

THE 'ABRAHAM' ACCORDS



Compiled by Abū Muammad Yahyā

THE ‘ABRAHAM’ ACCORDS

Compiled by Abū Muhammad Yaḥyā



SALAF
THE SAVED PATH

© Copyright Salaf Book Publishing.

Published Online for Free Distribution — No Commercial Use Permitted

Note: This document is an official publication of **Salaf.ca**, prepared and formatted exclusively for **free educational and religious dissemination**. This book has been produced for the purpose of spreading beneficial Islamic knowledge and making it accessible to the widest possible audience without financial barriers.

Salaf.ca hereby grants permission for this document to be shared, distributed, printed, photocopied, stored electronically, or reproduced **in its original form and without alterations**, provided that the following conditions are strictly adhered to:

- The content must be shared **for educational, da‘wah, and religious purposes only**, without any financial compensation, charge, or commercial exploitation.
- It is **strictly prohibited** to sell, trade, or use this material for profit or to bundle it within paid services, courses, or products.
- The document must remain **unaltered**, and all credits to **Salaf.ca** and the original compiler/author must be preserved in full.
- Permission is **required in writing** from **Salaf.ca** if any part of this document is to be modified, translated, abridged, or incorporated into other works, websites, or media publications.
- When quoting or referencing this document, **clear credit must be given to Salaf.ca and the original author**, along with the title and edition information.
- This book is intended **strictly for free educational purposes, da‘wah, and personal study**, with the sole intention of seeking the pleasure of Allah and spreading His religion based on authentic sources and the understanding of the Salaf as-Salih.
- Any misuse, misrepresentation, or unauthorized commercial exploitation will be considered a breach of Islamic trust and intellectual rights, and appropriate action will be taken.

For more publications, permissions, or inquiries, please visit: www.salaf.ca

Author's Preface

All praise is due to Allah alone, Lord of all that exists. I begin this humble work with the sincere intention to seek His pleasure alone, to serve His Book and the guidance of His Messenger ﷺ, to teach myself and my fellow believers, and to deepen my own understanding of His Revelation. I remind myself, before anyone else, that this writing is not for status, fame, nor recognition — but solely to seek His Face, His acceptance, and His forgiveness.

This reminder is for my own heart before it is for others, and I ask Allah to purify my intention from any hidden desires or worldly attachments, and to make every word a testimony for me and not against me on the Day when no soul will benefit except by what Allah has accepted from them.

Du‘ā:

O Allah, I ask You by Your Beautiful Names and Perfect Attributes to make this work sincerely for Your sake, and to accept it from me even with its shortcomings and deficiencies. Make it a means for me and those who read it to draw nearer to You, to reflect deeply upon Your Words, and to act upon what is contained therein.

O Allah, grant us beneficial knowledge, hearts that are humbled before You, and actions that are pure and righteous. Do not make this knowledge a proof against us, but rather a light in our graves, a companion in our loneliness, and a witness for us on the Day we meet You. Ameen.

Table of Contents

1. Prophet Ibrahim عليه السلام: Legacy of Pure Monotheism & Unwavering Faith	6
2. The ‘Abraham’ Accords: A Political Initiative Far Removed from Abrahamic Values	8
3. The World Awakening to ‘Israel’s’ True Identity: Gaza and the Catalogue of Atrocities ...	9
4. The Misuse of Prophetic Names From “Abraham Accords” to ‘Israel’	13
5. The ‘Star of David’: Another Misappropriated Prophetic Name	19
6. Contrasting Ibrahim’s True Covenant vs. the Abraham Accords	23
i. Absolute Monotheism vs. Religious Compromise	
ii. Divine Principle vs. Political Expediency	
iii. Justice for the Oppressed vs. Endorsing the Oppressor	
iv. Covenant with Allah vs. Deal by a Disbeliever	
7. The Dangers of the Abraham Accords	26
i. Undermining Muslim Unity and The Palestinian Cause	
ii. Moral and Religious Erosion	
iii. Geopolitical Vulnerabilities and Backlash	
iv. Sanctity of Holy Sites and Covenant Integrity	
8. Conclusion: Upholding Ibrahim’s True Legacy	29



Prophet Ibrahim (عليه السلام) Legacy of Pure Monotheism and Unwavering Faith

Prophet Ibrahim (Abraham), upon him be peace, stands in Islamic tradition as a paragon (model) of tawhid (pure monotheism), sincerity, and in total and complete submission to Allah. Prophet Ibrahim (peace be upon him) *fulfilled* all the commandments and trials placed upon him by Allah (God), and due to this unwavering faith he was made “a leader to all the nations of the world”¹ The Quran extols Ibrahim (peace be upon him) as “a model, an exemplar, obedient and not an idolater”² in other words, an ideal example for all humanity. Islam even refers to itself as “the Religion of Abraham”, honoring him with the title Hanif (upright in true sincere faith and worship) and remembering him as Khalilullah, the intimate friend of Allah. Such praise in The Qur'an underscores that Ibrahim's faith, character, and covenant with Allah are the gold standard against which any claim to Abrahamic legacy must be measured.

One of the most iconic demonstrations of Ibrahim's steadfast faith was his refusal to compromise with falsehood, even under dire threat. The Qur'an recounts how Ibrahim (peace be upon him) confronted his idolatrous people and even smashed their idols to show that these lifeless and created statues had no power or ability to do a single thing. When challenged and persecuted for denouncing his society's false gods, Ibrahim (peace be upon him) did not waver at all. He was cast into a fire for his stance, yet Allah miraculously saved him, proclaiming “O fire, be coolness and safety upon Ibrahim”³

This miraculous rescue came only after Ibrahim (peace be upon him) had proven his willingness to sacrifice everything for the truth of Allah's perfect oneness and right to be worshipped alone in truth. Thus, from his youth Ibrahim (peace be upon him) exemplified bold adherence to truth over social conformity, openly declaring to his people: “We have rejected you, and there has appeared between us and you hostility

¹ “And [mention] when his Lord tested Ibrāhīm with commands and he fulfilled them. He said: ‘Indeed, I will make you a leader for mankind.’ [Ibrāhīm] said: ‘And from my descendants?’ He said: ‘My covenant does not reach the wrongdoers.’” Surah al-Baqarah 2:124

² “Indeed, Ibrāhīm was a model, devoutly obedient to Allah, inclining to truth, and he was not of the polytheists.” Surah al-Nahl 16:120

³ Surah Anbiya 21:69

and hatred forever until you believe in Allah alone”.⁴ In this Verse, Ibrahim (peace be upon him) and his followers “totally dissociate” from the idolaters’ way, refusing any fellowship with shirk (associating any partner with Allah e.g., idolatry) until the idolaters renounce it and believe in the One True God. Such was Ibrahim’s absolute loyalty to Allah (*wala*) and disavowal of shirk (*bara*) – he would not even appear to tolerate worship of other than Allah. This uncompromising stance is a core part of Ibrahim’s legacy and inheritance, showing that truth is not to be diluted, furthermore for the sake of false unity.

Prophet Ibrahim’s (peace be upon him) unwavering loyalty to Allah did not end with rejecting his people’s idolatry — it reached its peak in the supreme test of sacrificing his beloved son Isma’il (peace be upon him). When Allah commanded this unimaginable trial, Ibrahim did not hesitate nor waver His heart was already surrendered to Allah alone, and so he prepared to offer the life of the child he had long prayed for.

Even more remarkable, Isma’il (peace be upon him), raised upon truth and sincerity, responded with the same purity of Islam, saying in effect: “Do as you are commanded; you will find me patient, if Allah wills.” Their actions were the real embodiment of submission — not words, not claims, but absolute surrender of one’s deepest attachments for the sake of Allah’s command. And just as Allah made the fire coolness and safety for Ibrahim, He replaced the sacrifice with a ram, honoring both father and son for their flawless obedience.

This event, commemorated every year on Eid al-Adhā, stands as a timeless reminder that the Covenant of Ibrahim is founded upon uncompromising tawhid, complete trust, and a willingness to give up everything — even what is most precious — for Allah’s perfect guidance.

The Quran highlights how Ibrahim (peace be upon him) “was always grateful for Allah’s favors”,⁵ and so Allah “chose him and guided him to a straight path”⁶ In other words, because Ibrahim (peace be upon him) proved that nothing – not even his most precious worldly attachment – would come before his duty to Allah, he became a guiding light for all humanity. His covenant with Allah, which included maintaining pure worship, building the Ka’bah in Makkah as the first house of monotheistic worship, and instructing his family to uphold true faith, was honored by Allah and made a source of blessing for all nations.

⁴ Surah al-Mumtahanah 60:4

⁵ al-Nahl 16:121 – This is immediately mentioned after the verse describing him as a model, devoutly obedient, pure (*hanif*), and not among the polytheists.

⁶ Surah al-Nahl 16:121

To this day, Muslims regard Prophet Ibrahim (peace be upon him) as a model of devout obedience, truthfulness, and steadfastness – an uswah hasanah (excellent example) to emulate in faith, character and morals. Any initiative invoking his name would therefore need to align with those core values of monotheism, justice, and loyalty to the truth and the covenant with Allah that Ibrahim (peace be upon him) embodied.

The “Abraham Accords” A Political Initiative Far Removed from Abrahamic Values

In stark opposition to the above stands the modern “Abraham Accords,” a series of political agreements brokered in 2020 by then-U.S. President Donald Trump – a self-professed dealmaker with no real understanding of Prophet Ibrahim’s (peace be upon him) path, teachings and legacy. The accords were named after Prophet Ibrahim (peace be upon him) on the premise that he is a common ancestor of Muslims, Jews, and Christians, supposedly symbolizing a new era of union between these peoples.

In practice, however, the Abraham Accords were not guided by Ibrahim’s (peace be upon him) devotion to God, principles or justice, but by a personal geopolitical convenience. The accords normalized diplomatic and economic relations between the State of Israel and several Arab/Muslim-majority countries – initially the United Arab Emirates and Bahrain, later joined by Morocco (and even Sudan and others in limited ways). The primary focus of the accords was getting Muslim governments to recognize and befriend Israel, forming a U.S.-backed regional bloc – not to suddenly foster genuine piety or adherence to Ibrahim’s (peace be upon him) religion or way.

Tellingly, one major motivator (as noted by observers) was to “strengthen the American bloc” against rising powers like China, by enlisting Arab states alongside Israel. In short, this was a clever strategic realignment, packaged with a lofty name. Attaching the venerable name of Ibrahim to these accords was largely a public relations move – a way to lend a sense of historical and moral legitimacy to what were, at heart, political deals driven by worldly desires and interests.

The ceremony on Sept. 15, 2020 marked the normalization of relations between Israel and several Arab states – a deal orchestrated by a non-Muslim leader far removed from Prophet Ibrahim’s faith. Despite the ‘Abraham Accords’ outward talk of “peace,” “coexistence,” and “mutual understanding,” none of these stated goals reflected the reality, specifically on the ground. The accords were not built upon knowledge, justice, moral integrity, or honoring the oppressed (just to name a few) — the very foundations of Prophet Ibrāhīm’s message. Instead, the state of “Israel” sought the accords purely for its own strategic, political, military, and economic gain, using the noble name of Ibrahim (peace be upon him) only as a façade.

The World Awakening to “Israel’s” True Identity: Gaza and the Catalogue of Atrocities

Soon, were the world to truly realize the reality of the modern “Israeli” state – especially through what has unfolded in Gaza and across other Muslim lands – the contrast between the noble name “Abraham” and the crimes committed after the banner was raised of the so-called “Abraham Accords” would become undeniable. Since October 2023 in particular, a vast and growing body of independent documentation, UN findings, human-rights investigations, international legal assessments, and the moral outcry of the overwhelming majority of humanity have exposed a consistent pattern of systematic atrocities inflicted upon the Palestinian people.

In Gaza alone:

- Mass killing of civilians at an unprecedented scale: Israel’s assault following 7 October 2023 has killed over 70,000 Muslims/Palestinians and injured more than 200,000 (at the time of this writing) with the majority being women and children, according to UN and media-cited figures from Gaza’s Health Ministry, which independent experts regard as broadly reliable.
- Destruction of entire neighborhoods and civilian infrastructure: UN and media assessments describe Gaza reduced to rubble, with millions of tons of debris, widespread destruction of homes, businesses, roads, universities, schools, libraries, mosques, and cultural sites – on a scale UN experts have called unprecedented since World War II.
- Repeated, documented strikes on civilian objects: UN and human rights reports detail systematic attacks on hospitals, ambulances, UN shelters, schools, refugee camps, residential towers, and convoys, many with no evident immediate military objective, which they assess as intentional or indiscriminate attacks on civilians and humanitarian personnel that amount to war crimes.
- Starvation as a method of warfare: The UN Special Rapporteur on the Right to Food and Amnesty International have both concluded that Israel used starvation of civilians as a weapon of war in Gaza, through siege, denial of aid, destruction of agricultural land, bakeries, and water infrastructure, deliberately imposing conditions of life calculated to bring about the physical destruction of the population – genocide.
- Forced displacement and consistent ethnic cleansing patterns: Successive evacuation orders, bombardment of declared “safe zones,” and destruction

of entire districts have displaced the overwhelming majority of Gaza's population, often multiple times, pushing people into ever-smaller areas with no adequate shelter, food, or medical care. UN bodies describe this as "a human-made abyss".

- Crimes against humanity and acts of genocide: Detailed legal analyses by UN special committees, international law experts, and major human rights organizations (such as Human Rights Watch) have concluded that Israeli authorities are responsible for the crime against humanity of extermination and for acts of genocide in Gaza

Nor are these atrocities limited to Gaza. In the occupied West Bank and in Lebanon, Israeli forces have continued patterns of unlawful killings, settlement expansion, home demolitions, and strikes that kill civilians, including children persistently. They continue to expand their crimes now in Syria.

Taken together, this constitutes a long and growing catalogue of atrocities: mass killing, collective punishment, starvation, systematic destruction of civilian life, and contempt for international legal restraints. When the world fully connects this reality to the branding of "Abraham Accords" – deals meant to integrate this state into the region and shield it from accountability – it will see how grotesque and outrageous it is to cloak such actions in the name of Prophet Ibrahim (peace be upon him). Rather than embodying the justice, mercy, and God-consciousness of Ibrahim's path, including that of his children and grandchildren e.g., Israel (Jacob) himself (peace be upon all of them) – these policies display the very oppression, tyranny, and bloodshed that Ibrahim and his noble family were sent to oppose.





This newly invented “Abraham Accords” was not born from revelation, wisdom, or covenantal truth. It was conceived from the desires and political ambitions of an ignoramus—Donald Trump—completely cut off from divine guidance, prophetic knowledge, or any understanding of Allah’s law. In reality, the life of Prophet Ibrahim (peace be upon him) teaches that true peace only emerges when justice is upheld, oppression is rejected, and worship is directed to Allah alone in truth. Any path that abandons these foundations is not peace, but fitnah, deception, and inversion of his message and way.

By contrast, the Abraham Accords demanded that Muslim nations overlook oppression, whitewash decades of killings, ethnic cleansing, and every atrocity imaginable—including the ongoing dispossession, displacement, siege, dehumanization, and systematic uprooting of the Palestinians from their land, homes, heritage, and basic human dignity. In doing so, the accords implicitly required nations to abandon the Qur’ānic principles of justice, solidarity, loyalty to the oppressed, standing firmly with the wronged, upholding truth in the face of tyranny, and refusing to assist or legitimize the oppressor in any way. The accords sought to pressure Muslims into accepting a political order engineered entirely for Israel’s advantage, while forcing silence upon the very crimes, violations, and injustices that the Ummah is commanded by revelation to resist and never support.

Most critically, this entire project was engineered under the direction of a non-Muslim political figure—Donald Trump—who neither understands nor believes in the religion of Ibrahim (peace be upon him), nor comprehends the covenant Allah established with Ibrāhīm and how the Jews repeatedly breached it through disobedience, injustice, and turning away from revelation. He carries no sincerity toward divine principles and no reverence for the sacred trust of Ibrahim’s legacy. His aim was not to call people to the path of Ibrahim, for he is utterly ignorant of it; nor was it to defend truth, uphold justice, or honor a prophetic covenant. His objective was simply to secure a personal foreign-policy trophy, legitimize “Israel,” strengthen a geopolitical alliance, and brand a political achievement under a sacred name whose meaning and weight he neither knew nor respected.

Using the name of Prophet Ibrāhīm (peace be upon him) for such a worldly, self-serving, and morally bankrupt agenda is not merely ironic—it is profoundly deceptive, misguided, and an act of grave distortion and evil. To attach the purest legacy of tawhid to a project built on political opportunism and the legitimization of oppression is a betrayal of Ibrahim’s (peace be upon him) covenant and message. The Abraham Accords represent betrayal—normalization of Israel at the expense of Muslim rights. Thus, the very use of Ibrāhīm’s (peace be upon him) name for this venture does not honor his legacy; it violates it, contradicts it, and stands in direct opposition to everything he lived, taught, and sacrificed for.

Far from representing faith, truth, or unity, these accords exposed a dangerous hypocrisy: sacred language deployed to sanctify injustice, prophetic identity used as a veneer to legitimize oppression, and the pure legacy of Ibrahim (peace be upon him) twisted to serve agendas that stand in absolute opposition to everything he lived, preached, and called humanity toward. Instead of embodying his covenant of tawhid, justice, sincerity, and moral courage, the “Abraham Accords” exploited his name to mask a political arrangement rooted in inequality, aggression, and the silencing of the oppressed.

The Misuse of Prophetic Names From “Abraham Accords” to “Israel”

This is not the first time that a noble prophetic name has been taken and attached to a “project and agenda” that contradicts its bearer. The very name “Israel” itself is a reference – in Islamic and biblical tradition – to Prophet Ya‘qub (Jacob), the grandson of Prophet Ibrahim through his son Ishaq (Isaac), and thus the nephew of Prophet Ismail (Ishmael), peace be upon all of them.

Ya‘qub, the son of Ishaq, the son of Ibrahim is recognized as a prophet who preached the same pure monotheism (to single out Allah alone in worship) as his forefathers.

“Israel” is an honorific name given to Prophet Ya‘qub (peace be upon him), with meanings such as “servant of Allah” or “one devoted to Allah.” The Qur'an refers to him both as Ya‘qub and as “Israel,” and when Allah speaks of “Banu Israil” (the Children of Israel), it refers to his descendants, whose original covenant was to uphold tawhid, obey revelation, and establish justice on earth – not to seize land by force, corrupt the earth, or shed innocent blood.

Modern political zionism, however, seized the prophetic name “Israel”, and repurposed, reengineered and repositioned it into an ideological tool to ‘legitimize’ a nation-state, established in 1948 through colonization, mass displacement, ethnic cleansing, and the ongoing dispossession of the indigenous Palestinian people.

Furthermore and importantly, numerous orthodox rabbis and Jewish scholars — such as Rabbi Yaakov Shapiro — have long argued that Zionism is not the continuation of classical Judaism, but an entirely new political ideology that has replaced religious identity with nationalism. They describe this as a form of “identity theft.” This newly constructed identity propels the orthodox identity much further in obstinacy and rebellion, which stands in clear and open contradiction. Instead of returning back to Allah and upholding the covenant, their innovations, desires and manipulations transformed orthodoxy into a new, zionist identity – a reality that goes beyond all bounds and stands in direct contradiction to the covenant they were

commanded to uphold: establishing Tawhid, justice, obedience to Allah, and spreading righteousness throughout the land. In truth, what has unfolded is the complete opposite of that divine covenant.

Allah said: “So for their breaking of their covenant, We cursed them.” This divine statement refers specifically to those among the Children of Israel who violated the covenant revealed to them — the people of scripture addressed in the Qur'an — as for the modern secular ideology known as zionism that arose many centuries later — this only amplifies the opposition and rebellion much further, far beyond anything related to the original covenant the Jews were supposed to uphold in the first place.

Furthermore, zionist thinkers—both Jewish and Christian—invoked a selectively constructed, distorted, and personally interpreted “biblical promise” to justify establishing a secular Jewish state. Yet every practicing, traditionally observant Jew who studies the Torah knows that classical Judaism explicitly forbids the creation of a Jewish homeland by human hands before divine permission, and absolutely rejects any attempt to claim and establish sovereignty through political force, military conquest, or nationalist ambition. This makes modern Zionism not a fulfillment of scripture, but a direct violation of it—achieved only through sustained violence, coercion, and the abandonment of the very religious principles it claims to represent.

Likewise, the Abraham Accords follow a remarkably similar pattern: the prophetic name “Abraham” is repurposed, emptied of its sacred meaning, and then reattached to a modern geopolitical project, invented by one man; Donald Trump—that has absolutely nothing to do with the covenant of Ibrahim (peace be upon him) or his descendants—a covenant rooted in Tawhid, justice, submission to Allah, moral and societal (systems, laws, social conduct, public ethics, communal behaviour) purification. The same way the name “Israel” was politically reconstructed and projected onto a secular nation-state, the name “Abraham” is now deployed to sanctify political alliances, normalize oppression, and cloak worldly agendas in the language of prophecy. Do you see the pattern? This is why this is incredibly dangerous.

In traditional Judaism, especially among the orthodox communities faithful to the Torah, the Jews were explicitly forbidden to found a state, rebel against the nations, or retake the Holy Land by their own hands. This is why, until zionism arose in the late 19th century, the overwhelming majority of religious Jews rejected the idea of a political Jewish state. Even today, large segments of orthodox Judaism openly oppose Zionism, claiming:

- Zionism contradicts the Torah and violates Jewish law
- It leads Jews into sin and rebellion
- It replaces submission to God with nationalism

- It uses a sacred prophetic identity as a political costume
- It actually makes Jews and their livelihood less safe.

These communities insist that Judaism is a religion, not a nationality, and that the Torah offers no mandate for an earthly kingdom before divine intervention. Their scholars repeatedly affirm that Zionism:

- Hijacked the identity of Prophet Ya‘qūb (Israel) for political power
- Falsified the meaning of the covenant
- Manipulated scripture to justify dispossession
- Consistently manipulate the people including their own
- Transformed a religious identity into a colonial project

Thus, the creation of the State of Israel in 1948 was not an expression Judaism — it was a secular nationalist movement, opposed by many rabbis at the time, supported mainly by atheistic or non-religious Jews in Europe, and later backed heavily by Christian zionists in America for their own eschatological (end-times) beliefs.

Today, practicing Jews who remain faithful to the Torah continue to state publicly that:

- The land was not granted to the Jews in this age
- They are in exile by God’s will
- They must not take the land by force
- They must live peacefully under the nations until God sends the Messiah⁷

This makes the Zionist project — and its invocation of Prophet Ya‘qub’s name (peace be upon him) — not only false but theologically fraudulent from the perspective of Judaism itself.

In other words, just as the “Abraham Accords” misused Prophet Ibrahim’s name (peace be upon him) to sanctify political agendas, zionism misused the name “Israel” and the sacred legacy of Prophet Ya‘qub (peace be upon him) to cloak an worldly nationalist project with the illusion and cover of divine legitimacy — a project that traditional Judaism never recognized and in many cases still condemns.

Yet when we compare the character and mission of Prophet Ya‘qub (peace be upon him) with the actions carried out under the flag that bears his name, the contrast is as stark as the contrast between Prophet Ibrahim (peace be upon him) and the “Abraham Accords.” Prophet Ya‘qub (peace be upon him) was a humble servant of Allah, a patient, God-fearing father whose story is filled with patience, repentance,

⁷ Both the Jews and the ‘zionist Jews’ are awaiting the false messiah.

and trust in Allah—especially in the ordeal of losing his son Yusuf, Ibrahim’s great-grandson (peace be upon them all). He did not teach racial supremacy, ethnic cleansing, or the right to commit oppression in the name of divine promise. His message, like the message of his forefather Ibrahim, revolved around tawhid, justice, sincerity, and submission to Allah alone.

The covenant of Ibrahim (peace be upon him) was not a covenant of blood, ethnicity, or tribal entitlement—it was a covenant of faith, obedience, and righteous action. Allah selected Ibrahim and his descendants because they upheld His commands, purified themselves from shirk, and lived by the standards of truth and righteousness.

When Ibrahim (peace be upon him) completed every divine test, Allah said: “And [mention] when his Lord tested Ibrahim with commands, and he fulfilled them. He said: ‘Indeed I will make you a leader for mankind.’ [Ibrahim] said: ‘And from my offspring?’ He said: ‘My covenant does not reach the wrongdoers.’”⁸

This decisive Ayah establishes a permanent principle: The covenant of Ibrahim (peace be upon him) is only for the righteous—not for the wrongdoers.

Thus, Allah chose from the descendants of Ibrahim and Ya‘qub only those who upheld the covenant of tawhid and justice. He praised them in many ayat:

These were the true inheritors of Ibrahim’s path. But when their later generations broke the covenant, abandoned revelation, concealed truth, committed injustice, and turned toward worldly goals, Allah condemned their actions clearly and repeatedly:

“So for their breaking of their covenant, We cursed them.”⁹

“...and for their killing of the prophets without right.”¹⁰

“They distort the words from their proper places.”¹¹

These Ayat show that being from the lineage of Ibrahim or Ya‘qub never guaranteed righteousness or being saved. Allah granted honor only to those who upheld the covenant, and He removed honor from those who betrayed it. This directly refutes the later zionist claim that mere ancestry to “Israel” (Ya‘qub) grants divine right to land, power, or supremacy.

Thus, the true heirs of Ibrahim and Ya‘qub (peace be upon them) are not those who carry their names—or claim their bloodline—while violating their teachings. Rather,

⁸ Surah al-Baqarah 2:124

⁹ Surah al-Mâ’idah 5:13

¹⁰ Surah al-Baqarah 2:61

¹¹ Surah al-Mâ’idah 5:41

as Allah says: “Indeed, the people with the strongest claim to Ibrahim are those who followed him—and this Prophet—and those who believe. And Allah is the Protector of the believers.”¹²

This Ayah utterly shatters the zionist narrative that ancestry alone grants ownership of Ibrahim’s (peace be upon him) legacy or divine right to land. Allah Himself defines who inherits Ibrahim—not by blood, tribe, geography, or political declaration, but by following his religion and upholding his covenant of pure tawhid. The verse establishes a criterion that excludes anyone who abandons the path of Ibrahim, regardless of lineage! Thus, those who break the covenant, alter revelation, commit oppression, and reject the final Messenger ﷺ can never be counted among the heirs of Ibrahim—even if they claim descent from Ya’qub (peace be upon them both). The rightful inheritors are “this Prophet” (Muhammad ﷺ) and “those who believe” with him.

This foundational principle dismantles, at its very root, the zionist assertion that the modern secular state calling itself “Israel” possesses divine legitimacy or Abrahamic continuity. Bloodline without belief is meaningless in Allah’s sight; covenantal betrayal nullifies any claim to sacred inheritance. Therefore, the true continuation of Ibrahim’s legacy exists only within the Ummah of Muhammad ﷺ.

In complete contrast, and in direct opposition to the covenant of Ibrahim (peace be upon him), the modern Zionist colonial project carried out under the name “Israel” has been defined by:

- **The mass dispossession and expulsion of the Palestinian people**, beginning in 1948 (al-Nakbah), expanding again in 1967 (al-Naksa), and continuing today through forced evictions, home demolitions, land seizures, and the ongoing denial of the right of return to millions of Muslims—directly violating justice, truth, and every principle of covenantal righteousness.
- **Systematic massacres, village burnings, and mass killings** carried out from the 1940s to the present—Deir Yasin, Tantura, Kafr Qasim, Sabra and Shatila, Jenin, Gaza, and countless others—demonstrating decades of bloodshed that stand in complete contradiction to any claim of inheriting Ibrahim’s legacy of mercy, truthfulness, and obedience to Allah.
- **A military occupation built on domination, humiliation, and apartheid**, including checkpoints, walls, segregated roads, closed military zones, and fully separate legal systems for Jewish settlers and non-Jewish

¹² Surah Al ‘Imrān 3:68

Palestinians—an architecture of oppression fundamentally incompatible with the covenant of Ibrahim (peace be upon him), who was “not among the wrongdoers.”

- **Relentless settlement expansion**—illegal under international law and immoral under every divine law—constructed by stealing land, uprooting olive groves, destroying farms, and creating a system designed to erase indigenous inhabitants while privileging a single ethnic group.
- **Repeated wars and large-scale assaults on Gaza, the West Bank, Lebanon, and elsewhere**, producing hundreds of thousands of civilian casualties throughout their occupation, including women, children, and the elderly, alongside the destruction of hospitals, schools, homes, places of worship, water systems, and basic infrastructure—actions utterly irreconcilable with the justice taught by Ibrāhīm and his family lineage of righteous Prophets (peace be upon all of them).
- **The dehumanization and collective punishment of an entire population**, including sieges, blockades, starvation policies, electricity cuts, denial of medical access, and the intentional targeting of civilian infrastructure—practices that violate not just human principles, but the very essence of Ibrahim’s call to uphold truth, compassion, and the sanctity of innocent life.
- **The manipulation of religious language to sanctify political crimes**, invoking “prophecy” and “divine promise” while building a secular nationalist state through violence—mirroring the very covenant-breaking that Allah condemned repeatedly in the Qur’ān. Rather, increase in defiance.
- **The alteration and weaponization of scripture**, where Zionist leaders and ideologues—both Jewish and Christian—reinterpret texts to justify conquest, domination, and racial supremacy, committing the very distortion described by Allah: “They distort the words from their proper places.”
- **The persecution and silencing of Jews who reject Zionism**, including Orthodox communities who remain faithful to traditional Torah teachings opposing the creation of a Jewish state—showing that Zionism is not the continuation of Ya‘qub’s path, but a rebellion against it.
- **The construction of a global propaganda system** designed to conceal atrocities, criminalize solidarity with the oppressed, suppress journalism, punish whistleblowers, and invert the reality of oppression—using

deception to defend what cannot be defended in the light of covenantal truth or divine justice.

To attach the pure prophetic name “Israel” – originally a title of a prophet who was a “servant of Allah” – to such a satanic state and its actions is a layer of deception and distortion that people firmly need to be aware of. First, the legacy of Prophet Ya‘qub (peace be upon him) is claimed by people who do not follow his creed of tawhid, his methodology, worship, justice or virtually anything; second, that prophetic legacy (which should’ve been adopted and submitted to) is then used to ‘sanctify’ policies that directly contradict and are in opposition to God’s laws the and the teachings, morals and values his Prophets (peace be upon them all) stood for.

Seen in this light, the “Abraham Accords” are part of the same pattern: a modern political order that appropriates the noble names of Prophets Ibrahim and Ya‘qub (peace be upon them) while betraying their message. Where those prophets called to worship Allah alone, uphold justice, and avoid oppression, these projects elevate nationalism, power, and military might against believers and above revelation – and then seek religious cover by using prophetic language to misguide the masses in order to execute their agenda, awaiting their false Messiah. Indeed, the deception has long been at work, unfolding gradually and deliberately across generations.

Exposing this misuse of names is essential to clarifying for Muslims and fair-minded non-Muslims alike that the path of Ibrahim (peace be upon him) and the covenant of the true Children of Israel have nothing to do with the opposition, injustice, and oppression committed today under those titles. For when falsehood is unmasked can the truth of Ibrahim’s (peace be upon him) legacy shine clearly—then the world can see that those who invoke his name today are the very ones who have strayed furthest from his covenant and true message.

The “Star of David” Another Misappropriated Prophetic Name

The so-called ‘Star of David’ (Hebrew: Magen David, meaning ‘Shield of David’) is used today as the defining emblem of the modern and fabricated state. Its adoption — like the misuse of prophetic names — represents the attachment of a fabricated political identity to a noble Prophet of Allah, an attachment built upon falsehood and never rooted in the revelation he (peace be upon him) came with.

There is no evidence that Prophet Dawud (David – peace be upon him) ever used this symbol. There is no scriptural evidence – not in the Torah, not in the Psalms, not in Christian texts, and certainly not in the Qur'an or Sunnah – he never used, drew, wore, or endorsed any six-pointed star.

In Islam, Prophet Dawud (peace be upon him) is known for:

- His pure Tawhid and unwavering obedience to Allah
- His wisdom, justice, and sound leadership over his people
- His noble Prophetic son: Sulaymān (peace be upon him), who inherited prophethood and kingdom by Allah's decree
- His beautiful recitation, with the mountains and birds commanded to echo with him
- His zuhd, humility, and repentance — constantly returning to Allah (awwāb)
- His courage and victory over Jalut, after which Allah granted him kingdom and wisdom
- His devotion in worship — especially his fasting, which the Prophet ﷺ called the best of fasting
- His knowledge of Shari'ah rulings granted by Allah, including his just judgments between people
- His craftsmanship — Allah taught him to soften iron and make armour with his own hands
- His balance between worship, ruling, and earning — a model for righteous leaders and judges

No symbol like the “Star of David” is linked to him in any authentic source. Thus, calling it “the Star of David” is historically unsupported and religiously fabricated.

Furthermore, the symbol is not originally Jewish nor prophetic; it appears in many ancient cultures.

Modern scholarship establishes:

- The six-pointed star appears in Hindu temples, Buddhist mandalas, pagan amulets, Phoenician carvings, and occult magic texts long before Judaism was formed as a religion.
- It was widely used in medieval magic, alchemy, talismans, and the occult.
- It appears in various non-Abrahamic ancient civilizations as a geometric or mystical pattern.

In medieval Europe, it became a Kabbalistic magical symbol, not a prophetic one.

It was not associated with the religion of the prophets of Bani Isra'il — including Ibrāhīm, Ishaq, Ya'qub, Musa, or Dawud nor his son and Prophet Sulayman who were entirely free from it (peace be upon them all).

The earliest Jewish use was medieval and mystical — not prophetic. The symbol began appearing among some Jewish communities in the Middle Ages, often in contexts of:

- Kabbalah (Jewish mysticism)
- Amulets, talismans, protective charms
- Magic-related manuscripts

Again, this is centuries after the time of Prophet Dawud (peace be upon him). It did not represent monotheism, revelation, or the prophetic covenant. Rather, it was used in mystical, satanic symbolism far removed and in opposition from any divine scripture.

The “Star of David” was not a Jewish religious symbol throughout most of Jewish history. Zionism and its satanic movement adopted the symbol only in the late 19th century. It became widely used only after political zionism adopted it in 1897 at the First Zionist Congress where Theodor Herzl and other Zionist thinkers selected it.

This was:

- A nationalist choice
- Not a prophetic legacy
- Not a revealed symbol
- Not connected to Dawud (peace be upon him) in scripture or religious law

When Britain issued the Balfour Declaration and when the state of Israel was founded in 1948, the symbol was placed at the center of the flag to project:

- A national identity
- A claim to prophetic lineage
- A sense of “historic continuity”

But this ‘continuity’ was invented, not authentic. Furthermore, with it’s roots in satanic magic texts and symbolism. It had nothing to do with Prophet Dawud (peace be upon him) or his Shari‘ah (law), his justice and governance, or his devotion to Allah. All are separate from this symbol.

The Prophets of Allah do not endorse symbolic nationalism. Prophets do not represent national flags, ethnic emblems, or political symbols. To attach a modern political symbol to a Prophet — especially one later tied to:

- Apartheid practices
- Settler colonialism
- Ethnic nationalism

- Oppressive military expansions.
- Aggressions and transgressions of every sort
- Oppression towards believers and Palestinians specifically
- Mass killings, murders and rapes

— is a deception of the same nature as misusing the names:

- Ibrahim (Abraham)
- Isra'il (Prophet Ya'qub)

Only to give a divine veneer to worldly objectives.

The pattern is clear:

Modern Zionism — both Jewish and Christian — repeatedly takes the names of righteous Prophets of Allah and attaches them to actions, symbols, and political ideologies that contradict the Prophets' true message. This pattern of misappropriation and fraud serves as yet another layer of the broader deception of Shaytan, paving the way for narratives and agendas that align with the expected emergence of the false Messiah, al-Masih al-Dajjal.

This applies to any name used:

- Prophet Ibrahim عليه السلام (misused by the “Abraham Accords”)
- Prophet Ya'qub عليه السلام (“Israel” – repurposed for nationalist claims)
- Prophet Dawud عليه السلام (his name and legacy distorted through modern symbols)

And even Prophet Isā عليه السلام is drawn into this pattern – with the completely opposite and distorted image and belief they have about him عليه السلام. Furthermore, preparation and public expectation for the appearance of their awaited figure — the false Messiah, al-Masih al-Dajjal.

None of these Prophets (peace be upon all of them) called to:

- Validating occupation
- Sanctioning injustice
- Allowing oppression and Permitting tyranny
- Endorsing symbols of nationalism
- Supporting false claims to land
- Harming innocents and justifying it

Thus, the “Star of David” is yet another fabricated attachment — a political and satanic emblem, not a prophetic one.

Contrasting Ibrahim's True Covenant vs. the Abraham Accords

It is difficult to imagine a greater contrast than that between Prophet Ibrahim's divinely guided covenant and the modern political accords that borrow his name. Below is contrast of key principles of Ibrahim's message with the realities of the "Abraham" Accords, to highlight how entirely opposite they are:

i. Absolute Monotheism vs. Religious Compromise:

Ibrahim (peace be upon him) devoted his life to pure worship of Allah alone, rejecting all forms of shirk; idolatry, mixing/sharing, associating partners and falsehood with Allah. He would not even outwardly tolerate his people's idols – declaring enmity until they turned to Allah alone in truth. And his covenant demanded exclusive faithfulness to the truth. The "Abraham" Accords, however, lean on a notion of an "Abrahamic family" of religions that effectively blurs and distorts truth while upholding and establishing falsehood!

Supporters of the accords promoted the term "Abrahamic family" to suggest Muslims, Jews, and Christians are "all members of the same family" – Ironically, nothing to do with Prophet Ibrahim or his family (peace be upon them all). Furthermore, with "no need for any of them" to view the others as wrong in creed, methodology, authentic knowledge, understanding and correct worship. This of course, is due to complete ignorance and stands in direct contradiction to Ibrahim's message, since the Qur'an calls Ibrahim a Hanif, one who had turned away from all deviant paths, religions and their people to pure submission.

Ibrahim's legacy (peace be upon him) is one of uncompromising monotheism, whereas the accords advance a religious doctrine under the banner of "interfaith harmony." In essence, the Abraham Accords invoke Abraham's name while ignoring Abraham's faith.

ii. Divine Principle vs. Political Expediency:

Prophet Ibrahim (peace be upon him) was tested with immense trials – fire, the command to sacrifice his son, exile from his homeland – and at each step he adhered to faith and principle over worldly 'gain'. He sought only to please Allah, even if it meant standing alone against an entire society and all of its people. In reward, Allah honored him with a timeless covenant and leadership. In stark contrast, the Abraham Accords were driven by worldly expediency and strategic interests to establish a false narrative. The accords did not emerge from a moral awakening or repentance, but from behind-the-scenes bargaining and haggling orchestrated by a superpower – in turn to establish an oppressive power in the region which would bring (even more) harm.

Donald Trump and the participant countries calculated what perks they stood to gain politically and economically by aligning with the state of Israel. There was no moral reckoning by any members of its state in these accords – no acknowledgment of wrongdoing toward the Muslims and in particular the Palestinians, no commitment to end decades of occupation and injustice without the state of Israel (even) having to normalize its behavior – rather, to further propel and establish what they have been doing.

In Islamic ethics, maslaha (benefit) cannot justify supporting zulm (oppression). Yet the Abraham Accords ask Muslims to accept an unjust status quo as the price of diplomatic gain. This moral compromise is utterly foreign to Prophet Ibrahim's covenant, which was rooted in justice and obedience to Allah alone above all else.

iii. Justice for the Oppressed vs. Endorsing the Oppressor:

A crucial element of Ibrahim's legacy (peace be upon him) in Islam is establishment of justice. Ibrahim (peace be upon him) did not only preach belief in the uniqueness and absolute oneness of Allah; he also renounced the tyrants and false authorities of his time (whether the idol-priests of Babylon or the arrogant king Nimrod). By contrast, the Abraham Accords involved embracing a modern-day oppressor – the Israeli state – pushed and pressured by another oppressor – The United States while sidelining its justice and its victims.

The Quran explicitly praises believers who “stand firm for justice”¹³ and forbids siding with oppressors: “And do not incline toward those who have wronged, lest the Fire touch you; and you would have no protectors other than Allah, then you will not be helped.”¹⁴

If we apply this Ayah (which are in line with Ibrahim – peace be upon him) to the current scenario, it becomes clear why many Muslims view the Abraham Accords as inherently unjust. Ibrahim's integrity would never permit making a treaty that abandons the oppressed. The Prophet Muhammad ﷺ, who came to revive Ibrahim's religion, emphasized that “the Ummah is like one body” – when one part is in pain, the rest responds – therefore, how can there be benefit? Rather, it is a political and economic one.

¹³ Surah an-Nisa 4:135

¹⁴ Surah Hud 11:113

iv. Covenant with Allah vs. Deal by a Disbeliever:

We must note the profound difference in source and guidance. Prophet Ibrahim's covenants (his mission, the sign of circumcision, the building of the Ka'bah, the promise of prophethood in his lineage) were all ordained by Allah and carried out with divine guidance and mercy. The "Abraham Accords," on the other hand, were an invention of politicians – invented and initiated by a non-Muslim and ignorant leader (Donald Trump) who neither understood nor cared for the teachings, path or covenant of Ibrahim (peace be upon him).

The Abraham Accords is bid'ah (innovation), they introduce a concept of "Abrahamic unity" that has no basis in scripture – an idea in which truth and falsehood are blurred and mixed together for worldly ends – despite Allah controlling everything. Ibrahim's true call was "Submit to Allah, the Lord of the Worlds."¹⁵ The call of the Abraham Accords was effectively "submit to the new political 'reality' of an ignorant."

One reflects divine revelation; the other reflects one man's idea and desire that can change in an instant!

O Allah, we seek refuge in You from the removal of Your blessings, the loss of Your protection, the sudden onset of Your punishment, and from all forms of Your displeasure.

¹⁵ Surah al-Baqarah 2:131

The Dangers of the Abraham Accords

The so-called Abraham Accords do not only conflict with the foundation of Islam and its principles – they also carry very real dangers for the Muslim world in practice. In particular, Muslims have voiced grave concerns about how these accords could affect countries like Saudi Arabia, as well as the broader Ummah and the integrity of Islam itself:

i. Undermining Muslim Unity and The Palestinian Cause:

The Abraham Accords, by design, sideline the question of Palestine. They ask Muslim nations to “forget about Palestine” (or indefinitely delay it) and move on to open relations with the fabricated state of Israel who have done everything in its power to destroy it.

If a leading nation like Saudi Arabia were to join this scheme, it would send a demoralizing message to Muslims globally and the oppressed Palestinians specifically. Such a move threatens to fracture Muslim unity and society.

A Muslim country risks fueled anger, resentment, and even radicalization among its own populace and the wider Ummah. In short, the Accords sow discord: rather than uniting the children of Ibrahim (peace be upon him) under true faith and justice, and the clear path he (peace be upon him) brought.

The consequences of such a scheme are dire. Furthermore, this division is precisely what the enemies of Islam desire, and it endangers the collective strength of Muslims globally.

ii. Moral and Religious Erosion

Islam is the true faith, therefore one significant danger of the Abraham Accords is the erosion of righteous and moral clarity. By endorsing phrases like “Abrahamic family” and interfaith “coexistence” in the context of personal desires and political deals, the accords encourage Muslims to accept a narrative of diluting the religion furthermore, where all religions are ‘just’ different but equal paths, and where aligning with oppressors and mischeif makers is excused for a so called “promise of peace” Which they disguise themselves under in order to propel their agenda.

This can confuse the Muslim conscience. Such terminology, unchecked, can pave the way to the acceptance of theories such as ‘the unity of religions’... or secularism, blurring the line between truth and falsehood – Tawhid and shirk, Emaan and kufr, and Sunnah and bid’ah.

The danger here is a gradual desensitization and weakening (which has always been the case): Muslims may begin to view Islam secondary to nationalist, political or

economical considerations. It could accelerate a trend of religious de-prioritization, sending the signal that economic and political interests trump issues of Din and ‘aqidah.

Already, the accords have been accompanied by projects like the “Abrahamic House” in the UAE (housing a mosque, church, and synagogue side by side) and language that all three faiths are equally true. Such initiatives can confuse Muslim youth about the truth and uniqueness of Islam and the importance of upholding the correct ‘aqidah, shari‘ah and Muslim identity. The long-term danger is a dilution of Islamic teachings and a bending of religion to serve political ends, initiated by one man; Donald Trump – essentially putting “Islam in the service of non-Muslims and politics,” whereas in Ibrahim’s example, all worldly affairs only serve the religion of Allah, the one and only Lord of all the worlds.

iii. Geopolitical Vulnerabilities and Backlash

Strategically, Muslim nations joining the Abraham Accords may expose themselves to new vulnerabilities. Openly normalizing with the state of Israel could provoke internal backlash from segments of its population and the wider Muslim world, potentially destabilizing the region. It could further entangle the conflicts brought by Israel and definitely propel them further – spanning caos and destruction.

We have already seen how signatories like the UAE and Bahrain have had to consider Israeli security interests as their own – even sharing intelligence. It could even be expected to take the state of Israel’s side in regional issues. This alignment could inflame tensions with other Muslim-majority nations or non-state actors that oppose the state of Israel, possibly dragging into further conflict or making it a target of retaliation. Furthermore, only serving U.S. and Israeli interests. Such entanglement could even compromise independent decision-making and sovereignty, effectively “enslaving” Muslim countries to an Israel-centric so-called ‘alliance’.

The Abraham Accords risk exactly that – making Muslim nations subordinate partners in a bloc dominated by powers that have historically harmed Muslims. Therefore, not only is it a danger to Muslims and Islam but to political independence, sovereignty, and Muslim unity.

iv. Sanctity of Holy Sites and Covenant Integrity

Another worry is how normalization might affect the sanctity of key Islamic causes and sites – notably Al-Quds (Jerusalem) and Al-Masjid al-Aqsa. This would be a devastating blow to Muslim sentiments. It might also embolden Israel’s extremist elements to further encroach/invoke the mosque. The covenant of Ibrahim (peace be upon him) included the sanctification of holy places (he rebuilt the Ka‘bah in

Makkah as a sanctuary of pure monotheism). How tragic it would be if a deal named after Abraham resulted in the further desecration and defilement of one of Islam’s holy sites which connects to past Prophets.

Moreover, Ibrahim’s covenant was about guiding his descendants on the straight path. There is a genuine fear that embracing the Abraham Accords could mark a breach of the Ummah’s covenant with Allah – effectively choosing the backing of secular powers over the protection promised by Allah, leaving us in the worst place, misguided and being from the wrong-doing people!

The Qur'an reminds the believers not to take protectors or allies who oppose their faith, Allah has made it perfectly clear; “O you who believe, do not take the Jews and the Christians as allies; they are allies to one another. And whoever takes them as allies among you — then indeed, he is of them. Truly, Allah does not guide the wrongdoing people.”¹⁶

And, “Who would turn away from the religion of Abraham except one who makes a fool of himself? We certainly chose him in this world, and indeed, in the Hereafter he will be among the righteous.”¹⁷

In light of the above, it becomes clear that the Abraham Accords are not a harmless ‘peace’ deal – they pose profound risks and consequences to Muslims and Islam globally. This scheme is arranged to somehow justify recognition of an ‘Israeli’ state founded upon deception, treachery, betrayal, disloyalty and the massacre of innocents even as that state openly (in belief, speech and action) continue to slaughter the inhabitants, Muslims, the believers like a unrestrained and savage beast.

Ask yourself: can a pact built upon slaughtering believers, betraying truth, and aiding oppression ever be called “Abrahamic,” or have anything to do with the covenant and Messenger of Allah, Ibrahim (peace be upon him) or is such a claim one of the greatest deceptions of our time?

¹⁶ Surah al-Ma'idah 5:51

¹⁷ Surah al-Baqarah 2:130

Conclusion: Upholding Ibrahim's True Legacy

In summary, Prophet Ibrahim's life, path, call and mission (peace be upon him) was about pure faith, monotheism and justice, whereas the Abraham Accords are about political normalization at the cost of faith, monotheism and justice. The two are not just different – they are diametrically and entirely opposed. It is truth vs. falsehood.

By that criterion, Ibrahim's legacy represents what Muslims are meant to uphold and establish. Ibrahim and his descendants established the worship of Allah alone, uncompromising adherence to the truth, and willingness to sacrifice everything for Allah's sake.

Say: "Indeed, my Lord has guided me to a straight path, a correct religion — the religion of Abraham, the upright — and he was not of the polytheists."¹⁸

There is for you an excellent example in Abraham and those with him, when they said to their people: "We are disassociated from you and from whatever you worship other than Allah; we reject you, and enmity and hatred have appeared between us and you forever, until you believe in Allah alone."¹⁹

Any initiative that truly honors Ibrahim or his family e.g., Israel (peace be upon them) would embody those same beliefs, values and way. The so-called "Abraham Accords" do the very opposite. Far from honoring Ibrahim's covenant, they twist his name to justify personal desires and political expediencies that Ibrahim would never have approved in exchange for empty promises of "prosperity" and a false peace. This is entirely negating everything Ibrahim (peace be upon him) stood for.

It is our duty, and the community that strives to follow path of Ibrahim (the way of Abraham), to expose this stark contrast. We must not be fooled by titles or PR branding. As Allah cautions, "Do not mix the truth with falsehood or hide the truth knowingly"²⁰ This was specifically directed towards the Jews – however, it applies to Muslims at all times, lest we fall into what they fell into. And Allah's refuge is sought.

We reaffirm that Prophet Ibrahim's message – of tawhid, justice, faithfulness and truthfulness to Allah's covenant – remains the only guidance by which unity is (then) built on. Any "accord" that contradicts that is not a blessing, but a deceptive shaytan, and tremendous danger.

And, "Whoever turns away from the religion of Abraham has only fooled himself."

¹⁸ Surah al-An'am 6:161

¹⁹ Surah al-Mumtahanah 60:4

²⁰ Surah al-Baqarah 2:42