# Siouxland Coalition To End Homelessness New and Renewal Project Review/Score/Rank Policy

**Policy:** to determine the process by which new and renewal project applications will be reviewed, scored, and ranked within HUD's CoC Program Competition.

#### Renewal HMIS, SSO-Coordinated Entry, PH-PSH, PH-RRH, and TH Projects

In order to be considered for reviewing, scoring, and ranking, all renewal project applications must submit:

- a complete renewal project application to the CoC through the eSnaps online system by the announced deadline
- a complete set of other required reports and documents, as requested, by the announced deadline Incomplete or late applications and materials will not be accepted, and the project application will be rejected by the CoC.

All renewal HMIS projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts for HMIS Projects. Scoring criteria for renewal projects will include:

- HMIS System Performance
  - o Training
  - o Data Collection
  - o Reporting
  - 360° Agency Review
- Quarterly Report/Response timeliness
- Grant Funding Expenditures/Drawdown
- Application submission timeliness

All renewal Coordinated Entry (CE) projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Chart for CE Projects. Scoring criteria for renewal projects will include:

- CE System Performance
  - Access and Assessment
  - o Prioritization and Referral
  - o 360° Agency Review
- Quarterly Report/Response timeliness
- Grant Funding Expenditures/Drawdown
- Application submission timeliness

All renewal PH-PSH, PH-RRH, and TH projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts for PH-PSH, PH-RRH, and TH Projects. Scoring criteria for renewal projects will include:

- Performance Measures
  - housing stability
  - o sources of cash income
  - o gained or increased income
- Housing First
- Data Quality/Completeness and Data Entry Timeliness
- Quarterly Report/Response timeliness
- Grant Funding Expenditures/Drawdown
- Application submission timeliness

Accepted projects will be ranked by score, from highest to lowest. In the event that 1<sup>st</sup> year renewal projects (new projects awarded during the previous federal FY funding cycle) are not under contract and have not begun operating at the time of the competition, they will be exempt from the scoring criteria for renewal projects and will instead be automatically ranked in the order they ranked in the Priority Rankings submitted to HUD in the previous year.

7/2019; rev. 9/2021

### New HMIS, SSO-Coordinated Entry, PH-PSH, and PH-RRH Projects

In order to be considered for scoring and ranking, all new project applications must submit a complete new project application to the CoC through the *eSnaps* online system by the announced deadline. Incomplete or late project applications will not be accepted, and the project application will be rejected by the CoC.

All new HMIS projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts for HMIS Projects. Scoring criteria for new projects will include:

- Agency Experience
- Project Detail
  - Training
  - o Data Standards
  - Privacy and Security
  - Reporting
- Budget
  - o Admin HUD allows 10% max., but SCEH recommends 7% max.
- Application Submission Timeliness

All new Coordinated Entry (CE) projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Chart for CE Projects. Scoring criteria for renewal projects will include:

- Agency Experience
- Project Detail
  - Access
  - Assessment
  - Prioritization
  - o Referral
- Budget
  - o Admin HUD allows 10% max., but SCEH recommends 7% max.
- Application Submission Timeliness

All new PH-PSH and PH-RRH projects will be scored using the developed Performance Scoring Charts. Scoring for New PH Projects will include:

- Project Detail
- Housing type/capacity
  - CH dedicated focus
  - Housing First focus
- Supportive Services
- Budget
  - Ratio of Rental/Leasing dollars to Supportive Services dollars
  - o Admin HUD allows 10% max., but SCEH recommends 7% max.
- Agency Experience
- Application Submission Timeliness

Accepted projects will be ranked by score from highest to lowest.

# CoC Reallocation Plan Siouxland Coalition to End Homelessness

#### **Voluntary Reallocations:**

Wholly voluntary reallocation: An applicant may voluntarily choose to reallocate funds from an existing renewal project to free additional funds for one or more new projects.

- The applicant may choose to reallocate all or a portion of their renewal funds to create a new project(s).
- o Projects Impacted:
  - •

#### Total Reallocated:

All funds freed through voluntary reallocation may be made available for one or more new projects. If no new project applications are submitted, funds will remain available for the original renewal projects.

If the same applicant wishes to apply for a new project using those same funds, the following parameters apply:

- The applicant will be required to submit a new project application in eSnaps following all usual HUD requirements for new projects.
- The Siouxland Coalition to End Homelessness retains final approval for the new project to be included in the CoC Consolidated Application to HUD.
- o If, for any reason, the Siouxland Coalition to End Homelessness does not approve the new project, the same applicant may instead submit the original renewal project. In this way, the applicant choosing to voluntarily reallocate to a new project avoids the risk that the new project will be rejected by the Siouxland Coalition to End Homelessness and funds lost as a result.
- o All projects are subject to final consideration by HUD for funding. Approval by the Siouxland Coalition to End Homelessness does not guarantee that a project will be funded by HUD.
- O The applicant may also choose to compete for a portion or all of the funds available to the CoC through bonus funds. In this case, the applicant would be subject to competitive review along with other new project applications. Any additional funding will depend on the scoring. If the applicant does not score well enough to be competitive for additional funding, it may still submit the new project using just their own voluntarily reallocated funds.

If another applicant wishes to apply for a new project using the reallocated funds, the following parameters apply:

- The applicant will be required to submit a new project application in eSnaps following all usual HUD requirements for new projects.
- The Siouxland Coalition to End Homelessness retains final approval for the new project to be included in the CoC Consolidated Application to HUD.
- o All projects are subject to final consideration by HUD for funding. Approval by the Siouxland Coalition to End Homelessness does not guarantee that a project will be funded by HUD.

#### **Involuntary Reallocations:**

Full or Partial Reallocation for low scoring or lower performing project(s): The SCEH may reallocate funds in whole or in part from eligible renewal projects to create one or more new projects without decreasing the CoC's ARD (Annual Renewal Demand).

- O Projects impacted:

  - Total Reallocated:
- o The following Project Types may be created through Reallocation:
  - Permanent Housing Permanent Supportive Housing (PH-PSH) projects
  - Permanent Housing Rapid Rehousing (PH-RRH) projects
  - Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects
  - Dedicated HMIS project for the costs at 24 CFR578.37(a)(4) that can only be carried out by the HMIS Lead, which is the recipient or subrecipient of an HMIS grant and is listed on the HMSI Lead form in the CoC Applicant Profile in *e-snaps*.
  - Supportive Services Only Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE) project to develop or operate a centralized or coordinated assessment system.
- o Projects with recaptured funds may be subject to having the amount of recaptured funds reallocated to new projects.
- o Funds from low/the lowest scoring project(s) and/or from lower performing projects may be involuntarily reallocated to higher scoring new projects.
- O Project applicants that are subject to partial involuntary reallocation must develop a plan to continue with their renewal project(s) with the reduced level of funding. This includes HUD contract compliance for numbers of persons served and the types of services provided. It may be possible to seek a contract amendment from HUD for some changes. Applicants should contact their HUD representative to discuss any options for amendment. If the reduction in funding will result in loss of assistance for persons currently served by the program, the applicant must develop a transition plan for these persons. Any concerns should be brought to the CoC.
- O If there are no applications for new projects, funds will remain available for the original renewal projects.

# **New Permanent Housing Projects**

# **Project Scoring Summary**

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts. Available)			
Agency Experience (10 pts.)			
Project Detail (10 pts.)			
Housing First (5 pts.)			
Low Barrier to Entry (2.5 pts.)			
Low Barrier for Termination (2.5 pts.)			
Performance Measures (5 pts.)			
Supportive Services (5 pts.)			
Budget (10 pts.)			
Bonus: Leveraging Housing/Healthcare (3 pts.)			
Application Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)			

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (50 pts.)
TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE

0	0	0	0	0
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# **New HMIS Projects**

# **Project Scoring Summary**

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts. Available)	
Agency Experience (10 pts.)	
Project Detail/HMIS Standards (25 pts.)	
Training	
Data Standards	
Privacy and Security	
Reporting	
Budget (10 pts.)	

Application/Report Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)	
TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (50 pts.)	0
TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE	0.00

# **New CE Projects**

# **Project Scoring Summary**

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts. Available)	
Agency Experience (10 pts.)	
Project Detail (25 pts.)	
Access	
Assessment	
Prioritization	
Referral	
Budget (10 pts.)	
Application/Report Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)	

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (50 pts.)	0
TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE	0.00

# **Agency Experience/Qualifications**

Note: Calculations based on GY 2020-2021 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

All New Projects Scoring:	
3  or more Yes = 10  pts.	
2  Yes = 5  pts.	
1 or less $Yes = 0$ pts.	

New Project Applicant	Experience with managing federal grants effectively	leveraging funds from other govt. and private sources	Adequate organization, management, and accounting structure	Total "Yes" responses	Score
					0
					0

**All New Project types** 

Project Detail - Project Type, Housing Type, Target Population, etc.

Note: Calculations based on GY 2020-2021 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

#### PSH/RRH Scoring:

2 pts. for each Yes answer up to 10 pts.

Permanent Housing Projects	PSH or RRH	Apartments or single family homes/ duplexes	100% from ES or street	75% or more HH w/ children	10 or more housing units	Total "Yes" responses	Score
							0
							0

Total 1BR Units	Total Units larger than 2BR	-	Total beds for HH w/ children

#### **HMIS Scoring:**

2 pts. for each Yes answer up to 10 pts.

\*Does the Project Application/Description adequately address the following? = Yes

HMIS Project	HMIS	Training	Data Standards	Privacy and Security	Reporting	responses	Score
							0
							0

#### **CE Scoring:**

2 pts. for each Yes answer up to 10 pts.

\*Does the Project Application/Description adequately address the following? = Yes

CE Project	CE	Access	Assessment	Prioritization	Referral	Total "Yes" responses	Score
							0
							0

### **New Permanent Housing Projects**

### **Increase Housing Stability - Housing First/ Low Barrier**

Note: Calculations based on GY 2023-2024 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

### **PSH/RRH Housing First Scoring:**

Yes = 5 pts.

No = 0 pts.

Permanent Housing Projects	Project will quickly and successfully connect clients to PH	Project has no barriers to program entry (based on low barrier scores below)	Project has no	supportive services to maximize housing stability and prevent returns to homelessness	Total "Yes" responses	Score
						0
						0

### PSH/RRH Low Barrier Project Entry Scoring:

Yes = 2.5 pts.

No = 0 pts.

Permanent Housing Projects	Entry not denied for too little or no income	Entry not denied for active or history or substance abuse	Entry not denied for criminal record with exception for state- mandated restrictions	Entry not denied for fleeing domestic violence	Total "Yes" responses	Score
						0
		•				0

### **PSH/RRH Low Barrier Project Termination Scoring:**

Yes = 2.5 pts.

No = 0 pts.

Clients not terminated for not making progress on a service plan	clients not terminated for loss of income or failure to increase income	Clients not terminated for being a victim of domestic violence	Clients not terminated for any other activity no covered in a typical lease agreement	Total "Yes" responses	Score
					0
					0

# IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2022 New Applicants Performance Charts New Permanent Housing Projects

**Performance Measures** 

Note: Calculations based on GY 2023-2024 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

Performance Measures Scoring:	
3  Yes = 5  pts.	
2  Yes = 2.5  pts.	
0  or  1  Yes = 0  pts.	

Permanent Housing Project	80% or more obtain and remain in PH project or exit to other PH destination	 15% or more (PSH)/ 50% or more (RRH) are employed	Score

# IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2022 New Applicants Performance Charts New Permanent Housing Projects

**Supportive Services - Type, Provided by** 

Note: Calculations based on GY 2023-2024 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

#### **Supportive Services Scoring:**

1 pt. per service up to 5 points for SS

provided by app	licant or applicant referral			
Project	Supportive Service	Provided by Applicant	Applicant Referral	Score
	Assessment of Service Needs			
	Assistance with Moving Costs			
	Case Management			
	Child Care			
	Education Services			
	Employment Assistance/Job Training			
	Food			
	Housing Search/Counseling Services			
	Legal Services			
	Life Skills Training			
	Mental Health Services			
	Outpatient Health Serivces			
	Outreach Services			
	Substance Abuse Treatment Services			
	Transportation			
	Utility Deposits			
	Assessment of Service Needs			
	Assistance with Moving Costs			
	Case Management			
	Child Care			
	Education Services			
	Employment Assistance/Job Training			
	Food			
	Housing Search/Counseling Services			
	Legal Services			
	Life Skills Training			
	Mental Health Services			
	Outpatient Health Serivces			
	Outreach Services			
	Substance Abuse Treatment Services			
	Transportation			
	Utility Deposits			

**All New Project Types** 

Financial Management, Cost Per Client, Program/Shelter Capacity

Note: Calculations based on GY 2023-2024 Application

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your application and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

### **Budget Categories/Percentages - PH-PSH, PH-RRH Projects**

Leasing/Rental Assistance -  $\geq 75\% = 2.5$  pts.

Operations -  $\leq 10\% = 2.5$  pts.

Supportive Services -  $\leq 25\% = 2.5$  pts.

Admin -  $\leq 7\% = 2.5$  pts.

Applicant/Project	Total Budget	Total Leasing/ Rental Assistance	% of Total Budget	Total Operations		Total Supportive Services	% of Total Budget	Admin	% of Total Budget	Score
			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	

### **Budget Categories/Percentages - HMIS Projects**

HMIS -  $\geq$ 93% = 5 pts.

Admin -  $\leq 7\% = 5$  pts.

Aumin - $27/0 - 3$ pts.						<u>.                                      </u>	
Applicant/Project	Total Budget	Total HMIS	% of Total Budget	Admin	% of Total Budget		Score
			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		
			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		

## **Budget Categories/Percentages - CE Projects**

Supportive Services -  $\geq 93\% = 5$  pts.

Admin -  $\leq 7\% = 5$  pts.

% of Total Budget A	Total Supportive Services	Total Budget	Applicant/Project
dmin Budget #DIV/0!			

### Note: Calculations based on FY 2021 application

Shelter Capacity - not scored

Applicant/Project	Total # of Clients to be served	Total # Singles/Couples to be served	Households w/ Children to be served	# of beds available	# of 1BR units available	# of 2BR units available	# units >2BR available
	0	0		0			

## **Application Submission Scoring**

Application Complete and Submitted by 5:00 p.m., Wednesday, August 31, 2022 = 5 pts.

Application Incomplete or Late = 0 pts.; application rejected by SCEH

	Applicant/Project	Application submitted in eSnaps by 08/31/2022	Addl. Materials submitted to SCEH by 08/31/2022	Score
I				

# **All Projects**

# **Project Scoring Summary**

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts.)	Heartland Counseling - PSH	Center For Siouxland - Bridges West TH	
Housing Stability (5 pts.)			
Earned Income (5 pts.)			
Other Cash Income Source (5 pts.)			
Gained or Increased Income (5 pts.)			
Housing First Focus (5 pts.)			
Data Quality/Completeness (5 pts.)			
Data Entry Timeliness (5 pts.)			
Quarterly Report Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)			
Program Management/Spending (5 pts.)			
Application/Report Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)			

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (50 pts.)
TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE

0	0	
0.00	0.00	

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts.)	City of Sioux City - HMIS
Training (10 pts.)	
Data Collection (10 pts.)	
Reporting (10 pts.)	
360 Agency Review (5 pts.)	
Quarterly Report Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)	
Program Management/Spending (5 pts.)	
Application/Report Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)	

# TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (50 pts.) TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE

0
0.00

Performance/Scoring Measure (Max. Pts.)	City of Sioux City - Coordinated Entry
Access and Assessment (15 pts.)	
Prioritization and Referral (15 pt.s)	
360 Agency Review (5 pts.)	
Quarterly Report Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)	
Program Management/Spending (5 pts.)	
Application/Report Submission Timeliness (5 pts.)	

TOTAL COMBINED SCORE (50 pts.)	C
TOTAL COMBINED SCORE - PERCENTAGE	0.00

## **Transitional and Permanent Housing Projects**

**Increase Housing Stability.** (Goal: 80%+ remaining in PH or exiting to PH)

Note: Calculations based on most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data

For all performance charts, please review your most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

PH - PSH/RRH Scoring:

(maintain/exit to PH)

80% or higher = 3 pts.

65% - 79% = 2 pts.

less than 65% = 0 pts.

PH - PSH/RRH Scoring:

(Avg. Length of Time to Housing)

90 days or less = 2 pts.

91-120 days = 1 pt.

more than 120 days = 0 pts.

Tobb William OF 10 O Prov		111010	1 = 0 -	••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-2.		
			Other	Total			
Dormanant Hausing Projects	Total	Stavens	PH (Q23A, 23B)	stayers and PH	Percentage of participants who either remained in PH	Avg. length of time to	
Permanent Housing Projects	participants	Stayers	236)	exits	or exited to PH.	housing	Score
Heartland Counseling - PSH					#DIV/0!		
Totals	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!		

### **TH Scoring**

80% or higher = 5 pts.

65% - 79% = 3 pts.

less than 65% = 0 pts.

Transitional Housing Projects	Total participants	Exits to PH (Q23A, Total Percentage of participants Its Stayers 23B) leavers who exited to PH.		Score		
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West					#DIV/0!	
Totals	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	

## **All Projects (Excluding HMIS)**

**Sources of cash income.** (PSH Goals: 15%+ obtain employment/earned income; 50%+ obtain other cash income;

RRH/TH Goals: 50%+ obtain employment/earned income; 30%+ obtain other cash income)

Note: Calculations based on most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data For all performance charts, please review your most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

Earned Income Scoring: PSH Projects	Carn	ed In	come S	Scori	ng: P	SH	Proi	ects
-------------------------------------	------	-------	--------	-------	-------	----	------	------

15% or higher = 5 pts.

10% - 14% - 3 pts.

less than 10% = 0 pts.

### **Other Cash Income Scoring: PSH Projects**

50% or higher = 5 pts.

30% - 49% = 3 pts.

less than 30% = 0 pts.

	Pest		TOBE CITALITY	е о , о - о - р .			
Project	Cash Income Sources	# of Adult Leavers w/ income source (18)	Total Adult Leavers (5a)	% of Adults w/ earned income	% of		% of Adults w/ no cash income
Heartland	Earned Income			#DIV/0!			
Counseling - Other Cash Income Sources:	Other Cash Income Sources:				#DIV/0!		
	Both Earned and Other Income Sources:					#DIV/0!	
	No Cash Income Sources:						#DIV/0!

### **Earned Income Scoring: RRH/TH Projects**

50% or higher = 5 pts.

30% - 49% = 3 pts.less than 30% = 0 pts.

### Other Cash Income Scoring: RRH/TH Projects

30% or higher = 5 pts.

10% - 29% = 3 pts.

less than 10% = 0 pts.

Project	Cash Income Sources	# of Adult Leavers w/ income source (18)	Total Adult Leavers (5a)	% of Adults w/ earned income	% of Adults w/ other cash income		% of Adults w/ no cash income
CES Bridges	Earned Income			#DIV/0!			
West	Other Cash Income Sources:				#DIV/0!		
	Both Earned and Other Income Sources:					#DIV/0!	

Score

No Cash Income Sources:			#DIV/0!	

**All Projects (Excluding HMIS)** 

Obtain or increase project participants income (from employment and from other sources).

(Goal: PSH/RRH/TH - 40%+ gain or increase income from employment and other sources)

Note: Calculations based on most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data

For all performance charts, please review your most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

### **Gained or Increased Income Scoring:**

40% or higher = 5 pts.

20% - 39% - 3 pts.

less than 20% = 0 pts.

Applicant/Project	Total Adult Leavers (5a6)	Adult Leavers who maintained income (19a2, row 6, column 4)	Percentage of Total Adults who maintained income (C/B)	Adult Leavers who gained or increased income (19a2; row 6, columns 5+6)	Percentage of Adult Leavers who gained or increased income (E/B)	Score
Heartland Counseling - PSH			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West			#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	

0	0	#DI	V/0!	0	#DIV/0!

# IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2022 Renewal Applicants Performance Charts All Projects (Excluding HMIS)

Data Quality, Timeliness (Goals: <5% null/missing data in all categories;

100% of entry/exit data entered in <6 days)

Note: Calculations based on most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data For all performance charts, please review your most recent SCEH Quarterly Reporting Data and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

Data Quality/Completeness Scoring:	Data Entry Timeliness Scoring:
95-100% complete in all categories = 5 pts.	100% in = 6 days = 5 pts.</th
85-94% complete in any category = 3 pts.	95-99% in $ days = 3 pts.$
less than 85% complete in any category = 0 pts.	less than 95% in $\leq$ 6 days = 0 pts.

Project	Data Element	% of Error Rate		Score
	Name (6a)			
	SSN (6a)			
	Date of Birth (6a)			
	Race (6a)			
	Ethnicity (6a)			
	Gender (6a)			
	Veteran Status (6b)			
	Relationship to HoH (6b)			
	Client Location (6b)			
Heartland - PSH	Disabling Condition (6b))			
	Destination (Exit) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Entry) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Annual Assess) (6c)			
	Income and Sources (Exit) (6c)			
	of Times/# of Months Homeless in Past 3 years)			
	Domestic Violence (14a)			
	Fleeing Domestic Violence (14b)			

1	Residence Prior to Project Entry (15)				
	Non-Cash Benefit Received (20b)				
	Covered by Health Insurance (21)				
	Data Quality/Completeness Score				
Project	Data Entry Timeliness	Total # of Entry/Exit Records	# of Records entered in <6 days	% entered in <6 days	Score
Heartland - PSH	Data Entry Timeliness			#DIV/0!	
		% of Error			
Project	Data Element	Rate			Score
	Name (6a)				
	SSN (6a)				
	Date of Birth (6a)				
	Race (6a)				
	Ethnicity (6a)				
	Gender (6a)				
	Veteran Status (6b)				
	Relationship to HoH (6b)				
	Client Location (6b)				
	Disabling Condition (6b))				
CFS - Bridges	Destination (Exit) (6c)				
West	Income and Sources (Entry) (6c)				
	Income and Sources (Annual Assess) (6c)				
	Income and Sources (Exit) (6c)				
	Chronic Homelessness (Approx Date Started, #				
	of Times/# of Months Homeless in Past 3 years)				
	(6d)				
	Domestic Violence (14a)				
	Fleeing Domestic Violence (14b)				
	Residence Prior to Project Entry (15)				

Tab 4 - 3A Obj 4 - All

	Non-Cash Benefit Received (20b)				
	Covered by Health Insurance (21)				
	Data Quality/Completeness Score				
		Entry/Exit	# of Records entered in <6		
Project	Data Entry Timeliness	Records	days	days	
CFS - Bridges					
West	Data Entry Timeliness			#DIV/0!	

Availability of CoC-level data

Training (1		Score:
	Points to consider when scoring:	
	Types of training provided	Scorer's Comments:
	Avg. # of hours spent providing training	
	Adequacy of training provided	
	Responsiveness to agencies	
	Barriers to training	
	Opportunities for the future	
Data Calla	ation (10 mts.)	Coores
Data Collec	ction (10 pts.)	Score:
	Points to consider when scoring:	In the second se
	Efforts to improve data collection/reporting	Scorer's Comments:
	Efforts to engage non-funded agencies	
	Efforts to improve SPM's	
	Bed Coverage % in HMIS  Efforts to increase bed coverage	
	Bariers to data collection	
	Opportunities for the future	
	Opportunities for the future	
Reporting (	(10 pts.)	Score:
	Points to consider when scoring:	
	Meeting HUD required data elements	Scorer's Comments:

Barriers to reporting Opportunites for the future	
360 Agency Review (5 pts.)  Points to consider when scoring:	Score:
Types of training available/received Adequacy of training Responsiveness of staff Overall experience	Scorer's Comments:

# IA-500 SCEH CoC FY 2022 Renewal Applicants Performance Coordinated Entry

Access and Assessment (2	15 ı	pts.)
--------------------------	------	-------

### Points to consider when scoring:

Accessibility of CE - advertising/promotion, walk-in hours, phone assistance, scheduled appts., etc.

Timeliness of CE Assessments # of CE Assessments done weekly Barriers to Access and Assessment Opportunities for the future

### Prioritization and Referral (15 pts.)

#### Points to consider when scoring:

Adequacy of prioritization model - VI-SPDAT score, tiebreakers # of referrals made/accepted Efforts to increase CE participation - nonfunded agencies, PHA's, landlords, etc. Efforts to reduce avg. length of time homeless/ on the CE prioritization list Efforts to decrease # of 1st time homeless through prevention/diversion Barriers to Prioritization and Referral Opportunities for the future

### 360 Agency Review (5 pts.)

**Points to consider when scoring:**# of referrals made vs. # of successful placements
Responsiveness of staff

Score:	
--------	--

### Scorer's Comments:

#### Score:

### Scorer's Comments:

Score:	
ocore.	

#### Scorer's Comments:

Overall experience	

## **All Projects**

Financial Management, Program Capacity/Shelter Utilization, Housing First, Quarterly Reporting, Application Submission

(Goals: 100% funds expended; Housing First focus)

Note: Financial Calculations based on Most Recently Completed Grant Year/APR Report

For all performance charts, please review all numbers from your APR and email susan.mcguire@centerforsiouxland.org if corrections are needed.

### **Recaputred Funds Scoring**

100% expended = 5 pts.

1% - 5% recaptured = 2 pts.

6% - 10% recaptured = -2 pts.

>10% recaptured = -5 pts.

Applicant/Project	Total Budget	Total Funds Expended	% of Funds Expended	Score
Heartland Counseling - PSH			#DIV/0!	
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West TH			#DIV/0!	
Sioux City - HMIS			#DIV/0!	
Sioux City - Coordinated Entry			#DIV/0!	

### **Shelter Capacity/Utilization Scoring**

Not Scored

### **Housing First Focus**

Yes = 5 pts.

No = 0 pts.

Applicant/Project	# of Beds/Units available per 2022 HIC	# Beds/Units occupied as of 12/31/2021	# Beds/Units occupied as of 3/31/2022	# Beds/Units occupied as of 6/30/2022	# Beds/Units occupied as of 9/30/2022	Housing First - Yes/No	Score
Heartland Counseling - PSH					N/A		
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West TH					N/A		
Sioux City - HMIS	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sioux City - Coordinated Entry	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

## SCEH Quarterly Report/Response Submission Scoring

Reports/Responses - complete and on time, every quarter = 5 pts.

Reports/Responses - complete and on time, 2+ quarters = 3 pts.

Reports/Responses - incomplete and/or late, 3+ quarters = 0 pts.

Applicant/Project	1st Quarter - complete, on time	2nd Quarter - complete, on time	3rd Quarter - complete, on time	4th Quarter - complete, on time	Score
Heartland Counseling - PSH				N/A	
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West TH				N/A	
Sioux City - HMIS				N/A	
Sioux City - CE				N/A	

### **Application/Report Submission Scoring**

Application and Materials Complete and submitted by 5:00 p.m., Wednesday, August 31, 2022 = 5 pts.

Application and/or Materials Incomplete or Late = 0 pts.; application rejected by SCEH

Applicant/Project	Application submitted in eSnaps by 8/31/22	Addl. Materials submitted to SCEH by 8/31/22	HUD Correspondense, if applicable	Score
Heartland Counseling - PSH				
SafePlace - RRH				
Center For Siouxland - Bridges West TH				
Sioux City - HMIS				
Sioux City - Coordinated Entry				