

Siouxland Coalition To End Homelessness

Renewal and New Project Review/Score/Rank Policy

Policy: to determine the process by which new and renewal project applications will be reviewed, scored, and ranked within HUD's CoC Program Competition.

Purpose of This Guide

This scoring guide explains how new and renewal project applications will be evaluated in the FY2026 Continuum of Care (CoC) Local Competition for Siouxland Coalition to End Homelessness (SCEH). It is designed to help applicants prepare accurate, complete submissions and to understand how points are assigned across all project types.

The scoring process is built directly from the FY2026 HUD CoC NOFO, and federal regulatory requirements at 24 CFR part 578.

The FY2026 Siouxland Coalition to End Homelessness CoC competition will accept applications for the following new and renewal project types:

- Permanent Supportive Housing
- Rapid Rehousing
- Transitional Housing
- Supportive Services Only
- Supportive Services Street Outreach
- Coordinated Entry
- HMIS

Scoring

For FY2026, the CoC's scoring structure is aligned with HUD expectations for transparency and performance weighting. Across all project types, 50 percent of available points are derived from objective criteria (e.g., cost-effectiveness, performance data, type of population served). 25 percent of points are drawn from system performance measures, (i.e., utilization, exits to permanent housing, returns to homelessness). The remaining points are related to achieving threshold criteria.

Projects are evaluated in three stages:

1. Threshold Review

All new and renewal projects must pass basic threshold requirements before they can be scored. Threshold is pass/fail. Failure on any required item disqualifies the project from further consideration.

2. Project-Type Scoring

New and renewal projects are then scored according to the rubric associated with their project category:

- Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)
- Rapid Rehousing (RRH)
- Transitional Housing (TH)
- Supportive Services Only (SSO)
- Supportive Services Street Outreach (SSO-SO)
- Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE)
- HMIS

3. Ranking

Scores contribute to the project ranking procedures, Tier 1/Tier 2 placement (60%/40%), and are submitted in the consolidated application to HUD.

The CoC is prioritizing projects in this order:

1. PSH renewal(s)
2. RRH renewal(s)
3. TH renewal(s)
4. Providers with existing CoC funded projects that have elected to transition or create new transitional housing
5. New transitional housing and supportive services only projects that meet all FY2026 CoC competition requirements and increase overall CoC scoring
6. New PSH
7. New RRH

HMIS and Coordinated Entry projects will be ranked to ensure continuity of funding for these essential CoC infrastructure projects.

Siouxland Coalition To End Homelessness: Project Scoring

1. Threshold Review Criteria

Projects that do not meet all required threshold criteria will not be scored. (NOFO pg 60)

- Applicant and any subrecipient are eligible under 24 CFR 578, not debarred, with active UEI and SAM.
- Recent audit or financial statements show no material weaknesses that would compromise grant management.
- No unresolved HUD findings or OIG issues that would materially affect performance.
- Project operates in CoC geography and serves CoC-eligible populations.
- The project applicant will not engage in illegal racial discrimination. This is consistent with the requirements of 2 CFR 200.300(a).
- The project applicant will not operate drug injection sites or "safe consumption sites" in violation of 21 U.S.C. 856(a)(1), knowingly permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control in violation of 21 U.S.C. 856(a)(2), or knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia in violation of 21 U.S.C. 863.
- Uses or commits to use HMIS; victim service uses a comparable, compliant database.
- Project agrees to receive referrals only through CE and follow CE protocols.
- For TH only: model, population, and activities meet the CoC Program TH definition.
- For SSO only: services are eligible SSO activities under 24 CFR 578 and are clearly linked to housing outcomes.
- For SSO Street Outreach only: target population is unsheltered (streets, encampments, places not meant for habitation).
- For SSO SO only: proposal aligns with CoC-led unsheltered/encampment strategy and public-safety language in NOFO.

2. Project Type Scoring:

Renewal HMIS, SSO-Coordinated Entry, PH-PSH, PH-RRH, and TH Projects

In order to be considered for reviewing, scoring, and ranking, all renewal project applications must submit:

- a complete renewal project application to the CoC through the *eSnaps* online system by the announced deadline
- a complete set of other required reports and documents, as requested, by the announced deadline. Incomplete or late applications and materials will not be accepted, and the project application will be rejected by the CoC.

All renewal **HMIS** projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts for HMIS Projects. Scoring criteria for renewal projects will include:

- HMIS System Performance – 65 pts. (pg.17-18)
 - Training – 15 pts.
 - Data Collection – 15 pts.
 - Reporting – 15 pts.
 - Efforts/Plans to expand HMIS Participation – 10 pts.
 - 360° Agency Review – 10 pts.
- Grant Funding Expenditures/Drawdown – 10 pts.
- Total points available = 75 points

All renewal **Coordinated Entry (CE)** projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Chart for CE Projects. Scoring criteria for renewal projects will include:

- CE System Performance – 65 pts. (pg. 19-20)
 - Access – 15 pts.
 - Assessment – 10 pts.
 - Prioritization – 10 pts.
 - Referral – 10 pts.
 - Efforts to Expand CE participation – 10 pts.
 - 360° Agency Review – 10 pts.
- Grant Funding Expenditures/Drawdown – 10 pts.
- Total points available = 75 points

All renewal **PH-PSH, PH-RRH, and TH** projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts for PH-PSH, PH-RRH, and TH Projects. Scoring criteria for renewal projects will include:

- Performance Measures – 45 pts. (pg. 12-14)
 - Returns to homelessness – 15 pts.
 - Exit to positive destinations – 15 pts.
 - Employment income – 15 pts.
- Supportive Service Participation Criteria – 10 pts (Pg. 15)
- Data Quality/Completeness – 5 pts. (pg. 14)
- Data Entry Timeliness – 5 pts. (pg. 14)
- Project Cost Reasonableness – 5 pts.
- Grant Funding Expenditures/Drawdowns – 5 pts. (pg. 16)
- Total points available = 75 points

Accepted projects will be ranked by score, from highest to lowest. In the event that 1st year renewal projects (new projects awarded during the previous federal FY funding

cycle) are not under contract and have not begun operating at the time of the competition, they will be exempt from the scoring criteria for renewal projects and will instead be automatically ranked in the order they ranked in the Priority Rankings submitted to HUD in the previous year.

Applicability to Transition Projects:

Transition projects are scored according to the new project type they convert into, i.e., RRH projects transitioning to TH are scored under the “New Transitional Housing” criteria, same with PSH to TH or SSO.

Projects transitioning will be scored using data from their existing project/s.

New HMIS, SSO-Coordinated Entry, PH-PSH, and PH-RRH Projects

In order to be considered for scoring and ranking, all new project applications must submit a complete new project application to the CoC through the *eSnaps* online system by the announced deadline.

Incomplete or late project applications will not be accepted, and the project application will be rejected by the CoC.

New projects typically do not have direct HMIS performance history within the CoC. For scoring purposes, the CoC will use outcome and performance data from comparable projects and databases where such data are available (provided by applicant). Where comparable data are not available or not provided, the project will receive no points for the affected performance measures, and those items will remain part of the total possible score.

All new **HMIS** projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts for New HMIS Projects. Total Points available = 75 points. Scoring criteria for new projects will include:

- Agency Experience – 15 pts.
- Project Detail – 50 pts.
 - Training
 - Increase HMIS Participation
 - Data Standards (collects all Universal Data Elements and can un-duplicate client records, NOFO pg. 68)
 - Privacy and Security
 - Reporting
- Budget – 10 pts.
- Total Points Available - 75 pts.

All new **Coordinated Entry (CE)** projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Chart for New CE Projects. Total points available = 75 points. Scoring criteria for renewal projects will include:

- Agency Experience – 15 pts.

- Project Detail – 50 pts.
 - Access
 - Increase CE Participation
 - Assessment
 - Referral
- Budget – 10 pts.
- Total Points Available – 75 pts.

All new **PH-PSH** projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts. Total Points available = 75 points. Scoring for New PH-PSH Projects will include: (NOFO pg. 66)

- Agency Experience – 10 pts.
- Project Detail – 30 pts.

Complete and specific project description must address:

 - Housing type (# and configuration of units);
 - Type of supportive services and assistance that will be offered to program participants that ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain and retain permanent housing and in a manner that fits their needs;
 - If the applicant is proposing to expand an existing PSH project, it must demonstrate how they are expanding supportive services to program participants, including where appropriate, on-site supportive services.
 - populations served;
 - Project supplementation with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs.
- Supportive Service Participation Criteria – 10 pts
- Performance Measures – 15 pts.
- Project Cost Reasonableness – 10 pts.
- Total Points Available – 75 pts.

All new **PH-RRH** projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts. Total Points available = 75 points. Scoring for New PH-RRH Projects will include: (NOFO pg. 67)

- Agency Experience – 10 pts.

The applicant has previously operated or currently operates a homelessness project where, or has a plan in place to have:

 - at least 50 percent of participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months;
 - at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income.
- Project Detail – 30 pts.

Complete and specific project description must address:

 - The provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self sufficiency within 24 months.
 - Type of supportive services and assistance that will be offered to program participants that ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness.

- Populations served;
- Project supplementation with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs.
- Supportive Service Participation Criteria – 10 pts
- Performance Measures – 15 pts.
- Project Cost Reasonableness – 10 pts.
- Total Points Available – 75 pts.

All new **TH** projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts.
Total Points available = 75 points. Scoring for New TH Projects will include: (NOFO pg. 63)

- Agency Experience – 10 pts.
The applicant has previously operated or currently operates a homelessness project where, or has a plan in place to have:
 - at least 50 percent of participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months;
 - at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income.
- Project Detail – 30 pts.
Complete and specific project description must address:
 - How the project will provide and/or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants to obtain and maintain housing (i.e., case management, behavioral healthcare, employment training, etc.)
 - Populations served;
 - Project supplementation with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs
 - Description of how the proposed project will:
 - assess the service needs of program participants,
 - and provide individualized services for program participants during their time in Transitional Housing that will result in at least 20 hours per week of engagement in services, activities or employment for all program participants, with exceptions as explained on pg. 64 of the FY26 NOFO.
 - Individualized services plans that outline the services to be provided, when and how often services will be provided, by whom all services will be provided, as well as program participant goals, strategies for achieving those goals, and target dates for achievement to focus on improved health and wellness, housing stability, and increased employment income leading to financial stability and self-sufficiency.
- Supportive Service Participation Criteria – 10 pts
- Performance Measures – 15 pts.
- Project Cost Reasonableness – 10 pts.
- Total Points Available – 75 pts.

All new **SSO- Standalone** projects will be scored using the developed Performance

Scoring Charts. Total Points available = 75 points. Scoring for New SSO-Standalone Projects will include: (NOFO pg. 64)

- Agency Experience – 20 pts.
- Project Detail – 30 pts.

Complete and specific project description must address:

- The project's plan to:
 - assist people in exiting homelessness,
 - address barriers to stable housing (e.g., substance use disorder, unemployment, childcare, etc.)
 - increase self-sufficiency and;
 - conduct an annual assessment of the service needs of the program participants.
- Strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services.
- populations served;
- Project supplementation with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs.
- Performance Measures – 15 pts.
- Project Cost Reasonableness – 10 pts.
- Total Points Available – 75 pts.

All new **SSO- SO** projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts. Total Points available = 75 points. Scoring for New SSO-SO Projects will include: (NOFO pg. 65)

- Agency Experience – 20 pts.
- Project Detail – 30 pts.

Complete and specific project description must address:

- The project's plan for helping people successfully exit from places not meant for human habitation to emergency shelter, treatment programs, transitional housing or permanent housing programs.
- Strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services.
- Demonstrate that the applicant has a history of, or a plan for, partnering with first responders and law enforcement to engage people living in places not meant for human habitation to access emergency shelter, treatment programs, reunification with family, transitional housing or independent living. The applicant must cooperate and not interfere or impede with the enforcement of local laws such as public camping and public drug use laws and assist/be willing to assist first responders in their efforts to engage homeless individuals.
- populations served;

- Project supplementation with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs.
- Performance Measures – 15 pts.
- Project Cost Reasonableness – 10 pts.
- Total Points Available – 75 pts.

Accepted projects will be ranked by score from highest to lowest.

IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2026 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts

All Projects

Project Scoring Summary

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts.)	Project A	Project B	Project C
Returns to Homelessness (15 pts.)			
Exit to positive destinations (15 pts.)			
Employment income (15 pts.)			
Supportive Service Participation Criteria (10 pts.)			
Data Quality/Completeness (5 pts.)			
Data Entry Timeliness (5 pts.)			
Project Cost Reasonableness (5 pts.)			
Grant Funding Expenditures/Drawdowns (5 pts.)			

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (75 pts.)

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE

0	0	0
0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts.)	Project A - HMIS
Training (15 pts.)	
Data Collection (15 pts.)	
Reporting (15 pts.)	
Efforts/Plans to expand HMIS Participation (10 pts.)	
360 Agency Review (10 pts.)	
Program Management/Spending (10 pts.)	

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (75 pts.)

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE

0
0.00%

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts.)	Project A - Coordinated Entry
Access (15 pts.)	
Assessment (10 pts.)	
Prioritization (10 pts.)	
Referral (10 pts.)	
Efforts/Plans to expand CE participation (10 pts.)	
360 Agency Review (10 pts.)	
Program Management/Spending (10 pts.)	

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (75 pts.)

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE

0
0.00%

IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2026 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts

Transitional and Permanent Housing Projects

Exit to Positive Destinations. (Goal: 80%+ PSH, 50%+ TH/RRH remaining in PH or exiting to PH)

Note: Calculations based on most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data

For all performance charts, please review your most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data and email ccoly@sioux-city.org if corrections are needed.

PH - PSH Scoring:							
(maintain/exit to PH)							
96% or higher = 15 pts.							
80% - 95% = 10 pts.							
less than 80% = 05 pts.							
			Exits to Other	Total			
			PH	stayers	Percentage of participants		
	Total		(Q23A, and PH		who either remained in PH	Avg. length of time to	
Permanent Housing Projects	participants	Stayers	23B)	exits	or exited to PH.	housing	Score
					#DIV/0!		
Totals	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!		

TH/RRH Scoring							
50% or higher = 15 pts.							
40% - 49% = 10 pts.							
less than 49% = 5 pts.							
	Total		Exits to PH	Total	Percentage of participants	Avg. length of time to	
Transitional Housing Projects	participants	Stayers	(Q23A, 23B)	leavers	who exited to PH.	housing	Score
					#DIV/0!	N/A	
					#DIV/0!		
Totals	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!		

Commented [SP1]: Is the goal for TH also 80%. On page 63 of the NOFO it discusses 50%

Commented [SP2]: It states 50% on this table

IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2026 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts

Transitional and Permanent Housing Projects

Returns to Homelessness. (Goal: <8% returning to homelessness in 24 months)

Note: Calculations based on comparing the reports 'clients exiting program' and 'clients entering CoC'. Email ccoly@sioux-city.org with any questions about this data.

PSH/RRH Scoring Returns to Homelessness <8%= 15 points 8%-16%= 10 points >16%= 5 points				
Project	# Clients exiting to PH	# Clients that returned to homelessness within 24 months of program exit	% of clients that returned to homelessness within 24 months of program exit	Score

IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2026 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts

All Projects (Excluding HMIS, CE)

Sources of cash income. PSH Goals: 15%+ obtain employment/earned income; RRH/TH Goals: 50%+ obtain employment/earned income

Note: Calculations based on most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data

For all performance charts, please review your most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data and email ccoly@sioux-city.org if corrections are needed.

Earned Income Scoring:

20% or higher = 15 pts.

10% - 19% - 10 pts.

less than 10% = 5 pts.

Other Cash Income Scoring: PSH Projects

50% or higher = 10 pts.

30% - 49% = 7 pts.

less than 30% = 3 pts.

Project	Cash Income Sources	# of Adult Leavers w/ income source (18)	Total Adult Leavers (5a)	% of Adults w/ earned income	% of Adults w/ other cash income	% of Adults w/ both earned income and other income	% of Adults w/ no cash income	Score
	Earned Income			#DIV/0!				
	Other Cash Income Sources:				#DIV/0!			
	Both Earned and Other Income Sources:							
	No Cash Income Sources:						#DIV/0!	

IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2026 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts

Transitional and Permanent Housing Projects
Supportive Service Participation Criteria.

Note: Calculations based on FY26 Project Application

PSH/RRH Scoring Supportive Service Participation Criteria Participation Required= 10 points Participation Encouraged= 5 points		
Project	Does the project require participation in supportive services?	Score

IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2026 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts

All Projects (Excluding HMIS, CE)

**Data Quality, Timeliness (Goals: <5% null/missing data in all categories;
100% of entry/exit data entered in <6 days)**

Note: Calculations based on most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data

For all performance charts, please review your most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data and email ccoly@sioux-city.org if corrections are needed.

Data Quality/Completeness Scoring:		Data Entry Timeliness Scoring:			
95-100% complete in all categories = 5 pts.		100% in <= 6 days = 5 pts.			
85-94% complete in any category = 3 pts.		95-99% in <= 6 days = 3 pts.			
less than 85% complete in any category = 0 pts.		less than 95% in <= 6 days = 0 pts.			
Project	Data Element	% of Error Rate			Score
	Name (6a)				
	SSN (6a)				
	Date of Birth (6a)				
	Race (6a)				
	Ethnicity (6a)				
	Gender (6a)				
	Veteran Status (6b)				
	Relationship to HoH (6b)				
	Client Location (6b)				
	Disabling Condition (6b))				
	Destination (Exit) (6c)				
	Income and Sources (Entry) (6c)				
	Income and Sources (Annual Assess) (6c)				
	Income and Sources (Exit) (6c)				
	# of Times/# of Months Homeless in Past 3 years)				
	Domestic Violence (14a)				
	Fleeing Domestic Violence (14b)				
	Residence Prior to Project Entry (15)				

	Non-Cash Benefit Received (20b)				
	Covered by Health Insurance (21)				
	Data Quality/Completeness Score				
Project	Data Entry Timeliness	Total # of Entry/Exit Records	# of Records entered in <6 days	% entered in <6 days	Score
	Data Entry Timeliness			#DIV/0!	

IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2026 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts

All Projects

Financial Management, Application Submission

(Goals: 100% funds expended)

Note: Calculations based on most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data

For all performance charts, please review your most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data and email ccoly@sioux-city.org if corrections are needed.

Recaptured Funds/Budget Amendment Scoring

100% expended, as budgeted = 10 pts.

6% - 10% recaptured/re-budgeted = -2 pts.

5% or less recaptured/re-budgeted = 5 pts.

>10% recaptured/re-budgeted = -5 pts.

Applicant/Project	Total Budget	Total Funds Expended	% of Funds Expended	Score
			#DIV/0!	
			#DIV/0!	
			#DIV/0!	
			#DIV/0!	
			#DIV/0!	

Application/Report Submission - not scored

Application must be Complete and Submitted by 11:59 p.m., Wednesday, July 22, 2026

Incomplete or Late Applications will not be accepted.

Applicant/Project	Application submitted in eSnaps by 9/20/24	Addl. Materials submitted to SCEH by 9/20/24	HUD Correspondence, if applicable	Score
			N/A	
			N/A	
			N/A	
			N/A	
			N/A	

IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2026 Renewal Applicants Performance

HMIS

Training (15 pts.)

Points to consider when scoring:

Types of training provided
Avg. # of hours spent providing training
Adequacy of training provided
Responsiveness to agencies
Barriers to training
Opportunities for the future

Score:

Scorer's Comments:

Data Collection (15 pts.)

Points to consider when scoring:

Efforts to improve data collection/reporting
Efforts to improve SPM's
Bed Coverage % in HMIS
Barriers to data collection
Opportunities for the future

Score:

Scorer's Comments:

Reporting (15 pts.)

Points to consider when scoring:

Meeting HUD required data elements
Availability of CoC-level data
Barriers to reporting
Opportunities for the future

Score:

Scorer's Comments:

Expanding HMIS Participation (10 pts.)**Points to consider when scoring:**

Efforts to engage non-funded agencies
Efforts to increase bed coverage
Barriers to expansion
Opportunities for the future

Score:**Scorer's Comments:****360 Agency Review (10 pts.)****Points to consider when scoring:**

Types of training available/received
Adequacy of training
Responsiveness of staff
Overall experience

Score:**Scorer's Comments:**

IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2026 Renewal Applicants Performance

Coordinated Entry

Access (15 pts.)

Points to consider when scoring:

Accessibility of CE - advertising/promotion,
walk-in hours, phone assistance, scheduled
appts., etc.

of CE Assessments done weekly

Barriers to Access

Opportunities for the future

Score:

Scorer's Comments:

Assessment (10 pts.)

Points to consider when scoring:

Timeliness of CE Assessments

Hours spent on CE Assessments weekly

Barriers to Assessment

Opportunities for the future

Score:

Scorer's Comments:

Prioritization (10 pts.)

Points to consider when scoring:

Adequacy of prioritization model - VI-SPDAT
score, tiebreakers

Barriers to Prioritization and Referral

Opportunities for the future

Score:

Scorer's Comments:

Referral (10 pts.)

Points to consider when scoring:

of referrals made/accepted
Efforts to reduce avg. length of time
Efforts to decrease # of 1st time homeless
through prevention/diversion
Barriers to Referral
Opportunities for the future

Score:

Scorer's Comments:

Efforts to Expand CE Participation (10 pts.)

Points to consider when scoring:

Efforts to increase CE participation - non-
funded agencies, PHA's, landlords, etc.
Barriers to CE Expansion
Opportunities for the future

Score:

Scorer's Comments:

360 Agency Review (10 pts.)

Points to consider when scoring:

of referrals made vs. # of successful
placements
Responsiveness of staff
Overall experience

Score:

Scorer's Comments:

IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2026 New Applicants Performance Charts

New PSH/RRH/TH Projects

Project Scoring Summary

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts. Available)					
Agency Experience (10 pts.)					
Project Description/Detail (30 pts.)					
Housing First (10 pts.)					
Performance Measures (10 pts.)					
Supportive Services (5 pts.)					
Budget (10 pts.)					

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (75 pts.)

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE

0	0	0	0	0
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

New HMIS Projects

Project Scoring Summary

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts. Available)	
Agency Experience (15 pts.)	
Project Detail/HMIS Standards (50 pts.)	
Training	
Increase HMIS Participation	
Data Standards	
Privacy and Security	
Reporting	
Budget (10 pts.)	

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (75 pts.)

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE

0
0.00

New CE Projects
Project Scoring Summary

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts. Available)	
Agency Experience (15 pts.)	
Project Detail (50 pts.)	
Access	
Increase CE Participation	
Assessment	
Prioritization	
Referral	
Budget (10 pts.)	

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (75 pts.)	0
TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE	0.00

IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2026 New Applicants Performance Charts
Agency Experience/Qualifications

Note: Calculations based on FY26 Project Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers in your application and email ccoly@sioux-city.org if corrections are needed.

New PSH/RRH/TH Projects Scoring:

3 or more Yes = 10 pts.

2 Yes = 5 pts.

1 or less Yes = 0 pts.

New Project Applicant	Experience with managing federal grants effectively	Experience leveraging funds from other govt. and private sources	Adequate organization, management, and accounting structure	Total "Yes" responses	Score
					0
					0

New HMIS or CE Projects Scoring:

3 or more Yes = 15 pts.

2 Yes = 10 pts.

1 or less Yes = 0 pts.

New Project Applicant	Experience with managing federal grants effectively	Experience leveraging funds from other govt. and private sources	Adequate organization, management, and accounting structure	Total "Yes" responses	Score
					0
					0

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2026 New Applicants Performance Charts

All New Project types

Project Detail/Description - Project Type, Housing Type, Target Population, etc.

Note: Calculations based on Section 3B Project Description, 1. Description of Proposed Project of FY26 New Project Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email ccoly@sioux-city.org if corrections are needed.

PSH Scoring:

7.5 pts. for each Yes answer up to 30 pts.

Does the Project Application/Description adequately/completely address the following? = Yes

PSH Projects	Type of supportive services and assistance that will be offered to program participants that ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain and retain permanent housing and in a manner that fits their needs	If the applicant is proposing to expand an existing PSH project, it must demonstrate how they are expanding supportive services to program participants, including where appropriate, on-site supportive services	populations served	Project supplementation with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs	Total "Yes" responses	Score	Total 1BR Units	Total 2BR Units	Total Units larger than 2BR	Total beds for HH w/out children	Total beds for HH w/ children

*Project Applicant must leverage housing and/or healthcare and provide MOUs/Letters of Commitment to be included with CoC Application.

**Victim Service Providers must address how their project will improve the safety of the population they serve.

RRH Scoring:

7.5 pts. for each Yes answer up to 30 pts.

Does the Project Application/Description adequately/completely address the following? = Yes

RRH Projects	The provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months	The type of supportive services and assistance that will be offered to program participants that ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness	Populations served	Project supplementation with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs	Total "Yes" responses	Score	Total 1BR Units	Total 2BR Units	Total Units larger than 2BR	Total beds for HH w/out children	Total beds for HH w/ children

TH Scoring:

6 pts. for each Yes answer up to 30 pts.
Does the Project Application/Description adequately/completely address the following? = Yes

TH Projects	How the project will provide and/or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants to obtain and maintain housing (i.e., case management, behavioral healthcare, employment training, etc.)	Populations served	Project supplementation with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs	Description of how the proposed project will: •assess the service needs of program participants and •provide individualized services for program participants during their time in Transitional Housing that will result in at least 20 hours per week of engagement in services, activities or employment for all program participants, with exceptions as explained on pg. 64 of the FY26 NOFO	Individualized services plans that outline the services to be provided, when and how often services will be provided, by whom all services will be provided, as well as program participant goals, strategies for achieving those goals, and target dates for achievement to focus on improved health and wellness, housing stability, and increased employment income leading to financial stability and self-sufficiency	Total "Yes" responses	Score	Total 1BR Units	Total 2BR Units	Total Units larger than 2BR	Total beds for HH w/out children	Total beds for HH w/ children

SSO Standalone Scoring:

7.5 pts. for each Yes answer up to 30 pts.
Does the Project Application/Description adequately/completely address the following? = Yes

SSO Standalone Projects	The project's plan to: •assist people in exiting homelessness, •address barriers to stable housing (e.g., substance use disorder, unemployment, childcare, etc.) •increase self-sufficiency and; •conduct an annual assessment of the service needs of the program participants.	Strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services	Populations served	Project supplementation with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs	Total "Yes" responses	Score	Total 1BR Units	Total 2BR Units	Total Units larger than 2BR	Total beds for HH w/out children	Total beds for HH w/ children

SSO SO Scoring:

6 pts. for each Yes answer up to 30 pts.
Does the Project Application/Description adequately/completely address the following? = Yes

SSO SO Projects	The project's plan for helping people successfully exit from places not meant for human habitation to emergency shelter, treatment programs, transitional housing or permanent housing programs.	Strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services.	Demonstrate that the applicant has a history of, or a plan for, partnering with first responders and law enforcement to engage people living in places not meant for human habitation to access emergency shelter, treatment programs, reunification with family, transitional housing or independent living. The applicant must cooperate and not interfere or impede with the enforcement of local laws such as public camping and public drug use laws and assist/be willing to assist first responders in their efforts to engage homeless individuals.	populations served	Project supplementation with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs.	Total "Yes" responses	Score	Total 1BR Units	Total 2BR Units	Total Units larger than 2BR	Total beds for HH w/out children	Total beds for HH w/ children

HMIS Scoring:

10 pts. for each Yes answer up to 50 pts.
Does the Project Application/Description adequately/completely address the following? = Yes

HMIS Project	HMIS	Increase HMIS participation	Training	Data Standards	Privacy and Security	Reporting	Total "Yes" responses	Score
								0
								0

CE Scoring:

10 pts. for each Yes answer up to 50 pts.
Does the Project Application/Description adequately/completely address the following? = Yes

CE Project	CE	Increase CE participation	Access	Assessment	Prioritization	Referral	Total "Yes" responses	Score
								0
								0

IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2026 New Applicants Performance Charts

Transitional and Permanent Housing Projects

Supportive Service Participation Criteria.

Note: Calculations based on FY26 Project Application

PSH/RRH Scoring Supportive Service Participation Criteria Participation Required= 10 points Participation Encouraged= 5 points		
Project	Does the project require participation in supportive services?	Score

IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2026 New Applicants Performance Charts
New Permanent Housing Projects
Performance Measures

Note: Calculations based on Section 3B. Project Description, 1. Description of Proposed Project of FY 2024 New Project Application
For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email ccoly@sioux-city.org if corrections are needed.

Performance Measures Scoring:
Commitment and Plan for meeting performance measures

Yes to all = 5 pts.
2 Yes = 2.5 pts.
0 or 1 Yes = 0 pts.

Permanent Housing Project	Returns to Homelessness are less than 8%	PSH Project: 96% or more remain in PH-PSH project or exit to other PH destination; RRH/TH Project: 50% or more exit TH/RRH to PH	PSH: 15% or more; RRH/TH 50% or more gain or increase their income from employment	Score

Commented [SP3]: 8% or 80%?

Commented [CC4R3]: 8%, NOFO pg 73

IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2026 New Applicants Performance Charts

All New Project Types

Financial Management, Cost Per Client, Program/Shelter Capacity

Note: Calculations based on FY 2026 New Project Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email claracoly@sioux-city.org if corrections are needed.

Budget Categories/Percentages - PH-PSH, PH-RRH Projects

Leasing/Rental Assistance - $\geq 75\%$ = 2.5 pts.

Operations - $\leq 10\%$ = 2.5 pts.

Supportive Services - $\leq 25\%$ = 2.5 pts.

Admin - $\leq 7\%$ = 2.5 pts.

Applicant/Project	Total Budget	Total Leasing/ Rental Assistance	% of Total Budget	Total Operations	% of Total Budget	Total Supportive Services	% of Total Budget	Admin	% of Total Budget	Score
			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	

Commented [CC5]: Does this work for TH too? Or is it possible it's mostly operational?

Commented [SP6R5]: Maybe Susan would know the answer to this. What have we said in the past?

Commented [CC7R5]: We didn't have new TH on the FY24 application because it wasn't an option, so I'm not sure what was listed before.

Budget Categories/Percentages - TH Projects

Leasing/Rental Assistance/ Operations - $\geq 75\%$ = 5 pts.

Supportive Services - $\leq 25\%$ = 2.5 pts.

Admin - $\leq 7\%$ = 2.5 pts.

Applicant/Project	Total Budget	Total Leasing/ Rental Assistance	% of Total Budget	Total Operations	% of Total Budget	Total Supportive Services	% of Total Budget	Admin	% of Total Budget	Score
			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	

Commented [CC8]: Does this work for TH too? Or is it possible it's mostly operational?

Commented [SP9R8]: Maybe Susan would know the answer to this. What have we said in the past?

Commented [CC10R8]: I put operations in the rent cost since some projects will only have operations. But with the emphasis on supportive services, maybe we change this breakdown?

Budget Categories/Percentages – SSO-Standalone/ SSO-SO/ SSO- CE Projects

Supportive Services - ≥93% = 5 pts.

Admin - ≤7% = 5 pts.

Applicant/Project	Total Budget	Total Supportive Services	% of Total Budget	Admin	% of Total Budget		Score
			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		

- Commented [CC11]: Do we need to add any BLIs? Or is it just supportive services?
- Commented [SP12R11]: I've not seen this budget before. Would it be similar to the SSO-CE one?
- Commented [CC13R11]: I think so. Let's leave this as is for now.

Budget Categories/Percentages - HMIS Projects

HMIS - ≥93% = 5 pts.

Admin - ≤7% = 5 pts.

Applicant/Project	Total Budget	Total HMIS	% of Total Budget	Admin	% of Total Budget		Score
			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		