

Oncology Medical Merit SCREENING TOOL

BASIC CASE INFORMATION

- Patient age at time of events: _____
- Type of cancer (if officially diagnosed based on pathology): _____
- Date of confirmed diagnosis: _____
- Stage at time of diagnosis (if known): _____
- Current status (alive, deceased, ongoing treatment): _____

TIMELINE OF EVENTS

- Date of 1st symptom or abnormal finding: _____
- Date of initial provider visit: _____ Name & specialty of provider: _____
- Key symptoms documented: _____
- Dates of subsequent visits: _____
- Date diagnostic workup initiated: _____
- Date of official diagnosis (based on pathology): _____

RED FLAGS PRESENT IN THE RECORD

(check all that apply)

- Persistent or worsening symptoms
- Unintentional weight loss
- Abnormal labs (anemia, elevated markers, etc)
- Suspicious findings on imaging
- Palpable mass or lesion
- Repeated visits for same complaint

ABNORMAL TEST RESULTS & FOLLOW UP

- Were abnormal results identified? Yes No
- If yes, were they acknowledged in the chart? Yes No
- Was appropriate follow up ordered? Yes No
- Was the patient notified? Yes No

DIAGNOSTIC WORKUP

- Imaging performed? Yes No If yes, what tests?_____
- Time from symptom reported to time of imaging:_____
- Biopsy performed? Yes No If yes, how soon after imaging? _____
- Specialist referral made? Yes No If yes, what specialty?_____
- Time from specialist referral to specialty visit:_____
- Any unexplained delays at any step in the diagnostic pathway?_____

REFERRAL & ESCALATION OF CARE

- Referral placed when indicated?: Yes No
- Referral marked as urgent? Yes No
- Follow up on referral completion documented? Yes No

Potential red flag: "Watchful waiting" documented without a clear follow up plan or timeframe.

COMMUNICATION & COORDINATION OF CARE

- Documentation of discussions with the patient about diagnosis and plan of care going forward? Yes No
- Evidence that results were communicated to patient? Yes No
- Clear ownership of follow up? Yes No

High risk pattern: Multiple providers involved with no clear responsibility assigned

DOCUMENTATION OF CLINICAL DECISION MAKING

- Differential diagnoses documented? Yes No
- Clinical reasoning explained? Yes No
- Rationale for not pursuing workup documented? Yes No

Absence of documented reasoning weakens defense – even if care may have been appropriate

HARM & DAMAGES ASSESSMENT

- Disease progression during delay? Yes No
- Was there a change in stage? Yes No
- Was there a change in treatment intensity? Yes No
- If the answer was 'Yes' to any of the above was there a reason documented? Yes No
- Impact on survival/prognosis? Yes No

CASE STRENGTH SNAPSHOT

Assign 1pt for each "Yes"

- Documented delay in diagnosis
- Abnormal findings not followed
- Multiple missed opportunities
- Poor or absent documentation
- Lack of care coordination
- Demonstratable harm from delay

0-2: low medical merit **3-4:** Mod, needs deeper review **5-6** High value case/strong potential

Cases involving delayed cancer diagnosis require more than record review—they require clinical context. As an oncology-trained nurse practitioner and legal nurse consultant, I help identify where care deviated from expected clinical pathways—and where that deviation matters legally.