to Lead Plaintiff) in the presence of Lead Plaintiff



to an account intended to provide a cash deposit intended for the purpose of securing bid and performance bonding from a third path bonding company. Lead Plaintiff signed the IRS disclosure form for the cash deposit since it exceeded the \$10,000 non-disclosure limit which requires disclosure to IRS. The funds were deposited in an account under the signatory control of Kerry Brewer (not a corporate officer), not Lead Plaintiff (sole corporate officer). The funds were removed the following day by Kerry Brewer. An in-person IRS inquiry followed some days later at the company's office and was answered by Lead Plaintiff. No further follow-up occurred. This was an attempt by defendant FBI to attract the attention and interest of IRS. This was supposedly intended to replace the loss of SBA bonding (FBI fraud, paragraph 649 RICO-11). It was also intended to replace defendant FBI theft and forced compromised of receivables undertaken by Steve and Kerry Brewer (paragraph 650 RICO-12). But as it was an overnight event, it was piling on to the pattern of frauds then being perpetrated by defendant FBI, another aggravating circumstance of their overall associate-in-fact enterprise pattern of racketeering acts, in conspiracy with defendants CIA and BURNS, the cross street resident at the 149<sup>th</sup> Street Kirkland, WA residence which Lead Plaintiff shared with the fraudulently orchestrated surreptitious active duty deferred prosecution bisexual ARMY soldier (Jeanette) in violation of the *Third* Amendment, all with conspiracy and complicity of defendants KCSD and WASH.

C. Around mid-1991, as defendant FBI orchestrated the acceleration of asbestos abatement work on the Sea-Tac Airport Concourse B, C, D expansion project, which exacerbated cash flow problems (as a result of a quadrupling of the weekly payroll with 45 day cash flow receipts on billings) on the Sea-Tac Airport project, the Lead Plaintiff contacted the UT bonding company to request financial assistance, only to learn the Utah based insurance company had been seized by the Utah Insurance Commissioner. The insurance company was

actually most probably seized prior to use of it's otherwise empty of personnel company building and offices by defendant FBI during the Lead Plaintiff's good faith visit to secure initial bonding coverage, which was followed by defendant FBI fraudulent issuance of the performance bond on the seized insurance company's bond form. No financing support was forthcoming from the bonding company. The Lead Plaintiff then conducted a telephone search for factoring services, was rejected by numerous factors or by intercepted phone inquiries, and eventually located Pacific Financial Services, Bellevue, WA (with defendant FBI's "Henry Wozow" posing as President).

D. Pacific Financial Services took over the Sea-Tac Airport employee payroll function, but failed to pay employment taxes and state worker compensation insurance premiums and attempted to lay this responsibility back on Lead Plaintiff. An IRS agent visited Lead Plaintiff at home in Kirkland, WA during his recovery from deep vein thrombosis (DVT is a life-threatening condition), which DVT arose after a financing trip to London for PAN in 1994. Lead Plaintiff described the turnover to Pacific Financial Services of all payroll responsibilities which had occurred early in the course of the accelerated project. With the benefit of forensic reverse engineering and based upon pattern of practice, defendant FBI's clear intent was the financial wrecking of the company after it was sold into Lead Plaintiff's private hands (David Carey, "co-owner and investor," was a former Rainier National Bank SVP used by FBI as the intermediary for its investment of agency funds). Defendant FBI's clear intent, based upon the now identifiable pattern of practice was (i) to destroy the evidence of their illegal surveillance of the environmental services businesses in western Washington (violating the *Fourth* Amendment in criminal investigations), (ii) to entangle Lead Plaintiff in liability for unpaid federal 941 payroll taxes, and (iii) to perpetuate federal involuntary servitude of Lead Plaintiff in defendant

UNITED STATES’ and its co-conspirators’ associated-in-fact enterprise pattern of continued illegal BRMT human subject biomedical experimentation without consent, rights, and racketeering acts, violations, and injuries.

E. 2014-2018: As forensically reverse engineered, Lead Plaintiff encountered an online dating match from the greater New York City area in 2014 while living in Ramsey, NJ. As that online discussion proceeded, it turned out that the white female “Laura AKOTO” who lived in “Ghana.” Over time and through a sequence of illegal BRMT oxytocin (“love” hormone) hijackings, defendant UNITED STATES combined email and wire frauds with illegal BRMT oxytocin (love hormone) hijackings to orchestrate and sustain theft of more than \$14,000 via Western Union and by using other money transfer sites which permit anonymous pickup of cash; as well as two cell phones, LPEE pages 7845 mailed Sep. 9, 2015, 7824 mailed Nov. 15, 2015, a PlayStation 1, and game cartridges, all sent by unwitting Lead Plaintiff to Ghana – (a defendant CIA agent or asset), addressed to Prince B. Quaye, Agona Swedru, Ghana as directed by online pseudonym Laura AKOTO, actually to defendant CIA agent or asset clean cutout phones for use in Ghana, also tightly correlated to illegal use of Lead Plaintiff’s U.S. passport per CPB travel record (LPEE page 540) where Lead Plaintiff supposedly departed to Dubai as planned on May 2, 2015. This trip was planned, and the air ticket purchased by Lead Plaintiff, but cancelled at the last moment due to defendant UNITED STATES orchestrating and directing a fraudulent financing in that region to Lead Plaintiff, and then demanding a known to be unaffordable advance fee a few days prior to the Lead Plaintiff’s already paid and scheduled departure. The air ticket was used by a defendant CIA agent or asset traveling on Lead Plaintiff’s passport. International postal services were used to deliver the hardgoods to Ghana later in 2015. Around October 2017, Laura asked Lead Plaintiff to relay payments among two international parties

through his US bank account. He agreed to do this, and later expressed discomfort, and halted the practice after one or two transfers, specific emails below:

AKOTO Laura re \$2K to Mr Prince from Porter Patten \$3K 171021,  
AKOTO Hints of money laundering entrap scam 171025,

F. Lead Plaintiff discovered in 2018 that the entire relationship was an online fraud boosted by illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system oxytocin hijacking (defendant CIA used video feeds which it originated illegally so its BRMT operators could determine the timing of oxytocin “love” hormone boosts to serve its illegal purposes in delivering funds and hardgoods from Lead Plaintiff to its Ghana asset in 2014-2017) which was followed with a defendant FBI structured payments entrapment attempt in 2017. Laura was actually nothing more than an online persona based upon ordinary and salacious pictures of a Broward County, Florida resident who sold the salacious pictures online, as Lead Plaintiff eventually discovered by using a Google photo-match search tool in 2018 (LPEE pages 7467-8179).

G. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants’ long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES’ involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets

privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953).

Paragraph 621 RGTS-1 subparagraph F is incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	445-449, 471; 626 RGTS-6; 644, 649, 650, 651, 653, 683 RICO-6, 11, 12, 13, 15, 45
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	7467-8179
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Certain emails are blocked by a defendant UNITED STATES computer hack

**623. RGTS-3 Rights Violations: Entrapment and Incrimination Attempts – FBI/CIA/CSIS/RCMP VSE Pink Sheet Probe 1992-1993**

A. P.A.N. Environmental Services Corporation (PAN) was an SEC pink sheet company which Lead Plaintiff joined as Chief Operating Officer in 1993-94 as it sought financing for three existing operations in California and Minnesota. Defendant UNITED STATES, unbeknownst to Lead Plaintiff, was using PAN as a platform for a cross border investigation of financial frauds involving US persons and the Vancouver Stock Exchange, its brokers, agents, and others, and deliberately entangled the unwitting Lead Plaintiff into this international investigation which included defendants FBI and CIA, as well as RCMP, CSIS, and MI-6. Lead Plaintiff also made three trips to London to meet with Credit Lyonnaise Laing Managing

Director Michael Kurtanjenk (MI-6) regarding supposed PAN financing, returning from London Heathrow to Seattle, WA on Feb 8, 1994, and on March 11, 1994 according to CPB port of entry encounter records at LPEE page 540. A third return to La Guardia, New York is not recorded in CPB records during that 1994 time period.

B. This deliberate pattern of cross-border entanglements in national security and related investigations in 1993-94 repeats a pattern of practice defendant UNITED STATES had already used at Deloitte Seattle. Queen Elizabeth II's visit to the Seattle Westin in 1983 was a national security event which integrated MI-6 (Martin Astengo) into the Westin Hotel staff for a time. Defendant UNITED STATES has and does use this pattern of practice repeatedly since 1983. Defendants FBI and CIA in PAN in 1994 (paragraph 450-451), ESTABLISH 2007 (paragraph 464-466), and Senator Menendez foreign agent investigation and indictment between 2018-2023 (paragraph 525) which is the most recent example in this long-running sequence) to deliberately ensnare, ensnarl, and attempt to entrap Lead Plaintiff, perpetuate involuntary servitude, and sustain development of the defendant CIA and ARMY illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system from 1968 to the present time.

C. CORNWELL, a former US Navy carrier pilot turned deep cover CIA agent who had worked espionage operations under commercial cover in north Africa before returning to the US, and who had deliberately and fraudulently failed to secure Alliance equity financing in 1992 through early 1993, now posed as having formed this new venture, PAN. PAN was allegedly using a publicly traded shell corporation (as recommended by Greg Harry, who was presented by CORNWELL as a public shell/PIPE expert during an office visit in Laguna Beach, CA) to work toward securing a form of financing known as a PIPE (private investment in public equity). PIPE financing allowed private funds to be invested in unregistered company shares

which after 90 days became publicly tradeable stock. These company shares would in turn be listed on NASDAQ to provide investor liquidity without the need to go through the SEC securities registration process.

D. CORNWELL also promised Lead Plaintiff PAN compensation and stock options as soon as a financing with Credit Lyonnaise Laing (CLL), a major French investment bank and stock broking firm with offices in London, was completed, so the Lead Plaintiff agreed to defer compensation for a time until the financing was completed. He had no knowledge that he remained the effective captive and involuntary servant of defendant UNITED STATES (CIA, ARMY, FBI, USMS), and its continuing BRMT, rights, and racketeering conspiracy.

E. The promised CLL financing, was actually simply another effort by defendants CIA and FBI to engage Lead Plaintiff in deliberate pattern of national security entanglements by cross-border projects involving CSIS (John Young, CSIS Vancouver mining financier/engineer commercial cover), and MI-6 (Michael Kurtanjek, CLL, international Managing Director for mining commercial cover used in MI-6 operations in Africa and elsewhere), MI-5 (UK's FBI equivalent), and the London Metropolitan Police. The London Metropolitan Police visible to Lead Plaintiff during his three PAN-related trips to London and CLL included a five man Counterterror squad trot-by while he was alone in a 500 foot long construction tunnel at Heathrow Airport, and a Copthorne Tara, Kensington, hotel bill on his hotel room number, which remained unpaid by CORNWELL for a sufficient time to attract the attention of their Serious Fraud squad. Lead Plaintiff made three trips to London to meet with Credit Lyonnaise Laing Managing Director Michael Kurtanjek (MI-6) regarding financing, returning from London Heathrow to Seattle, WA on Feb 8, 1994, and on March 11, 1994 according to CPB port of entry

encounter records at LPEE page 540. A third return to La Guardia, New York is not recorded in CPB records during that 1994 time period.

F. This deliberate pattern of cross-border entanglement in national security and related investigations again repeats, without limitation, the prior 1983 and 1994 patterns when it recurs in 2007. Lead Plaintiff was again trafficked by defendants FBI and ROSENBERG while employed after human trafficking from Seattle to Boston to Fort Lee, NJ and ESTABLISH, yet another FBI false flag employment cover company. While defendant ROSENBERG did not directly participate in the 1983 Queen Elizabeth II and 1994 PAN scenario he was present as an illegal FBI embed at NutraSource and connected with Lead Plaintiff, as BURNS (CIA) throughout that period of time. Through these careful and deliberate cross-border forms of national security entanglements by defendants CIA and FBI, Lead Plaintiff was rendered eligible for technical surveillance by CSIS, MI-5, and MI-6 during those periods of time, permitting those countries' intelligence surveillance personnel and tools to be used against the Lead Plaintiff and others in his direct contact network, even though such practices are not legal under US law for US police powers operations to use against their own citizens. This form of off the books trading of intelligence support facilitates illegal spying on US persons through fraudulent color of law abuse of international intelligence cooperation, which thereby functionally abuses and abridges the rights of US persons.

G. CORNWELL and defendant FBI also ran a \$165,000 fraudulent factoring theft on a Pacific Environmental Services (the P. in PAN) sub-soil remediation or paving project during this sequence in 1994, echoing the prior \$20,000 factoring loan which had been used for the fraudulent Canadian financing, \$65,000 loan default, and forced bankruptcy closed out just four or five months before. The California factoring company used in this specific fraud on Lead



Plaintiff was represented in a meeting in the greater vicinity of Orange County, CA, by an individual with a strong overall physical resemblance to Dave Brown (CNA), Henry Wozow (Pacific Financial Services), and Ron McCormick (Walmart- Bentonville), who appeared at various other times during this decades long associated-in-fact enterprise pattern of racketeering acts and rights violations. The practical effect of this specific factoring fraud was the continued deprivation of promised compensation by defendant UNITED STATES while at PAN.

H. Lead Plaintiff made numerous trips to supposed PAN operations in Ontario, California, met the London CLL contact, Michael Kurtanjek, in Los Angeles with CORNWELL, and took three trips to London and CLL over the next approximately six months, all in expectation of the completion of the promised financing of PAN by CLL, a major financial services firm with global reach and connections. Lead Plaintiff made three trips to London to meet with Credit Lyonnaise Laing Managing Director Michael Kurtanjek (MI-6) regarding financing, returning from London Heathrow to Seattle, WA on Feb 8, 1994, and on March 11, 1994 according to CPB port of entry encounter records at LPEE page 540. A third return to La Guardia, New York is not recorded in CPB records during that 1994 time period. Lead Plaintiff never received the compensation due for his work at PAN and was referred by ROSENBERG (FBI) during the latter stages of this FBI operation to Pacific Pipeline where he joined the Board of Directors in 1994 alongside ROSENBERG and PERILLO, among others.

I. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent,

to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 621 RGTS-1 subparagraph F is incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	450-451, 464-466, 525
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-017
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0045 through 2-0061
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	Not applicable
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Emails and documents are controlled by defendant UNITED STATES - as delivered to ROSENBERG (FBI) in 2007, and in USPS handled mail surveillance in 2008, 2010, possible recovery at Ramsey, NJ in 2018

**624. RGTS-4 Rights Violations: Entrapment and Incrimination Attempts – FBI Sole Source, CFO Search, Tax Filings, Ironwood 2018-2023**

A. As forensically reverse engineered, at the same time FBI opened a 2018-2023 national security investigation centered in Edgewater, NJ, FBI reached out to Lead Plaintiff as this investigation in January 2018 using a fraudulent financing from SOLE SOURCE as its bait to connect FBI SDNY directly to Lead Plaintiff. The investigation led to the September 22, 2023

indictment of NJ Senator Menendez for domestic corruption with a local real estate developer, bribery and influence peddling related to an Egyptian halal certification scheme, and actions as an unregistered foreign agent for Qatar.

B. Defendant UNITED STATES has and does sustain Lead Plaintiff's involuntary servitude and continue its entrapment attempts during 2018 to the present. Lead Plaintiff had sent a series of interstate email financing solicitations in late 2017 sourced from a list in a Los Angeles Times business news article, now known as a fraudulent planted story by defendant FBI on a spoofed Los Angeles Times website, seeking business financing. SOLE SOURCE Capital, Santa Monica, CA responded and introduced Dewey TURNER, a principal, from this fraudulent defendant FBI cover operation actually run from Manhattan, New York.

C. A few weeks later, TURNER and three other agents, one known as Bradford ROSSI, ostensibly visiting from Los Angeles, requested a meeting the afternoon of January 9, 2018 on very short notice at the St. Regis Hotel bar in New York City. ROSSI, as the senior most executive at SOLE SOURCE, verbally promised a multi-million dollar financing at that meeting. SOLE SOURCE, acting through emails and a January 23, 2018 phone call from Dewey TURNER, then reneged to the Lead Plaintiff's company Winnett Cattle Company (see paragraph 337). As dialog continued on other possible future investments occasionally into 2021, TURNER mentioned a visit to an operation in west Texas in one of his calls to Lead Plaintiff.

D. Searching for a CFO to support the company after a replacement financing, Lead Plaintiff came across CFO SEARCH in 2020, a specialized senior financial officer executive search firm with a "partner" who did or does work from a residential address in west Texas - Lubbock, Texas. The partner, known as Michael MAGGARD (FBI), located a CFO, Ibrahim

ABDELSAYED, an Egyptian national working in the United States, who was looking for a new position and had appropriate food industry experience.

E. The search for other financing was still underway in 2020. With alternate financing sources stalled (by defendant FBI technical hacks and intercepts of outward communications from Lead Plaintiff to viable and authentic private financing sources as it continued interference in interstate commerce), Lead Plaintiff advised MAGGARD of the delay in placing his identified CFO candidate Ibrahim ABDELSAYED as company CFO pending financing.

F. After some additional discussions, MAGGARD loaned \$6,000 (actually FBI funds) to Lead Plaintiff's company Gannett Peak Ranch (GPR) for web development, and another \$6,000 to Lead Plaintiff personally which was used to try to improve his credit score by lowering credit utilization and payment defaults, so Lead Plaintiff would be able to co-sign for a six figure loan for Gannett Peak Ranch. As previously experienced, this good faith interstate commerce Gannett Peak Ranch project also went wrong - the web site was never completed by ENVOTEC (almost completed, saying they just needed a little more time and money, yet again as with other prior software projects). Nonetheless, the \$6,000 personal loan was still due from Lead Plaintiff to MAGGARD, the \$6,000 business loan was still due, and there was no offsetting revenue or income.

G. Defendant UNITED STATES (FBI) then cooked up a new entrapment scheme to get this \$6,000 loan off defendant FBI records. A release form for a Whistler, British Columbia, Canada condo (Ironwood, LPEEV65-9) already released by Lead Plaintiff to second spouse Jeanette (and not shown on either the condo association records nor the British Columbia, Canada property roll) in their 2005 divorce, mysteriously showed up beginning in February 2023

in the approximate amount of \$6,000 a nearly perfect offset for the \$6,000 MAGGARD personal loan (if defaulted) for tax purposes.

H. The disclosure requesting the release stated there were no underlying records which support this timeshare on either the condo association or the British Columbia timeshare interest register as required by law (paragraph 648 RICO-10, LPEEV65-9). While a British Columbia notary firm was used to complete the release of interest process, this was a transparent attempt to either (i) secure a loan default against FBI agency funds by FBI, to entrap the Lead Plaintiff, and/or (ii) to create a condition for loss of government benefits by alternate means (federal Section 8 housing choice voucher, paragraph 301, 481, 514-515, 646B, C, 647B, C RICO-8, 9) and (iii) to transfer responsibility for this transparently illegal act against a US person off defendant FBI's records, using classic "blame the victim" tactics seen often in criminal assaults, as recounted throughout this Complaint.

I. Forensic reverse engineering provides the following common pattern racketeering acts by defendant UNITED STATES (FBI) and its co-conspirators in this sequence as previously experienced by Lead Plaintiff which have no valid original legal basis for their initiation by defendant UNITED STATES:

- (i) Fraudulent pretexting lacking legal basis repeated
- (ii) National security entanglements repeated
- (iii) Human trafficking repeated
- (iv) Interstate commerce interference entrapment repeated – fraudulent financings, fraudulent employees, incomplete and defective technology projects
- (v) Interstate commerce interference incorporating a personal liability entrapment repeated

(vi) Successor fraudulent concealment acts repeated

J. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 621 RGTS-1 subparagraph F is incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	301, 337, 481, 514-515; RICO-10, 646B, C, 647B, C 648 RICO-8, 9, 10
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Not applicable
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	Not applicable
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	LPEEV65-8, 9
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Certain emails are blocked by a defendant UNITED STATES computer hack

**625. RGTS-5 Rights Violations: Bad Faith Acts – Illegal Searches, BRMT Hijacking, and Harassing, Oregon Trip 2021**

A. Lead Plaintiff's flight from Kennedy Airport in New York City to Seattle, WA, enroute to Redmond OR, for the Lake County Ranch tour listed at paragraph 693 RICO-55, in July 2021 was delayed for about two hours due to a local thunderstorm over Kennedy Airport. The flight arrived in Seattle about 1:00 AM local time, about 20 hours after the Lead Plaintiff awakened the previous morning in New Jersey, and hours after the last evening flight connection to Redmond, OR. Lead Plaintiff remained overnight in Seattle, where he got about four hours of sleep. Defendants attempted to take advantage of this much shortened night of sleep to cause the Lead Plaintiff to act out against an undercover officer the next morning.

B. About an hour before his early morning flight, he stopped at a concourse restaurant for breakfast. After a few minutes, an undercover police powers officer abruptly replaced the food service worker shortly after he ordered breakfast, then delayed providing the check for the meal for about 15 minutes while he conversed with a 6 to 8 person undercover police backup team which had arrived and was seated a couple of tables away. Needing to catch the departing flight, Lead Plaintiff called to this server several times for the food service check, the server repeatedly acknowledged and delayed, so the Lead Plaintiff who rarely carries cash, dropped a \$20 bill on the table and began to leave. Seeing that his bluff has been called and with the establishment allegedly not accepting cash (it is illegal not to accept cash as payment in Washington state), the server rushed to the table and an illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon hijacked moment of anger (BRMT adrenaline boost) on short sleep ensued as the server insisted a credit card must be used. No violence occurred as Lead Plaintiff had by now learned substantially more about the biochemically driven emotional body sensations exhibited

in illegal BRMT brain hijacking, and carefully kept his arms rigid at his sides so his movements could not be misinterpreted by the police snatch team seated nearby as any assaultive move, and simply raised his voice, so the nearby police snatch team would be aware of their operational failure.

C. This was a typical illegal BRMT-enhanced entrapment sequence run by defendant UNITED STATES hundreds of times against Lead Plaintiff (including many dangerous scenarios which were not reverse engineered until 2021 and thereafter), using the natural circumstances of events as they unfold to involve local police powers, who would have no reason to be aware of this classified illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system's existence and these malign methods of surreptitious operation.

D. Since the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system has been a very highly classified federal program of defendant UNITED STATES, it can be used very successfully in corrupt federal police powers and intelligence agency entrapment attempts. If an unpaid food service check walk-off or an assault on the undercover officer (food server) had occurred, local police would have arrested, processed, and prosecuted this incident as an unprovoked assault on a police officer or as a walk-off theft, though it was actually perpetrated as an entrapment crime targeting the Lead Plaintiff perpetrated by defendant UNITED STATES (defendant CIA domestic field personnel) using the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system, its brain hijacking system, to effect the imprisonment of the Lead Plaintiff through this third party local or other federal police powers operation.

E. This conduct is completely consistent with prior and subsequent behaviors of defendant UNITED STATES and its co-conspirator defendant police powers entities, officers, and agents, as expressed through their conduct, including conspiratorial conduct cited in



paragraphs 600-603 NSEC-1-4; 615-618, 620 HEXP-12, 13, 14, 17; 624-626, 628-632, 635, 636 RGTS-4, 5, 6, 8-12, 15, 16; 638-693 RICO-1-55, 694-710 LETHL-1-17. See also these types of harassment, entrapment, and incrimination attempts at LPEE page 181H paragraph 138. These incidents are a very small set of select of examples of these incidents which have been and are run against Lead Plaintiff. Hundreds of other such incidents will unquestionably be unveiled through the discovery process, including in Lead Plaintiff's own hand-written notes, and in computer files most probably still in the hands of FBI, as computerized files dating back into the early 2000s were handed to ROSENBERG in late 2007.

F. Since members of the general public also engage in these harassing and annoying behaviors in the vicinity of the Lead Plaintiff at times due to his extreme public visibility, it can be difficult at times to distinguish the purposeful harassment by defendant police powers personnel acting illegally under color of law from lawful exercises of civil rights and nonviolent free expression by members of the public. This particular scenario on a Sea-Tac Airport concourse before flying to Redmond, Oregon was, to the now well-experienced Lead Plaintiff, a clearly obvious police powers operation. The risk of BRMT induced escalation in his hijacked brain at these kind of moments leads Lead Plaintiff to exercise extreme caution around others in these scenarios to avoid any undue provocations and encounters which could lead to escalation and use of force by police powers personnel or others. But the same cannot be said for other unwitting persons targeted and victimized by these corrupt police powers operations against them, who are doubtless among the other members of this class of victimized plaintiffs.

G. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude

over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 621 RGTS-1 subparagraph F is incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	600-603 NSEC-1-4; 615-618, 620 HEXP-12, 13, 14, 17; 624-626, 628-632, 635, 636 RGTS-4, 5, 6, 8-12, 15, 16; 638-693 RICO-1-55, 694-710 LETHL-1-17
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Not applicable
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	Not applicable
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	181H paragraph 138
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Bryant Park Jazz and 911 call bemused response 220701, COSTCO GC reply to verification request 211102, Match Group Second Notice re Preserve Evidence 220122, Match EPL Response 221110, Match Group Legal Dept Email 221110, NYPD FOIL righttoknow Summary 210901, NYPD FOIL Appeal Denial Letter 210915, NYC Mayor Ofc Assist Re NYPD FOIL Appeal Denial 211001,

	NYC Mayor Ofc Assit Request NYPD FOIL Appeal Denial 211001, NYPD FOIL Request 210901, NYPD Response to FOIL Request 210903, NYPD Notice of Duty to Preserve Evidence 211116, NYPD Reply to Evidence Retention Letter 211123, NYPD Reply to Corbett Evidence Preservation 211127, NYPD Event Sequence 220422, Certain emails are blocked by a defendant UNITED STATES computer hack
--	---

**626. RGTS-6 Rights Violations: Bad Faith Acts – Federal Police Powers Abuses of Legal Processes 1990 to present**

A. As forensically reverse engineered, defendant UNITED STATES and its defendant co-conspirators have and do engage in bad faith acts in their systemic abuses of the legal system and legal process. Defendant UNITED STATES has and does use a variety of legally abusive practices to (i) engage in broad ranging general searches, to (ii) harm and wreck the political and commercial interests of US persons, and to (iii) conceal those illegal acts behind normal practices of records destruction, which are used with human trafficking and other direct methods to conceal and destroy evidence of their crimes and criminal intent, and of those committed by co-conspirators. Illegally embedded and misrepresented or ethically and legal compromised corporate lawyers have and do act against client interests while apparently engaged for their benefit in direct violation of (a) legal standards of conduct, and their (b) fiduciary duty to clients as specialists in the field of law in both common law frauds against interests through the color of law abuse of the legal process (violations of 18 USC 1589(a)(3) related to forced labor) and (c) constructive frauds against client interests and in violations of their ethical duty to clients under state and federal bar codes of ethics for conduct as officers of the court. Federal police powers defendants both act to enable these practices and fail to act to suppress and disable these illegal patterns of practice. These abusive practices, as experienced directly by the Lead Plaintiff

against his own interests and against the interests of others of this class of injured plaintiffs, include:

- i. Imposed litigation expenses required to remedy illegal acts undertaken in cover operations by or on behalf of federal defendants against US persons, including, without limitation, thefts of compensation, check fraud, wire fraud, receivables fraud persons. (Direct examples of this pattern of practice include, without limitation, frauds against Alliance, and Allegent, LLC dba Performa cited herein, paragraphs 275, 276, 303, 462.)
- ii. Imposed compromises of financial and other assets required to remedy illegal acts undertaken in cover operations by or on behalf of federal defendants against US persons, including, without limitation, thefts of compensation, check fraud, wire fraud, receivables fraud. (Direct examples of this pattern of practice include direct frauds against Alliance (paragraph 650 RICO-12, and against Lead Plaintiff by CNA cited herein (paragraphs 457-461, 600 NSEC-1, 602 NSEC-3, 639-641 RICO-1-3).
- iii. Non-working attorneys embedded in law firms who make vague claims to represent client interests but who do no direct work for those clients (government targets) to evade attorney-client privilege ethical and legal constraints, and thereby conduct de facto general searches. (Direct examples of this pattern of practice include embedded attorneys HIBBS and GARRISON cited herein, paragraphs 446, 441.)
- iv. Corporate lawyers who misrepresent client interests to benefit the government, while operating in illegally embedded undercover roles in law firms. Lead Plaintiff was not consulted prior to the removal of a cost-plus provision at paragraph 12 of the Alliance purchase and sale agreement for the asset purchase of Steve's Maintenance, including the assumption of project contracts for projects then currently underway but incomplete. The

Short Cressman Burgess attorney did not mention the removal of the cost-plus reimbursement paragraph 12 to Lead Plaintiff. Only his direct review and insistence on its return to the agreement resulted in the final agreement which included this paragraph 12. If the purposeful deletion by Susan THORBROGGER (Short Cressman Burgess, Seattle, WA, most probably DOJ, together with HIBBS) had not been noticed and returned on Lead Plaintiff's insistence, this deletion would have potentially cost Alliance up to \$165,000 of lost revenue and approximately \$100,000 of unreimbursed costs for labor, materials, asbestos waste dump fees, and direct project overhead costs, on the Bates Vocational-Technical parking garage asbestos abatement project which required hand jack-hammering and removal of an asbestos paper interposed between the concrete finish floor and the underlying structural floor in the multi-story parking structure at Bates in Summer 1990. A \$265,000 loss would have wiped out company equity (initially \$250,000) and left the Lead Plaintiff in personal default on a \$150,000 bank line of credit related to his personal guarantee with excellent personal credit. Nonetheless, defendant FBI would go on to complete the wrecking of the illegal search cover company, Steve's Maintenance, which destroyed its business records and thereby fraudulently concealed criminal wrongdoing in criminal investigations. This process destroyed Lead Plaintiff's company Alliance in 1993 through the use of, without limitation, as forensically reverse engineered, as part of defendant UNITED STATES' intentional financial wrecking of Lead Plaintiff's company Alliance, which incorporated (i) fraudulent co-ownership and control through a nominee (David J. Carey as nominee, FBI, paragraphs 445-449, 649 RICO-11), (ii) fraudulent legal representation (HIBBS and Susan THORBROGGER, DOJ/FBI, both embedded at Short Cressman Burgess law firm, paragraphs 446; 626

RGTS-6, 649, 651, 653, 683 RICO-11, 13, 15, 45), (iii) fraudulent deprivation of government benefits (SBA bonding, paragraph 446, 471; 649, 653 RICO-11, 15), (iv) theft and compromise of receivables (Steve and Kerry Brewer, FBI, paragraphs 644, 650, 651 RICO-6, 12, 13), was then succeeded by (v) a Vancouver, B.C. fraudulent financing which failed (paragraph 653 RICO-15).

- v. Corporate lawyer abuses using embedded and solo practice attorneys who represent corporate entities but not individuals and use this distinction to conduct intelligence operations, general searches, and conceal illegal operations of defendant DOJ and its police powers agencies, as conducted against individuals, their rights, and interests. (Direct examples of this pattern of practice include HIBBS, GARRISON, LARSON, CALDWELL, SULLIVAN cited herein, paragraphs 446, 441, 602U(xxvi), 621D, 99e, 171.)
- vi. Subpoena process abuses used to extract otherwise inaccessible information by engaging in litigation directly against the target and/or indirectly by faux litigation between two cover entities. (Direct examples of this pattern of practice include *CORNHUSKER Capital v. Auctus* cited herein, paragraph 671 RICO-33.)
- vii. Entity embeds of undercover officers and/or agents as employees of an entity who target that entity and/or customer operations and/or finances for disruption, to empower internal dissension, and/or to sabotage direction and operations. (Direct examples of this pattern of practice include PCC – Whiteman (WEISSMAN); Pacific Pipeline - PERILLO et al; Nutra Source – LeFevre (ROSENBERG) et al; Alliance – Hintz, Kealoha, Steele (BIVENS) et al; ESTABLISH – Drumm (ROSENBERG) et al cited herein.)

- viii. Corporate and other legal form cover entities used to sustain captive employees in involuntary servitude in entities which have employed Lead Plaintiff and were and/or are cover entities, and together with various mail and electronic frauds, sustain this involuntary servitude and forced labor, and enable captive human biomedical experimentation without informed consent. (Direct examples of this pattern of practice include Deloitte Seattle (Consulting) – Hopper et al; LazerSoft – Moller (STONE) et al; PCC-Whiteman (WEISSMAN); NutraSource – LeFevre (ROSENBERG); P. A. N. – CORNWELL et al; Pacific Pipeline-PERILLO; CNA Industrial Engineering – COOK et al; ESTABLISH – Drumm (ROSENBERG) et al cited herein.
- ix. Corporate and other legal form cover entities used to sustain captive “owners” including, without limitation, entities which have employed Lead Plaintiff as an “owner” which were and/or are cover entities used, together with various mail and electronic frauds, to sustain involuntary servitude and forced labor, and human biomedical experimentation without consent. (Direct examples of this pattern of practice include, without limitation, Alliance, Allegent, LLC dba Performa, Winnett, Winnett Cattle, Gannett Peak Ranch – all with co-investment by federal defendants, generally FBI and/or CIA cited herein, paragraphs 445, 516,565, Interline Exhibit 6.)
- x. General searches, which are broad ranging inquiries against specific groups or individuals rather than against suspicion of specific acts and patterns of conduct are specifically unconstitutional, and directly violate the Founder’s intent, wherein legalistic maneuvers are used to claim them as intelligence operations, and which may embed undercover personnel and informants, and bleed appropriated funds into ostensibly private cover entities and into favored collaborating private entities to sustain financial

losses while competing with private sector firms for certain types of contracts and sales.

Used to conduct commercial and other general searches (under the sweeping title of “intelligence operations”) of all forms of persons, private entities, including civic, political, cultural, artistic, and religious groups, cooperatives, and other officially disfavored institutions and individuals. (Direct examples of this pattern of practice include all elements of Lead Plaintiff’s human existence both domestic and international; Deloitte Seattle (Consulting) as to various domestic undercover investigations and international commercial cover spying projects; NutraSource as to food buying clubs and cooperatives; Pacific Pipeline as to authors, publishers, and retail booksellers; CNA as to the “Japanese Miracle” and national security matters cited herein, paragraph 600 NSEC-1.)

- xi. Foreign intelligence and national security entanglements claimed as intelligence operations which abuse relationships with foreign intelligence and formulate and /or promote officially propagated lies for the purpose of abusing US persons by orchestrating both in-country and international spying on those persons by foreign intelligence operations, who then share this information legally acquired under their own laws with US police powers and intelligence operations. Used to conduct otherwise illegal general searches (under the sweeping titles of “intelligence operations” and “national security”) of all forms of persons, private entities, including civic, political, cultural, artistic, and religious groups, cooperatives, and other officially disfavored institutions and individuals.
- xii. Attorney-client privilege inadvertently waived by having individuals unrelated by marriage, and individuals not represented with corporate and other legal form interests



engage legal counsel on an issue in which both the individual(s) and/or the entity and the individual do not share a common and direct legal interest.

B. All subcounts throughout this Complaint relate directly and explicitly to these patterns of practice which are driven by defendants' conspiracy to commit and comprise an integrated pattern of illegal acts including racketeering acts, which both individually and as a pattern of practice, would reasonably be expected to engage the interest of elements of defendant DOJ, rather than no element of defendant DOJ in its sworn constitutional duty to protect the public interest, including the liberty and constitutional rights interests of all US persons.

C. One example of this durable pattern of corrupt practice inculcates defendant Leslie CALDWELL, who conspired to and supported the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system and the accompanying rights and associated-in-fact enterprise pattern of racketeering acts from the 1970s throughout her public employment at defendant DOJ. Defendant CALDWELL has been plausibly identified as the roommate of Susan B. Irish at WSU, who was Lead Plaintiff's assigned romantic partner for nearly two years. Defendant CALDWELL expressed anger one morning after his overnight stay in the bedroom she shared with Irish, for observation by Irish for possible sunstroke (more plausibly an illegal BRMT human subject biomedical experiment without consent which caused and created this specific set of symptoms under the program management of defendant BREYER and with the participation at WSU of GARLAND, now Attorney General). CALDWELL apologized a few days later to Lead Plaintiff, explaining that Irish had informed of the reason for the overnight in the bedroom shared by Irish and Caldwell.

D. Defendant CALDWELL worked with defendant WEISSMAN as an Assistant US Attorney in the Eastern District of New York from 1987-1998, after defendant WEISSMAN left

his illegal embedded position at PCC where Lead Plaintiff had served on the Boards of both PCC run by defendant WEISSMAN, and NutraSource formed by WEISSMAN using PCC financial resources and run by illegally embedded defendant ROSENBERG as CEO. Defendant CALDWELL transferred to the Northern District of California where she worked for US Attorney Robert MUELLER (who was later FBI Director from 2001-2013) and for his successor from 1999 to 2002. Defendant CALDWELL rejoined defendant WEISSMAN on the Enron Task Force between 2002 and 2005. During this period, defendant CALDWELL fraudulently misrepresented herself as a Seed & Berry intellectual property attorney in Allegent LLC's 2004 ShipNow check fraud intellectual property claim brought by the Lead Plaintiff, wherein she acted outside the plausible role and any plausible expectation of the role of a prosecutor (as defined at 28 U.S.C. § 547) in a conspiracy to fraudulently conceal defendant FBI's illegal associated-in-fact enterprise pattern of racketeering acts, which included this ShipNow bad check fraud on Allegent, LLC and co-owner Lead Plaintiff, which racketeering acts were then being run by defendants ROSENBERG and PRAY (who was posing as Allegent, LLC's co-owner) during the 2002-2005 financial, marital, and emotional wrecking of Lead Plaintiff through a period of divorce, business destruction, income destruction, torture, suicide ideation, loss of property, homelessness, and destruction of evidence conspiracy of defendants ROSENBERG and PRAY in conspiracy with defendant FAUCI (including Lead Plaintiff's compensation litigation against CNA for compensation theft, paragraph 641 RICO-3).

E. Between 2014 and 2017 CALDWELL was promoted to Assistant Attorney General Criminal Division under Attorney General HOLDER, as about \$14,000 was stolen from Lead Plaintiff by defendant CIA using the pseudonym Laura Akoto in his on-going involuntary servitude and illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system hijacking and oxytocin

manipulations (paragraph 637 RGTS-17) while he resided in Ramsey, NJ, before he was human trafficked to Edgewater, NJ including, without limitation, an accelerated cycle of lethality attempts (paragraphs 703-710 LETHL-10-17, Interline Exhibit 15), another salacious video session and fraudulent relationship (paragraph 613 HEXP-10) in the middle of the Senator Menendez public corruption investigation which was indicted on September 22, 2023.

F. Comparable conflicts between the interests of justice and the personal interests of defendant WEISSMAN arose in the 1960s or 1970s when he was embedded by defendant FBI in Associated Grocers, where he supervised the infiltration team at Larry's Market, co-owned by Lead Plaintiff's father cousin, Larry Brewer and secretly co-owned and financially destroyed by defendant FBI, WEISSMAN, paragraph 99j, 99k, 418, 449.

G. Defendant WEISSMAN then moved from Associated Grocers, the Seattle, WA based regional independent supermarket cooperative wholesaler, to a new illegal embedded position as PCC General Manager in the early 1980s. Defendant BREYER rotated out of the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system program management role. BREYER was replaced at some point during the early 1980s by defendant BURNS (CIA), whose first known direct personal interaction with Lead Plaintiff was in Summer 1986, paragraphs 36 table, 48(b), 120, 437-444.

H. Defendant WEISSMAN arranged defendant ROSENBERG's transfer to the defendant FBI Seattle field office in the early 1980s to operate as the illegally embedded CEO of newly formed NutraSource, where the unwitting Lead Plaintiff served as a member of the Board of Directors representing PCC, and where defendant WEISSMAN was himself illegally embedded with other defendant FBI personnel from the Oakland, CA food cooperative in secret control of the NutraSource Board of Directors, so the illegal regional defendant FBI spying mission could

be expanded to all organic and natural food retailers and food buying clubs in the Pacific Northwest and Alaska using NutraSource as the platform for this illegal spying and targeted financial wrecking operations.

I. Defendant WEISSMAN later transferred out of defendant FBI to become an Assistant U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of New York in 1991-2002, ran the Enron Task Force prosecutions from 2002-2005 with CALDWELL and others, then was FBI General Counsel to FBI Director MUELLER from 2011-2013 before moving back to defendant DOJ to be Chief of the Criminal Fraud Section at defendant DOJ headquarters from 2015-2017, working there for CALDWELL, then Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division, also at defendant DOJ's headquarters.

J. Comparable conflicts between the interests of justice and the personal interests of defendant ROSENBERG, the former illegal embedded CEO of NutraSource, the PCC investment with Lead Plaintiff on its Board, after NutraSource was merged with an Auburn, CA wholesaler. In 2005-2006, Attorney General GONZALES appointed defendant ROSENBERG Acting US Attorney for South Texas in June 2005-2006 to move him away from the on-going conspiracy with defendant FAUCI divorce/business wrecking/torture sequence described at paragraph 510-520, 610 HEXP-7, immediately after the May 2005 forced sale of Lead Plaintiff's 149<sup>th</sup> Street, Kirkland, WA home (Interline Exhibit 14) was completed and that particular FAUCI, ROSENBERG, FBI, CIA, ARMY, DOJ, RUBIN, MELBER, VINDMAN, PRAY, and unknown other defendants, total wrecking sequence was nearing completion.

K. Attorney General GONZALES then arranged Rosenberg's confirmation as US Attorney for Eastern Virginia from 2006 to 2008, wherein Lead Plaintiff was again being human trafficked directly under defendant ROSENBERG's supervision from homelessness in Boston,

MA (paragraphs 213, 223, 225A, 276A, 416, 464-466, 603 NSEC-4) to Fort Lee, NJ. In fact, defendant ROSENBERG had been human trafficking the unwitting Lead Plaintiff since the early 1980s, paragraphs 600-604 NSEC-1-4, previously with CORNWELL, PERILLO, and others, this time in conspiracy with defendant FAUCI. Through a bogus Mossad interview in Boston which was intended to develop a completely fictional terror legend for Lead Plaintiff (confirmed by defendant NYPD before a local and federal coordinated police powers cover-up, Interline Exhibit 17-19), then during his direct employment at ESTABLISH in Fort Lee, NJ, where defendant ROSENBERG was General Manager in 2007 through early 2008.

L. Defendant ROSENBERG then burnished the fraudulent and defamatory legend he had spun about the Lead Plaintiff into further depravity with defendants MODDERMAN, NYPD, PAPD, NJTPD, BERGEN SHERIFF, NJSP, CIA and other unknown defendants (paragraphs 464-466, 603 NSEC-4, 606, 611-616, 618 HEXP-3, 8-13, 15). Defendant ROSENBERG later served again in defendant FBI under Director Comey as Chief of Staff from 2013-2015, and then was designated as Acting Administrator of DEA from 2014 to 2017. The conflicts of interest with the alleged justice mission of defendant DOJ dated from the early 1980s and there was no personal interest for defendant ROSENBERG or for defendant FBI in anything other than an adverse outcome to Lead Plaintiff.

K. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and

racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953).

Paragraph 621 RGTS-1 subparagraph F is incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	4-12,16-19
Complaint paragraphs:	99e, 99j, 99k, 36 table, 48(b), 120, 171, 213, 223, 225A, 275, 276, 303, 416, 418, 437-449, 457-462, 464-466, 471, 516, 565, 510-520, 600-604 NSEC-1-4; 606, 610-616, 618 HEXP-3, 7-13, 15; 621D, 626, 637 RGTS-1, 6, 17; 639-641 644, 649-651, 653, 671, 683 RICO-1-3, 6, 11-13, 15, 33, 45; 703-710 LETHL-10-17
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	140 et al, 368-794, 797-865, 934-1075, 11630-11936
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	LIBERTY EB-5 Contract Annotated 141112.pdf LIBERTY EB-5 LOI .pdf LIBERTY EB-5 LOI 141112.pdf LIBERTY EB-5 LOI WinnettOrganics LOI 11-5-14.pdf WO (CO) Articles of Incorporation 150702.pdf WP (CO) Articles of Incorporation 121022.pdf WP IRS Employer ID Number FEIN 120824.pdf Stock Cert 003 D Merck 121202.pdf WP WO Los Angeles Management Meeting Agenda 150924.pdf 150805 WP Stock Cert 002 Preferred Series A Dean Smith 150805.pdf 150917 WP Stock Cert 003 D Brewer 150917.pdf

	<p>150921 WP Stock Cert 016 Common BELLI Architectural Group 190521.pdf</p> <p>150921 WP Stock Cert 017 Common SULLIVAN 190521.pdf</p> <p>150927 WP Stock Cert 004 Preferred Series A Doug PETERSEN 150927.pdf</p> <p>150927 WP Stock Cert 005 Preferred Series A Dean Smith 150927.pdf</p> <p>150929 WP Winnett Perico Shares Auth Attach150929.pdf</p> <p>160320 WP Stock Cert 006 Preferred Series A Dean Smith 160320.pdf</p> <p>160404 WP Stock Cert 007 Preferred Series A Doug PETERSEN 160404.pdf</p> <p>161024 WP Stock Cert 008 Preferred Series A Dean Smith 161024.pdf</p> <p>161028 WO Cattle Co Annual Report 161028.pdf</p> <p>170103 WP Stock Cert 009 Preferred Series A Doug PETERSEN 170103.pdf</p> <p>170420 WP Stock Cert 010 Preferred Series A Doug PETERSEN 170420.pdf</p> <p>170519 WP Stock Cert 011 Preferred Series A Doug PETERSEN 170519.pdf</p> <p>170708 WP Stock Cert 012 Preferred Series A Doug PETERSEN 170708.pdf</p> <p>170911 WP Stock Cert 013 Common D Brewer 170911.pdf</p> <p>170914 WP Stock Cert 014 Preferred Series A Doug PETERSEN 170914.pdf</p> <p>170923 WP CO SOS Annual Report 170923.pdf</p> <p>180305 WP Stock Cert 015 Preferred Series A Doug PETERSEN 180305.pdf</p> <p>190628 WP Shares Distribution 190628.pdf</p> <p>SBI AP Aging Detail Report 2020 12-31 draft v1 201231.pdf</p> <p>SBI Articles of Incorporation NJ 200123.pdf</p> <p>SBI Balance Sheet 2020 12-31 draft v1 201231.pdf</p> <p>SBI Case Ready Plant 17 Plant Operations Workflow Design Rev 1.7 200707.pdf</p> <p>SBI Completed App NJ-REG 200123.pdf</p> <p>SBI Debt Schedule Digitally Signed Document Not Converted 210703.pdf</p> <p>SBI EIN CP 575 A Notice 200123.pdf</p> <p>SBI Income Statement 2020 12-31 draft v1 SBI.pdf</p> <p>SBI Shares Distn 200124.pdf</p> <p>SBI Shares Distn 200225.pdf</p> <p>SBI Sheldon Beef Tax Return 2020 12-31 Draft v1 201231.pdf</p>
--	--

	200123 SBI Completed NJ-REG 200123.pdf 200123 SBI EIN 84-4406368 CP 575 A Notice 200123.pdf 200123SBI Articles of Incorporation NJ 200123.pdf 200225 SBI 001 Brewer Stock Cert Common 200225.pdf 200225 SBI 002 WASEMAN Stock Cert Common 200225.pdf 200225 SBI 003 NICKLESS Stock Cert Common 200225.pdf 200225 SBI 004 SULLIVAN Stock Cert Common 200225.pdf 200225 SBI 005 Canchola Stock Cert Common 200225.pdf 200225 SBI 006 PETERSEN Stock Cert Common 200225.pdf 200225 SBI 007 BELLI Stock Cert Common 200225.pdf 200225 SBI 2020 Stock Opt Plan approved by BD on 200225.pdf 200225 SBI Canchola SB Grant - Signed 200225.pdf 200225 SBI Canchola SBI Notice of Stock Option Grant 200225.pdf 200225 SBI NICKLESS SBI Notice of Stock Option Grant 200225.pdf 200225 SBI NICKLESS Stock Option Grant 200225.pdf 200225 SBI WASEMAN SBI Notice of Stock Option Grant 200225.pdf 200324 SBI Shares Distribution 200324.pdf Certain emails are blocked by a defendant UNITED STATES computer hack
--	---

**627. RGTS-7 Rights Violations: Bad Faith Acts – Federal Police Powers Abuses of Legal Processes Forced Personal Bankruptcy 1993**

A. CORNWELL (former commercial cover CIA agent in north Africa selling center pivot irrigation systems as cover) and defendant FBI worked, unknown to Lead Plaintiff, with RCMP, Ralph Shearing (who ostensibly ran a Canadian mining geophysical sampling company based in Vancouver, BC, Canada), and Rory Godinho (barrister in the Vancouver, BC area), and CSIS, John Young (international mining financier and mining engineer), to develop a fraudulent Vancouver, BC financing package through Shearing, which required a financial audit. A \$20,000 factoring loan from Pacific Financial Services, Bellevue, WA (a fraudulent factoring



company run by Henry Wozow, most probably FBI) was used to cover the financing fees, audit fees, and expenses (paragraphs 447-448).

B. When this fraudulent financing eventually failed in Vancouver, BC, Canada, the \$20,000 factoring loan turned in a few months into a loan default totaling \$65,000 which Lead Plaintiff had personally guaranteed, and then into personal federal bankruptcy in December 1993 for Lead Plaintiff and his second wife Jeanette. Lead Plaintiff was working on a financing at PAN when his wife Jeanette, who worked in the same First American Title Company office in Bellevue, WA as Laurie Vanderberry (wife of Kerry Vanderberry, FBI Seattle bank robbery squad agent, whose infant son Lead Plaintiff and Jeanette babysat at their home in Kirkland, WA, paragraph 104) informed him that the Pacific Financial Services default court order against community property was being used to garnish her wages for this \$65,000 defaulted loan.

C. During a conversation with the Bellevue, WA bankruptcy attorney in Fall 1993, Jeanette proposed that the 149<sup>th</sup> Street, Kirkland, WA house be forfeited in the bankruptcy. (Federal Bankruptcy Court case filed November 1993). This made no financial sense as the equity in the residence did not exceed and was protected by the bankruptcy exemption for minimal assets. She persisted for some time as Lead Plaintiff demurred and Jeanette eventually relented. Lead Plaintiff proceeded later to complete the improvements to the 149<sup>th</sup> Street residence at Interline Exhibit 14.

D. With the benefit of forensic reverse engineering, it is plausible Jeanette understood the circumstances but was fearful of revealing the true nature of the contrived marital relationship and the hostile local environment, including defendant BURNS. The defendant BURNS (CIA) residence was across the street. Jeanette's supposed extended family members included sister-in-law Michelle (RUBIN, FBI), stepbrother Paul (Alexander VINDMAN, ARMY), and stepbrother

by marriage Wes (Ari MELBER, FBI). Based upon some oblique comments Jeanette made, which were misunderstood then by Lead Plaintiff, it is highly probable ARMY was then deferring criminal prosecution for national security matters based upon her sexual orientation and deliberate inculcation in national security matters to force the relationship with Lead Plaintiff.

E. Non-heterosexual military service in the 1980s and 1990s was a prosecutable military criminal justice system offense, which would have been compounded by the deliberate inculcation of Jeanette into national security matters. Wife Jeanette may then have been attempting to remove herself from the BURNS/ARMY problem, or at least the physical proximity to BURNS (across 149th Street, Kirkland, WA) through a physical relocation.

F. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 621 RGTS-1 subparagraph F is incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See

other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	104, 447-448
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-017
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-054 through 2-056
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	Not applicable
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Federal Bankruptcy Court case filed November 1989

**628. RGTS-8 Rights Violations: Bad Faith Acts – Willful Blindness, US Attorney Offices, DOJ Headquarters 2005 to present**

A. Lead Plaintiff shared information about all the then known acts, violations, and injuries from about 2002 to 2005 with the U.S. Attorney’s Office for the Western Washington in Summer 2005. This description was far short of an accurate portrayal of the facts and circumstances even to that date, as Lead Plaintiff was completely ignorant of all, but the most obvious elements, of the coercive psychological operations undertaken during that specific period. He was met with the events of duress and homelessness described at paragraphs 320, 462-463, 518. The U.S. Attorney’s Office for the District of Columbia received a courtesy filing in September 2021 of DC 1:21-cv-2424 by personal hand delivery (and a laughable DC Fire Department Hazmat Team sluggish emergency response was witnessed immediately as the Hazmat Team enroute toward the DC US Attorney Civil section office immediately thereafter rolled by the National Building Museum and the Lead Plaintiff). The only reply was an email from the office indicating that the filing was not served (full text at LPEEV65-10):

dsbrewer [REDACTED]

---

From: [REDACTED]@usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2021 3:34 PM  
To: Dennis Brewer  
Subject: RE: DC District EMERGENCY REQUEST for Injunctive Relief and Complaint

Dennis,

I have received your email. However, please allow me to reiterate what we discussed when you called earlier that this is not a substitute for service and we cannot accept service via email. Our office will need to be served in accordance with the Civil Rules of Civil Procedure. If you have questions, you should contact the civil clerk for the District Court of the District of Columbia.

Best,

[REDACTED]  
Paralegal Specialist  
U. S. Attorney's Office  
District of Columbia - Civil Division  
Phone: 202-252-[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] 2599  
Email: [REDACTED]@usdoj.gov

A series of letters and evidence was delivered to the Southern District of New York in person between December 2021 and September 2023, to DOJ Headquarters through SDNY during 2022 and 2023, and to the DOJ Assistant Inspector General for Investigations (Interline Exhibit 19, LPEE pages 368-793, LPEEV65-11-16).

B. The Lead Plaintiff's communications attempts are evidenced in extensive exhibits throughout this complaint, both inline and in hundreds of pages and dozens of letters included the LPEE herein. No other contact from any U.S. executive branch police powers operation has ever been forthcoming, with the notable exception of the FBI's September 30, 2021 "liar letter" coordinated in September 2021 with a prior admission, then quick retraction twelve days later by defendant NYPD shown in series in Interline Exhibits 17 through 18 herein. A 2022 no interest letter series with the DOJ Assistant Inspector General for Investigations is at Interline Exhibit 19.

C. All subcounts throughout this Complaint are driven by defendants' conspiracy to commit and comprise an integrated pattern of illegal acts including racketeering acts, which both

individually and as a pattern of practice, would reasonably be expected to engage the interest of elements of the Department of Justice, rather than no element of that Department.

D. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 621 RGTS-1 subparagraph F is incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	17 through 19
Complaint paragraphs:	320, 462-463, 518
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-038, 1-040 through 1-059, 1-067
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0116 through 2-0119, 2-0154, 2-205 through 2-0207, 2-0210
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	140 et al, 368-794, 797-865, 934-1075, 11630-11936, LPEEV65-10-16

Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Not applicable
---	----------------

**629. *RGTS-9 Rights Violations: Bad Faith Acts – Illegal General Searches, Continual Monitoring in Involuntary Servitude 1968 to present***

A. As forensically reverse engineered, defendant UNITED STATES first directly abused Lead Plaintiff in 1968 with interstate human trafficking to California, paragraph 417. Defendant UNITED STATES has and does fraudulently and repeatedly engage in prejudicial acts, violations, and injuries against Lead Plaintiff and his constitutional rights from the age of 12 as a minor child, through his high school years, through college and graduate school, and through captive employment thereafter, to and through his last permitted employment in 2008 and through all prior and current period attempts by Lead Plaintiff to engage in interstate commerce. Defendant UNITED STATES has and does engage in the hacking of Lead Plaintiff's personal computer and printers, used for both personal and business matters, beginning around 1984 and into the present time.

B. At all times, defendant UNITED STATES and its co-conspirators have and do invade his privacy, and monitor his communications whether by email, telephone, or cellular phone, including arranging through his spouse, then a newly hired employee of US West Cellular, cellular car telephone service in the 1980s from AT&T's cellular telephone service, later used in a double murder attempt on Lead Plaintiff and spouse Lynne in British Columbia (paragraph 694 LETHL-1). This US West subsidiary, also a previous client of Lead Plaintiff while serving as a consultant at Deloitte Seattle, was used to track movements, to listen to in-car conversations and communications, and to sustain their pattern of involuntary servitude, manipulation, and control of Lead Plaintiff.

C. One specific example of fraudulent color of law falsely pretexted searches conducted by police powers: While in Boston's Pine Street Inn, unknown police powers defendants engaged in warrantless illegal searches using a housing pretext with no intention of providing housing as the basis for an illegal search. This search was comprised of two urine tests undertaken soon after Lead Plaintiff's arrival around April 2006 ostensibly as a condition of securing housing. Soon after the second test, the staff member administering the tests announced that the program had been cancelled.

D. Defendant UNITED STATES has and does arrange for the continual public monitoring of all Lead Plaintiff's private activities in his personal residences to and including the preparation of this complaint, normal hygiene activities, food preparation, doctor visits, drug prescription fulfillment, control of persons who are permitted to interact with and meet him using electronic means, entertainment venues, performances and nearby patrons, and the myriad other acts comprising normal daily life in the United States and other nations where he has travelled at various times and nearly all times since the early 2000s.

E. Some of this monitoring is essential to personal security due to the public corruption which has and does cause this security concern given his global visibility and the political desire to project political stability in the United States to the domestic population and to the world at large, as well as to secure him from persons seeking to make violent and hateful political statements or to conceal prior criminal acts undertaken in public corruption of United States' domestic police powers operations and corruption in its international intelligence and espionage operations. But the root cause of the entirety of this claimed necessity is public corruption, to and including, without limitation, defendant DOJ's series of Attorneys General and its subordinate agencies, which dates back decades to the 1950s and the initial stages of these

illegal programs perpetrated by defendant ARMY, CIA, DOJ, FBI, and other police powers defendants which have no legal basis in law nor in our Constitution.

F. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 621 RGTS-1 subparagraph F is incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	417-418, 694 LETHL-1
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	140 et al, 770-772, 783-784, 10251-10255, 10376-10393, 10434-10444
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	D Brewer reply to DOJ OIG decline ltr 220325, DOJ OIG Institutional Contacts 211109,



	DOJ OIG From Investigations 220128 Div Ack Letter 220128, DOJ OIG Decline Ltr 220322, NJ EDC Inquiry 200805, NJ Unemployment Hangup and shelter info request 081217, Wire Fraud Examples Raising Consciousness of Guilt 221004
--	--

**630. RGTS-10 Rights Violations: Bad Faith Acts – Privacy and Quiet Enjoyment 1968 to present**

A. As forensically reverse engineered, since approximately 1968, Lead Plaintiff's life, relationships, family life, physical health, emotional well-being, career, businesses, life circumstances, and public reputation, as well as all human, Constitutional, civil, and legal rights have been usurped and subsumed by the illegal acts, violations, and injuries of defendant UNITED STATES and its co-conspirators.

B. Lead Plaintiff was, without consent, designated as an involuntary servant of defendant UNITED STATES as a minor child. Lead Plaintiff's formal petitions in 2005 under FTCA for limited injuries, as then understood, were never answered by any defendant UNITED STATES department, agency, nor the Executive Office of the President. By their acts, violations, and injuries, he was rendered homeless, and human trafficked to further homelessness in Boston, MA. A litigation attempt in U.S. District Court at Newark, NJ, was indirectly answered by defendants through their illegal racketeering acts. By their acts, violations, and injuries, he was rendered homeless, then involuntarily committed to a psychiatric hospital (Bergen Regional Medical Center) for two weeks, followed by a bureaucratically obstructed further 5.5 months, for a total of six months. In 2022, as this entire pattern of facts was first reasonably well understood and communicated to a US Attorney's Office, defendants again answered indirectly with BRMT assisted attempts on the Lead Plaintiff's life, and through their still on-going and

persistent pattern of threats and violence (paragraphs 707-710 LETHL-14-17, Interline Exhibit 15).

C. Defendant UNITED STATES and its co-conspirators desperately seek to avoid legal liability for their associated-in-fact enterprise, their patterns of racketeering and civil rights conspiracy and acts of violence, and their patterns of terror. Terror acts include, without limitation, at least three mass casualty attempts, the most recent against an express train traveling 50 to 60 miles per hour enroute to New York City on the evening of September 11, 2022 which directly threatened the lives of about 300 people in addition to the Lead Plaintiff (paragraph 707 LETHL-14).

D. The broad sweep of about fifty-five years and nearly uncountable acts, violations, and injuries by defendant UNITED STATES and its co-conspirators, including thousands of examples presented in this Complaint and the accompanying exhibits which, together with the violations of five international treaties (paragraph 251), are systematic violations of RICO, civil rights, and the powers of limited government envisioned by the founders.

E. The Constitution, from its ratification in June 1788, was and is intended to restrain the federal government from replacing the tyranny and oppression of a King with new forms of the same patterns of practice, not replace one form of government with another which functionally continues those same patterns. Our institutions continue to fail us rather dramatically in these matters, including in their neglect to prevent (per 42 U.S.C. Chapter 21) acts, violations, and injuries against both enumerated and unenumerated rights of Lead Plaintiff and others of this class, each and every one of whom is, together with all others, entitled to the quiet enjoyment of life, liberty, family, and property; to the pursuit of happiness rather than the imposition of coercive psychological operations and BRMT driven suicide ideations; and to the protections of

the rule of law, rather than to involuntary servitude with their lives being dictated – past, present, and future – to the whims of government executives, managers, remote BRMT operators, and undercover police powers acting with impunity under color of law and subjecting these plaintiffs to the dangers of public vigilantes.

F. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants’ long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES’ involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	251, 707-710 LETHL-14-17
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Entirety
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	Entirety

LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	Entirety. LPEEV65-3, 5
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Entirety

**631. RGTS-11 Rights Violations: Bad Faith Acts – Biological and Medical Invasions, Access to Basic Health Care Lifetime**

A. As forensically reverse engineered, defendant UNITED STATES and its defendant co-conspirators deprive Lead Plaintiff of access to basic health care services using a variety of means including, without limitation, through involuntary servitude, peonage, and penury inflicted by denial of employment, and by theft of compensation, by theft of personal services, by deprivation of access to unemployment benefits payments, and by using email fraud and wire fraud (paragraphs 490-584). Defendant UNITED STATES and its defendant co-conspirators denied access to initial Covid-19 vaccinations series 149 times when eligible (paragraph 704 LETHL-11 and LPEE pages 9875, 10187-10250); to medical doctors; to prescription medications essential to treat the illegal BRMT induced mental depression ranging to suicide ideation (paragraphs 604-606 HEXP-1-3), while defendant UNITED STATES was fully aware of the broad spectrum of biochemical and physical abuses it has been and does inflict with its illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system during periods of unemployment, peonage, penury, and forced unemployment.

B. This awareness is most clearly demonstrated by the physical presence of two undercover officers on the southeast corner of Thompson Lane and River Road, Edgewater, NJ, who stood in front of and blocked the Lead Plaintiff's path to cross this very busy street at this particular moment on this particular day of suicide ideation between 2008 and 2010 (paragraph 606, HEXP-3). Defendants also blocked access to basic preventative dental services, exams,

checkups, and other routine but essential preventative services, resulting in the loss of teeth and the onset of infections in teeth, which have and do risk infections spreading to the brain and other parts of the body. Defendant UNITED STATES bears complete and total responsibility for these acts, violations, and injuries, as Lead Plaintiff has been and is conscientious about seeking affordable medical care when needed at all times.

C. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 621 RGTS-1 subparagraph F is incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	490-584, 604-606, 617 HEXP-1-3, 14 704 LETHL-11
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-039, 1-067

LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0001 through 2-0006, 2-0059, 2-0067, 2-0076, 2-0117, 2-0118, 2-0159, 2-0167, 2-0196, 2-0205
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	140 et al; pages 9875, 10187-10250, 11656-11664, 12160-12232, LPEEV65-2, 3, 13, 15
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Bergen Covid Exec emails 210324, Bergen Covid Exec emails 210326.

### ***Direct Interferences in Personal and Intimate Relationships***

#### **632. RGTS-12 Rights Violations: Personal and Intimate Relationships - Managed Romantic Interests, Arranged In-Person Meetings 2004-2005**

A. As forensically reverse engineered, defendants, in late 2004 and continuing in 2005 use an ostensibly drunken female bar patron in hot pants, an in-person bar pickup, and other females of interest as they screen-in and screen-out women placed in the presence of Lead Plaintiff. Lead Plaintiff expended personal funds during these screened and manipulated in-person events in the Kirkland, WA area. Additional computer files and electronic calendar evidence noted by Lead Plaintiff during this period and related to this sequence is currently in the hands of defendant UNITED STATES, as it was personally handed to ROSENBERG (FBI) by Lead Plaintiff at ESTABLISH around October 2007 and had likely been recovered by an FBI lab working through a cover company website. Paper based documentation of these events may have been scanned or photographed by defendant UNITED STATES, specifically FBI or USPIS, during mailing to and from New Jersey to Washington in 2010 and 2011 by Lead Plaintiff as he was trafficked from Cliffside Park, NJ through Bergen Regional, coercion and duress, to Ramsey, NJ (paragraph 522-524, 606 HEXP-3G-K, 611H(xii)-(xv) HEXP-8, 630 RGTS-10B, 643 RICO-5C-H).

B. Defendants have and do continue this romantic and intimate interests manipulations through 2024 by purposefully screening-in and screening out potential romantic interests using

wire fraud on dating sites, and his known concern to retain traceability of these manipulations, primarily to sustain isolation of Lead Plaintiff. Defendants also placed BRMT manipulated romantic interests in his life (see paragraphs 611-614 HEXP-8 through HEXP-11, LPEEV65-4).

C. On knowledge and belief, defendant UNITED STATES has and does also orchestrate and conduct such interferences of certain of his romantic partners and their interests to manage this aspect of the lives of these various plaintiffs, who are themselves also BRMT injured and trafficked members of this class. These victims include at least both former spouses (Lynne and Jeanette), one college girlfriend (Susan Irish), and one close college female friend (Katherine Andrews). Given the ease of remote surreptitious illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system hijackings and the obvious misogynistic character of certain individual defendants hereto personally known to the Lead Plaintiff, there are undoubtedly many more people who comprise this class of unwitting victims who are as yet unidentified plaintiffs.

D. Defendant UNITED STATES most probably employed this method of extreme BRMT abuse to orchestrate the murder of Audrey Brewer in September 2011 (paragraph 10) using an physically and emotionally abused female intermediary as the direct perpetrator while acting in apparent extreme jealous rage under the direct influence of the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system used to physically hijack her pineal gland for explosive adrenaline surge to provoke the knife slashing attack, which resulted in Audrey Brewer's death from the fatal slashing of her carotid artery in her neck. The female perpetrator had absolutely no history of violence at any time but was also being psychologically provoked by the manipulative male who was involved in relationships with both women at various times. The psychological abuse of the apparent perpetrator was the plausible explanation for the attack, which concealed the actual BRMT perpetrator of the extreme BRMT biomedical manipulation

from being exposed as the root perpetrator. BRMT is a highly classified weapon system, not previously known in human history, certainly not known to local police departments, which leaves absolutely no trace evidence of the series of carefully focused energy pulses absorbed by the brain to cause the extreme adrenaline surge.

E. The momentary sense of extreme rage (adrenaline), which was most probably experienced by the knife wielder in that fatal moment, is comparable to the momentary biochemical rage induced in Lead Plaintiff by the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system in the 2023 Subway Tunnel Flash Incident documented at paragraph 619 HEXP-16, LPEE pages 11668, and as he experienced during an unrecorded incident while walking one morning past the gas station adjacent to his Cliffside Park, NJ residence between August 2008 and October 2010. The intent of defendant UNITED STATES in orchestrating this illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system operation against US persons (Lead Plaintiff, Audrey Brewer, Lead Plaintiff) was most probably to test and field deploy it in a specific deadly manner to demonstrate its field effectiveness for its future deployment against others which defendant UNITED STATES (CIA) targets for assassination in its field operations.

F. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention



directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	10, 522-524, 606 HEXP-3G-K, 611H(xii)-(xv) HEXP-8, 611-614, 619 HEXP-8 through HEXP-11, 16, 630 RGTS-10B, 643 RICO-5C-H
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0115, 2-0148, 2-0188, 2-0192
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	1 et al, 140 et al, 441-459, 11668, LPEEV65-1, LPEEV65-4
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Not applicable

**633. RGTS-13 Rights Violations: Personal and Intimate Relationships – Blocked and Spoofed Access to Dating Sites 2004-2005, 2007-2008, 2011-2014, 2018 to present**

A. As forensically reverse engineered, defendants have and do use online dating platforms, including those of MATCH GROUP and BUMBLE, or their spoofing by an unknown defendant police powers operation, to completely block Lead Plaintiff from all access to dating site participants among the general public to orchestrate the relationships elected by defendant UNITED STATES (paragraphs 612-615 HEXP-9-12). When Lead Plaintiff has and does recently (late 2023 to present) provide direct feedback about these specific forms of *First* Amendment civil rights violations to the other party on dating sited of interferences, criminal

deprivation of rights, and conspiracy against rights, to these imaginary dates, the texts related to this conversation are most frequently subsequently deleted by unmatching which removes this evidence from the Lead Plaintiff's phone app. For example, police powers use of the Hinge app, where this destruction of evidence is accomplished by the website administrator claiming that the match was a fraud, withdrawing the match, and thereby automatically deleting it from the Lead Plaintiff's phone application, which destroys the evidence of the defendants' knowing, clear, and continuing violations of the Lead Plaintiff's *First* Amendment civil rights as communicated directly to the perpetrators of these acts (LPEEV65-4). This can also be accomplished by unmatching by the imaginary prospective date, actually defendant police powers personnel violating the *First* Amendment, to wit, in an egregious and durable pattern of violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242, and Title 42 Chapter 21 Civil Rights.

B. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 621 RGTS-1 subparagraph F is incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual

defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	611-614 HEXP-8 through HEXP-11
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Not applicable
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0115, 2-0148, 2-0169, 2-0184, 2-0188
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	LPEE pages as shown at 611-614 HEXP-8 through HEXP-11 incorporated here by reference, LPEEV65-4, 6, 7
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	LPEE emails and documents as shown at 611-614 HEXP-8 through HEXP-11 incorporated here by reference

**634. RGTS-14 Rights Violations: Personal and Intimate Relationships - Managed Romantic Interests, Fraudulent Dates 2004-2005, 2008, 2019-2020**

A. As forensically reverse engineered, 2004-2005: Defendants used the online dating platform Match.com, a Match Group website, or its spoofing by an unknown defendant police powers operation, to arrange approximately 15 to 20 fraudulent dates with defendant police powers agents, officers, and confidential informants in the greater Seattle, WA and Tacoma, WA area and the greater Portland, OR area. Lead Plaintiff spent over \$1,000 for in-state and interstate travel and to pay for meals and other entertainment during these fraudulent dates in late 2004 and the first half of 2005, arranged using email and other electronic means. Documentation is available through the discovery process, including the recovery of the Lead Plaintiff's own records currently in the hands of defendants, as well as the routine police reports of these incidents controlled by defendants. Additional computer files and electronic calendar evidence noted by Lead Plaintiff during this period and related to this sequence is currently in the hands of defendant UNITED STATES, as it was personally handed to defendant

ROSENBERG (FBI) by Lead Plaintiff at defendant ESTABLISH in Fall 2007 around October and had likely been recovered by an FBI lab working through a cover company website. Paper based documentation of these events may have been scanned or photographed by defendant UNITED STATES, specifically FBI or USPIS, during mailing to and from New Jersey to Washington in 2010 and 2011 by Lead Plaintiff.

B. 2007-2008: Defendants, used various online dating platforms including Match, or spoofing by an unknown defendant police powers operation as they screened-in and screened-out women of interest to Lead Plaintiff in 2008, resulting only in the brief relationship with defendant MODDERMAN, paragraph 611 HEXP-8.

C. All other members of the public were (and are) systematically excluded by the acts of defendants UNITED STATES, FBI, and ROSENBERG, to orchestrate the maximum detrimental psychological impact on Lead Plaintiff during the ESTABLISH termination, PANKOWSKI wedding, MODDERMAN start, stop, then attempt resume sequence in Summer 2008 (paragraph 611 HEXP-8), as the imagined terror investigation pretexted by FBI and ROSENBERG and underway by regional Joint Terrorism Task Forces continued in the background in NYC and NJ.

D. Documentation is available through the discovery process, including the recovery of the Lead Plaintiff's own records currently in the hands of defendants, as well as the routine police reports of these incidents controlled by defendants. Additional computer files and electronic calendar evidence noted by Lead Plaintiff during this period and related to this sequence is currently in the hands of defendant UNITED STATES, as it was personally handed to defendant ROSENBERG (FBI) by Lead Plaintiff at defendant ESTABLISH in Fall 2007 around October and had likely been recovered by an FBI lab working through a cover company

website. Paper based documentation of these events may have been scanned or photographed by defendant UNITED STATES, specifically FBI or USPIS, during mailing to and from New Jersey to Washington in 2010 and 2011 by Lead Plaintiff.

E. 2018 to present: Defendants use online dating platforms, Hinge, Plenty of Fish, Elite Singles, Black People Meet, Tinder, Bumble, Adult Friend Finder, eHarmony, Zoosk, Ashley Madison, OKCupid, or spoofing of these sites by an unknown defendant police powers operation from 2018 and orchestrate a series of approximately 15 to 20 fraudulent dates with defendant police powers agents, officers, and confidential informants in the greater New York City area in 2019-2020. All these dates require interstate travel from Lead Plaintiff's residence in Edgewater, NJ to various parts of New York City, NY. Lead Plaintiff spends over \$1,000 to travel to and pay for meals and other entertainment during these fraudulent dates arranged using email and other electronic means. Documentation is available through the discovery process, including the recovery of the Lead Plaintiff's own records currently controlled by defendants, as well as the routine police reports of these incidents controlled by defendants, also LPEEV65-4.

F. Defendants have and do continue this romantic and intimate interests manipulation which is a 100% freeze out from any direct personal contact through these sites from 2020 through the present time and have and do terminate all online discussions without any direct in-person contact to sustain isolation of Lead Plaintiff, and to destroy evidence of the police powers corruption narrative which Lead Plaintiff uses to identify these continuing interferences in defendant UNITED STATES' and other defendants in their knowing decades-long and continuing violations of human, Constitutional, and civil rights.

G. On knowledge and belief, defendant UNITED STATES also has and does orchestrate and conduct such interferences of his romantic partners and their interests to manage this aspect

of the lives of other unidentified plaintiffs, who are themselves also members of this class. These victims have included both spouses, one college girlfriend, and one close college female friend.

H. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 621 RGTS-1 subparagraph F is incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	611 HEXP-8
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Not applicable
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0115, 2-0148, 2-0169, 2-0184, 2-0188
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	1 et al, 140 et al, 441-459, from 611-614 HEXP-8 through HEXP-11 incorporated here by reference, LPEEV65-4, 6, 7
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Match Group Second Notice re Preserve Evidence 220122,

	Match EPL Response 221110, Match Group Legal Dept Email 221110 Also see LPEE pages listed at 611-614 HEXP-8 through HEXP-11 incorporated here by reference
--	---

***Hacking, Harassment, Disinformation, Abuse of Official Records***

***635. RGTS-15 Rights Violations: Illegal Searches, Hacking, and Harassing, Computer Technology***

A. Defendants have and do fraudulently and repeatedly engage in the hacking of Lead Plaintiff's personal computer and printers, used for both personal and business matters beginning around 1984 and into the present time including, without limitation, for the purposes of suppressing and destroying evidence of their criminal acts such food contamination (paragraph 620 HEXP-17), suppressing printing of predicate act evidence for submission to federal court (DC 23-cv-0415, related at Appendix 1, and docketed at DC 23-mc-014), managing his unemployment compensation access (paragraph 642 RICO-4), and his work with public charities (paragraph 526). They have and do create technical hacks to, without limitation, (i) pretext phone support troubleshooting opportunities, (ii) physical disable personal computers and force their physical repair, (iii) perform fraudulent online system updates of Windows 10 and various applications, as well as (iv) Android updates of cell phone software, all of which then have been and are used by defendant UNITED STATES to, without limitation, (a) strip data, (b) to install and remove malware and keyboard loggers, (c) to permit personal computer video camera operation without consent, (d) to create remote printer and other computer hacks which require (e) harassing forms of customer support use by Lead Plaintiff while (f) failing to solve the problem they created and (g) shifting responsibility among various in-house assets to perpetuate these frustrations of Lead Plaintiff.

B. Defendants also cause and create circumstances requiring the Lead Plaintiff to replace or return non-functional equipment at considerable expense on his very limited financial resources (also subject to control and to asset stripping by defendant UNITED STATES and co-conspirators, see for example LPEEV65-18 third printer disabled during complaint preparations). Defendants have and do systematically violate the *First*, *Fourth*, and *Fifth* Amendment rights, among many other rights, of Lead Plaintiff, and have and do continuously fail to respect, much less protect, those rights, as evidenced by the comprehensive official silence of defendant UNITED STATES and co-conspirators including, without limitation, defendant NYPD, paragraphs 550-584, Interline exhibits 17-19.

C. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 621 RGTS-1 subparagraph F is incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE



Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	526, 620 HEXP-17, 642 RICO-4
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-067
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0207, 2-0215 through 2-0217
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	140 et al; pages 371, 473, 544, 549, 566-573, 575-576, 599, 603, 609-612, 770-771, 783, 6044-6084, 10251-10255, 10259-10301, 10423-10433, 10434-10444, 11673-11925, LPEEV65-6, 7, 18
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Not applicable

**636. RGTS-16 Rights Violations: Blocking Information Access and Supplying Deliberate Disinformation**

A. Defendants have and do block, continuously surveil, and/or spoof Lead Plaintiff's access to various online services both in-state and interstate, including, without limitation, dating sites; news sites including, without limitation, New York Times, Washington Post, Wall Street Journal, Al Jazeera, and others; corporate sites for shopping, technical support, customer service, and other commercial purposes; performance and performance ticketing sites, and many others, including all online activities.

B. Brain-computer interface company sites were also actively suppressed by defendant UNITED STATES from Lead Plaintiff's view from at least as early as 2012 (Synchron's founding date, paragraphs 374-375) into 2021, as they were and are a vital element of corroborating evidence of the scientific and technical feasibility of the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system to place before non-technical US District Court, to assist in their evaluation of the threshold veracity of Lead Plaintiff's novel technological claims made in accordance with the *Denton* mandate.

C. Defendant UNITED STATES has and does fraudulently fail, despite their direct control of access, to prevent certain hacks, performs others of their own making, and abuses paid services to sustain defendants' involuntary servitude, control, and manipulation of Lead Plaintiff, including to arrange various captive events, to control who are the audience members who surround him at captive and public events attended by Lead Plaintiff, including his public charity volunteer work, which Lead Plaintiff attends alongside defendant police powers agents, officers, confidential informants and/or performance actors, in their scheme to manage and control the actions of Lead Plaintiff, including, without limitation, in the sequence of programmed events which used the Club FreeTime website to orchestrate attendance at these functions, see Interline Exhibit 15A (July 16, 2022 direct verbal threat), LPEEV65-5.

D. This spoofing and/or blocking of various websites, has and does include the blocking of accurate information access, and its absence or replacement by other false and misleading information, which is intended to mislead and/or publicly discredit the Lead Plaintiff when he cites this incorrect information, currently continues under defendants' direction and control, LPEEV65-4.

E. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention

directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953).

Paragraph 621 RGTS-1 subparagraph F is incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	16
Complaint paragraphs:	374-375
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	1-067
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	2-0129, 2-0141, 2-0148, 2-0169, 2-0171, 2-0179, 2-0179, 2-0180, 2-0185, 2-0199, 2-0200, 2-0202, 2-0205 through 2-0207
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	140 et al; pages 548-549, 576, 582-597, 771-772, 782, 10251-10255, 10259-10301, 10423-10433, 10614, 10620. See also beginning page 148 paragraphs 28, 31, 42, 44-48, 51-52, 55, 58, 60, 64-68, 72-77, 83-95, 11738-11739, 11743-11748, 11760-11870, 11871-11886, 11908-11925, LPEEV65-4, 5
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Certain time periods continue to be blocked by defendant UNITED STATES computer hacks

**637. RGTS-17 Rights Violations: Misuse of Official Records, Mispersonation, Dubai 2015**

A. As forensically reverse engineered, Lead Plaintiff encountered an online dating match from the greater New York City area in 2014 while living in Ramsey, NJ. As that online discussion proceed, it turned out that the white female “Laura” who lived in “Ghana” (paragraphs 612 HEXP-9, 622 RGTS-2). Between 2014 and 2018, defendant UNITED STATES combined email and wire frauds with illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system oxytocin (love hormone) hijacking to orchestrate and perpetuate a series of thefts totaling more

than \$14,000 from Lead Plaintiff via Western Union and other money transfer sites which permit anonymous pickup of cash; as well as two cell phones, mailed Sep. 9, 2015 (LPEE page 7845), mailed Nov. 15, 2015 (LPEE page 7824), a PlayStation 1, game cartridges, and several dvd movies which the unwitting Lead Plaintiff to Ghana – (CIA field asset), addressed to Prince B. Quaye, Agona Swedru, Ghana as directed by Laura AKOTO. This provided a CIA asset with two clean cutout phones for use in Africa.

B. This sequence is tightly correlated to the illegal use of Lead Plaintiff's U.S. passport (per CPB travel record, LPEE page 540) where Lead Plaintiff supposedly departed to Dubai on May 2, 2015. Lead Plaintiff had planned this trip and purchased the air ticket but cancelled a few days prior to departure (non-refundable ticket) due to the late addition of an advanced fee (known to be unaffordable) a few days prior to the Lead Plaintiff's already paid and scheduled departure. The air ticket was used by a CIA exfiltrator traveling on Lead Plaintiff's passport to Dubai. The unwitting Lead Plaintiff then used International postal services were then used to deliver the phones, Playstation I, game cartridges, and movies to Ghana in September and November 2015.

C. Around 2017 Laura asked Lead Plaintiff to relay payments among two international parties through his US bank account. He agreed to do this, and later expressed discomfort, and halted the practice after one or two transfers, specific emails below:

AKOTO Laura re \$2K to Mr Prince from Porter Patten \$3K 171021,  
AKOTO Hints of money laundering entrap scam 171025

D. This was an FBI structured payments entrapment attempt with no legal basis or foundation as Lead Plaintiff has never engaged in such practices nor expressed any interest in doing so. "Laura" was FBI's bait and a carefully pretexted trap demonstrating FBI culpability

and FBI/CIA *mens rea*, since it incorporated the pattern of theft of funds and phones sent to Ghana using Lead Plaintiff as a cutout for sending phones not traceable to defendant UNITED STATES through Lead Plaintiff.

E. Simple replacement of the Lead Plaintiff's picture and physical description on a blank duplicate passport accessible to CIA by defendant UNITED STATES was all that was needed to complete this specific exfiltration of a CIA asset to Dubai. According to these records, Lead Plaintiff left the United States on May 2, 2015 and has never returned, CPB at LPEE pages 537-541. Lead Plaintiff was also used in September and November 2015 to supply two cell phones to a CIA operative in Ghana who had traveled to Dubai using the Lead Plaintiff's passport number in May 2015 as defendant CIA abused the Lead Plaintiff with the illegal BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system to hijack and manipulate his oxytocin level, creating and gradually escalating his biochemically-driven online romantic interest in the imaginary Laura Akoto, in whose name an anonymous party (defendant CIA personnel) also received about \$14,000 of untraceable funds sent via Western Union and other money transmission services from the Lead Plaintiff.

**From:** [Dennis Brewer](#)  
**To:** [laura akoto](#)  
**Subject:** Hi Love  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 9, 2015 8:22:44 AM

---

Sorry we could not chat. Things are going well. Had our last meeting with vendors yesterday and met my consultant on the packing plant. Have a contract for the \$22 million raise, may sign today or tomorrow.  
Hope all is well with you. Your [phone](#) was mailed yesterday. Love you very much.

F. This scheme and conspiracy required and consumed the time and financial resources of Lead Plaintiff, and his business entities, in bad faith perpetuation of defendants' long-running schemes, frauds, and swindles to sustain defendant UNITED STATES' involuntary servitude

over Lead Plaintiff, and all the elements thereof including, without limitation, illegal BRMT development and deployment; illegal human subject medical experimentation without consent, to and including torture and suicide ideations; systematic constitutional rights violations; and racketeering acts in an associated-in-fact enterprise. All paragraphs above are incorporated herein by reference including, without limitation, paragraph 599, with particular attention directed to paragraph 599D pattern abuses by defendant UNITED STATES of the state secrets privilege in violation of 5 U.S.C. § 301 and *United States v. Reynolds*, 345 U.S. 1 (1953). Paragraph 621 RGTS-1 subparagraph F is incorporated herein by reference. Discovery will provide critical confirming information directly from these institutional and individual defendants and, among some who presented at the time as family members, their children. See other selected relevant content at paragraph 600Q and in searchable indexes and lists at LPEE Compendium at pages 934-1075, as well as other LPEE volumes added subsequently as noted at paragraph 597. Evidentiary materials related to this specific subcount follow:

Interline Exhibits:	Not applicable
Complaint paragraphs:	612 HEXP-9, 622 RGTS-2
Appendix 2 paragraphs:	Not applicable
LPEE Table 2 pages 12023-12120 paragraphs:	Not applicable
LPEE pages (see technical note on page numbering at paragraph 230):	8453
Emails and documents by topic and date, also located in LPEE:	Not applicable

### ***Racketeering – (RICO series offenses)***

638. All RICO acts, violations, and injuries (RICO-1 through RICO-55) have been forensically reverse engineered and have and do comprise a durable, integrated pattern of associated-in-fact enterprise pattern racketeering acts, violations, and injuries, by these