Research Summary: Polar Bear Conservation Science (2014-2024)

Focus on Eric Regehr's Quantitative Models and Arctic-Wide Conservation Approaches

Prepared: August 30, 2025
Research Period: 2014-2024
Geographic Scope: Circumpolar Arctic

Executive Summary

This comprehensive research summary examines polar bear conservation science from 2014-2024, with special emphasis on Eric Regehr's quantitative modeling approaches and their integration with broader Arctic conservation efforts. The research reveals a complex picture of polar bear populations facing unprecedented challenges from climate change, while demonstrating remarkable scientific advances in quantitative modeling, indigenous knowledge integration, and international cooperation.

Key findings indicate that while global polar bear populations are estimated at approximately 26,000 individuals across 19-20 subpopulations, significant regional variations exist. Eric Regehr's pioneering work in integrated population modeling, Bayesian network approaches, and bioenergetic modeling has fundamentally advanced our understanding of polar bear population dynamics and climate change impacts. The integration of quantitative models with Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) has emerged as a critical approach for sustainable management and conservation.

1. Eric Regehr's Key Publications and Quantitative Modeling Approaches

1.1 Background and Expertise

Eric V. Regehr serves as Principal Quantitative Ecologist at the University of Washington's Polar Science Center, bringing over 25 years of experience in polar bear research. His educational background includes a B.S. in Chemical Engineering from the University of Kansas (1998) and a Ph.D. in Zoology and Physiology from the University of Wyoming (2009). Regehr's work has been cited over 3,400 times, establishing him as a leading authority in polar bear population ecology and quantitative modeling.

1.2 Major Publications and Methodological Contributions (2014-2024)

1.2.1 Integrated Population Modeling Advances

2018: Integrated Population Modeling for Polar Bears in the Chukchi Sea

- Published in *Scientific Reports*, this landmark study provided the first empirical estimates of vital rates and abundance for the Chukchi Sea polar bear subpopulation
- Estimated population at 2,937 individuals (95% CI: 1,552–5,944)
- Combined capture-recapture, telemetry, and count data to address biases in low-density species studies
- Demonstrated high reproductive success with female breeding probability of 0.83 and adult survival rates of 0.90 for females and 0.89 for males
- Methodological innovation: Addressed temporary emigration and state uncertainty in mobile species

2022: Modeling Spatiotemporal Abundance and Movement Dynamics

- Developed integrated spatial capture-recapture models linking individual-level data with population dynamics
- Provided density estimates while demonstrating how landscape characteristics influence bear distribution
- Advanced methodological accuracy for mobile species in low-density populations

1.2.2 Bayesian Network Model Development

Regehr has been instrumental in the evolution of Bayesian network (BN) models for polar bear conservation through three distinct phases:

Phase I (2008): Contributed foundational demographic data for initial BN models using CMIP3 climate projections

Phase II (2016): Co-authored updates incorporating CMIP5 sea ice projections and refined understanding of polar bear responses to environmental stressors

Phase III (2023): Latest iteration using CMIP6 projections, incorporating Regehr's ongoing research from Chukchi and Beaufort Sea studies. Key findings:

- Sea ice conditions identified as most influential variable in population outcomes
- High probability of declines in Polar Basin Divergent Ice Ecoregion under high-emission scenarios
- Sensitivity analyses confirm sea ice degradation as dominant factor

1.2.3 Bioenergetic and Climate Impact Modeling

2015: Resilience and Risk—A Demographic Model for Polar Bears

- Developed demographic models incorporating climate-driven sea ice loss and harvest scenarios
- Simulated population trajectories under various environmental conditions
- Emphasized adaptive management approaches

2017: Harvesting Wildlife Affected by Climate Change

- Created quantitative framework balancing conservation with subsistence harvest needs
- Incorporated climate change effects using demographic data and sea ice metrics
- Demonstrated state-dependent management approaches

2021: Demographic Risk Assessment for Polar Bears in the Chukchi Sea

- Applied multistate capture-recapture models and simulations to evaluate demographic risks
- Found current conditions supported stable population despite decline predictions
- Integrated multiple data types for robust risk assessment

1.3 Methodological Innovations

1.3.1 Integrated Population Models (IPMs)

Regehr's IPMs represent a significant advancement in polar bear population assessment by:

- Combining multiple data sources (capture-recapture, telemetry, count data)
- Addressing biases inherent in studying low-density, mobile species
- Providing robust estimates of vital rates and abundance
- Accounting for movement and environmental variability

1.3.2 Spatial Capture-Recapture Models

- Incorporated movement data to reduce bias in abundance estimates
- Linked individual-level behavior with population-level dynamics
- Improved accuracy for species with large home ranges

1.3.3 Bioenergetic Integration

- Used mechanistic models like Niche Mapper™ to simulate metabolic rates
- Calculated weight loss and survival probabilities during extended fasts
- Linked individual energetics to population-level outcomes

2. Current State of Polar Bear Populations Across Arctic Regions

2.1 Global Population Overview

As of 2024, the global polar bear population is estimated at approximately 26,000 individuals (95% CI: 22,000-31,000) distributed across 19-20 recognized subpopulations. The IUCN classifies polar bears as "Vulnerable" with projections indicating a 70% probability of population declines exceeding 30% within the next three generations (35-41 years).

2.2 Regional Population Status by Ecoregion

2.2.1 Seasonal Ice Ecoregion

Characteristics: Complete summer ice melt, extended terrestrial periods **Key Subpopulations**:

- **Western Hudson Bay**: Likely decreased, 27% decline from 2011-2021
- **Baffin Bay**: Data deficient, estimated 2,826 (95% CI: 2,284–3,367)
- **Davis Strait**: Likely decreased, estimated 2,015 (95% CRI: 1,603–2,588)

Status: Highly vulnerable due to extended ice-free periods leading to nutritional stress

2.2.2 Polar Basin Divergent Ice Ecoregion

Characteristics: Offshore ice drift, rapid ice loss **Key Subpopulations**:

- **Chukchi Sea**: Likely stable (2008-2016), estimated 2,937 (95% CI: 1,552–5,944)
- **Barents Sea**: Likely stable (2004-2015), estimated 2,644 (95% CI: 1,899–3,592)
- **Southern Beaufort Sea**: Likely decreased from 2001-2015

Status: Variable trends with concerns over illegal hunting and declining cub survival

2.2.3 Polar Basin Convergent Ice Ecoregion

Characteristics: Ice accumulation along coastlines **Key Subpopulations**:

- **East Greenland**: Data deficient, habitat use changes noted
- **Northern Beaufort Sea**: Data deficient, concerns over seal prey declines

Status: Reduced advantages from warming affecting ice accumulation patterns

2.2.4 Archipelago Ecoregion

Characteristics: Persistent ice, potential climate refuge **Key Subpopulations**:

- **Kane Basin**: Likely increased, estimated 357 (95% CI: 221–493)
- **M'Clintock Channel**: Likely increased, estimated 716 (95% CRI: 545–955)

Status: May serve as last refuge but showing vulnerabilities

2.3 Data Deficiencies and Monitoring Challenges

Approximately 50% of subpopulations are classified as data deficient for short-term trends, with many lacking long-term data. Key challenges include:

- Remote Arctic locations making monitoring expensive and logistically difficult
- Limited access to Russian Arctic regions
- Seasonal accessibility constraints
- Need for international cooperation in transboundary populations

3. Integration of Quantitative Models with Indigenous Knowledge

3.1 Theoretical Framework for Integration

The integration of Indigenous Knowledge (IK) with quantitative models represents a paradigm shift in polar bear conservation, moving from purely scientific approaches to collaborative frameworks that incorporate Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK), Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit (IQ), and other indigenous knowledge systems.

3.2 Methodological Approaches

3.2.1 Community-Based Participatory Research (CBPR)

- Ensures IK is foundational rather than supplementary to model development
- Involves knowledge holders in all research stages including design, data collection, and interpretation
- Addresses power imbalances between scientific and indigenous knowledge systems

3.2.2 Statistical Integration Methods

- **Generalized Linear Mixed Models (GLMMs)**: Incorporate IK as covariates or point observations
- **Species Distribution Models (SDMs)**: Use IK to select habitat variables and validate predictions
- **Integrated Population Models**: Combine IK observations with demographic data
- **Bayesian Approaches**: Incorporate IK as prior information or additional data streams

3.3 Specific Integration Examples

3.3.1 Chukchi Sea Population Assessment

Regehr's 2018 study framework could be extended to include IK for:

- Better estimates of vital rates through year-round observations
- Validation of spatial extrapolations using local knowledge of movements
- Enhanced understanding of denning site selection and habitat use

3.3.2 Davis Strait Population Study

Groundbreaking integration of Inuit Qaujimajatuqangit through:

- Interviews with polar bear experts from Nunavut communities
- Assessment of bear health, population trends, and habitat changes
- Integration into quantitative models for wildlife management planning
- Identification of declines in ringed seal prey and sea ice quality

3.3.3 Churchill Coexistence Study

Co-produced research using:

- Storytelling and sharing circles for data collection
- Integration into occupancy models
- Development of management recommendations including Polar Bear Alert Program
- Themes like "Their entire existence relies on being sneaky" informing behavioral models

3.4 Benefits and Challenges

3.4.1 Benefits

- **Enhanced Data Quality**: IK provides year-round observations and long-term trends
- **Cultural Relevance**: Ensures conservation strategies respect indigenous rights and practices
- **Early Warning Systems**: IK often detects environmental changes before scientific literature
- **Improved Trust**: Collaborative approaches build relationships between communities and researchers
- **Bias Reduction**: Multiple knowledge systems provide cross-validation opportunities

3.4.2 Challenges

- **Methodological Standardization**: Need for consistent approaches to bias reduction
- **Uncertainty Quantification**: Accounting for variation in experiential knowledge

- **Intellectual Property**: Ensuring appropriate consent and benefit-sharing
- **Scale Mismatches**: Reconciling local observations with population-level models

4. Climate Change Impacts and Population Trends

4.1 Primary Climate Drivers

4.1.1 Sea Ice Loss

- Arctic sea ice declining at 13-14% per decade since 1979
- Ice-covered days decreasing by median of -1.26 days per year across subpopulations
- Earlier spring melt and later fall freeze-up extending ice-free periods
- Habitat fragmentation forcing longer swims and higher energy expenditure

4.1.2 Temperature Increases

- Arctic warming at 2-4 times global average
- Affecting denning habitat through reduced snow accumulation
- Increasing rain-on-snow events causing den collapses
- Altering prey availability and ecosystem dynamics

4.2 Biological Impacts

4.2.1 Energetic Consequences

- Extended fasting periods exceeding survival thresholds
- Reduced body condition and reproductive success
- Lower cub survival rates due to insufficient maternal nutrition
- Increased metabolic costs from longer swims and terrestrial travel

4.2.2 Demographic Effects

- Declining recruitment in multiple subpopulations
- Reduced litter sizes correlated with ice availability
- Increased adult mortality during extended ice-free periods
- Population bottlenecks in seasonal ice regions

4.3 Regional Variation in Climate Impacts

4.3.1 High Vulnerability Regions

- **Western Hudson Bay**: 50% decline since mid-1990s
- **Southern Beaufort Sea**: 40% decline over decade (2001-2010)
- **Baffin Bay**: Reduced body condition and recruitment

4.3.2 Relatively Stable Regions

- **Chukchi Sea**: Maintained stability despite ice loss due to high biological productivity
- **Kane Basin**: Temporary benefits from ice thinning improving habitat productivity
- **Barents Sea**: Stable trends with no legal hunting since 1973

4.4 Future Projections

4.4.1 Emission Scenario Outcomes

- **High Emissions (SSP8.5)**: Near-complete extirpation by 2100
- **Moderate Emissions (SSP4.5)**: Significant declines with some refugia persistence
- **Low Emissions (SSP2.6)**: Reduced but still substantial population declines

4.4.2 Tipping Points

- 180-day ice-free periods identified as critical threshold
- Cub survival limited to approximately 117 days without food
- Adult survival compromised beyond 220-day fasting periods

5. Existing Monitoring Systems and Databases

5.1 Technological Monitoring Systems

5.1.1 Satellite Telemetry Systems

- **Primary Use**: Track movements, habitat use, and population dynamics
- **Coverage**: International boundaries and remote Arctic regions
- **Data Integration**: Combined with capture-recapture and demographic models
- **Accuracy**: High precision for abundance estimates and behavioral change detection

5.1.2 Genomics-Based Monitoring

- **BEARWATCH Project**: Genome Canada-funded initiative using fecal-based biomarkers
- **Non-invasive Methods**: Environmental DNA (eDNA) from footprints and scat
- **Community Integration**: Training programs for Indigenous communities
- **Database Development**: Nanuq-Knowledge Management System combining genomics, TEK, and historical records

5.1.3 AI-Driven Detection Systems

- **PolarBearWatch!**: Real-time detection using IP cameras and computer vision
- **YOLO Models**: Machine learning for automated bear identification
- **Safety Applications**: Conflict prevention around research stations and communities

- **Dataset Development**: Integration of real, synthesized, and zoo-derived footage

5.2 Database Systems

5.2.1 Integrated Knowledge Databases

- **Nanuq-KMS**: Searchable database combining scientific data, TEK, and historical records
- **Community Control**: Indigenous data sovereignty and access management
- **Multi-source Integration**: Fur trade archives, government wildlife data, genomics results
- **Decision Support**: Informs quota setting, environmental assessments, and policy development

5.2.2 Conflict Monitoring Systems

- **PBHIMS**: Polar Bear-Human Information Management System
- **SMART Integration**: Spatial Monitoring and Reporting Tool for real-time tracking
- **Systematic Cataloging**: Standardized incident recording and analysis
- **Prevention Focus**: Data-driven conflict mitigation strategies

5.2.3 International Coordination Systems

- **IUCN PBSG Database**: Global subpopulation status and trend information
- **Circumpolar Monitoring Framework**: Standardized parameters across range states
- **Bilateral Data Sharing**: Transboundary population management systems

5.3 Monitoring Framework Integration

5.3.1 Multi-scale Approaches

- **Individual Level**: Telemetry, genetics, health assessments
- **Population Level**: Abundance estimates, vital rates, trend analysis
- **Ecosystem Level**: Habitat quality, prey availability, environmental conditions
- **Landscape Level**: Climate projections, sea ice modeling, habitat connectivity

5.3.2 Adaptive Management Systems

- **Real-time Data Integration**: Continuous updating of population models
- **Threshold-based Triggers**: Automated alerts for management intervention
- **Scenario Planning**: Multiple future pathway assessments
- **Stakeholder Integration**: Community-based monitoring and reporting

6. Key Findings and Synthesis

6.1 Scientific Advances

6.1.1 Methodological Innovations

Eric Regehr's contributions have fundamentally advanced polar bear population science through:

- **Integrated Population Models**: Combining multiple data sources for robust abundance estimates
- **Bayesian Network Evolution**: Three-phase development incorporating advancing climate science
- **Bioenergetic Integration**: Linking individual energetics to population outcomes
- **Spatial Modeling**: Accounting for movement and landscape heterogeneity

6.1.2 Knowledge Integration Breakthroughs

- **TEK-Science Synthesis**: Successful frameworks for combining knowledge systems
- **Community-Based Monitoring**: Scalable approaches for Indigenous involvement
- **Cultural Validation**: Ensuring scientific models reflect local observations and values
- **Adaptive Management**: Flexible frameworks responding to new information

6.2 Conservation Outcomes

6.2.1 Population Stabilization Successes

- **International Cooperation**: 1973 Agreement eliminating overhunting threats
- **Sustainable Harvest Management**: Science-based quota systems with TEK integration
- **Habitat Protection**: Designation of critical areas and migration corridors
- **Conflict Reduction**: Community-based programs reducing human-bear interactions

6.2.2 Research-Policy Integration

- **Evidence-Based Management**: Quantitative models informing harvest decisions
- **Climate Adaptation Planning**: Long-term strategies based on projection modeling
- **International Coordination**: Circumpolar Action Plan implementation
- **Indigenous Rights Recognition**: Co-management frameworks respecting cultural values

6.3 Emerging Challenges and Opportunities

6.3.1 Climate Change Acceleration

- **Unprecedented Rate**: Current warming exceeding historical adaptive capacity
- **Threshold Approaches**: Multiple subpopulations nearing critical tipping points
- **Ecosystem Cascades**: Broader Arctic changes affecting prey and habitat
- **Mitigation Urgency**: Need for immediate emission reduction actions

6.3.2 Technological Integration Potential

- **AI and Machine Learning**: Enhanced monitoring and prediction capabilities
- **Genomic Advances**: Population genetics and adaptation assessment
- **Remote Sensing**: Improved habitat and climate monitoring
- **Community Technology**: Accessible tools for Indigenous monitoring programs

7. Recommendations for Future Research and Conservation

7.1 Research Priorities

7.1.1 Model Development

- **Multi-scale Integration**: Linking individual, population, and ecosystem models
- **Uncertainty Quantification**: Better characterization of model limitations
- **Real-time Updating**: Dynamic models incorporating new data streams
- **Cross-validation**: Independent testing of model predictions

7.1.2 Data Collection Enhancement

- **Data-Deficient Regions**: Prioritized monitoring in Russian Arctic and East Greenland
- **Long-term Studies**: Sustained funding for multi-decadal research programs
- **Technology Deployment**: Expanded use of non-invasive monitoring tools
- **Community Capacity**: Training and support for Indigenous monitoring programs

7.2 Conservation Actions

7.2.1 Climate Mitigation

- **Emission Reductions**: Aggressive targets aligned with Paris Agreement goals
- **Arctic Protection**: 30% ocean protection by 2030 implementation
- **Renewable Energy**: Transition away from fossil fuel development in polar bear habitat
- **International Cooperation**: Enhanced coordination among range states

7.2.2 Adaptive Management

- **Flexible Harvest Systems**: Dynamic quotas responding to population changes
- **Habitat Connectivity**: Corridor protection for climate-driven range shifts
- **Conflict Prevention**: Proactive community-based management programs
- **Emergency Response**: Rapid intervention protocols for population crashes

7.3 Knowledge Integration Enhancement

7.3.1 Methodological Standardization

- **Best Practices**: Standardized approaches for TEK-science integration
- **Bias Reduction**: Systematic methods for uncertainty quantification
- **Cultural Protocols**: Respectful engagement with Indigenous knowledge holders
- **Benefit Sharing**: Equitable distribution of research outcomes

7.3.2 Capacity Building

- **Indigenous Training**: Technical skills development for community monitoring
- **Scientist Education**: Cultural competency and collaboration skills
- **Youth Engagement**: Next-generation conservation leadership development
- **Knowledge Transfer**: Effective communication between knowledge systems

8. Conclusions

This comprehensive review of polar bear conservation science from 2014-2024 reveals a field transformed by methodological innovation, knowledge integration, and international cooperation. Eric Regehr's quantitative modeling approaches have provided essential tools for understanding population dynamics and climate impacts, while the integration of Indigenous knowledge has enhanced both scientific accuracy and cultural relevance.

The current state of polar bear populations presents a complex picture of regional variation within an overall trajectory of climate-driven decline. While some subpopulations remain stable or have increased, the majority face significant challenges from sea ice loss, with projections indicating severe impacts without immediate climate action.

The integration of quantitative models with Traditional Ecological Knowledge represents a paradigm shift toward more inclusive and effective conservation science. This approach not only improves scientific understanding but also ensures that conservation strategies respect Indigenous rights and incorporate local expertise.

Existing monitoring systems demonstrate remarkable technological advancement, from satellite telemetry to AI-driven detection, while database integration enables unprecedented coordination across the circumpolar Arctic. However, significant data gaps remain, particularly in remote regions, highlighting the need for continued investment in monitoring infrastructure.

The path forward requires sustained commitment to both climate mitigation and adaptive management, supported by continued innovation in quantitative modeling and knowledge integration. The success of polar bear conservation ultimately depends on our ability to address the root cause of habitat loss—climate change—while maintaining the collaborative frameworks that have enabled progress to date.

Eric Regehr's contributions exemplify the type of rigorous, innovative, and collaborative science needed to address 21st-century conservation challenges. His work provides both the methodological foundation and the empirical evidence necessary for evidence-based management in an era of rapid environmental change.

References and Citations

Note: This summary synthesizes information from over 100 scientific sources, government reports, and conservation organization publications. Key references include peer-reviewed articles in Nature, Science, Scientific Reports, Ecological Applications, Biology Letters, and other leading journals, as well as reports from IUCN, USGS, WWF, Polar Bears International, and the Polar Bear Range States.

Primary Sources on Eric Regehr's Work:

- Regehr, E.V., et al. (2018). Integrated population modeling for polar bears in the Chukchi Sea. Scientific Reports, 8, 16780.
- Regehr, E.V., et al. (2021). Demographic risk assessment for a harvested species threatened by climate change: polar bears in the Chukchi Sea. Ecological Applications, 31(4), e02312.
- Multiple publications in Biology Letters, Conservation Biology, and other journals (2014-2024)

Key Institutional Sources:

- University of Washington Polar Science Center
- IUCN Polar Bear Specialist Group
- U.S. Geological Survey Alaska Science Center
- Polar Bears International
- World Wildlife Fund Arctic Programme
- Government of Canada Wildlife Research
- Greenland Institute of Natural Resources

Indigenous Knowledge Sources:

- Inuit Circumpolar Council publications
- Traditional Ecological Knowledge studies from Nunavut, Northwest Territories, Alaska, and Greenland
- Community-based monitoring program reports
- Co-management agreement documentation
