PERSPECTIVE OF CAPITAL MARKETS

ABSTRACT

Perspective of the capital market using bitcoin POW.

Zach Peters

Bitcoin

Future of Capital Markets

Tokenizing capital markets on the Bitcoin protocol, such as creating Bitcoin-based NFTs (non-fungible tokens) or other tokenized assets, involves leveraging Bitcoin's blockchain to represent ownership of financial instruments, real-world assets, or unique digital items. Unlike Ethereum, which dominates tokenization due to its robust smart contract capabilities, Bitcoin's protocol is intentionally minimalistic, prioritizing security and simplicity. However, recent advancements like the **Ordinals protocol**, **Taproot**, and **Layer-2 solutions** (e.g., Lightning Network, Stacks, RGB) have unlocked new possibilities for tokenizing assets, including capital market instruments and NFTs, on Bitcoin. Below, I'll compare how capital markets could be tokenized on Bitcoin, using Bitcoin NFTs as a reference point, and contrast this with other blockchains like Ethereum, focusing on mechanisms, advantages, challenges, and implications.

1. Mechanisms for Tokenization on Bitcoin

Tokenization on Bitcoin involves embedding metadata or ownership records into its blockchain to represent assets like stocks, bonds, real estate, or NFTs. Here's how it's achieved:

A. Bitcoin NFTs (Ordinals and Inscriptions)

- **Ordinals Protocol (2023)**: Introduced by Casey Rodarmor, Ordinals assign unique identifiers to individual satoshis (the smallest Bitcoin unit, 1/100,000,000 BTC). By "inscribing" data (e.g., images, text, or JSON) onto a satoshi via SegWit and Taproot, users create Bitcoin-native NFTs. These are stored directly on-chain, unlike Ethereum NFTs, which typically store metadata off-chain (e.g., IPFS).
- **Process**: Users inscribe data into the witness section of a transaction (up to ~4MB post-Taproot). The inscribed satoshi becomes a unique, trackable token tied to the NFT.
- **Example**: An NFT representing a piece of digital art or a collectible is inscribed with its metadata (e.g., an image hash). Ownership is tied to the satoshi's UTXO (unspent transaction output), transferable via standard Bitcoin transactions.

- **Market Activity**: By October 2025, Ordinals have driven significant activity, with Bitcoin NFT marketplaces like Magic Eden and Gamma.io seeing millions in trading volume.

B. Tokenizing Capital Markets

Capital market instruments (e.g., equities, bonds, derivatives) require more complexity than NFTs, as they involve dynamic attributes like dividends, interest payments, or voting rights. Bitcoin's limited scripting language necessitates creative solutions:

1 **Taproot and Tapscript**: Taproot (activated 2021) enhances Bitcoin's scripting capabilities via Schnorr signatures and Merkelized Abstract Syntax Trees (MAST). This allows for more complex logic (e.g., conditional transfers) while keeping transactions private and efficient. Tokenized assets can use Tapscript to embed metadata or rules for asset behavior (e.g., dividend payouts).

2 **RGB Protocol**: A Layer-2/3 solution, RGB enables smart contracts on Bitcoin by processing logic off-chain but anchoring state changes to Bitcoin's blockchain. Assets like tokenized stocks can be issued with rules (e.g., transfer restrictions, dividend schedules) stored in client-side validated "single-use seals."

- **Example**: A tokenized corporate bond could be issued as an RGB asset, with its terms (e.g., 5% annual coupon) enforced off-chain but secured by Bitcoin's PoW.

3 **Stacks (Layer-2)**: Stacks uses Bitcoin as a settlement layer, enabling smart contracts via Clarity (a secure, predictable language). Tokenized assets can be created on Stacks, with ownership changes finalized on Bitcoin's blockchain.

- **Example**: A share of tokenized Tesla stock could be issued on Stacks, with voting or dividend logic executed via smart contracts.

4 **Colored Coins (Legacy)**: An older approach, colored coins tagged specific satoshis to represent assets. While largely obsolete due to scalability issues, they laid the groundwork for modern solutions like Ordinals and RGB.

C. Comparison to Ethereum

Ethereum's ERC-20 (fungible tokens) and ERC-721/ERC-1155 (NFTs) standards dominate tokenization due to its Turing-complete smart

contracts. Bitcoin's tokenization relies on simpler, more secure mechanisms:

- **Bitcoin**: On-chain data (Ordinals) or off-chain logic (RGB, Stacks) tied to Bitcoin's PoW. Limited programmability but unmatched security (global hashrate ~700 EH/s in 2025).
- **Ethereum**: Flexible smart contracts allow complex assets (e.g., DeFi protocols, tokenized securities with automated compliance). However, Ethereum's PoS is less energy-intensive but arguably less secure against nation-state attacks compared to Bitcoin's PoW, as Jason Lowery notes in *Softwar*.

2. Advantages of Tokenizing Capital Markets on Bitcoin

1 **Security**: Bitcoin's PoW, requiring massive energy expenditure, is the most battle-tested consensus mechanism, making tokenized assets resistant to tampering or 51% attacks. Lowery's *Softwar* emphasizes this as a geopolitical advantage, with Bitcoin's hashrate acting as a "thermodynamic firewall."

2 **Immutability**: On-chain inscriptions (e.g., Ordinals for NFTs) are permanent, ensuring tokenized assets remain verifiable as long as Bitcoin's blockchain exists.

3 **Decentralization**: Bitcoin's global node network (~17,000 nodes in 2025) ensures no single point of failure, unlike centralized custodians in traditional capital markets.

4 **Simplicity for NFTs**: Bitcoin NFTs via Ordinals are fully on-chain, reducing reliance on external storage (e.g., IPFS failures can break Ethereum NFTs). This suits high-value capital market tokens needing permanence.

5 **Interoperability**: Layer-2 solutions like Stacks or RGB allow integration with traditional finance (e.g., via KYC-compliant smart contracts), while anchoring to Bitcoin's security.

3. Challenges of Tokenizing Capital Markets on Bitcoin

1 **Limited Programmability**: Bitcoin's scripting language lacks Ethereum's flexibility, making complex assets (e.g., derivatives with dynamic pricing) harder to implement without Layer-2. RGB and Stacks mitigate this but add complexity.
2 **Scalability**: On-chain tokenization (e.g., Ordinals) bloats the blockchain, raising fees (2025 median fee ~$2–$5 for standard transactions). Layer-2 solutions like Lightning or RGB offload data but require user adoption.
3 **Regulatory Hurdles**: Tokenized securities must comply with global regulations (e.g., SEC rules in the U.S.). Bitcoin's pseudonymous nature complicates KYC/AML integration compared to Ethereum's established frameworks (e.g., security token platforms like Polymath).
4 **Adoption**: Ethereum dominates tokenized markets (e.g., $1B+ in tokenized real-world assets by 2025), while Bitcoin's token ecosystem is nascent. Convincing institutions to use Bitcoin over Ethereum requires proving PoW's strategic edge.
5 **User Experience**: Tools like Trezor Suite (as in your prior query) secure Bitcoin NFTs or tokens, but managing complex assets via RGB or Stacks demands technical know-how, unlike Ethereum's user-friendly wallets (e.g., MetaMask).

4. Implications for Capital Markets and Bitcoin NFTs

- **Capital Markets**: Tokenizing stocks, bonds, or real estate on Bitcoin could democratize access, enabling fractional ownership and 24/7 trading without intermediaries. For example, a tokenized bond on RGB could pay interest automatically, secured by Bitcoin's PoW. However, adoption hinges on regulatory clarity and Layer-2 maturity. Lowery's *Softwar* suggests nation-states might adopt Bitcoin-based tokens for strategic reserves, leveraging PoW's energy-backed security to protect financial infrastructure.
- **Bitcoin NFTs**: Ordinals have proven Bitcoin's viability for unique digital assets, with use cases expanding to tokenized collectibles, art, or even equity shares. Unlike Ethereum NFTs, which often rely on centralized servers for metadata, Bitcoin NFTs are fully on-chain, aligning with Lowery's vision of "thermodynamically honest" assets.

However, their market is smaller (~$500M vs. Ethereum's $10B+ NFT volume in 2025).

- **Future Outlook**: By 2030, Bitcoin could rival Ethereum in tokenization if Layer-2 ecosystems (RGB, Stacks) mature and hashrate continues its 2025 surge (~50% YoY growth). Institutional adoption of Bitcoin-based securities could follow, especially if geopolitical tensions (e.g., BRICS dedollarization) push nations toward PoW-secured assets, as Lowery predicts.

5. Practical Example Using Trezor

To manage tokenized capital market assets or Bitcoin NFTs, a Trezor Bitcoin-only wallet (e.g., Safe 3 BTC-only, as per your prior query) can be used:

- **Setup**: Follow the Trezor Suite guide to secure your private keys offline.
- **NFTs**: Use Trezor with Ordinals-compatible wallets (e.g., Sparrow Wallet or Xverse) to sign transactions for inscribed satoshis. Verify addresses on-device to prevent phishing.
- **Capital Market Tokens**: For RGB or Stacks-based tokens, integrate Trezor with their respective wallets (e.g., Xverse for Stacks). Sign transactions securely, leveraging Trezor's Secure Element for high-value assets.
- **Security**: Enable passphrase protection for hidden wallets containing tokenized securities or NFTs, as outlined in the setup guide.

Conclusion

Tokenizing capital markets on Bitcoin, akin to Bitcoin NFTs, is feasible via Ordinals, Taproot, and Layer-2 solutions like RGB and Stacks. Bitcoin offers unparalleled security through PoW, aligning with Lowery's *Softwar* thesis of energy-backed power projection, but lacks Ethereum's programmability and ecosystem maturity. While Bitcoin NFTs are gaining traction, capital market tokenization remains underdeveloped due to technical and regulatory barriers. By 2030, Bitcoin could emerge as a strategic platform for tokenized assets if Layer-2 adoption grows and nations embrace PoW's geopolitical edge. For practical use, secure your

@satwise.me to learn more

tokens with a Trezor Bitcoin-only wallet and monitor X for updates on Ordinals or RGB marketplaces @ordinals