



Office of the Riverside County Board of Education

TRUSTEES

Kim J. Cousins
Trustee Area 1

Ben Johnson II
Trustee Area 2

Jamie Azpeitia-Sachs
Trustee Area 3

Bruce N. Dennis
Trustee Area 4

Ray "Coach" Curtis
Trustee Area 5

Elizabeth F. Romero
Trustee Area 6

Barbara Hale
Trustee Area 7

April 3, 2024

Via Email (vbilly@csba.org)

Vernon Billy
CEO and Executive Director
California School Boards Association
3251 Beacon Boulevard
West Sacramento, CA 95691

Re: Action by CSBA Board of Directors to Revoke CCBE's Status as a CSBA Section

Dear Mr. Billy:

This letter is written at the unanimous request of our Riverside County Board of Education to address our serious concerns with the action taken by CSBA's Board of Directors on November 29, 2023 to dismantle CCBE's longstanding structure by revoking its status as a "Section" of CSBA and directing that it be folded into CSBA as a new Region 14.

Our primary concerns are outlined herein, as well as our requests for follow-up and response from CSBA.

1. Lack of Notice and Opportunity for Input on Proposed Action

As elected County Board Trustees, we are accustomed to making decisions with wide impact, but whenever possible, we seek input from key stakeholders and conduct as much due diligence as possible to ensure that we are aware of the actual and potential ramifications of our decisions.

In this instance, CSBA's action was taken *without any prior notice* to our fifty-eight (58) County Boards of Education indicating CSBA's intention or purpose for such action.

Without notice, County Board Trustees were *not* afforded any opportunity to comment or to share valuable perspectives on the impact such an action would have. Our Board would have been willing to engage in discussions on this matter.

For reference, I first learned of CSBA’s proposed action during Delegate Assembly *after* the CSBA Board of Directors had voted on the matter and given its Directors “talking points” to share with Delegates during our regional meetings.

When CSBA’s action was shared at the CCBE Board of Directors Meeting at the AEC, the Board was asked *not to share* the information with fellow County Board Trustees (or anyone) until the CCBE General Membership Breakfast Meeting two (2) days later. I was in attendance as an observer at the CCBE Board of Directors Meeting and personally found this lack of transparency to be extremely concerning.

CSBA’s talking points, which (again) were shared *after* the action was taken, as well as the eventual presentation to County Board Members of CSBA’s Board action primarily served to *justify* the action and to emphasize that it was *permitted* under CSBA’s Bylaws. While the action may have been permissible, we believe that the lack of notice, transparency, and process involving County Board Members effectively weakens the foundation of the decision, even if it was technically permissible.

2. Lack of Understanding of the Roles and Responsibilities of County Boards

The detrimental impact of CSBA’s decision on our County Board’s longstanding support structure and community of well-respected elected officials who have dedicated years to the development of County-specific resources and opportunities for deep connections between entire Boards, Trustees and County Superintendents is immense and immeasurable.

This impact is further exacerbated by CSBA’s lack of understanding of the roles and responsibilities of County Boards, which are almost entirely unique from the roles and responsibilities of LEA Boards, especially for the vast majority of our Counties with elected County Superintendents.

Our Board is keenly aware of CSBA’s lack of current County-specific resources as we have recently engaged with CSBA for services including GAMUT Policy Plus and the Good Beginnings Workshop. In each instance, there was an *attempt to adapt* the resources from LEA language and roles to fit our County Board-specific needs, but the adaptation was not seamless, and as a result, the value of the services was (and continues to be) incomplete and diminished.

3. Lack of Commitment to the Trustee Handbook and County-Specific Conference and Training Sessions

In an attempt to provide increased clarity for County Board Members concerning their roles and responsibilities, especially *vis-à-vis* the roles and responsibilities of County Superintendents of Schools, CCBE spearheaded a revision to our Trustee Handbook (the “Handbook”) in partnership with the California County Superintendents and CSBA.

This was important work for our County Boards, and multiple members of *our* Board were dedicated to this project and spent months participating in its development.

For these reasons, we find CSBA’s *noncommittal* statements in its FAQ document regarding continued use of the Handbook – *by referring to it as “potentially valuable”* – to be unacceptable. Vesting sole discretion in CSBA as to how our County Boards will receive

essential governance information disregards the time and effort invested into development of the Handbook as an essential resource for County Boards.

The Handbook, expertise, trainings, mentoring and individualized supports for County Boards that have been provided by CCBE for decades are *irreplaceable* by CSBA, and the loss of these dedicated resources, specifically the County Board-only conferences and trainings, would be devastating for all County Board Trustees.

CCBE served a valuable and essential role for County Board development and professional training, and we are not convinced that CSBA is prepared to replace the level of support and commitment that we require. We implore CSBA to maintain the availability and distribution of the Handbook, and to allow for a robust training series and annual conference, which directly involves County Board Members in planning to ensure that the unique needs of County Boards are met.

4. Request for Financial Transparency in Support of County Board Supports

As of the end of fiscal year 2022-2023, CCBE's Net Reserve Balance was \$137,344, and we request that CSBA confirm that this fund balance is accurate and will be allocated solely to County Board-only supports and programs.

Further, we hereby request confirmation of all proposed dues payable by our County Board under the new structure, with a direct comparison to dues paid in 2023, consistent with the following FAQ statements:

- (a) "Counties will continue to pay approximately the same amount of CCBE and CSBA dues."
- (b) "CSBA will use the same dues calculation as CCBE. Dues will support the development and implementation of county board products and services and cover one program manager position dedicated to county board services." See FAQs.

5. CCBE Made CSBA Stronger in its Support of County Boards of Education

CSBA has expressed that "County board members are a valued component of CSBA's membership," and we believe that CSBA should respect our County-specific needs in fulfilling its stated "obligation to serve this segment of the membership with the same vigor as district board members." See FAQs.

We do *not* agree that the "structure of CCBE as a section of CSBA operating as an independent organization within the association" was counter to alignment with CSBA's governance structure, nor that CCBE "inhibit[ed] the ability of the association to foster a united, collaborative membership that's collectively working to strengthen its mission, vision and strategic goals." See FAQs.

CCBE's leadership, programming and governance support for County Boards was the epitome of collaboration and its focus on preparing and supporting County Board Trustees in their work on behalf of students was unparalleled and firmly aligned with CSBA's mission and vision.

CCBE made CSBA stronger in its support of County Boards, and until and unless CSBA's supports for our County Boards are maintained, expanded and bolstered, the loss of CCBE will

continue to feel like the loss of a community that was dedicated to ensuring the effectiveness of County Board Members in our essential role for students in our County and throughout the State.

6. Requests for Follow-up and Response from CSBA

As outlined above, we do not believe that CSBA properly engaged County Boards prior to its Board of Directors taking action to dismantle CCBE's longstanding structure by revoking its status as a Section of CSBA and directing that it be folded into CSBA, nor do we believe that CSBA fully understands the importance of CCBE to County Board Members or the scope of supports provided to County Board Trustees over the past six decades.

Short of CSBA's Board of Directors considering an action to rescind their November 29, 2023 decision to dismantle CCBE, we request that CSBA:

- (a) Maintain all County Board-only conferences and trainings, in recognition and respect of their critical importance in building connections and relationships between County Boards and investing in leadership and small-setting community building opportunities;
- (b) Commit to directly involving County Board Members as active participants in County Board-only conference and training planning, (1) to ensure that the unique needs of County Boards are met and (2) to respect the longstanding, invaluable dedication of County Board Members in fostering leadership and building capacity by doing and learning, rather than through passive attendance at conferences and trainings;
- (c) Provide an outline of new CSBA supports for County Boards mirroring the lost CCBE supports for our consideration and feedback;
- (d) Provide an outline of developments in bolstering existing CSBA Services to better meet the needs of County Boards (including, but not limited to, GAMUT Policy Plus and Good Beginnings Workshops, *both of which our Board would be happy to provide specific feedback on*);
- (e) Confirm that \$137,344 (Net Reserve Balance End of FY 2023) remains in the CCBE Reserve Fund account and that these funds will be allocated to County Board-only supports;
- (f) Confirm all proposed dues payable by our County Board under the new structure, with a direct comparison to dues paid in 2023;
- (g) Disclose both the calculations upon which the base CSBA County Board dues and the new County Board surcharge dues are charged;
- (h) Maintain the availability and distribution of the Handbook; and
- (i) Create conditions of ongoing transparency, inclusivity, and engagement for all County Board Members to ensure that CSBA has the necessary input to support the full scope of unique County Board needs throughout the State.

Anything less than the above being agreed to may result in submission of County Board CSBA dues only, not in the submission of additional imposed County Board surcharge dues.

Thank you in advance for your prompt attention to this matter. We look forward to receipt of your response to our requests.



Ray Curtis
President, Riverside County Board of Education

Cc: Via email Delivery

CSBA Board of Directors

Tyler Nelson	Eleanor Evans
David T. Gracia	Bruce Dennis
Renee Nash	Devon Conley
Alisa MacAvoy	Tanya Ortiz Franklin
Jackie Wong, Director	Nancy Smith
Rachel Hurd, Director	Helen Hall
Christopher "Kit" Oase	Jan Baird
Roger Snyder	Chris Clark
Kathy Spate	Christina Cameron-Otero
Sabrina Rodriguez	Sylvia Leong
William Farris	Michael Teasdale
Susan Henry	Joaquin Rivera
Karen Gray	John McPherson

CSBA Executive Committee

Albert Gonzalez
Bettye Lusk
Debra Schade
Susan Markarian

CSBA Region 14 Members (former CCBE Board of Directors)

David Patterson	David Patterson
Michelle de Werd	Monte Perez
Juliana Feriani	Rick Shea
Rachel Ulrich	Michael Teasdale
Marcy Masumoto	Marcy Masumoto
Elizabeth Esquivel	Janet Wohlgemuth
Brenda Duchi	John McPherson
Annette Lewis	Peggy A. Cohen-Thompson
Maimona Afzal Berta	Arleigh Dean Kidd
Shelton Yip	Laura Mancha

Mary Little
Lucy M. Hendry
Brenda Duchi

Bruce Dennis
Guadalupe Gonzalez

County Board Transitional Working Group

Robert Brown
Harjit Singh
Shelton Yip
Juliana Feriani
Bryan Burton
Ramon Flores
Victoria Chon

Peggy Cohen-Thompson
Mike Walsh
Bina Lefkowitz
Aisha Knowles
John McPherson
Michael Teasdale
Yvonne Chan

California County Boards of Education, ATTN: Board President

Alameda County Board of Education
Alpine County Board of Education
Amador County Board of Education
Butte County Board of Education
Calaveras County Board of Education
Colusa County Board of Education
Contra Costa County Board of Education
Del Norte County Board of Education
El Dorado County Board of Education
Fresno County Board of Education
Glenn County Board of Education
Humboldt County Board of Education
Imperial County Board of Education
Inyo County Board of Education
Kern County Board of Education
Kings County Board of Education
Lake County Board of Education
Lassen County Board of Education
Los Angeles County Board of Education
Madera County Board of Education
Marin County Board of Education
Mariposa County Board of Education
Mendocino County Board of Education
Merced County Board of Education
Modoc County Board of Education
Mono County Board of Education
Monterey County Board of Education
Napa County Board of Education
Nevada County Board of Education
Orange County Board of Education

Placer County Board of Education
Plumas County Board of Education
Riverside County Board of Education
Sacramento County Board of Education
San Benito County Board of Education
San Bernardino County Board of Education
San Diego County Board of Education
San Francisco County Board of Education
San Joaquin County Board of Education
San Luis Obispo County Board of Education
Santa Barbara County Board of Education
Santa Clara County Board of Education
Santa Cruz County Board of Education
Shasta County Board of Education
Sierra County Board of Education
Siskiyou County Board of Education
Solano County Board of Education
Sonoma County Board of Education
Stanislaus County Board of Education
Sutter County Board of Education
Tehama County Board of Education
Trinity County Board of Education
Tulare County Board of Education
Tuolumne County Board of Education
Ventura County Board of Education
Yolo County Board of Education
Yuba County Board of Education