

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 22-5158

September Term, 2021

1:22-cv-00996-UNA

Filed On: June 6, 2022 [1949334]

Dennis Sheldon Brewer,

Appellant

v.

Christopher A. Wray, Mr., Director, Federal
Bureau of Investigation, et al.,

Appellees

ORDER

It appearing that this case might be suitable for disposition without oral argument, see Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2) and D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j), it is

ORDERED, on the court's own motion, that the following briefing schedule will apply in this case:

Appellant's Brief	July 26, 2022
Appendix	July 26, 2022

This order does not preclude the court, after examining the briefs, from setting this case for oral argument. If the court resolves to decide the case without oral argument, an order will be issued disclosing the panel prior to issuance of a decision on the merits. All parties should include the following phrase on any subsequent pleading or brief filed in this case: "CASE BEING CONSIDERED FOR TREATMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 34(j) OF THE COURT'S RULES."

All issues and arguments must be raised by appellant in the opening brief. The court ordinarily will not consider issues and arguments raised for the first time in the reply brief. To enhance the clarity of their briefs, the parties are cautioned to limit the use of abbreviations, including acronyms. While acronyms may be used for entities and statutes with widely recognized initials, briefs should not contain acronyms that are not widely known. See D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures 42 (2021); Notice Regarding Use of Acronyms (D.C. Cir. Jan. 26, 2010).

A request for appointment of counsel does not relieve appellant of the obligation to file responses to any motion filed by appellees or to comply with any order issued by

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 22-5158

September Term, 2021

the court, including a briefing schedule. Failure by appellant to respond to a dispositive motion or comply with any order of the court, including this order, may result in dismissal of the case for lack of prosecution. See D.C. Cir. Rule 38.

Parties are strongly encouraged to hand deliver the paper copies of their briefs to the Clerk's office on the date due. Filing by mail could delay the processing of the brief. Additionally, parties are reminded that if filing by mail, they must use a class of mail that is at least as expeditious as first-class mail. See Fed. R. App. P. 25(a).

The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this order to appellant by certified mail, return receipt requested, and by first class mail.

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Tatiana A. Magruder
Deputy Clerk

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 22-5158

September Term, 2022

1:22-cv-00996-UNA

Filed On: September 20, 2022

Dennis Sheldon Brewer,

Appellant

v.

Christopher A. Wray, Mr., Director, Federal
Bureau of Investigation, et al.,

Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Henderson and Pillard, Circuit Judges, and Sentelle, Senior Circuit
Judge

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). Upon consideration of the foregoing and the motion to appoint counsel, it is

ORDERED that the motion to appoint counsel be denied. In civil cases, appellants are not entitled to appointment of counsel when they have not demonstrated sufficient likelihood of success on the merits. It is

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed on May 16, 2022, be affirmed. The district court properly dismissed the case on the ground that it is frivolous. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); Denton v. Hernandez, 504 U.S. 25, 32-33 (1992) (district court may dismiss as frivolous a complaint whose factual allegations "rise to the level of the irrational or the wholly incredible"); see also Best v. Kelly, 39 F.3d 328, 330-31 (D.C. Cir. 1994) (suggesting "bizarre conspiracy theories" and "fantastic government manipulations of [] will or mind" are claims that may warrant dismissal).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 22-5158

September Term, 2022

of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Daniel J. Reidy
Deputy Clerk

22-5158

Dennis Sheldon Brewer
1210 City Place
Edgewater, NJ 07020

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 22-5158

September Term, 2022

1:22-cv-00996-UNA

Filed On: November 14, 2022 [1973555]

Dennis Sheldon Brewer,

Appellant

v.

Christopher A. Wray, Mr., Director, Federal
Bureau of Investigation, et al.,

Appellees

M A N D A T E

In accordance with the judgment of September 20, 2022, and pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 41, this constitutes the formal mandate of this court.

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Daniel J. Reidy
Deputy Clerk

[Link to the judgment filed September 20, 2022](#)

22-5158

Dennis Sheldon Brewer
1210 City Place
Edgewater, NJ 07020

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 23-5052

September Term, 2022

1:23-cv-00415-UNA

Filed On: March 13, 2023 [1989855]

Dennis Sheldon Brewer, Individually and on
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Appellant

v.

Christopher A. Wray, Mr.; Director, Federal
Bureau of Investigation, et al.,

Appellees

ORDER

It appearing that this case might be suitable for disposition without oral argument, see Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2) and D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j), it is

ORDERED, on the court's own motion, that the following briefing schedule will apply in this case:

Appellant's Brief	May 2, 2023
Deferred Appendix	May 2, 2023

This order does not preclude the court, after examining the briefs, from setting this case for oral argument. If the court resolves to decide the case without oral argument, an order will be issued disclosing the panel prior to issuance of a decision on the merits. All parties should include the following phrase on any subsequent pleading or brief filed in this case: "CASE BEING CONSIDERED FOR TREATMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 34(j) OF THE COURT'S RULES."

All issues and arguments must be raised by appellant in the opening brief. The court ordinarily will not consider issues and arguments raised for the first time in the reply brief. To enhance the clarity of their briefs, the parties are cautioned to limit the use of abbreviations, including acronyms. While acronyms may be used for entities and statutes with widely recognized initials, briefs should not contain acronyms that are not widely known. See D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures 42 (2021); Notice Regarding Use of Acronyms (D.C. Cir. Jan. 26, 2010).

A request for appointment of counsel does not relieve appellant of the obligation

23-5052

Dennis Sheldon Brewer
1210 City Place
Edgewater, NJ 07020

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 23-5052

September Term, 2022

1:23-cv-00415-UNA

Filed On: May 23, 2023

Dennis Sheldon Brewer, Individually and on
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Appellant

v.

Christopher A. Wray, Mr.; Director, Federal
Bureau of Investigation, et al.,

Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Wilkins and Katsas, Circuit Judges, and Sentelle, Senior Circuit
Judge

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). Upon consideration of the foregoing and the emergency motion for a hearing, it is

ORDERED that the emergency motion for a hearing be denied. Appellant has not shown he is entitled to the requested relief. It is

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's February 28, 2023 order be affirmed. The district court properly dismissed appellant's case as frivolous. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i); Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989) ("[A] complaint . . . is frivolous where it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact.").

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 23-5052

September Term, 2022

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Daniel J. Reidy
Deputy Clerk

23-5052

Dennis Sheldon Brewer
1210 City Place
Edgewater, NJ 07020

