

October 10, 2023

Charles Schumer
Majority Leader
U.S. Senate
322 Hart
Washington, D.C.

Patrick McHenry
Speaker Pro Tempore
House of Representatives
2134 Rayburn
Washington, D.C.

Mitchell McConnell
Minority Leader
U.S. Senate
317 Russell
Washington, D.C.

Hakeem Jeffries
Minority Leader
House of Representatives
2433 Rayburn
Washington, D.C.

In re: Open Letters to Congress – Part 1: Abuses of Presidential and Executive Authority Are Threats to Liberty and National Existence

Gentlemen:

The United States faces a series of existential threats to national security and the Constitutional order, as well as key challenges to our democracy, and long postponed reforms essential to responsible management of our economy. The People expect Congress to meet these challenges. It has not – a 19% approval rating says that as clearly as it can be said.

Part 1 addresses existential threats to national security and the Constitutional order:

- Presidents who have acted outside of their Constitutional authority, conducting offensive acts of war against other nations, exceeding Presidential authority under the War Powers Resolution in their use of an internationally prohibited bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system against other nations' leadership. We have already come dangerously close to being the object of retaliation which could pose an existential threat to our nation and to the world, to wit DPRK and PRC.
- Actions of the United States government outside of Constitutional bounds against U.S. persons which pose an immediate and profound legitimacy crisis among the People and with the rest of the world. The United States government has failed in its most basic duty to protect rights, operating instead as a principal predator toward the People in violations of the *First, Fourth, Fifth, Eighth, Ninth, Thirteenth, and Fourteenth* (section 1) amendments, 18 USC §§ 175, 1962 and 3441, among others..
- The United States government has come to be an international scofflaw in its failure to meet both these constitutional obligations and the international treaty

obligations of the *United Nations Charter* and *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*; *Convention on the Prohibition of the Development, Production and Stockpiling of Bacteriological (Biological) and Toxin Weapons and on Their Destruction*; *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights*, *Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment*; and *Geneva Convention relative to the protection of civilian persons in time of war* under the 2001 AUMF (115 Stat. 224, PL 107-40, September 18, 2001) in its violations of 18 USC § 2441 (grave breaches of Geneva Convention Article 3), against those of us who are its own citizens, are under its surreptitious unconstitutional control, and therefore de facto protected civilians, as that term of art “protected civilians” is specifically defined in the Geneva Convention. (See enclosed Table of Contents from District of Columbia District Court 23-cv-415 which includes 92 examples of these and other pattern offenses.)

Briefly summarized, these specific “kick the can” Congressional policy failures and deferrals are:

Existential Challenges to Democracy and the World

- A. *Biomedical Experiments on and Biomedical Hijacking of Unwitting Human Subjects Reminiscent of MKUltra and the Nuremberg Nazi Doctor Trials (page 3)*
- B. *Extra-Constitutional Abuses of Presidential Authority In Offensive Acts of War and Violations of International Treaties Among Nations (page 5)*
- C. *Systematic Police Powers Pattern Crimes Against Rights and Liberty (page 6)*
 - My Personal Direct Experience With Police Powers Pattern Crimes (page 7)*
 - Public Data Demonstrates Police Powers Abuses and Repressive Incarceration (page 10)*
 - Former FBI Director Confessed to Prohibited Personal Targeting and General Searches (page 11)*
 - Practical Realities and Alleged Rights – DOJ, Police Powers, and the Federal Courts (page 13)*
 - NO History of DOJ Prosecutions For Intelligence and Police Powers Pattern Offenses (page 15)*
- D. *Prejudiced Access to Wildly Inefficient Article III Courts (page 17)*
 - SCOTUS Cert Petition Excerpt (page 17)*
 - Rights and Liberty Point One - Practical Access Is Not Afforded to People (page 21)*
 - Rights and Liberty Point Two - Real Sacrifices By People, Incrimination and Retaliation by Police Powers (page 22)*

* * * * *

Existential Challenges to Democracy and the World

A. Biomedical Experiments on and Biomedical Hijacking of Unwitting Human Subjects Reminiscent of MKUltra and the Nuremberg Nazi Doctor Trials

The United States has developed and uses several generations of an illegal and internationally prohibited bioweapons and bioweapons delivery system, BRMT, for biomedical abuse of humans (computer-to-brain remote hijacking and command of human and other animal brains). This illegal weapons program, which started sometime in the very early 1970s, is known to me as BRMT (Brain Remote Management Technology) since its official code name is classified.

Very primitive medically beneficial brain-to-computer antilog commercial versions used locally in the brain for beneficial purposes have just barely emerged into public view over the past year. FDA approved human trials are being undertaken by Synchron since mid-2022 (only about \$70 million has been invested to get this device to its first beneficial uses in humans) and by Neuralink, which is currently seeking alpha version volunteer human subjects.

By comparison, the CIA has spent billions, and leveraged DARPA and classified military infrastructure over the past five decades to illegally develop and deploy BRMT as an offensive bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system. As the direct successor to MKUltra, CIA's illegal LSD drugging program, personnel managing and implementing BRMT have engaged in continuous criminal violations of law to develop neuroscience-based mind control (hijacking) in humans. As with MKUltra, original intended uses by the United States included against its own agents (to have them do a heinous deed and have it erased from memory), against American citizens, and against others, including foreign leadership targets – heads of state and other key leaders of nations.

CIA secretly brought Nazi Auschwitz and Dachau death camp doctors to America beginning in the late 1940s to help develop mind control. CIA built on this Nazi experience, with Project Artichoke in 1951, then expanded to MKUltra by Director Allen Dulles in 1953. CIA was the world's largest drug dealer while MKUltra was in its prime. During the 1973 Watergate panic, CIA destroyed the records documenting the 100 million illegally administered LSD doses, their related crimes, and the identities of the victims of these crimes. Nazi doctors were put to death for similar experiments on humans after convictions in the Nuremberg Doctor Trials of 1946-47. There was no criminal accountability for MKUltra.

But even as CIA was "coming clean" about MKUltra to Senate investigators in 1975, CIA was secretly starting up BRMT, the illegal successor program, to continue its work toward achieving its mind control objective. BRMT is a neuroscience-based mind manipulation computer-to-brain bioweapon best thought of as a system for hijacking or hacking a human brain or that of another brained animal. It is an illegal weapon system which violates U.S. law and the 1972 Bioweapons Treaty ratified by the United States. BRMT has been developed through illegal biomedical experiments on human beings, including me, without our knowledge

or consent. It was first developed as a remotely managed local device which hacks brain-based hormones. For example, three common hormones - melatonin for sleep, adrenaline for alertness, and oxytocin for romantic feelings – have been subjected to direct manipulation in human brains through these localized but remotely controlled means since at least the late 1970s.

CIA's first known lethality attempt on me as a human subject used a cell-phone transceiver in the trunk of my car. The cell phone transceiver case hid a remotely triggered local version of this hormone triggering system inside. CIA Technical Services Division personnel used it against me and my spouse in an effort to cause a melatonin induced double homicide vehicle crash over a sea cliff while approaching the Porteau Cove Overlook turnout in southern British Columbia in the 1980s. The homicide attempt failed during the melatonin overdose process because I navigated to the overlook, parked the car, and rested for a short nap. I was reawakened and fully alert as the result of a subsequent adrenaline manipulation from this device. Had the lethality attempt been successful, it would have left a King County Sheriff's Department police commander and father as the sole custodian of my wife's two teenage daughters. This and numerous other lethality attempts into 2022 using the BRMT device in its successive generations of sophistication and remote use are documented in the federal civil court filing 23-cv-415 in the District of Columbia District Court.

The modern remotely directed bioweapon system of today is vastly more sophisticated than that early version, can be deployed as a virtual device anywhere on the planet, and can be used to plant thoughts, manage emotions, create impulses and muscular actions, and manipulate body functions like breathing and heart rate, among other things. Modern technology enables remote use, so BRMT operates like a remotely piloted military drone system, sending tiny energy pulses directed to activate brain chemistry at specific addresses in the victim's brain. BRMT can be controlled and targeted against anyone anywhere by hyper-accurate real time kinematics and predictive analytics systems which reside on government supercomputers.

Quoting here from the related case in the District of Columbia District Court (DC: 23-cv-415):

"The United States has and does illegally deploy and operate Brain Remote Management Technology ("BRMT" herein) against US persons in violation of (i) the Constitution, of (ii) five ratified international treaties, of (iii) 18 USC § 175, and (iv) Title 42 Chapter 21 Civil Rights. The United States has and does deploy this illegal system against foreign nationals, including, without limitation, heads of state and other national leaders, in violation of the 1972 Bioweapons Treaty ratified by the United States Senate and effective in force since March 26, 1975."

.....

"The United States and its co-conspirators have and continue to engage in (vi) an expansive pattern of violations of human, Constitutional, and civil rights under 42 USC §§ 1981,

1985; and in (vii) an associated-in-fact pattern of racketeering acts, including, without limitation, involuntary servitude, forced labor, human trafficking, and other prohibited acts under 18 USC § 1962; against an involuntary class of U.S. persons, causing direct harm to these persons.”

.....

“These acts and the associated-in-fact enterprise of Defendants have been, are, and will be threats to life, liberty, rights, and the rule of law, for this class of injured U.S. persons, and pose this same risk to any and all U.S. persons so long as these acts continue.....”

To sustain secrecy in this Mkultra program successor, the United States, in direct and/or indirect collaboration with Article III courts, has used illegal technical printer hacks by police powers, and legal maneuvers within the courts, to suppress evidence of this system and to suppress civil actions for injuries from this system. Regardless of any obstruction and obfuscation by the Executive or by the courts, these acts and the continued use of this prohibited bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system directly violate human and civil rights and laws; imperil individual life and liberty; imperil the past, current, and future integrity of the nation’s entire system of justice; and pose an existential threat to national security and the American People. Under *United States v. Reynolds* (1953), civil actions for remedies of these injuries cannot be legally suppressed since the BRMT bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system are illegal and do not qualify under law for “state secret” protection.

B. Extra-Constitutional Abuses of Presidential Authority In Offensive Acts of War and Violations of International Treaties Among Nations

Nation-state level Executive abuses of BRMT deserve a full and fair investigation with regard to former President Trump acting offensively against leadership targets in DPRK. Though I did not realize it at the time, a visual leak directed at me some years ago in the second floor elevator lobby of my current residence, not readily visible to others in the building or to the general public, concerned the use of BRMT brain hijacking on DPRK leader Kim Jung Un by former President Trump during their “Bigger Button to Bromance” interactions. I noted this visual leak but did nothing with it then as there was no reason to conclude whether this was yet another red herring visual leak (I have seen many such false visual leaks over the years) or a matter for concern.

This pattern of offensive acts of war was apparently also used against the People’s Republic of China. The public statecraft of heads of state in DPRK, PRC, and Russia over the past year strongly suggests that it is a matter for concern. The timing of recent PRC leadership changes, a freeze on senior military-to-military contacts, and the PRC Premier’s choice to meet with Dr. Kissinger rather than members of the current administration earlier this year, are among the clearest superpower statecraft signs that this unauthorized sequence of offensive acts of war against PRC leadership at the very least knowingly continued into the current administration, even though it likely originated in the prior administration.

If substantiated, these attacks on heads of state and other leadership targets were offensive act(s) of war which invited retaliation, to and including the use of nuclear weapons authorized under the international laws of war. Therefore, they are existential offenses against our national security without Congressional authorization compounded by knowing use of an internationally prohibited bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system (prohibited under the 1972 Bioweapons Treaty and 18 U.S.C. § 175). These likely existential offenses were and are Presidential violations of the Constitutional authority of Congress and of the War Powers Resolution. The entire responsible chain of command must be held accountable if we are to preserve the sole Constitutional authority of Congress to declare offensive war operations.

Little Boy, dropped on Hiroshima in 1945, had a total yield of about 13 to 18 kilotons, and killed around 100,000 people immediately, with many more dying of radiation sickness in the months and years which followed. A reasonable reading of the DPRK nuclear arsenal places total yield in the 700 kiloton range. This equals about 45 Hiroshima Little Boy bombs, translating to 4.5 million instantly dead. We are thirty-three minutes from the DPRK as ICBM's travel. These recent offensive acts outside Constitutional authority cannot go unnoticed, with no consequences to a perpetrator whoever that may be.

While effective deterrence through proper enforcement is very challenging, forbearances by adversaries are substantially less likely for any further provocation. The potential outcomes, 45 Hiroshimas or worse, are unthinkable. Both DOJ and Congress MUST act to thoroughly investigate these substantiated suspicions and protect the interests of the People.

C. Systematic Police Powers Pattern Crimes Against Rights and Liberty

As the MKUltra and BRMT abuses against citizens have occurred since 1953 through today, the FBI has also abused constitutional and civil rights of millions of Americans. Criminal violations and color of law abuses have persisted against people seeking to exercise their right to protest maltreatment, civil rights abuses and discrimination, several wars, and a variety of other issues. Until 1971, this official program of FBI criminal acts was internally called Cointelpro. FBI personnel spent around 30% of their total effort on this systematic program of abuses and criminal acts, and also funded violent White militias to act against Black and a variety of other activists. Cointelpro was formally shut down after being discovered by activists during an FBI office burglary in 1971.

But just like CIA continued MKUltra under a different program name using a different approach to reach its legally prohibited mind control objective, the FBI also continued its malign methods after the 1971 discovery of Cointelpro. The FBI continued co-opting private enterprises, wrecking companies, destroying families and personal reputations, and ignoring murders, hijackings, extortion, and other crimes, to continue its own agenda. To control me as CIA built out the BRMT system, I was an unwitting indentured servant in FBI's illegal deep-cover domestic intelligence operations.

My Personal Direct Experience With Police Powers Pattern Crimes

Police powers have been abusing their powers and secrecy for decades, conducting targeted operations against various groups they choose to regard with suspicion whether those suspicions have any legal basis or not. Constitutionally prohibited general searches are commonplace across police powers operations at all levels of government. They are so commonplace that most police powers do not even know where the line between legal and illegal is (more on this later). My own direct experience is emblematic and instructive of these crimes, abuses, and systemic failures of police powers accountability. Keeping in mind that I am far from the only victim, we'll walk through a few examples of these malign police powers and intelligence operations reaching back to 2007 when FBI human trafficking moved me to the New York/New Jersey area.

The company I worked for in Fort Lee, NJ for 10 months in 2007-2008 was my last allowed employment. It is also the most recent example of the long series of malign FBI spying and enterprise destruction operations in my trafficked professional experience. Some of the same people who engaged in criminal human trafficking, indentured servitude, and forced labor violations against me while employed at DOJ and FBI, were using those companies - co-opted and operating as fronts - for other malign activities. These same kinds of criminal acts and civil rights violations against individual rights and interstate enterprise continued into 2022.

Individuals directly involved in the Fort Lee, NJ company, and others involved in malign activities well before that 2007-2008 episode, have appeared in public spaces as public figures. Some of these current and former executive branch officials are identified in an enclosure to this letter. Several routinely appear as news broadcasters or legal analysts and openly acknowledge their DOJ and FBI backgrounds. So, assigning responsibility for malign color of law racketeering acts and domestic police powers abuses is not a matter of personal speculation. For example, numerous FBI field offices; past Maricopa County Sheriff Joseph Arpaio and his department; former federal agents, intelligence officers, and attorneys in CIA, DEA, and CPB; as well as NYPD, NJTPD, PAPD, and a broad spectrum of other police powers agencies, have all been directly involved in these acts. These agencies have, wittingly or unwittingly, engaged in conspiracies under color of law due to the complete lack of checks and balances on systemic malign police powers operations in the United States, driven in my case and others to cover up this illegal bioweapon system, medica experimentation on humans by the federal government, and racketeering crimes.

When I decided to access the federal courts in 2010, I was run out of my apartment of three years in one of FBI's "safe houses" in Cliffside Park, NJ to homelessness within 100 days. I was then placed in civil confinement for six months after a kangaroo-court civil hearing I did not even know about until after the fact. I met the lawyer who represented me at that hearing for the first time the week after that hearing. My psych ward treatment there included a couple of nights in a padded cell, and needles in the butt injecting medications they refused to identify. Orchestrated indirect threats of targeted violence and direct displays of violence over the following months were part of the regimen. I did have a prior history of depression induced by

BRMT abuses to and including torture while an unwitting human subject of BRMT from the 1970s forward in the State of Washington, so these indirect threats were psychologically impactful.

When I was rehoused in April 2011, police powers agencies also directed local sex traps and used directed actions toward sex sites (BRMT brain hijacking manipulations) to try to lure me into sting operations in my immediate neighborhood, despite absolutely no legal basis or reasonable belief for these predatory operations. I have never been anywhere near a paid sex operation at any time in any place. It made no difference. About \$14,000 was stolen from me between 2014 and 2018 using a faked remote girlfriend – a bunch of pictures taken from the internet, and oxytocin manipulation by BRMT brain hijacking during that long-running pattern of theft. The FBI also tried a cross-border structured payments scheme against me using this same faked girlfriend persona. I declined to participate. Again, absolutely no history, just aggressive targeting of a specific person in a wild fishing expedition against rights. My minders also engaged in overt non-verbal attempts to get me to expose them during that time, violating laws against outing undercover officers. Since there was no direct harm by them in our interactions, I declined to participate.

A similar set of police powers operations using around \$200,000 of agency or other funds in entrapment patterns was run against me beginning in 2015. This set of color of law racketeering offenses, color of law frauds against my commercial enterprise, was mostly funded in the Eastern District of California (see the federal court case 2:19-cv-01918-TLN-DB), with some field operations support by Joe Arpaio, Maricopa County, Arizona's \$100 million man (that's the rough total of civil rights damages awarded against that Sheriff's Department while he ran it) beginning in late 2014. But these racketeering practices extend much further back, into the 1980s courtesy of FBI, some other police powers, CIA hiding its BRMT crimes, and some friendly but probably unwitting foreign intelligence services, at least as to the BRMT element. These kinds of pattern offenses continue at present. For example, First Amendment violations (18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242) restricting my free access to information and to authentic websites have been committed this week, and I do not have the right of free association on the internet as has been the case for about 20 years.

Another recent FBI color of law example - FBI's New York and Amarillo field offices conspired to entrap. FBI New York sent four agents posing as a private equity group, Sole Source Capital, to lie about positive investment intentions in a meeting at the St. Regis Hotel bar in New York City. Then they used \$12,000 of agency funds wired to me by an agent posing as a partner in CFOSearch. That funding came from a specifically adverse West Texas federal court district with a single federal judge of a particular reputation (Amarillo). This specific racketeering sequence occurred, as it has several times before, as I was again attempting to raise funds to build a website for use in interstate commerce. As with prior attempts, the website developer never quite completed the task, so I was again unable to set up a planned new company in the protein business.

One of the New York FBI field office agents operating undercover made their intent clear to me in a phone conversation about his visits from the supposed Sole Source headquarters (alleged to be California) to West Texas. This communications style is their usual tradecraft way of leaving breadcrumb voice trails, never in writing, indicating their intent, something you learn after you have been repeatedly targeted in their entrapment efforts, and in the other racketeering offenses patterns they commonly practice, such as contract fraud, mail fraud, and wire fraud (predicate acts under RICO, 18 U.S.C. § 1962).

I have tried to do this same type of interstate commercial activity repeatedly since 2011. I have been greeted by agents and other police powers posing as corporate employees discussing sales and project proposals in over two dozen corporate headquarters since 2003. Walmart, Bentonville, Arkansas; Kroger Procurement offices, Blue Vine, Ohio; Badger Meter, Milwaukee, Wisconsin; Western Digital, San Jose, California; Brightstar, Miami, Florida; the list goes on and on. Each of my attempts since 2001 to engage in interstate commerce has been met with agents, with programmed failure, sometimes employing agency funds, and persistently involving wire frauds, email frauds, check frauds, contract frauds, and a variety of other frauds.

As for BRMT and CIA, they provided mini-torture sessions for my September 23, 2023 birthday celebration. I attended a Wynton Marsalis concert in New York City sitting in a box seat stage right near the back of the band. Some of my BRMT operations “friends” sat nearby. These were likely CIA personnel unless it has ceded operational control of BRMT to FBI or others. The concert included two mini-torture episodes of BRMT inflicted pain to the outer biceps femoris muscle tendon behind my right knee. The first episode was about 5 minutes duration before intermission, and the second about 15 minutes duration after intermission. Both incidents caused extreme discomfort, affirming my experience with these defendants’ and their affinity for gratuitous physical pain and psychological cruelty.

Cover-ups are quite common, too. For example, NYPD admitted on September 3, 2021 that it had investigatory evidence of its own malign targeted acts against me which it would not release due to the irregular methods used. Then NYPD denied having any evidence of any interaction or any record at all related to me 12 days later. FBI then issued a coordinate “liar” letter denying any knowledge or operation just 15 days later, on September 30, 2021. This entire brazen admission/coordinated cover-up sequence unfolded over just one month, as FBI was engaged in the New York/Amarillo racketeering sequence described above, itself a follow-on to earlier malign targeting coordinated with NYPD and other regional police powers operations.

All of these targeted acts arose after the DOJ/FBI trafficking for indentured servitude and forced labor crimes they had committed in 2007 (described above) which brought me to the region in the first place. And the entire sequence beginning in 2007 was preceded by multiple sequences of racketeering wrecking in Washington State through 2005 and trafficking into homelessness in Boston for 21 months in 2005-2007. DOJ’s Inspector General has, since

2021, twice refused my written requests for investigations. See the relevant inline exhibits at DC: 23-cv-415 (a Table of Contents listing is enclosed for your convenience).

These are just a few selected examples of police powers crimes and abuses of rights against me. I'm certainly not the only one caught up in this specific form of targeted police powers and intelligence malignancy. More detailed information and the much longer history of these police powers civil rights and racketeering crimes reaching back to my teen years is found in the D.C. District Court complaint at 23-cv-415, which documents 92 specific substantive sequences of criminal conduct by FBI, CIA, and other police powers agencies, in violation of 43 federal statutes, with the periodic assistance of foreign intelligence and police powers operations operating internationally against U.S. persons in their trading games across borders.

Public Data Demonstrates Police Powers Abuses and Repressive Incarceration

As you can see in my own experience, these police powers color of law abuse patterns repeat endlessly. And most certainly not just directed at me. Let's briefly compare some police powers benchmarks with our peers, including other higher income nations:

Nation	Police per 100,000 population	Incarcerated People per 100,000	Ranking: Most Punitive to Least Punitive Nations (Ratio of Incarcerated People to Police per 100,000)
Iran	75	228	3.0
United States	242	531	2.2
Brazil	211	389	1.8
China	143	119	0.8
Russia	516	300	0.6
England and Wales	227	143	0.6
Canada	184	85	0.5
South Korea	226	103	0.5
France	422	107	0.3
Germany	349	67	0.2
Japan	235	36	0.2

Data source: Wikipedia tables

We are second to Iran as the most punitive police powers state on this planet. With the notable exception of gun violence, we have the same set of social challenges as peer nations. Gun violence adds a modest number of offenses to the overall total offenses, but nowhere near enough to account for the **four to ten times differential in our incarceration level**.

Are the Americans people that much worse than the people of peer nations? No, of course not. We're from everywhere, only about 2% of us have even lived in North America for more than 10 generations or so. It doesn't seem very likely we're much worse as a people than everywhere else since we're from all those places.

My personal experience with targeted police powers harassment, their deprivations of rights, and their racketeering crimes, suggests this is the result of predatory policing practices, the targeting of specific people rather than of specific types of behaviors.

Former FBI Director Confessed to Prohibited Personal Targeting and General Searches

Even former FBI Director Muller has said the targeting of specific people is a pattern of practice, paraphrasing here, "if you become a target, our interest in you explodes." FBI and others have certainly engaged me for malign target practice over the past several decades, as described above. Director Muller perfectly described a general search, which the Founders intended to prohibit by the Bill of Rights.

The Founders' horror over general searches by British police powers (the King's soldiers were the police powers) was one very specific reason the anti-federalists insisted on a Bill of Rights so they would agree to the ratification of the Constitution. In compromise James Madison, the principal author of the Constitution, wrote a package of twelve amendments in 1789. Ten of those were ratified by two-thirds of both houses, then in 1791 by two-thirds of state legislatures, to become the Bill of Rights. One of the two remaining amendments, Congress cannot raise its own pay during a session for that session, was ratified in 1792. The Third (no soldiers in homes) and Fourth (no searches without warrant) Amendments were written into the Bill of Rights specifically so the People could be secure from a future which included any version of the King's much hated general searches.

The King's troops conducted general searches in the King's North American Colonies as they wished, walking into homes unannounced, rifling through papers and possessions, tickling the daughter's chin, and walking away when and with what they wished, even to arbitrarily seizing personal and real property with no reasonable proceeding to redeem it. The Third and Fourth have come to be regarded differently by government now, as merely technocratic obstacles to police powers which must be overcome, rather than as essential elements of protecting liberty.

Quoting here from the Declaration:

"The history of the present King of Great Britain is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute Tyranny over these States. To prove this, let Facts be submitted to a candid world."

The Declaration then lists 27 specific classes of grievances so apparently they had gripes about intrusive, overreaching government then, too. They then followed with:

“In every stage of these Oppressions We have Petitioned for Redress in the most humble terms: Our repeated Petitions have been answered only by repeated injury. A Prince whose character is thus marked by every act which may define a Tyrant, is unfit to be the ruler of a free people.”

We have civil forfeitures by police powers without seizure processes today, which resemble some of the pre-emptive actions of British soldiers back in the day. Search warrants have become a technocratic fig leaf used to claim Fourth Amendment compliance - after general searches are conducted (called intelligence operations by police powers they are fishing expeditions for anything they can find and some things they must invent), and predatory targeting of persons and groups on beliefs (not validly targeted criminal behaviors).

As for protections of People’s lives, rights, and property when they are targets of federal and other police powers predatory patterns, there is

A separation of powers argument, so there is no interference with predatory police powers actions, no matter their intensity, duration, scope, or persistence.

General searches (clearly intended to be prohibited by the Founders) are these days framed as “intelligence gathering,” but they are typically aimed at specific individuals and groups, not at specific illegal behaviors and patterns of illegal behaviors. So, these practices are still those same much hated general searches, whatever they may be called today. They are used constantly by police powers, to and including deprivations of rights and conspiracy against rights (18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242) and racketeering offenses (18 U.S.C. § 1962).

It’s in the long tradition of police powers operations against the perceived enemy of the day – the targets are sometimes Black people, sometimes booksellers, sometimes organic food cooperative members, sometimes the “Japanese Miracle,” sometimes Muslims, (and actual criminal behaviors too, to be fair) – whatever the disfavored flavor of the moment might be. Police powers are also well known to treat internal whistleblowers like they act against anyone else who speaks against them, as targets for intimidation and reprisal.

In my direct personal experience over the past fifty years, and in my comparison of my experience to pattern of practice analysis by the Senate Intelligence Committee in 1975 (48 years ago and see enclosures).....

These general searches (predatory police powers operations) involve deliberate predatory acts to and including loss of personal and real property by indirect means, such as coordinated mail, wire, and sales frauds to block from small business revenue sources; depriving victims of valid job, career, and small business options including blocking from government small business assistance programs; extreme psychological coercion and harassment without physical contact in attempts to secure physical retaliation by the target; and many other predatory practices documented in my complaint 23-cv-415. In extreme cases

like mine and unknown numbers of others, they range to illegal human biomedical experimentation with bioweapons, to torture, and to grave Article 3 Geneva Convention war crimes (18 U.S.C. §§ 175, 2340, 3141). Nazi doctors were prosecuted by United States Department of Justice prosecutors and other rallied powers and convicted at Nuremberg for similar categories of offenses.

The day before Congress passed and President Johnson signed the 1964 Civil Rights Act, Cointelpro had been formally underway in DOJ and FBI for eight years. Cointelpro continued unchanged for seven more years after that signing ceremony at the White House. It continued unabated until its 1971 disclosure as a result of a citizen activists' burglary and subsequent media publication of FBI's own incriminating documents burglarized from FBI's own file cabinets in Media, Pennsylvania. The file cabinets were filled with headquarters memos sent to "all field offices." Notably, neither the FBI Inspector General nor the DOJ Inspector General had ever shown any interest in these pattern offenses against civil rights and the accompanying violence by various police powers agencies and departments at all levels of government. Ever.

Cointelpro was investigated along with MKUltra by the Senate Intelligence Committee in 1975. Both MKUltra and Cointelpro spanned decades. DOJ brought no charges against anyone - ever. The FBI Building is named after the unindicted leader of Cointelpro, J. Edgar Hoover. The CIA Director was promoted to Ambassador to Iran months after MKUltra was shut down and the evidence of it as the world's largest drug dealer and its direct and indirect crimes against American citizens had been safely destroyed.

The police powers pattern offenses certainly haven't been abolished by the new laws enacted in 1975 against that conduct. The conduct has simply morphed in new directions as technology has changed and carried on as before. My 48 years of experience since 1975 (and a few before then) show that. As does the broader public record. As of 2023, we now have 45 consecutive years of FISA violations (per the FISA Court), and 15 consecutive years of Section 702 violations (per Congressional hearings). The violations list is long, added to on a daily basis, and looks just like the conduct of any other scofflaw be they person, agency, or department.

Practical Realities and Alleged Rights – DOJ, Police Powers, and the Federal Courts

Let's return to my direct personal experience for a few paragraphs here, so you can understand the actual process as it occurs under federal police powers field operations. This pattern example includes some notable DC federal district court operations in 2021-2023 which wittingly or unwittingly supporting those predatory federal police powers field operations:

In September 2005, I hand delivered a Federal Tort Claims Act complaint letter to the U.S. Attorney for the Western District of Washington. I then hand delivered this same letter in Washington, D.C. when the mail did not get through to addressees at the Department of Justice and Executive Office of the President, and several members of Congress, among others. I was homeless within 120 days, trafficked first to Massachusetts homelessness, then to New Jersey federal

police powers indentured employment (again) and federal unsafe house for ten months. Forced unemployment at all times since July 2008 has followed.

Then in 2010, upon preparing a civil complaint without access to counsel due to enforced penury, and arriving at a federal Courthouse door to redress grievances in that Constitutional place of last resort:

I found myself homeless and civilly committed to a psych ward within 100 days of filing a complaint in June 2010 in the District of New Jersey case 10-3204. This civil confinement in a psych ward occurred after a state court civil commitment hearing where I was represented by counsel who had not met me. He was representing me at a hearing which I was not informed was occurring. According to an independent psychological profile, I am in the 10% of the population least likely to experience neuroses. This is somewhat at odds with the court's determination at the time of commitment, but neither I nor the court knew one another. Due process, I guess.

I voluntarily dismissed the June 2010 New Jersey federal court case in January 2011 because it is somewhat difficult to represent yourself in federal court while you are functionally confined in a psych ward, no matter how skilled you are. About three months after my voluntary dismissal, I was rehoused as directed by police powers. An implicit condition of that rehousing? A quid pro quo? I simply do not know. On March 31, 2011, I was rehoused for the further predatory police powers operations described in the complaint DC: 23-cv-415.

But all in all, this experience, so far, does sound a bit like a dissident's treatment in the former Soviet Union, or perhaps Iran, or some similarly repressive place. And you'll recall that we are second to Iran on the repressive incarceration scale mentioned earlier, so perhaps there is something more than mere speculation to this concept. We're not done yet, though.

In 2021-2022, I was denied threshold access in DC District Court largely because in complying with DC Pro Se Form 2 instructions, my complaints failed to properly state a legal claim. *Nietzke v. Williams* (1989) forbids federal courts from this form of dismissal for failure to state a claim. The novel technological arguments made about a classified neuroscience-based bioweapon were disregarded as delusional, contradicting *Denton v Hernandez* (1992). The dismissals also directly contradict the explicit reality of antilog biomedical devices which use the identical neuroscience in FDA approved human trials.

After failed attempts using the DC courts recommended form Pro Se 2, I completely reformatted my claim to a more traditional and comprehensive legal form of complaint. I was again denied threshold access to federal court in direct contradiction to the standing case law above and the DC Circuit's own case law

in *Crisafi v Holland* (1981) which states that each and every allegation made in a complaint must be specious to dismiss in whole. Forty-three federal statutes, 92 distinct fact patterns, and 2,399 separate injuries are alleged in District of Columbia case 23-cv-415. During case submission, federal police powers defendants hacked the printing required by the court and clerk, then the court preemptively suppressed 86% of the evidence without review in its miscellaneous order 23-mc-014.

So, this is civil due process when criminal due process is not enforced against corrupt police powers in the United States.

During this period, I have hand delivered a copy of the initial filing in the DC federal courts to the U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia. An email indicated they did not consider this to be service of process (rightly), so the complaint and the matters therein were completely disregarded (wrongly).

I have also addressed a series of letters to the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York, beginning in December 2021 and continuing into the present day with a copy of this letter hand delivered before October 10.

Nothing.

But I guess I should not have been surprised. This is the true record of the historical institutional pattern of the Department of Justice.

NO History of DOJ Prosecutions For Intelligence and Police Powers Pattern Offenses

There is NO history of ANY criminal prosecutions of widespread illegal federal police powers pattern criminal acts against American citizens by the Department of Justice - ever. Instead, a tacit permission structure has grown in these agencies. Those who suffered at the hands of CIA's drug dealing and FBI's Cointelpro violence and the police powers violence FBI tolerated or encouraged in other police powers operations can attest to this pattern of racketeering practices through 1975. So can I, and others I'm sure, for the 48 years since that 1975 Senate Intelligence Committee Report.

Having reported criminal racketeering and biomedical abuse by federal intelligence and police powers to three U.S. Attorney's offices – 2005 (Western Washington and DOJ Headquarters both in person), 2021 (DC in person) , and 2022 (SDNY in person) - I can firmly attest there is NO effective check on federal police powers crimes and abuses under color of law against U.S. persons.

Manifest destiny for targeted people, as managed by federal police powers, is the only logical conclusion one can reach from this long-running pattern of systemic failure. It is not the Bill of Rights protecting "certain unalienable Rights." Rather, it is the NEVER checked coercive

police power of the federal government controlling People. Civil and constitutional rights for this particular citizen, and for those similarly situated, have been and are mere illusions, nothing more.

As for protecting one's own rights in an Article III court, we've already demonstrated clearly how that..... I'm not even sure what word to use here. The sentence would logically end with the word "works" but that would not be an accurate description. In any case, it only takes the average person targeted by a malign police powers operation about a year's worth of pay to get a civil rights complaint in front of a trial jury, so this sort of corrective action against federal and other police powers happens well, when exactly.....

As far as I can determine, the United States Congress spends about \$18,400 on behalf of each American citizen each year across all appropriations for all purposes. About 30 cents of that is spent each year on the Founder's paramount purpose for government to exist at all, as stated in the Declaration of Independence: "...That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed...."

Certainly, not everyone involved in police powers is a law breaker who engages in misconduct, nor is all their time spent on misconduct. But Cointelpro consumed about 30% of FBI's nationwide field operations as a pattern of nationwide felonies. There is a long-running pattern of tacit permissions structures, and systemic misconduct. It is often hidden at the federal level behind the "state secrets" curtain for the convenience of those who are criminals with badges and who wish to avoid any and all adverse publicity with the assistance of the federal court system. These public employees can and do rise to command positions over time, corrupting their organizations still further. We are there.

Congress funds the National Transportation Safety Board to systematically investigate mass transportation equipment failures. NHTSA does the same for motor vehicles. And FMCSA does the same for motor carriers. But when it comes to police and intelligence powers predations that can take and destroy lives, particularly those that hide this behind the federal smoke screen called "state secrets," we have well, we have a pattern of criminal racketeering without indictments ever being brought, that's the undeniable 153 year DOJ track record. No justice, only cover-ups. I would (do) know. I have spent five decades in this exact position.

Congress can act to add effective protections from intelligence and police powers predators. An independent field deployed organization with about 10% of the total resources of federal police powers and intelligence agencies, around 15,000 people, would do the job at the federal level. Identical powers focused solely on police powers operations and behaviors, with no crossover transfers or promotions into regular federal police powers operations so as to avoid any deliberate headhunting of specific police powers personnel for the purpose of self-promotion.

Congress' decision to spend 30 cents per person per year on the government's paramount reason for existence - to protect the rights of citizens - is your statement of values and priorities. Congress has failed us, all of us. You can choose to do better. You must.

D. Prejudiced Access to Wildly Inefficient Article III Courts

Access to federal courts is Constitutionally intended as the place of last resort for the redress of individual grievances, including systemic police powers abuses. Federal courts are extremely expensive to access and very slow to act, so federal civil justice is wildly uneven, mostly reserved for the very few among us of greatest wealth. While Congress first provided for indigent access in 1892, the courts still have enormous challenges providing timely access fairly granted. One day of paid legal representation before a federal court is approximately equal to one month's rent for a lower middle income family. And federal adjudication processes are measured in years, even in the most basic matters, assuming access is even granted.

My own experience with the courts, regarding issues of federal state secrets crimes which are technologically daunting for nearly everyone to understand and include legally challenging Nuremberg-worthy issues such as illegal BRMT biomedical experiments on and abuses of humans, and the police powers racketeering and misconduct cover-up described earlier in this letter, is instructive. The multiple threshold failures of courts to meet case law standards in *Crisafi*, *Denton* and *Nietzke* by federal courts in the District of Columbia is instructive. It leads us through the flawed appeals process to a totally discretionary decision by the Supreme Court whether or not to even consider the rights of all to fairly access federal courts brought by petition and docketed as 22-7805.

SCOTUS Cert Petition Excerpt

“REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Reason 1: Repeated errors in applying precedent and profoundly flawed appellate review processes have and do prejudice the rights of in forma pauperis and pro se plaintiffs in the wrongful exercise of discretion in *sua sponte* orders of the courts in the District of Columbia circuit. This is the inescapable conclusion as to eight district court judges in nine badly flawed *sua sponte* orders and six circuit court judges in two badly flawed appellate *sua sponte* reviews and orders, all of are mandated by this Court to comply with the *Denton* precedent. Each and every order issued dismissing matters *sua sponte* failed to conform. The rights of this and other in forma pauperis plaintiffs have been violated repeatedly these courts from at least 2021 to the present. This Court must exercise its supervisory authority provided in Rule 10(a) and 10(c) to correct these widespread systemic deprivations of rights and failures to comply with this Court's *Denton* mandate which include specific standards for “intelligent appellate review.”

Reason 2: As affirmed by this circuit court, the district courts also prejudiced the rights of this and all other in forma pauperis litigants in presenting Pro Se Form 2 on its website for an extended period of time. Complying with the repeated instructions on that form: “Do not make legal arguments” was a principal reason for nearly two years of in forma pauperis pro se *sua*

sponte dismissals in this plaintiff's case alone. The circuit court affirmed this district court systemic failure as to this plaintiff in 2022 in 22-5158. **This circuit and these district courts' non-compliance with the Denton mandate have prejudiced the rights of this plaintiff fatally if this petition is not granted, and very likely permanently and fatally prejudiced the rights of other in forma pauperis and pro se litigants in other matters in this circuit.** Rule 10 supervisory corrective action must be applied to this material deviation from fair jurisprudence.

Reason 3: These courts preemptively and without review violated, then affirmed violation, of this in forma pauperis' right to file 86% of the evidence, as plaintiff reported immediately after these defendants had been and were hacking his computer and printer. Plaintiff twice offered electronic evidence and was twice refused the right to file, so was never entered, seen, heard, or reviewed. These requests were made seven days prior to filing in 23-mc-014, and actively through a court assistant during the time of the physical paper filing of the complaint 23-cv-0415. The plaintiff's attempt to enhance the record using email attachments were then hopelessly scrambled by the clerk's office when offered in the only remaining piecemeal fashion which could be used for any submission based upon weeks of requests and denials at the direction of the court and the clerk. These District of Columbia federal courts erroneous acts, purposeful and hostile or ignorant and inconsiderate, were affirmed by the circuit court. Constitutional rights and court legitimacy and fairness are at stake for those forced to file in forma pauperis pro se by life circumstances or actively hostile defendants with infinitely superior resources and powers which can be abused under color of law. Basic jurisprudential fairness and a series of fundamental violations of the *Denton* mandate both indicate yet another Rule 10(a) supervisory intervention is mandated.

In this matter, the circuit court's *sua sponte* affirmations at 23-5052 of the district court's *sua sponte* dismissals at 23-cv-0415 CM/ECF # 10 completely ignored and give absolutely no weight to:

- a. 92 specific examples of injuries and color of law abuses in the complaint which represent the full array of lethality attempts, personal injuries, commercial injuries, and national security related pretexting by these defendants over time, listed in Appendix E hereto.
- b. 43 compensable federal statutory forms of injury and violations of rights, and dozens of related state statutory injuries and violations,
- c. 20 interline evidentiary exhibits in the body of underlying complaint itself contains which document, among many other things, strong evidence of intentional color of law pattern of practice abuses which comprise associated-in-fact enterprises under 18 U.S.C. 1962(b),(c), and (d) by federal police powers and intelligence operations, and their co-defendant police powers partners. One of these interline exhibits presents very strong circumstantial evidence of a September 2021 coordinated cover-up and denial by two defendant police powers operations (FBI and NYPD) after an initial admission by one of those defendants (NYPD) which acknowledged in writing it's possession of material evidence while declining to furnish that evidence to the plaintiff. Further interline evidence includes (i) plaintiff's then unwitting interactions with, and predicate acts by, a police powers defendant who has been previously federally judged liable multiple times for related civil rights offenses, resulting in over

- \$100 million in damage awards to other plaintiffs (Joseph Arpaio, Maricopa County, Arizona Sheriff until 2018 and former 25 year federal DEA agent in Latin América). Also included is abundant evidence of purposeful professional entanglements of the unwitting plaintiff in national security sensitive matters as pretext for ongoing federal color of law abuses, which include civilian commercial cover domestic legend building for international intelligence acquisition platform projects (1980s), nuclear technologies used in reactor and submarine operations (1990s), and rocket and satellite technologies used in military applications (1990s), and trafficking and forced labor (throughout).
- d. 10,059 pages of facts and evidence, preemptively suppressed by the defendant, then immediately thereafter by the district court, which include essential evidence of predicate acts and other extensive violations of United States Code Title 18, Title 42 Chapter 21, and of five ratified international treaties by these defendants,

The circuit court affirmed this entire pattern of prejudicial rejection without review, overt suppression of evidence by the district court, first in the circuit's willful disallowing of a hearing or any factual development in its own *sua sponte* dismissal and affirmation, then again in its refusal to rehear what it had not heard in the first place. It invested two sentences by a judge's clerk in a no-compliant non-review and then one additional sentence in refusing a request for rehearing, joining the district court in trashing the plaintiff's constitutional right to have these facts be fairly considered and developed in an adversarial proceeding as required under this court's *Denton* mandate, 28 U.S.C. § 1915, and our Constitution.

The courts fundamental Constitutional reason to exist for citizens is to be the place of last resort to correct wrongs, including government abuses, redress profound overreaches, and preserve individual rights, so these broad precedential failures and process abuses in this important circuit MUST be reviewed by this Court under its standards at Rule 10(a):

“ a United States court of appeals has entered a decision in conflict with the decision of another United States court of appeals on the same important matter; has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with a decision by a state court of last resort; or has so far departed from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings, or sanctioned such a departure by a lower court, as to call for an exercise of this Court's supervisory power; ”

AND at Rule 10(c):

“ a United States court of appeals has decided an important question of federal law that has not been, but should be, settled by this Court, or has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with relevant decisions of this Court.”

Reason 4: Finally, and Most Profoundly, when proven, the underlying matter before the courts here involves a grave threat to the public safety generally and the individual rights of U.S. persons have been systematically and durably violated by the federal executive acting outside its Constitutional and legal authorities. It is not some imaginary threat, as it uses existing technologies in a prohibited computer to brain bioweapon system, and has modern commercial antilogs, beneficial brain to computer medical devices which are in active use treating medical issues in the brain in FDA human trials. Many other technologies have come from defense and

intelligence applications to commercial uses, such as GPS, digital communications, and the internet. This one has not and must be put in its proper [place, not continue to be use too inflict biomedical and worse harms no people.

The illegal bioweapon and bioweapon delivery system which undergirds this entire matter, has been, is being, and will continue to be illegally developed and used on U.S. persons as human subjects, and has been, is being, and will be, illegally operated in secret, directly violating 18 U.S.C. Chapter 10 and the ratified 1972 treaty *Convention on the Prohibition of the Development, Production and Stockpiling of Bacteriological (Biological) and Toxin Weapons and on Their Destruction*, (effective in force March 26, 1975), if this Court fails to permit full and fair development of facts in an adversarial proceeding in accordance with the constitutional rights to redress of grievances which are guaranteed to even the least among us in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915. More lives will be lost and destroyed if this litigation is not permitted.

The federal executive at all levels is simply too invested in avoiding domestic and international repercussions to protect individual rights, comply with the Constitution and laws in this matter. Political office holders run and hide when requested to assist with this issue, as they have repeatedly in this plaintiff's experience which include being cut from mailing lists, having mail and emails intercepted and diverted or "lost," and by a long running series of technology hacks, some requiring the purchase of replacement equipment, by these defendants. These patterns are identical to the patterns demonstrated by the Justice Department, and other elements of the federal executive as the CIA's MKUltra and FBI's Cointelpro scandals against individual rights of millions of Americans unfolded in the 1970s. Self-preservation and self-exculpation were and are the paramount values their patterns of actions and failures to act have and do demonstrate.

This offensive bioweapon and delivery system is a surreptitious computer to brain interface used remotely to indirectly manipulate the brain, abusing human subjects, and an antilog (opposite in function but based upon the same scientific principles and existing technologies) to Synchron commercial biomedical devices in FDA approved human trials in New York since 2022. This Synchron medical device is a brain to computer interface which assists humans to overcome symptoms and limitations imposed by brain disorders and disabling brain disease progressions. A second FDA approval for human trials of a similar antilog medical device was granted to Neuralink, an Elon Musk funded company, on May 25, 2023.

Yes, this sounds fantastic to the uninitiated. That is understandable. Yellowstone National Park was widely known by the knowledgeable as a trapper's delusion for about 40 years, and dismissed as a drunken fantasy, before it became the world's first national park for the people rather than for a monarch. Satellite television shows old television re-runs 24 hours per day, it was pressed into existence in the aftermath of defense spending beginning in the late 1950s after the beep of Sputnik brought existential fear to America. And imagine sitting in a liquid fueled metal tube six miles in the sky traveling at 85% of the speed of sound – enjoy your Summer break.

But also give profound consideration to the realities faced by some of us on a daily basis at the hands of malign overreach:

- a. these defendants include an overreaching federal executive with police powers and intelligence operations, and state and local partners at first unwittingly entangled, then tightly bound by their own overreach to this federal pattern of overreach, and invested in an outcome, not justice,
- b. the profound reality that the Justice Department has never pursued systemic institutional corruption in federal departments and agencies in its entire 153 year history of full-time existence. For example, (i) FBI's Cointelpro crimes against thousands of people over 15 years were run out of an office across the hall from J. Edgar Hoover, (ii) FBI's protection of the criminal gang leader Whitey Bulger, known throughout FBI for 15 years as a strangler, extortionist, and truck hijacker, but the entire responsibility was hung on one agent who ran Bulger as, all the while, the entire agency management team knew his criminal activities, and (iii) the intelligence community (e.g., CIA's MKUltra and 100 million doses of LSD for unsuspecting Americans. No management accountability for direct harms, aiding and abetting harms, conducting felony and destroying evidence (obstructing justice). These are fact-based American realities under our federal executive, which overreaches, claims legal exemptions, and runs to hiding behind whatever subterfuge it can find, including the classical criminal blame the victim strategy,
- c. by acknowledging these realities of our system and its documented history, you are forced to the inescapable conclusion that this underlying case 23-cv-0415 will have profound impacts for the rights of individuals, for the rule of law, for any hope of halting color of law abuses of police, war, and other Constitutional powers by the federal executive. Any failure to allow the facts to be fully developed despite the protestations of an overreaching federal executive does and will directly threaten, as it most recently has on three occasions in late 2022, the life and safety of the plaintiff, of others similarly situated, and of the general public.

Injured plaintiffs are constitutionally entitled to contest the malign actions of any party in Article III courts under the Fourth and Fifth Amendments. If that adversarial proceeding is not permitted, one must inevitably conclude Article III courts in the District of Columbia function primarily to protect the interests of the federal executive in these matters, not civil liberties.

That would be anathema to liberty and to individual rights, and that in turn would place the Article III courts of the United States in an utterly untenable position.”

End of excerpt. It speaks for itself. Just two more points about Rights and Liberty here.

Rights and Liberty Point One - Practical Access Is Not Afforded to Citizens

My attempts to get the broad issue of in forma pauperis pro se federal court access granted by Congress in 1892, but functionally disregarded by numerous DC federal courts in 2021-2023, has itself been met with resistance by the Supreme Court Clerk and the U.S. Postal Service. The Clerk's office has thrice returned letters addressed to the Chief Justice in his administrative capacities overseeing the DC circuit and as Judicial Conference Chair. The Clerk's office refuses them as not properly brought before the Court, despite the fact they are clearly

labeled as First Amendment political speech criticizing federal court operations broadly. These letters also clearly state that the specific personal matter related to in forma pauperis pro se litigants is being considered in the usual Constitutionally mandated fashion, citing the cert petition number 22-7805 issued by the Clerk's office. Most recently, the U.S. Postal Service has refused to enter certified mail to its delivery system at the Post Office in Edgewater, NJ regarding this issue and the reporting of criminal acts under 18 U.S.C. § 4 to the Chief Justice and to three federal executive branch officials. I am again forced to attempt hand delivery of those letters in Washington, D.C. on October 10, 2023.

Rights and Liberty Point Two – Real Sacrifices By People, Incrimination and Retaliation by Police Powers

My great-great grandfather served in the Civil War, Company C, 2D New York Cavalry. As a Quaker pacifist he bore no weapon. He was Company C's bugler, promoted to Private, and ended the war as a Corporal. For four years, he rode his horse to sound his bugle, wheeling the troops on his Company Commander's order, fighting for the Union, and for freedom for the enslaved. He won the Medal of Honor for his unarmed dash to snatch a Confederate engineering battalion flag from behind enemy lines at Appomattox. He now lies in Quaker Cemetery in Cornwall-on-the-Hudson, New York.

His great-great grandson and others of his descendants, as well as others not yet known to us all, have been functionally indentured by the United States since at least the 1970s, including by elements of the Department of Justice, in continuing violations of the Thirteenth Amendment ratified in 1865.

“Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction.”

This indentured servitude repeats a pattern experienced by the enslaved, who were ostensibly freed, then sold out in a corrupt political bargain which ended Reconstruction in 1877. Their rights were thereafter systematically abridged using the rules of the United States Senate until a police powers assassination of one victim led to the televised public police powers beatings on the Edmund Pettus Bridge in 1964. But FBI continued its Cointelpro civil rights violations of these and other Americans anyway. The Civil Rights Act of 1964 caused no change in their behavior.

I'm a 68 year old White guy who got an advanced degree at one of President Lincoln's land grant universities. But who, among others, has never been truly free because the United States CIA chose to do Nazi-style bioweapons development on the backs of some among the People for fifty years. This federal program of BRMT human experimentation and involuntary indentured servitude was and is a program very much like those for which Nazi doctors were criminally prosecuted by the United States and allied powers in 1946. Its backed by federal

police powers including FBI, and other police powers they have dragged into their civil rights and racketeering conspiracy. These intelligence and police powers field operations in the United States commit those same patterns of civil rights and racketeering crimes as in MKUltra and Cointelpro hidden from the public from the early 1950s into the 1970s, and from any criminal consequences ever.

There are more BRMT and racketeering crimes victims among the People. Since the United States won't look – that is what DOJ and the federal courts have told us by their actions - I'm beginning our search for the other victims. I know a few, they'll know more. We'll work together and out these criminal practices one way or another. We have public tools today we did not have in the 1970s.

But truly, Congress owns this one too. Lawless intelligence and police powers executives and operatives aren't using their personal retirement accounts to fund these illegal operations. You appropriate every dollar used every year by the Executive branch to violate the Constitution and laws. And 30 cents every year to protect each citizen's rights.

As a quick reminder, the federal executive has so much respect for the separation of powers, the intelligence community directly surveilled and spied on Senate Intelligence Committee staff while Senator Feinstein ran an inquiry into their violations of our Senate-ratified Torture Treaty.

Is this what my great-great grandfather fought for and what millions have died for then and since - the best pathetic response our Article I, II, and III branches can muster at this moment in 2023? A corrupt political bargain of silence, complicity, and deprivation of rights in full public view, with a side of yet-to-be broadly understood existential risk to the entire nation by unauthorized acts of war by Presidents?

I think not.

* * * * *

We elect representatives to act as leaders and meet these challenges, not evade them. Unfortunately, for a considerable time now, the answers coming from Washington, D.C., have been of "kick the can down the road" quality rather than "solve the damn problem" quality. We simply cannot afford to "kick the can" again and again. That road will end at some point. We will be unable to regain global credibility based upon our word as a nation. We will be unable to sell our debt at any reasonable price. As 4% of the world's population, we will be unable to stand alone and without allies in this world.

Instead, imperiled by these grave and self-inflicted accountability and policy failures of Congress, our ability to even remain the seriously flawed democracy we are today is an open question. Congress cannot manage itself well enough to pass broadly agreed policy into law. It does not effectively check an out of control Executive which will not manage its own affairs in

compliance with our Constitution and our laws. These policy failures must be transformed to policy fixes or the nation we have inherited from our Founders and forebears will itself pass.

Will the Senate change its rules to operate as the simple-majority governed body the Founder's intended, ending its obstructive role which is "altogether unfit for the administration of the Union?"

Will Congress do the People's business, seriously addressing unauthorized offensive acts of war; the policy issues mentioned here; and obstacles to long-term national competitiveness, including willful fiscal recklessness?

Will Congress act to adopt policies which benefit us broadly, many of which are already generally agreed among the public, or merely sabotage and obstruct as before the will of the majority for the convenience of one in a minority?

Many other democracies do hold leaders and bureaucracies accountable when they violate their Constitution and laws. Peru, France, Italy, Germany, and other advanced democracies have and do hold leaders criminally liable when needed. Most democracies are managing to address these serious policy issues forthrightly. The European Union has managed many of the same policy tasks to much greater success than we have. The EU Parliament is a fractious body of 705 people, 8 major parties, and 27 distinct national interests. We have just 2 parties in one nation. But they do not have our Senate's obstructive rules.....so they're able to implement into law what our Senate, on the whim of one, can stall for 100 years at a time.

Kindly be about the People's business – policy solutions not partisan posturing - or the remaining 19% may join us in finding some who will. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dennis S. Brewer
1210 City Place
Edgewater, NJ 07020

Cc, printed: Sally Buzbee, Executive Editor - Washington Post, with enclosures

Carolyn Ryan, Managing Editor – New York Times, with enclosures

Department of Justice: Merrick Garland - Attorney General, Jack Smith – Special Prosecutor, Robert Hur – Special Prosecutor, Damian Williams – U.S. Attorney, Southern District of New York

Enclosures: LP Evidentiary Exhibits – BRMT Racketeering Key Federal Officials and Crimes Combined

Judicial Misconduct Complaint – District of Columbia Circuit Executive,
September 23, 2023

District of Columbia District Court Complaint 23-cv-415 excerpt pages 21-69
(Research note - some addresses in original complaint caption were hacked by
defendants during preparation and are inaccurate)

LP Evidentiary Exhibits - BRMT pages 1-27, Personal Statement 140-189, Pattern
Evidence pages 237-271

North Korea Nuclear Weapons Arsenal New Estimates of Size

DC TRIP REPORT NOTES 231010

See emails sequence herein sent to self and reprinted here for field documentation.

Explanatory Notes:

703pm email (no subject): Sequence begins around 650pm with right hand palm area cramping, then adds knee right, then left until all three pain points are experienced together beginning a couple of minutes before the Baltimore stop in this bus route.

Behind knee is the outer tendon above the outside of the knee where these BRMT involuntary contractions of the tendon consistently occur. The r is right knee, l is left. Verbal field doc is my recently adopted use of local camera in buses and field location to make verbal notes about these actions as they occur. This is my form of verbal field reply to recent BRMT provocations, which previously had been completely devoid, then used gestures for a time, then added voice. The intent is to provide a diary of what might have also been experienced by other victims who appear angry and/or incoherent, overreacting to very minor incidents in their environment which apparent overreactions were or are actually BRMT related (easily mistaken for actual EDP persons not being BRMT abused since this is abuse of natural body chemistry)

BRMT 2 of2 email (Adrenaline Amp): Crinoline is actually intended to be the word crinkling. This is an intended psychological operations trigger used in conjunction with an overdose of adrenaline to create a field trigger for the anxiety which is created by the overdoses in situation where there is no appropriate reason for the victim to feel anxious. This internal psychological stress between the minor annoyance is used to mask the actual biomedical abuse so the victim misassociates the crinkling with the stress (aka a perverse form of Pavlovian conditioning). High speed foot tapping while seated is another common device used in this scenario. The crinkling trigger was aggressively used in the Boston Dorchester shelter during the first 5-10 minutes after lights out each night.

Gore point stop: A location on I-95 around 2 hours north of DC used to create the perception of a stalled bus in the midst of high speed traffic. The bus stopped, as it has on one prior occasion, in that gore point and the traffic continues by it at normal speed on both the freeway and an exit ramp to create the illusion it has stalled and to create the related psychological anxiety. This occurs at a very dark point on the freeway route which, lacking any nearby businesses or residences, would normally generate very little exiting traffic. That traffic is provided by vehicles accompanying the bus to create this specific illusion.

In other words, this entire process, including the bus trip, is intended as for psychological operations and BRMT interventions by an operation hidden as a normal bus company trip in the domestic U.S. This is another false flag operation of the government against citizens just as various illegal spying operations (documented elsewhere in my notes and court complaints) are conducted routinely.

dsbrewer923@hotmail.com

From: dennis.brewer974 <dennis.brewer974@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 10, 2023 3:51 PM
To: dsbrewer923@hotmail.com
Subject: DC Trip Report 231010

Senate deliveries to Schumer, McConnell completed 2 Ltr dated 231010

SCOTUS delivery accepted at 2nd St guard house Breyer 231010

House deliveries to Jeffries, McHenry completed 2 Ltr dated 231010

DOJ declined Penn Ave, redirected to Constitution Ave declined and given switchboard and Civil Rights Division phone numbers cc of 2 Congressional Ltr dated 231010 to Garland, Jack Smith, Robert Hur

Wash Post K St declined front desk delivery, said accept mail only

Google map search provides USPS at 1400 L St, no such facility at this location.

USPS Union Station refuses 4 packages due to transparent wrap. 2 Ltr to WaPo, DOJ above, also copies of 2 prior Sep Smith ltrs

Sent from my Galaxy

dsbrewer923@hotmail.com

From: dennis.brewer974 <dennis.brewer974@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 10, 2023 7:03 PM
To: dsbrewer923@hotmail.com

10 min before Baltimore to stop right hand cramp then 5 min r knee then l knee same stomach knot a little about 90 seconds Appx 650pm with verbal field doc, on bus lower level back row right

Sent from my Galaxy

dsbrewer923@hotmail.com

From: dennis.brewer974 <dennis.brewer974@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 10, 2023 8:03 PM
To: dsbrewer923@hotmail.com
Subject: Adrenaline Amp

Note another adrenaline anxiety and external triggers sequence underway for some time with creeping adrenaline dose rate since Baltimore stop 7pm. Crinoline, slamming of restroom door, gore point stop high speed traffic both sides of bus.

Goes way back, noted as fear of heights introduced during flying sessions in or soon after 1980 or so. No prior issues with single engine planes, including during weather and engine emergencies handled as trained.

Sent from my Galaxy