Siouxland Coalition To End Homelessness New and Renewal Project Scoring/Ranking Policy

Policy: to determine the process by which new and renewal applications will be scored and ranked within HUD's CoC Program Competition.

Renewal HMIS, Coordinated Entry, PH, TH, and SSO Projects

In order to be considered for scoring and ranking, all renewal project applications must submit:

- a complete renewal project application to the CoC through the eSnaps online system by the announced deadline
- a complete set of other required reports and documents by the announced deadline

Incomplete or late applications and materials will not be accepted, and the project application will be rejected by the CoC.

All renewal HMIS projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts for HMIS Projects. Scoring criteria for renewal projects will include:

- PIT/HIC
 - o Completeness and Accuracy of PIT count, especially special populations
 - o Increases in HMIS bed coverage/efforts to increase HMIS participation among non-funded agencies
 - Data reporting to SCEH
 - Timely submission of PIT/HIC data in HUD HDX
- System Performance Measures
 - CoC-wide improvements in SPM's over the previous year(s)/efforts to improve CoC-wide SPM's
 - o Data reporting to SCEH
 - o Timely submission of PIT/HIC data in HUD HDX

All renewal Coordinated Entry (CE) projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Chart for CE Projects. Scoring criteria for renewal projects will include:

- Participation in CE by both CoC and ESG funded agencies and non-funded agencies/efforts to increase CE participation by both funded and non-funded agencies.
- System outcomes including the rate of exits to permanent housing, reducing the average length of stay in programs, and reducing the number of persons who become homeless for the first time.

All renewal PH, TH, and SSO (excluding Coordinated Entry) projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts for PH, TH, and SSO Projects. Scoring criteria for renewal projects will include:

- Performance Measures
 - o increasing housing stability
 - o sources of cash income
 - o gained or increased income
 - o sources of non-cash benefits
- data quality/completeness and data entry timeliness
- grant funding expenditures/drawdown

Accepted projects will be ranked by score, from highest to lowest. In the event that 1st year renewal projects (new projects awarded during the previous federal FY funding cycle) are not under contract and have not begun operating at the time of the competition, they will be exempt from the scoring criteria for renewal projects. They will instead be automatically ranked in the order they were initially ranked in the Priority Rankings submitted to HUD in the previous year.

New PH Projects

In order to be considered for scoring and ranking, all new project applications must submit a complete new project application to the CoC through the eSnaps online system by the announced deadline. Incomplete or late project applications will not be accepted, and the project application will be rejected by the CoC.

All new PH projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts. Scoring for New Projects will include:

- Program and Housing type/capacity
 - $\circ \quad \text{CH dedicated focus}$
 - o Housing First focus
- Proposed Performance Measures
 - o increasing housing stability
 - o sources of cash income
 - o gained or increased income
 - sources of non-cash benefits
- Agency Experience

Accepted projects will be ranked by score, from highest to lowest.

Coordinated Entry Renewal Application Evaluation

Person(s) completing evaluation: _____

Date: _____

For this evaluation, use data from the time period of October 1, 2018 – June 30, 2019.

- 1. Coordinated Entry Agency Participation: (9 pts.)
 - a. Number of ESG and/or CoC-funded organizations participating in CE (e.g. Case Conferencing, accepting referrals, etc): __________CAAS, CFS, CSADV, Crittenton Center, Shesler, City of Sioux City

 - c. Explain any efforts being made to increase the number of non-funded organizations participating in CE.

2. Program Participation: (10 pts.)

Type of Organization	Number of Organizations/Programs Actively Participating in CE – intake, accepting referrals, etc.	Number of Referrals Made to each program type	Number/Percentage of Referrals Accepted	Rate/percentage of Exits from the listed organization types to PH Destinations*
Emergency Shelter		47		61.5%
Transitional Housing		61		78.5%
Rapid Re-Housing		38		75%
Permanent Supportive Housing		6		100%
Homeless Prevention		59		94%
Other Permanent Destinations				37%
Other Temporary Destinations				5%
Institutional Destinations				4%
Self-Resolved				32%
Disappeared/Unknown				46%
CE Referral Totals:		217	159 (94%)	132/335 (40%)

*ES, TH, RRH, PSH, and HP exits are based on program exits agencies reported on their 3rd Quarter SCEH reports. All other exits are based on exits from CE Prioritization List by CE Staff.

2a. Explain variances in the number of referrals made and referrals accepted. What are the top 5 reasons for denying a referral? Explain your agency's efforts to work with the various organizations to increase the number of accepted referrals.

**Of 169 referrals made: 159 (94%) were accepted by the agency/program; 7 (4%) were denied by the agency/program; 3 (2%) were denied by the client.

2b. The SCEH has a standard of 80% of PSH, RRH, and TH clients and 30% of ES clients remaining in/exiting to permanent housing destinations. Explain your agency's efforts to work with funded and non-funded agencies to improve the rate of exits to permanent housing.

3. System Outcomes: (8 pts.)

4.

a. Avera	ge Length of Stay in Emergency Shelter F	Programs	
Singles:	Families:	Youth:	
	ge Length of Stay in Transitional Housing		
Singles:	Families:	Youth:	
c. New e	ntries into homelessness (per year)		
Singles:	Families:	Youth:	
d. Explain your agency's efforts to divert persons from becoming homeless.			
Program Management/Application Timeliness: (7 pts.)			
a. Currer	nt Project Operating Year-End Date:		
b. Grant	Amount:		
i.	Grant Funds Expended to Date:		
ii.	ii. Grant Funds Remaining (unexpended) to Date:		
iii.	Unexpended Funds % (Unexpended Fu	unds/Grant Amount):	

HMIS Renewal Application Performance Evaluation

Person(s) Completing Evaluation:

Date: _____

Point in Time Count (PIT) (10 pts.)

1. Did HMIS staff provide trainings for SCEH service providers for the January 2019 PIT Count? Complete the following chart with training information.

Type of Training (webinar, in-person)	Presenter(s)	Date of Training	Participating Agencies

- 2. What efforts were made to ensure that all agencies, especially nonfunded agencies, clearly understood how to count total households and total persons in households?
- 3. What efforts were made to ensure that all agencies, especially nonfunded agencies, clearly understood HUD's definitions of homelessness and chronic homelessness in order to accurately complete the PIT count?
- 4. What measures did your agency take to ensure a complete and accurate PIT count of households with children, veterans, chronically homeless and youth?

Housing Inventory Chart (HIC)/AHAR (6 pts.)

1.	What percent of the Co	C's beds are covered in	HMIS?	
	for ES? <u>2%</u>	For TH? <u>73%</u>	For PSH? <u>19%</u>	For RRH? <u>100%</u>

2. Which agencies' beds are **NOT** currently covered in HMIS?

for ES?

For TH?

For PSH?

For RRH?

- 3. If the bed coverage is less than 85%, explain your agency's efforts to increase bed coverage over the next 12 months?
- 4. How many tables were accepted and used by HUD in the last AHAR?

System Performance Measures (SPM) (7 pts.)

- 1. Briefly explain how the CoC-wide System Performance Measures submitted to HUD in May 2019 compare to the SPM's submitted in previous years.
- 2. Is CoC performance improving? If not, explain your agency's efforts to improve CoC-wide SPM's/work with funded/non-funded agencies to improve SPM's.

Non-Funded Agency Data (5 pts.)

- 1. Is non-funded agency data currently being entered into ServicePoint?
 - a. If yes, which non-funded agencies are participating? Who is responsible for entering non-funded agency data?
 - b. If no, briefly explain why they have ceased participation.
- 2. Briefly explain the advantages and/or disadvantages of entering non-funded agency data into ServicePoint.
- 3. Briefly explain your agency's efforts to increase non-funded agency participation in ServicePoint and how you would ensure non-funded agency data quality, completeness and timeliness should any of them choose to participate.

Program Management/Application Submission Timeliness (7 pts.)

- 1. Current Project Operating Year-End Date: ______
- 2. Grant Amount: _____
 - a. Grant Funds Expended to Date: _____
 - b. Grant Funds Remaining (Unexpended) to Date: ______
 - c. Unexpended Funds % (Unexpended Funds/Grant Amount): _____

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2019 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts

All Projects

Project Scoring Summary

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts.)	Crittenton Center - Project Help PSH	Heartland Counseling - PSH	CSADV - RRH	Center For Siouxland - Bridges West TH
Housing Stability (4pts.)				
Earned Income (2 pts.)				
Other Cash Income Source (2 pts.)				
Gained or Increased Income (2 pts.)				
Non-Cash Benefits (2 pts.)				
Data Quality/Completeness (4 pts.)				
Data Entry Timeliness (4 pts.)				
Recaptured Funds (2 pts.)				
Shelter Capacity/Utilization (2 pts.)				
Quarterly Report Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)				
Application/Report Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)				

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (34 pts.)	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts.)	Institute for Community Alliances - HMIS
Point In Timt Count (10 pts.)	
PIT Training	
Complete/Accurate PIT Count	
HIC/AHAR (5 pts.)	
HMIS bed coverage %	
Increase HMIS bed coverage %	
AHAR tables	

System Performance Measures (7 pts.)	
Non-Funded Agency Data Quality (5 pts.)	
Program Management/Spending (2 pts.)	
Application/Report Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)	

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (34 pts.) TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE

0
0.00

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts.)	Institute for Community Alliances - Coordinated Entry
CE Agency Participation (9 pts.)	
Organizations completing intake/assessment	
Organizations trained by not active	
Program Participation/Referrals (10 pts.)	
<pre># of referrals made/accepted</pre>	
Rate of exits to PH	
System Outcomes (8 pts.)	
Reducing length of time homeless	
Decreasing # of 1st time homeless	
Program Management/Spending (2 pts.)	
Application/Report Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)	

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (34 pts.)	
TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE	

0
0.00

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2019 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts

Transitional and Permanent Housing Projects

Increase Housing Stability. (Goal: 80% + remaining in PH or exiting to PH)

Note: Calculations based on most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data

For all performance charts, please review your most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

PH - PSH/RRH Scoring:

80% or higher = 4 pts.

less than 80% = 0 pts.

Permanent Housing Projects	Total participants	Stayers	Exits to Other PH (Q23A, 23B)	Total stayers and PH exits	Percentage of participants who either remained in PH or exited to PH.	Score
Crittenton Center - Project Help PSH					#DIV/0!	
Heartland Counseling - PSH					#DIV/0!	
CSADV - RRH					#DIV/0!	
Totals	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	

TH Scoring

80% or higher = 4 pts.

65% - 79% = 3 pts.

Below 65% = 0 pts.

Transitional Housing Projects	Total participants	Stayers	Exits to Other PH (Q23A, 23B)	Total stayers and PH exits	Percentage of participants who exited to PH.	Score
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West					#DIV/0!	
Totals	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2019 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts **All Projects (Excluding HMIS)**

Sources of cash income. (PSH Goals: 15% + obtain employment/earned income; 50% + obtain other cash income;

RRH/TH Goals: 50% + obtain employment/earned income; 30% + obtain other cash income)

Note: Calculations based on most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data For all performance charts, please review your most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

Earned Income 5 15% or higher = 2 10% - 14% - 1 pt. less than 10% = 0		Other Cash Income Scoring: PSH Projects 50% or higher = 2 pts. 30% - 49% = 1 pt.less than 30% = 0 pts.				cts		
Project	Cash Income Sources	# of Adult Leavers w/ income source (18)	Total Adult Leavers (5a)	% of Adults w/ earned income	% of Adults w/ other cash income		% of Adults w/ no cash income	Score
Crittenton	Earned Income			#DIV/0!				
Center - Project	Other Cash Income Sources:				#DIV/0!			
Help PSH	Both Earned and Other Income Sources: No Cash Income Sources:					#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
	Earned Income			#DIV/0!				
Heartland Counseling -	Other Cash Income Sources:			#B1070.	#DIV/0!			
PSH	Both Earned and Other Income Sources:					#DIV/0!		
	No Cash Income Sources:						#DIV/0!	

30% - 49% = 1 pt. less than 30% = 0 pts. 10% - 29% = 1 pt.

less than 10% = 0 pts.

Project	Cash Income Sources	# of Adult Leavers w/ income source (18)	Total Adult Leavers (5a)	income	% of Adults w/ other cash income	% of Adults w/ both earned income and other income	% of Adults w/ no cash income	Score
	Earned Income			#DIV/0!				
CSADV - RRH	Other Cash Income Sources:				#DIV/0!			
	Both Earned and Other Income Sources:					#DIV/0!		
	No Cash Income Sources:						#DIV/0!	
CES Bridges	Earned Income			#DIV/0!				
CFS - Bridges West	Other Cash Income Sources:				#DIV/0!			
west	Both Earned and Other Income Sources:					#DIV/0!		
	No Cash Income Sources:						#DIV/0!	

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2019 Renewal Applicants Performance ChartsAll Projects (Excluding HMIS)Obtain or increase project participants income (from employment and from other sources).(Goal: PSH/RRH/TH - 40%+ obtain or increase income from employment and other sources)

Note: Calculations based on most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data

For all performance charts, please review your most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

Gained or Increased Income Scoring:

40% or higher = 2 pts.

20% - 39% - 1 pt.

less than 20% = 0 pts.

Applicant/Project	Total Adult Leavers (5a6)	Adult Leavers who maintained income (19a2, row 6, column 4)	Percentage of Total Adults who maintained income (C/B)	Adult Leavers who gained or increased income (19a2; row 6, columns 5+6)	Percentage of Adult Leavers who gained or increased income (E/B)	Score
Crittenton Center - Project Help PSH					#DIV/0!	
Heartland Counseling - PSH					#DIV/0!	
CSADV - RRH					#DIV/0!	
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West					#DIV/0!	

0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2019 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts All Projects (Excluding HMIS) Sources of Mainstream Benefits

(Goal: PSH - 85% + obtain mainstream benefits;

TH/RRH - 80%+ obtain mainstream benefits)

Note: Calculations based on most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data

For all performance charts, please review your most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

Mainstream Benefits Scoring: PSH Projects

85% or higher = 2 pts.

65% - 84% - 1 pt.

less than 65% = 0 pts.

Project	Non Cash Benefit Sources	# of Adult Leavers (20b)	Score
Crittenton Center	Total Adults		
- Project Help	Total w/ 1+ sources		
PSH	% Receiving Non Cash Benefits		
гэп			
Heartland	Total Adults		
Heartland	Total w/ 1+ sources		
Counseling - PSH	% Receiving Non Cash Benefits		
гэп			

Mainstream Benefits Scoring: RRH/TH Projects

80% or higher = 2 pts.

60% - 79% - 1 pt.

less than 60% = 0 pts.

Project	Non Cash Benefit Sources	# of Adult Leavers (20b)	Score
CSADV - RRH	Total Adults Total w/ 1+ sources		
CSADV - KKH	%Receiving Non Cash Benefits		
CFS - Bridges West	Total Adults Total w/ 1+ sources % Receiving Non Cash Benefits		

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2019 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts All Projects (Excluding HMIS) Data Quality, Timeliness (Goals: <5% null/missing data in all categories; 100% of entry/exit data entered in <6 days)

Data Quality/Completeness Scoring:

96-100% complete in all categories = 4 pts.

Note: Calculations based on most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data For all performance charts, please review your most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

at least 95% complet	e in all categories = 2 pts.	at least 95% in $ days - 2 pts.$				
Less than 95% comp	lete in any category = 0 pts.		<mark>% in<!--= 6 days +</mark--></mark>	- 0 pts.		
Project	Data Element	% of Error Rate		Score		
	Name (6a)					
	SSN (6a)					
	Date of Birth (6a)					
	Race (6a)					
	Ethnicity (6a)					
	Gender (6a)					
	Veteran Status (6b)					
	Relationship to HoH (6b)					
Crittenton Center	Client Location (6b)					
- Project Help	Disabling Condition (6b))					
PSH	Destination (Exit) (6c)					
	Income and Sources (Entry) (6c)					
	Income and Sources (Annual Assess) (6c)					
	Income and Sources (Exit) (6c)					
	Started, # of Times/# of Months Homeless in					
	Domestic Violence (14a)					
	Fleeing Domestic Violence (14b)					

Data Entry Timeliness Scoring:

96-100% in </= 6 days = 4 pts.

	Residence Prior to Project Entry (15)			
	Non-Cash Benefit Received (20b)			
	Covered by Health Insurance (21)			
	Data Quality/Completeness Score			
Project	Data Entry Timeliness	Total # of Entry/Exit Records	# of Records entered in <11 days	Score
Critt Ctr - Project Help PSH	Data Entry Timeliness			
	Name (6a)			
	SSN (6a) Date of Birth (6a)			
	Race (6a)			
	Ethnicity (6a)			
	Gender (6a)			
	Veteran Status (6b)			
	Relationship to HoH (6b)			
	Client Location (6b)			
Heartland	Disabling Condition (6b))			
Counseling -	Destination (Exit) (6c)			
PSH	Income and Sources (Entry) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Annual Assess) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Exit) (6c)			
	Started, # of Times/# of Months Homeless in			
	Domestic Violence (14a)			
	Fleeing Domestic Violence (14b)			
	Residence Prior to Project Entry (15)			
	Non-Cash Benefit Received (20b)			
	Covered by Health Insurance (21)			

	Data Quality/Completeness Score			
Project	Data Entry Timeliness	Total # of Entry/Exit Records	# of Records entered in <11 days	
tand Counseling =	Data Entry Timeliness			
	Name (6a)			
	SSN (6a)			
	Date of Birth (6a)			
	Race (6a)			
	Ethnicity (6a)			
	Gender (6a)			
	Veteran Status (6b)			
	Relationship to HoH (6b)			
	Client Location (6b)			
CSADV - RRH	Disabling Condition (6b))			
CSADV - KKII	Destination (Exit) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Entry) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Annual Assess) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Exit) (6c)			
	Started, # of Times/# of Months Homeless in			
	Domestic Violence (14a)			
	Fleeing Domestic Violence (14b)			
	Residence Prior to Project Entry (15)			
	Non-Cash Benefit Received (20b)			
	Covered by Health Insurance (21)			
	Data Quality/Completeness Score			
Project	Data Entry Timeliness	Total # of Entry/Exit Records	# of Records entered in <11 days	

CSADV - RRH	Data Entry Timeliness			
	Name (6a)			
	SSN (6a)			
	Date of Birth (6a)			
	Race (6a)			
	Ethnicity (6a)			
	Gender (6a)			
	Veteran Status (6b)			
	Relationship to HoH (6b)			
	Client Location (6b)			
	Disabling Condition (6b))			
CFS - Bridges	Destination (Exit) (6c)			
West	Income and Sources (Entry) (6c)			
West	Income and Sources (Annual Assess) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Exit) (6c)			
	Chronic Homelessness (Approx Date			
	Started, # of Times/# of Months Homeless in Past 3 years) (6d)			
	Domestic Violence (14a)			
	Fleeing Domestic Violence (14b)			
	Residence Prior to Project Entry (15)			
	Non-Cash Benefit Received (20b)			
	Covered by Health Insurance (21)			
	Data Quality/Completeness Score			
Project	Data Entry Timeliness	Total # of Entry/Exit Records	# of Records entered in <11 days	
CFS - Bridges West	Data Entry Timeliness			

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2019 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts HMIS

Point In Time Count (10 pts.)

Points to consider when scoring: Types of training provided

Agencies that participated in training

Adequacy of training provided Complete and Accurate PIT count Score:

Scorer's Comments:		

Housing Inventory Chart/AHAR (6 pts.)

Points to consider when scoring: Bed Coverage % in HMIS Efforts to increase bed coverage # of tables used in AHAR Score:

Scorer's Comn	nents:		

System Performance Measures (7 pts.)

Points to consider when scoring: Difference in SPM's from last year Efforts to improve SPM's Score:

Scorer's Comments:

Non-funded Agency Data (5 pts.)

Points to consider when scoring:

Impact of non-funded agency data on SPM's and CoC

Efforts to improve non-funded agency data quality and timeliness

Score:

Scorer's Comments:		

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2019 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts Coordinated Entry

CE Agency Participation (9 pts.)	Score:
Points to consider when scoring:	
# of Agencies trained for CE vs. # of Agencies	Scorer's Comments:
doing CE	
Reasons Agencies trained aren't serving	
clients	
Program Participation (10 pts.)	Score:
Points to consider when scoring:	Scorer's Comments:
# of agencies providing each	Scorer's comments:
type of service vs. # of agencies	
actively participating in CE	
# of referrals made/accepted	
Efforts to increase accepted referrals	
System Outcomes (8 pts.)	Score:
Points to consider when scoring:	
Increase/Decrease in Avg. length of time	Scorer's Comments:
homeless	
Increase/Decrease in # of 1st time homeless	

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2019 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts All Projects

Financial Management, Cost Per Client, Program Capacity/Shelter Utilization, Quarterly Reporting, Application Submission

(Goals: 100% funds expended; 80% + shelter utilization rate)

Note: Financial Calculations based on Most Recently Completed Grant Year/APR Report; Utilization Rates based on most recent SCEH Quarterly Reports For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your APR and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

>50% unexpended = 2 pts.

<75% unexpended = 0 pts.

51 - 75% unexpended = 1 pt.

Unexpended Funds Scoring - ICA projects

Recaputred	F	un	ds	Scoring
			-	

- 100% expended = 2 pts.
- 1% 5% recaptured = 1 pt.

6% - 10% recaptured = -1 pt.

>10% recaptured = -2 pts.

		Total Funds	% of Funds	
		Recaptured/	Recaptured/	
Applicant/Project	Total Budget	Unexpended	Unexpended	Score
Crittenton Center - Project Help PSH				
Heartland Counseling - PSH				
CSADV - RRH				
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West TH				
ICA - HMIS				
ICA - Coordinated Entry				

# of clients served	Average Cost per Client Served
	#DIV/0!
	#DIV/0!
	#DIV/0!
	#DIV/0!
N/A	#VALUE!
N/A	#VALUE!

Shelter Capacity/Utilization Scoring

80-100% utilization = 2 pts.

65 -79% utilization = 1 pt.

<65% utilization = 0 pts.

Applicant/Project	# of Clients served during 2018-2019	# of bed nights available	# of bed nights used	Shelter Utilization Rate	Score
Crittenton Center - Project Help PSH					
Heartland Counseling - PSH					
CSADV - RRH					
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West TH					

ICA - HMIS	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ICA - Coordinated Entry	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCEH Quarterly Report Submission Scoring

Reports - complete and on time, every quarter = 5 pts.

Reports - complete and on time, 2 +quarters = 2 pts.

Reports - incomplete and/or late, 3 +quarters = 0 pts.

Applicant/Project	1st Quarter - complete, on time	2nd Quarter - complete, on time	3rd Quarter - complete, on time	4th Quarter - complete, on time	Score
Crittenton Center - Project Help PSH					
Heartland Counseling - PSH					
CSADV - RRH					
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West TH					
ICA - HMIS					
ICA - CE					

Application/Report Submission Scoring

Application and All Materials Complete and submitted by 5:00 p.m., Monday, August 19, 2019 = 5 pts.

Application and/or Materials Incomplete or Late = 0 pts.; application rejected by SCEH

Applicant/Project	Application in eSnaps by 8/19/19	HUD CoC APR Report	ESG Application Report	HUD Correspondence	Score
Crittenton Center - Project Help PSH					
Heartland Counseling - PSH					
CSADV - RRH					
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West TH					
ICA - HMIS					
ICA - Coordinated Entry					

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2019 New Applicants Performance Charts New Permanent Housing Projects Project Scoring Summary

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts. Available)					
Agency Experience (3 pts.)					
Project Detail (10 pts.)					
Housing First (8 pts.)					
Low Barrier to Entry (4 pts.)					
Low Barrier for Termination (4 pts.)					
Supportive Services (10 pts.)					
Performance Measures (8 pts.)					
Budget (20 pts.)					
Application Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)					
TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (72 pts.)	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2019 New Applicants Performance Charts

New Permanent Housing Projects Agency Experience/Qualifications

Note: Calculations based on GY 2020-2021 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

PSH/RRH Scoring:

Yes = 1 pt.

No = 0 pts.

Permanent Housing Projects	Experience with managing federal grants effectively	Experience leveraging funds from other govt. and private sources	Adequate organization, management, and accounting structure	Total "Yes" responses	Score
					0
					0

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2019 New Applicants Performance Charts New Permanent Housing Projects Project Detail - Project Type, Housing Type, Target Population

Note: Calculations based on GY 2020-2021 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

PSH/RRH Scoring:

Yes = 2 pts.

No = 0 pts.

Permanent Housing Projects	PSH or RRH	Apartments or single family homes/ duplexes	100% CH	100% from ES or street	100% HH w/ children	Total "Yes" responses	Score
							0
							0

Total 1BR Units	Total Units larger than 2BR	-	Total beds for HH w/ children

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2019 New Applicants Performance Charts

New Permanent Housing Projects

Increase Housing Stability - Housing First/ Low Barrier

Note: Calculations based on GY 2020-2021 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

PSH/RRH Housing First Scoring:

Yes = 2 pts.

No = 0 pts.

Permanent Housing Projects	Project will quickly and successfully connect clients to PSH	Project has no barriers to program entry (based on low barrier scores below)	Project has no	supportive services to maximize housing stability and prevent returns to homelessness	Total "Yes" responses	Score
						0
						0

PSH/RRH Low Barrier Project Entry Scoring:

Yes = 1 pt.

No = 0 pts.

Permanent Housing Projects	Entry not denied for too little or no income	active or	Entry not denied for criminal record with exception for state- mandated restrictions	Entry not denied for fleeing domestic violence	Total "Yes" responses	Score
						0
						0

PSH/RRH Low Barrier Project Termination Scoring:

 $\frac{\text{Yes} = 1 \text{ pt.}}{\text{No} = 0 \text{ pts}}$

	participation in supportive	progress on a service	or failure to increase	being a victim of domestic	covered in a typical lease	Total "Yes"	
	non- participation	making progress on	loss of income or failure to	terminated for being a victim of	activity no covered in a	Total "Yes"	
1	Clients not terminated for	Clients not terminated for not	Clients not terminated for	Clients not	Clients not terminated for any other		

0

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2019 New Applicants Performance Charts New Permanent Housing Projects Supportive Services - Type, Provided by

Note: Calculations based on GY 2020-2021 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

- -

Supportive Services Scoring:

1 pt. per service up to 10 points for SS

provided by applicant or applicant referral

		Provided by	Provided by Applicant	
Project	Supportive Service	Applicant	Referral	Score
	Assessment of Service Needs			
	Assistance with Moving Costs			
	Case Management			
	Child Care			
	Education Services			
	Employment Assistance/Job Training			
	Food			
	Housing Search/Counseling Services			
	Legal Services			
	Life Skills Training			
	Mental Health Services			
	Outpatient Health Serivces			
	Outreach Services			
	Substance Abuse Treatment Services			
	Transportation			
	Utility Deposits			
	Assessment of Service Needs			
	Assistance with Moving Costs			
	Case Management			
	Child Care			
	Education Services			
	Employment Assistance/Job Training			
	Food			
	Housing Search/Counseling Services			
	Legal Services			
	Life Skills Training			
	Mental Health Services			
	Outpatient Health Serivces			
	Outreach Services			
	Substance Abuse Treatment Services			
	Transportation			
	Utility Deposits			

Tab 4 - 3A Obj 4 - All

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2019 New Applicants Performance Charts New Permanent Housing Projects Performance Measures

Note: Calculations based on GY 2020-2021 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

Performance Measures Scoring:					
Yes = 2 pts.					
No = 0 pts.					
Permanent Housing Project	80% or more obtain and remain in PH project or exit to other PH destination	55% or more gain or increase their cash income from employment or any non-employment cash source	20% or more are employed	56% or more have obtain non-cash/ mainstream benefits	Score

Tab 3 - 3A Obj 3 - All

IA-500 SCEH CoC 2019 New Applicants Performance Charts

New Permanent Housing Projects

Financial Management, Cost Per Client, Program/Shelter Capacity

Note: Calculations based on GY 2020-2021 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

Budget Categories/Percentages

Leasing/Rental Assistance - >75% - 5 pts.

Operations - <10% - 5 pts.

Supportive Services - <25% - 5 pts.

Admin - <7% = 5 pts.

Applicant/Project	Total Budget	Total Leasing/ Rental Assistance		Total Operations	% of Total Budget	Total Supportive Services	% of Total Budget	Admin	% of Total Budget	Score	Average Cost per Client Served
			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		

Note: Calculations based on FY2019 application

Shelter Capacity - not scored

Applicant/Project	Total # of Clients to be served	Total # Singles/Couples to be served	Households w/ Children to be served	# of beds available	# of 1BR units available	# of 2BR units available	# units >2BR available		
	0	0		0					

A	pplication/Report Submission Scoring								
A	pplication and All Materials Complete and	submitted by 5:0	<mark>) p.m., Monday, Au</mark> g	gust 19, 2019 = 5	pts.				
A	pplication and/or Materials Incomplete or	Late = 0 pts.; appl	ication rejected by S	CEH					
		Application in							
	Applicant/Project	eSanps by 8/12/16							Score