# Siouxland Coalition To End Homelessness New and Renewal Project Scoring/Ranking Policy

**Policy:** to determine the process by which new and renewal applications will be scored and ranked within HUD's CoC Program Competition.

#### Renewal HMIS, Coordinated Entry, PH, TH, and SSO Projects

In order to be considered for scoring and ranking, all renewal project applications must submit:

- a complete renewal project application to the CoC through the eSnaps online system by the announced deadline
- a complete set of other required reports and documents by the announced deadline

Incomplete or late applications and materials will not be accepted, and the project application will be rejected by the CoC.

All renewal HMIS projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts for HMIS Projects. Scoring criteria for renewal projects will include:

- PIT/HIC
  - Completeness and Accuracy of PIT count, especially special populations
  - o Increases in HMIS bed coverage/efforts to increase HMIS participation among non-funded agencies
  - Data reporting to SCEH
  - o Timely submission of PIT/HIC data in HUD HDX
- System Performance Measures
  - o CoC-wide improvements in SPM's over the previous year(s)/efforts to improve CoC-wide SPM's
  - Data reporting to SCEH
  - o Timely submission of PIT/HIC data in HUD HDX

All renewal Coordinated Entry (CE) projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Chart for CE Projects. Scoring criteria for renewal projects will include:

- Participation in CE by both CoC and ESG funded agencies and non-funded agencies/efforts to increase CE participation by both funded and non-funded agencies.
- System outcomes including the rate of exits to permanent housing, reducing the average length of stay in programs, and reducing the number of persons who become homeless for the first time.

All renewal PH, TH, and SSO (excluding Coordinated Entry) projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts for PH, TH, and SSO Projects. Scoring criteria for renewal projects will include:

- Performance Measures
  - o increasing housing stability
  - o sources of cash income
  - o gained or increased income
  - o sources of non-cash benefits
- data quality/completeness and data entry timeliness
- grant funding expenditures/drawdown

Accepted projects will be ranked by score, from highest to lowest. In the event that 1<sup>st</sup> year renewal projects (new projects awarded during the previous federal FY funding cycle) are not under contract and have not begun operating at the time of the competition, they will be exempt from the scoring criteria for renewal projects. They will instead be automatically ranked in the order they were initially ranked in the Priority Rankings submitted to HUD in the previous year.

#### **New PH Projects**

In order to be considered for scoring and ranking, all new project applications must submit a complete new project application to the CoC through the eSnaps online system by the announced deadline. Incomplete or late project applications will not be accepted, and the project application will be rejected by the CoC.

All new PH projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts. Scoring for New Projects will include:

- Program and Housing type/capacity
  - o CH dedicated focus
  - Housing First focus
- Proposed Performance Measures
  - increasing housing stability
  - o sources of cash income
  - o gained or increased income
  - o sources of non-cash benefits
- Agency Experience

Accepted projects will be ranked by score, from highest to lowest.

## **All Projects**

## **Project Scoring Summary**

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts.)	Crittenton Center - Project Help PSH	CSADV - RRH	Center For Siouxland - Bridges West TH	Community Action Agency of Siouxland - Crossroads TH
Housing Stability (4pts.)				
Earned Income (2 pts.)				
Other Cash Income Source (2 pts.)				
Gained or Increased Income (2 pts.)				
Non-Cash Benefits (2 pts.)				
Data Quality/Completeness (4 pts.)				
Data Entry Timeliness (4 pts.)				
Recaptured Funds (2 pts.)				
Shelter Capacity/Utilization (2 pts.)				
Quarterly Report Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)				
Application/Report Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)				

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (34 pts.)
TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE

0	0	0	0
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts.)	Institute for Community Alliances - HMIS
Point In Timt Count (10 pts.)	
PIT Training	
Complete/Accurate PIT Count	
HIC/AHAR (5 pts.)	
HMIS bed coverage %	
Increase HMIS bed coverage %	

AHAR tables	
System Performance Measures (7 pts.)	
Non-Funded Agency Data Quality (5 pts.)	
Program Management/Spending (2 pts.)	
Application/Report Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)	

# TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (34 pts.) TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE 0.00

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts.)	Institute for Community Alliances - Coordinated Entry
CE Agency Participation (9 pts.)	
Organizations completing intake/assessment	
Organizations trained by not active	
Program Participation/Referrals (12 pts.)	
# of referrals made/accepted	
Rate of exits to PH	
System Outcomes (6 pts.)	
Reducing length of time homeless	
Decreasing # of 1st time homeless	
Program Management/Spending (2 pts.)	
Application/Report Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)	

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (34 pts.)	0
TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE	0.00

#### **Transitional and Permanent Housing Projects**

Increase Housing Stability. (80%+ remaining in PH or exiting to PH)

**Note: Calculations based on Calendar Year 2017** 

For all performance charts, please review your CoC APR report for CY 2017 and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

#### **PSH Scoring:**

80% or higher = 4 pts.

less than 80% = 0 pts.

Permanent Housing Projects	Total participants	Stayers	Other PH (Q23A, 23B)	Total stayers and PH exits	Percentage of participants who either remained in PH or exited to PH.	Score
Crittenton Center - Project Help PSH					#DIV/0!	
CSADV - RRH					#DIV/0!	
Totals	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	

#### **TH/SSO Scoring**

80% or higher = 4 pts.

65% - 79% = 3 pts.

Below 65% = 0 pts.

Transitional Housing Projects	Total participants	Stayers	Other PH (Q23A, 23B)	Total stayers and PH exits	Percentage of participants who exited to PH.	Score
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West					#DIV/0!	
Community Action Agency of Siouxland - Crossroads Shelter					#DIV/0!	
Totals	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	

#### **All Projects (Excluding HMIS)**

Sources of cash income. (PSH Goals: 15%+ obtain employment/earned income; 50%+ obtain other cash income;

RRH/TH Goals: 50%+ obtain employment/earned income; 30%+ obtain other cash income;

ES Goals: 10%+ obtain employment/earned income; 20%+ obtain other cash income.)

Note: Calculations based on Calendar Year 2017

For all performance charts, please review your CoC APR report for CY 2017 and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

ŀ	Carned	l Iı	ncome	2	corii	ng:	PS.	H	Pro	ject	S

15% or higher = 2 pts.

10% - 14% - 1 pt.

less than 10% = 0 pts.

#### **Other Cash Income Scoring: PSH Projects**

50% or higher = 2 pts.

30% - 49% = 1 pt.

less than 30% = 0 pts.

Project	Cash Income Sources	# of Adult Leavers w/ income source (18)	Total Adult Leavers (5a)	% of Adults w/ earned income	% of Adults w/ other cash income		% of Adults w/ no cash income
Crittenton	Earned Income			#DIV/0!			
Center - Project	Other Cash Income Sources:				#DIV/0!		
Help PSH	Both Earned and Other Income Sources:					#DIV/0!	
	No Cash Income Sources:						#DIV/0!

#### **Earned Income Scoring: RRH/TH Projects**

50% or higher = 2 pts.

30% - 49% = 1 pt.

less than 30% = 0 pts.

#### **Other Cash Income Scoring: RRH/TH Projects**

30% or higher = 2 pts.

10% - 29% = 1 pt.

less than 10% = 0 pts.

Cash Income Sources	# of Adult Leavers w/ income source (18)	Total Adult Leavers (5a)			w/ both earned income and	
Earned Income			#DIV/0!			
Other Cash Income Sources:				#DIV/0!		
	Cash Income Sources Earned Income	Leavers w/ income source (18)  Earned Income	Cash Income Sources (18)  W/ income source (18)  Cash Income Sources (5a)	Leavers w/ income sources  Cash Income Sources  (18)  Cash Income Sources  (18)  Leavers Adult Leavers (5a)  income income  #DIV/0!	Leavers w/ income source (18)  Cash Income Sources  Leavers w/ income source (18)  Cash Income Sources  Total Adult Adults w/ earned income income  #DIV/0!	Leavers w/ income sources  Cash Income Sources  (18)  Leavers w/ income source (18)  (5a)  Wo of Adults w/ earned income other cash income  w/ both earned income and other income

Score

Score

	Both Earned and Other Income Sources:			#DIV/0!		
	No Cash Income Sources:				#DIV/0!	
CFS - Bridges	Earned Income	#DIV/0!				
West	Other Cash Income Sources:		#DIV/0!			
vvest	Both Earned and Other Income Sources:			#DIV/0!		
	No Cash Income Sources:				#DIV/0!	
CAAS - Crossroads	Earned Income	#DIV/0!				
	Other Cash Income Sources:		#DIV/0!			
	Both Earned and Other Income Sources:			#DIV/0!		
	No Cash Income Sources:				#DIV/0!	

**All Projects (Excluding HMIS)** 

Obtain or increase project participants income (from employment and from other sources).

(Goal: PSH/RRH/TH - 40%+ obtain or increase income from employment and other sources)

**Note: Calculations based on Calendar Year 2017** 

For all performance charts, please review your CoC APR report for CY2017 and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

#### **Gained or Increased Income Scoring:**

40% or higher = 2 pts.

20% - 39% - 1 pt.

less than 20% = 0 pts.

Applicant/Project	Total Adult Leavers (5a6)	Adult Leavers who maintained income (19a2, row 6, column 4)	Percentage of Total Adults who maintained income (C/B)	Adult Leavers who gained or increased income (19a2; row 6, columns 5+6)	Percentage of Adult Leavers who gained or increased income (E/B)	Score
Crittenton Center - Project Help PSH					#DIV/0!	
CSADV - RRH					#DIV/0!	
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West					#DIV/0!	
Community Action Agency of Siouxland - Crossroads					#DIV/0!	

0	0	0	0	#DIV/01
v	•	•	ŭ	

**All Projects (Excluding HMIS)** 

**Sources of Mainstream Benefits** 

(Goal: PSH - 85%+ obtain mainstream benefits;

TH/RRH - 80%+ obtain mainstream benefits)

**Note: Calculations based on Calendar Year 2017** 

For all performance charts, please review your CoC APR report for CY2017 and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

#### **Mainstream Benefits Scoring: PSH Projects**

85% or higher = 2 pts.

65% - 84% - 1 pt.

less than 65% = 0 pts.

Project	Non Cash Benefit Sources	# of Adult Leavers (20b)	Score
Crittonton Contor	Total Adults		
Crittenton Center	Total w/ 1+ sources		
- Project Help PSH	% Receiving Non Cash Benefits		
гэп			

#### **Mainstream Benefits Scoring: RRH/TH Projects**

80% or higher = 2 pts.

60% - 79% - 1 pt.

less than 60% = 0 pts.

Project	Non Cash Benefit Sources	# of Adult Leavers (20b)	Score
	Total Adults		
CSADV - RRH	Total w/ 1+ sources		
CSADV - KKII	%Receiving Non Cash Benefits		
CES - Bridge	Total Adults		

OI O - DITUYES	I lotal W/ 1+ sources		
West	% Receiving Non Cash Benefits		
CAAS -	Total Adults		
CAAS - Crossroads	Total w/ 1+ sources		
Ciossidaus	%Receiving Non Cash Benefits		

**All Projects (Excluding HMIS)** 

Data Quality, Timeliness (Goals: <5% null/missing data in all categories;

100% of entry/exit data entered in <6 days)

Note: Calculations based on Calendar Year 2017

For all performance charts, please review your CoC APR report for CY2017 and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

# Data Quality/Completeness Scoring:Data Entry Timeliness Scoring:96-100% complete in all categories = 4 pts.</= 6 days = 4 pts.</td>at least 95% complete in all categories = 2 pts.8-14 days - 2 pts.Less than 95% complete in any category = 0 pts.15 days + - 0 pts.

Project	Data Element	% of Error Rate		Score
	Name (6a)			
	SSN (6a)			
	Date of Birth (6a)			
	Race (6a)			
	Ethnicity (6a)			
	Gender (6a)			
	Veteran Status (6b)			
	Relationship to HoH (6b)			
Crittenton Center	Client Location (6b)			
- Project Help	Disabling Condition (6b))			
PSH	Destination (Exit) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Entry) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Annual Assess) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Exit) (6c)			
	Started, # of Times/# of Months Homeless in			
	Domestic Violence (14a)			
	Fleeing Domestic Violence (14b)			

	Residence Prior to Project Entry (15)			
	Non-Cash Benefit Received (20b)			
	Covered by Health Insurance (21)			
	Data Quality/Completeness Score			
Project	Data Entry Timeliness	Total # of Entry/Exit Records	# of Records entered in <11 days	Score
Critt Ctr - Project Help PSH	Data Entry Timeliness			
	Name (6a)			
	SSN (6a)			
	Date of Birth (6a)			
	Race (6a)			
	Ethnicity (6a)			
	Gender (6a)			
	Veteran Status (6b)			
	Relationship to HoH (6b)			
	Client Location (6b)			
CSADV - RRH	Disabling Condition (6b))			
COADV - KKII	Destination (Exit) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Entry) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Annual Assess) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Exit) (6c)			
	Started, # of Times/# of Months Homeless in			
	Domestic Violence (14a)			
	Fleeing Domestic Violence (14b)			
	Residence Prior to Project Entry (15)			
	Non-Cash Benefit Received (20b)			
	Covered by Health Insurance (21)			

	Data Quality/Completeness Score			
Project	Data Entry Timeliness	Total # of Entry/Exit Records	# of Records entered in <11 days	
CSADV - RRH	Data Entry Timeliness			
	Name (6a)			
	SSN (6a)			
	Date of Birth (6a)			
	Race (6a)			
	Ethnicity (6a)			
	Gender (6a)			
Veteran Status (6b) Relationship to HoH (6b) Client Location (6b)				
CFS - Bridges	Disabling Condition (6b))			
West	Destination (Exit) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Entry) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Annual Assess) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Exit) (6c)			
Started, # of Times/# of Months Homeless in Domestic Violence (14a)				
	Fleeing Domestic Violence (14b)			
	Residence Prior to Project Entry (15)			
	Non-Cash Benefit Received (20b)			
Covered by Health Insurance (21)				
	Data Quality/Completeness Score			
		Total # of	# of Records	
Project	Data Entry Timeliness	Entry/Exit Records	entered in <11 days	

CFS - Bridges				
West	Data Entry Timeliness			
	Name (6a)			
	SSN (6a)			
	Date of Birth (6a)			
	Race (6a)			
	Ethnicity (6a)			
	Gender (6a)			
	Veteran Status (6b)			
	Relationship to HoH (6b)			
	Client Location (6b)			
	Disabling Condition (6b))			
CAAS -	Destination (Exit) (6c)			
Crossroads	Income and Sources (Entry) (6c)			
Ciossidaus	Income and Courses (Applied Access) (Co)			
	Income and Sources (Annual Assess) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Exit) (6c)			
	Chronic Homelessness (Approx Date Started, # of Times/# of Months Homeless in			
	Past 3 years) (6d)			
	Domestic Violence (14a)			
	Fleeing Domestic Violence (14b)			
	Residence Prior to Project Entry (15)			
	Non-Cash Benefit Received (20b)			
	Covered by Health Insurance (21)			
	Covered by Fidulat inicatation (21)			
	Data Quality/Completeness Score			
		l otal # of	# of Records	
	5.5.5	Entry/Exit	entered in	
Project	Data Entry Timeliness	Records	<11 days	
CAAS -				
Crossroads	Data Entry Timeliness			

Point ii	n Time Count (10 pts.)	Score:
	Points to consider when scoring:	
	Types of training provided Agencies that participated in training Adequacy of training provided Complete and Accurate PIT count	Scorer's Comments:
Housin	ng Inventory Chart/AHAR (6 pts.)  Points to consider when scoring:	Score:
	Bed Coverage % in HMIS Efforts to increase bed coverage # of tables used in AHAR	Scorer's Comments:
System	Performance Measures (7 pts.)  Points to consider when scoring:	Score:
	Difference in SPM's from last year Efforts to improve SPM's	Scorer's Comments:

#### Non-funded Agency Data (5 pts.)

Points to consider when scoring:

Impact of non-funded agency data on SPM's Efforts to improve non-funded agency data quality and timeliness

Score:			
Scorer's Commer	nts:		

## IA-500 SCEH CoC 2018 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts Coordinated Entry

CL Agei	ncy Participation (9 pts.)	Score:
	Points to consider when scoring:	
	# of Agencies trained for CE vs. # of Agencies doing CE  Reasons Agencies trained aren't serving	Scorer's Comments:
	clients	
Progran	n Participation (12 pts.) Points to consider when scoring:	Score:
	# of agencies providing each	Scorer's Comments:
	type of service vs. # of agencies	
	actively participating in CE	
	# of referrals made/accepted Efforts to increase accepted referrals	
System	Outcomes (6 pts.)	Score:
•	Points to consider when scoring:	
	Increase/Decrease in Avg. length of time homeless	Scorer's Comments:
	Increase/Decrease in # of 1st time homeless	

#### **All Projects**

Financial Management, Cost Per Client, Program Capacity/Shelter Utilization, Quarterly Reporting, Application Submission

(Goals: 100% funds expended; 80%+ shelter utilization rate)

Note: Financial Calculations based on Most Recently Completed Grant Year/APR Report; Utilization Rates based on CY2017

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your APR and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

#### **Recaputred Funds Scoring**

100% expended = 2 pts.

1% - 5% recaptured = 1 pt.

6% - 10% recaptured = -1 pt.

>10% recaptured = -2 pts.

#### **Unexpended Funds Scoring - ICA projects**

>50% unexpended = 2 pts.

51 - 75% unexpended = 1 pt.

<75% unexpended = 0 pts.

Applicant/Project	Total Budget	Total Funds Recaptured/ Unexpended	% of Funds Recaptured/ Unexpended	Score
Crittenton Center - Project Help PSH				
CSADV - RRH				
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West				
Community Action Agency of Siouxland - Crossroads				
ICA - HMIS				
ICA - Coordinated Entry				

# of clients served	Average Cost per Client Served
	#DIV/0!
	#DIV/0!
	#DIV/0!
	#DIV/0!
N/A	#VALUE!
N/A	#VALUE!

#### **Shelter Capacity/Utilization Scoring**

80-100% utilitization = 2 pts.

65 - 79% utilization = 1 pt.

<65% utilization = 0 pts.

Applicant/Project	# of Clients served during CY2017	# of bed nights available	# of bed nights used	Shelter Utilization Rate	Score
Crittenton Center - Project Help PSH					

CSADV - RRH					
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West					
Community Action Agency of Siouxland - Crossroads					
ICA - HMIS	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ICA - Coordinated Entry	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

#### **SCEH Quarterly Report Submission Scoring**

Reports - complete and on time, every quarter = 5 pts.

Reports - complete and on time, 2+ quarters = 2 pts.

Reports - incomplete and/or late, 3+ quarters = 0 pts.

Applicant/Project	1st Quarter - complete, on time	2nd Quarter - complete, on time	3rd Quarter - complete, on time	4th Quarter - complete, on time	Score
Crittenton Center - Project Help PSH					
CSADV - RRH					
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West					
Community Action Agency of Siouxland - Crossroads					
ICA - HMIS	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ICA - CE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

#### **Application/Report Submission Scoring**

Application and All Materials Complete and submitted by 5:00 p.m., Monday, August 13, 2018 = 5 pts.

Application and/or Materials Incomplete or Late = 0 pts.; application rejected by SCEH

Applicant/Project	Application in eSnaps by 8/25/17	HUD CoC APR Report	ESG Application Report	HUD Correspondence	Score
Crittenton Center - Project Help PSH					
CSADV - RRH					
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West					
Community Action Agency of Siouxland - Crossroads					
ICA - HMIS					
ICA - Coordinated Entry					

## **New Permanent Housing Projects**

## **Project Scoring Summary**

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts. Available)			
Agency Experience (3 pts.)			
Project Detail (10 pts.)			
Housing First (8 pts.)			
Low Barrier to Entry (4 pts.)			
Low Barrier for Termination (4 pts.)			
Supportive Services (10 pts.)			
Performance Measures (8 pts.)			
Budget (20 pts.)			
Application Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)			

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (72 pts.)
TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE

0	0	0	0	0
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

**New Permanent Housing Projects** 

**Agency Experience/Qualifications** 

Note: Calculations based on GY 2017-2018 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

PSH/RRH Scoring:					
Yes = 1 pt.					
No = 0 pts.					
Permanent Housing Projects	Experience with managing federal grants effectively	Experience leveraging funds from other govt. and private sources	Adequate organization, management, and accounting structure	Total "Yes" responses	Score
					0
					0

**New Permanent Housing Projects** 

#### **Project Detail - Project Type, Housing Type, Target Population**

Note: Calculations based on GY 2017-2018 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

PSH/RRH Scoring:						
Yes = 2 pts.						
No = 0 pts.						
		Apartments or single family homes/		100% from	100% HH w/	Total "Yes"
Permanent Housing Projects	PSH or RRH	duplexes	100% CH	ES or street	children	responses

Total 1BR Units	Total Units larger than 2BR	Total beds for HH w/ children
•		

Score

#### **New Permanent Housing Projects**

#### **Increase Housing Stability - Housing First/Low Barrier**

Note: Calculations based on GY 2019-2020 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

#### **PSH/RRH Housing First Scoring:**

Yes = 2 pts.

No = 0 pts.

	Project will quickly and successfully connect	Project has no barriers to program entry (based on low barrier scores	Project has no	supportive services to maximize housing stability and prevent returns to		Total "Yes"	
Permanent Housing Projects	connect clients to PSH		to program termination	to homelessness		Total "Yes" responses	Score
					·		0
							0

#### PSH/RRH Low Barrier Project Entry Scoring:

Yes = 1 pt.

No = 0 pts.

	Permanent Housing Projects	Entry not denied for too little or no income	Entry not denied for active or history or substance abuse	Entry not denied for criminal record with exception for state- mandated restrictions	Entry not denied for fleeing domestic violence	Total "Yes" responses	Score
ı							0
ĺ							0

#### PSH/RRH Low Barrier Project Termination Scoring:

Yes = 1 pt.

No = 0 pts.

1							
		Clients not			Clients not		
	Clients not	terminated	Clients not		terminated for		
	terminated for	for not	terminated for	Clients not	any other		
	non-	making	loss of income	terminated for	activity no		
	participation	progress on	or failure to	being a victim of	covered in a		
	in supportive	a service	increase	domestic	typical lease	Total "Yes"	
Permanent Housing Projects	services	plan	income	violence	agreement	responses	Score
					•		0
							0

# IA-500 SCEH CoC 2018 New Applicants Performance Charts New Permanent Housing Projects

**Supportive Services - Type, Provided by** 

Note: Calculations based on GY 2019-2020 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

#### **Supportive Services Scoring:**

1 pt. per service up to 10 points for SS

provided by ap	pplicant or applicant referral			
Project	Supportive Service	Provided by Applicant	Provided by Applicant Referral	Score
	Assessment of Service Needs			
	Assistance with Moving Costs			
	Case Management			
	Child Care			
	Education Services			
	Employment Assistance/Job Training			
	Food			
	Housing Search/Counseling Services			
	Legal Services			
	Life Skills Training			
	Mental Health Services			
	Outpatient Health Serivces			
	Outreach Services			
	Substance Abuse Treatment Services			
	Transportation			
	Utility Deposits			
				•
	Assessment of Service Needs			
	Assistance with Moving Costs			
	Case Management			
	Child Care			
	Education Services			
	Employment Assistance/Job Training			
	Food			
	Housing Search/Counseling Services			
	Legal Services			
	Life Skills Training			
	Mental Health Services			
	Outpatient Health Serivces			
	Outreach Services			
	Substance Abuse Treatment Services			
	Transportation			
	Utility Deposits			

# IA-500 SCEH CoC 2018 New Applicants Performance Charts New Permanent Housing Projects

**Performance Measures** 

Note: Calculations based on GY 2019-2020 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

Performance Measures Scoring:	
Yes = 2 pts.	
No = 0 pts.	

Permanent Housing Project	80% or more obtain and remain in PH project or exit to other PH destination	55% or more gain or increase their cash income from employment or any non-employment cash source	20% or more are employed	56% or more have obtain non-cash/ mainstream benefits	Score

**New Permanent Housing Projects** 

Financial Management, Cost Per Client, Program/Shelter Capacity

Note: Calculations based on GY 2019-2020 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

#### **Budget Categories/Percentages**

Leasing/Rental Assistance - >75% - 5 pts.

Operations - <10% - 5 pts.

Supportive Services - <25% - 5 pts.

Admin - <7% = 5 pts.

Applicant/Project	Total Budget	Total Leasing/ Rental Assistance	% of Total Budget	Total Operations	% of Total Budget	Total Supportive Services	% of Total Budget	Admin	% of Total Budget	Score	Average Cost per Client Served
			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		

Note: Calculations based on FY2018 application

Shelter Capacity - not scored

sheller Capacity - not scored									
Applicant/Project	Total # of Clients to be served	Total # Singles/Couples to be served	Households w/ Children to be served	# of beds available	# of 1BR units available	# of 2BR units available	# units >2BR available		
	0	0		0					

#### **Application/Report Submission Scoring**

Application and All Materials Complete and submitted by 5:00 p.m., Monday, August 13, 2018 = 5 pts.

Application and/or Materials Incomplete or Late = 0 pts.: application rejected by SCEH

Application and/or waterials incomplete of Earc = 0 pts., application rejected by SCEIT									
		Application in eSanps by 8/12/16							
	Applicant/Project	eSanps by 8/12/16							Score