



I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

DIVORCE OR CIVIL WAR

FASCIST, TIMESHARE SLAVE OWNERS AND A TWO-STATE SOLUTION, PEACEFULLY

BY REX LEE REID

"I would not look to the US constitution, if I were drafting a constitution in the year 2012."

- Ruth Bader Ginsburg

QuotableLeft.com



Preface:

As we go from election to election, I would like to ask one simple question of the left and its voters. Are you a passive fascist that would be right at home in Nazi Germany? For the sake of this discussion, we will exclude the death camp part, and make no mistake; I am not attempting to downplay that part. I could easily make the case with 36-week abortions or “make them comfortable after they are born and then decide what to do.” there is no difference but I will not do so.

So, we will make our case for conviction beyond a reasonable doubt that the left (NE & left coast states) are populated at the very least with passive fascists, though more and more are moving to full-blown active fascism. In the end, we will call for a convention of the states to dissolve the union into the Liberal and Freedom State of America and provide a peaceful path forward for all to coexist. #Freexit

Chapter 1: Timeshare Slave Owners

Is the left made up of timeshare slave owners? Well, the answer is yes, and it applies to both legal and illegals. But it gets even worse. The left wants all the benefits of ownership, but none of the responsibility, at least the slave owners of old (not taking up for them here) took responsibility for the housing, food, and health care of their slaves. I mean, seriously, this is not even up for debate to a rational person when you consider the facts. But let's review.

We have a baseline of what the plantation owners "provided" for their slaves. So let's ask if the left might be even worse in some aspects than former slave owners. Today's left wants you—the taxpayer—to pick up all the overhead costs while they reap all the benefits. They expect their undocumented (they don't own them) illegals to be given free housing, health care, education, and food by the government. I know this will blow your mind if you are a

recent college grad or hippie leftist, but nothing is free. Said another way, you are nothing more than a lazy thief who only gets off the couch to vote for someone who promises to steal for you and enslave both legal taxpayers via the IRS and illegal aliens you pay off the books, of course.

Now, let's take a closer look at what the modern-day leftist takes advantage of but insists someone else pay for. Let's start with illegals here and see where we go. They want as many illegals as they can get to come to this nation. Why is that? Well, like all things left, they say one thing, but when the lights are turned down or they think the microphone is turned off, they actually tell the truth. So here is how it goes: "Oh, we should have compassion." Notice how feelings always pop up as rational and not reason from the left. Then, when they think the microphone is turned off, or in some cases, like Nancy Pelosi, they say with the cameras rolling, "we need to let them in so we have someone to cut our grass." I ask you a simple question: Did slaves of old not do

all or most of the task your average leftist want illegals to do today? Let's see: cut the grass, cook the food, pick the fields, and do I really need to go on? Because to be honest, I am going to make myself really sick or mad. So off we go to what ownership of your fellow citizens—legal and illegal—really means.

What the hell? Did I just say ownership of your fellow citizens? Yes, I did, and here is the case against you with the facts and not feelings. We are going to start with what it means to be a slave, at least a timeshare one, and build a case against you that will end in a conviction. Do slaves work for someone more or less at gunpoint and their owner takes what they want of the fruits of the slave's labor? Do your fellow citizens work at IRS gunpoint while you take from them what you want in order to provide all the things you don't want to pay for with regards to your illegal workforce? It's a simple question from a business perspective. Are you not just using each group as your timeshare slave to meet

your needs, not unlike the plantation owner? But wait, it keeps getting worse. And none of this is news if you understand anything about supply and demand. You actually drive more and more people deeper into slavery every year as it takes more and more revenue to provide these comforts, causing the average middle-class person to work longer and harder as your legal slave to pay for your plantation lifestyle. I would say shame on you, but I feel fairly certain that is a quality that is lost on most leftists since nothing can actually be their fault because they “care.” However, you have been convicted nonetheless by the weight of the evidence.

So here is a thought for reparations that will actually mean something for the good of the nation and should be good for your soul as well. Stop treating your fellow legal citizens as three-fifths of a person by stealing two-fifths of their wages and illegals as one-fifth of a person by exploiting them almost to the level of full-blown slavery. Just a thought!

Chapter 2: Supreme Court Nominee and Men on Campus

We all know that throughout history fascists have used any means necessary to gain or maintain power. They are not rational; they burned books, or in today's world, they shut others down with irrational chanting or screaming at the sun. When that does not work, they look to the courts—be it supreme or kangaroo—to execute their mob agenda. We saw this first hand when they threw every lie they could dream up to keep Brett Kavanaugh off the Supreme Court as they channeled the Nazi brownshirt mob without the Germany efficiency, thank heavens.

Now let's take a quick look at what stands for logic from the left and the four Supreme Court Justices that should have never been confirmed by the Senate. For exhibit A, we go to none other than Justice Kagan, and I am quoting her here "Kavanaugh's appointment calls into question the credibility of the court." I know what you are

thinking. Wasn't she the president of Harvard or something? Well yes, and who did she hire? None other than Brett Kavanaugh himself. So in her "highly educated" mind, the same guy she hired to teach young women brings down the reputation of the court. Ok, if this was a one-off because she believed the unbelievable Dr. Ford that would be one thing, but when you read her descents, you find someone that is either so irrational you would be surprised she finds her way to work every day without a GPS or someone who hates the founding principals of this nation and cannot believe she and her fellow leftists don't have cart Blanche to do as they see fit.

I know what you are thinking. Here he goes again, and now he is calling someone with American Bar Association certified credentials—and was even deemed highly qualified by Lindsey Graham—an unqualified hack. Well, let's take a quick look at a case before the court literally days before Kavanaugh was sworn in, to see if her

question/statement tells us anything. We find ourselves in a little known case *Weyerhaeuser v. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service* where the owner wants to sell his land so the timber can be harvested for \$33,000,000, and the Fish and Wildlife Service says no harvest. Why do they say no harvest? Because the dusky gopher frog lives next door. You heard me right; the frog does not even live on that land, but according to the feds, he might want to move next door someday. To that Justice Kagan stated, "To my mind, it is a counterintuitive result that the statute would prefer extinction of the species to the designation of an area which requires only certain reasonable improvements in order to support the species." Let's set aside the fact that she is now reading into the law what is not there and look at what she is really saying. The feds should have the right to order you to "protect" the environment by ordering you to change the environment to something that has never existed in human

history. Is it actually possible for a person to be more irrational?

In the interest of fairness, when the final ruling did come down, Kagan was on the correct side of the ruling. I also realize judges sometimes say/ask—let’s say “silly”—questions for probative value. The fact of the matter is, I could have gone to hundred-plus cases where she did ask those “probative” questions and stayed with that specious line of reasoning in her dissent or majority opinion. I only used this case because, ironically, it was being heard around the same time the four liberal justices fascist allies in the Senate were trying to destroy Brett Kavanaugh. The bottom line is, the four liberal justices (see cover quote from Ginsburg) on the court should never have been nominated let alone confirmed, and Chief Justice Roberts can easily be thrown in that batch. Roberts stated during his confirmation hearings, “he was not going to overrule the will of the people.” In other words, when Obama Care made it to his

desk, it was no surprise to me at least when he ruled the way he did since he already stated he would not defend the constitution against a mob of the majority.

Now let's take a quick look at just two cases on campuses: one way out of the limelight, and one that got national attention all though nowhere near the Duke Lacrosse case. The first one, we find a woman carrying a mattress around campus to every class and hanging out on the mall with it to highlight what had "happened" to her. Well, guess what, the usual group of kangaroo Senators spurred on by the likes of your fascist saint Obama came to her rescue and said she must be believed. Turns out our mattress carrying performance artist was actually a serial stalker of the young man literally begging him to come to her place so they can "hook up." While the old adage, I guess, is true, nothing is more dangerous than a jilted woman, that is no excuse for college administrators and political "leaders" to

give them Quarter in cases like this where the evidence, and I am being nice here, contradicts itself.

The sad part is it actually got worse. Now we find ourselves in an Obama-sanctioned kangaroo court where—surprise—a young man’s life hangs in the balance on sexual assault charges. And he must “prove” his innocence without speaking to his accuser, being allowed to have a lawyer or present any real facts surrounding the matter. While the “judges” either cower in fear that the adolescent mob on campus will attack them; they will lose federal funding for not following the policy of their chief kangaroo; or they are actually members of the burn-the-warlock-at-the-stake mob themselves. In this case, what was the final fact that sealed this young man’s fate to be cast into the fire? “He gave the young lady a stick of gum” before they had sex. Yeah, you heard me right. The defining factor was a stick of gum. Now, I know Frank Zappa told us about the Illinois Bandit, and by all means, correct me if I am wrong, but I don’t think if he

were alive today even he would come up with the idea of the Bubble Gum Assaulter.

So the question is: do you think this is a) un-American
b) I would not want this to happen to me or my son and am willing to never vote for a person who would support such policies or actions or c) I am fine with this and the people I voted for robbing my fellow Americans of the right to due process as long as it is not me?

If you chose anything other than A or B, label yourself a passive fascist. While you are not in the streets burning cars, trashing buildings, or spitting on our troops, you do give aid and comfort to those that are and that makes you nothing more than a lazy Brown Shirt.

Chapter 3: Public Schools

Are you a passive fascist that would be at home in Nazi Germany? Let's start with the founder of fascism's Giovanni Gentile words, "the individual should willingly submit his interest to the state" and see where that takes us.

Let me start by saying, the topic which I will be broaching is in no way meant to discredit the work of millions of teachers across this nation that do more good in a single day than 99% of our elected officials do in a lifetime under 10 times the pressure. That being said, we must ask one question given the current infiltration of the public school system by those on the left. What can be more fascist in nature than the government picking up your child, taking them to a building, and teaching them what they want to be taught, while you, the parent, have little to no say in the matter even though you are forced to pay for the entire endeavor?

Once again, I feel the need—even though I shouldn't if we are having an honest discussion—to state that this is not about the teachers and a system that has done great service to this nation for many years. But times have changed, so let's look at the latest example to drive that point home. Today's young people are actually marching in the streets and demanding the government take away their rights. That, on its surface, should be enough for the average American to say, “wait just a dang minute. what the hell are you teaching my kids down there in that Department of Education-approved building?” but it gets worse. Those “teaching” your child while getting paid with money they stole from you are actively engaged in the same endeavor and are more than likely castigating and giving your child a bad grade for having the unmitigated gall to question what even a 2nd grader understood just forty or so years ago. That veterans fought and died for us to have the rights outlined in the Bill of Rights and there is no way in hell we should be

marching in the streets demanding the government take those right from us.

The fact of the matter is, we have not even begun to discuss how corrupt the system is without taking a look at the unions in many states that all but run the system. This, like all public sector unions, is corrupt to its core, just like FDR knew it would be. They, for all intents and purposes, are a money-laundering scam for leftists, but in the end, they don't even really give a crap about your child. I know what you're thinking, "oh no, he has gone off the deep end." Now if you think I am wrong, let's listen to what the president of the teacher's union said not off-camera but with the video rolling to a gathering of those who care about your children. He said, "I don't care about the children; I care about you," and the crowd went wild.

This is too important of a topic in the event I am unable to convince the Liberal State of America to file for

divorce, so I will offer a solution. Who knows, it might actually save us from civil war a generation from now if we can hold on long enough for it to have the impact, I know it would. We mandate school choice programs for all states that take federal money. Being the nice guy I am, I'll make it a win-win for all involved, and I will even use round numbers to make the explanation simple. Say your a school district spends \$10,000 per student per year. At the beginning of the year, the parents will be given a voucher worth \$7500, and the school that child would attend will be given one for \$2500, the remaining portion. If the parents choose to have their child attend that school, they will match up for full funding. Should they decide to take their child to their church school so be it, but the school the child did not attend will keep the \$2500 to assist in the education of those that do attend that school since we all know money drives results and if you don't believe that to be true check out the performance of the D.C. schools system. You know the one

Obama sent his children to, that spends more per student than almost any school district in the country, oops.

Like all questions of liberty or tyranny, it should start with the foundational principles of it is my child and my money that pays for the schools. Therefore, it is my choice as to where I send my child. Now, none of this should even be open for debate in a rational society that is not fascist in nature. So the next time you go to the polls and vote for someone who is against anything other than complete school choice, meaning the ability to take your child to the school of your choice even if it means your church's school, ask yourself this one simple question. Am I more in line with the founder of fascism Giovanni Gentile or Adam Smith and our founding fathers?

Chapter 4: BDS, The Left, and a little Climate Dysphoria

Nothing better illustrates the conclusion herein than the left's hatred for Israel. Be it on college campuses or in the highest offices in the land, the left has nothing but disdain for Israel, and they share that hate with two very distinct groups: Nazi Germany and Islam. In some amazing twist of fate, dare I say, a vast majority of "Jews" in this nation agree.

Why is there so much hate directed at a nation that is the size of a large county in the United States? I personally believe its real foundation is no more complicated than the age-old battle of good versus evil. Ask yourself in the past 2000 years what actual evil has the Jewish state perpetrated on its neighbors? None. They did, however, stand against a "prophet" who lived a life that should be an anthem, to all of those on the left, but in an even stranger turn of events than Jews hating Israel, the left embraces the religion/cult of

peace as if its leader were none other than the great Obama himself.

That being said, let's take a short look at how young people on campus can buy into this fascist nonsense. Israel is presented to them by the passive—or I am sure some would say active fascists—as some kind of evil thief of land that is rightfully someone else's property. Let's set aside for the moment that there is a book or a deed, if you will, that outlines their claim to the land that is older than almost any document in history and about two thousand years the senior to the “prophet” even being born.

But how do those with BDS syndrome convince these young people to go all-in on this, they tie it together with something that hits them right in the pocketbook. All children to one degree or the other grow up as wards of the state or put another way, adolescent welfare babies. As parents, it is our job to provide for them even though way too many pass

that burden to the state, be it free meals or healthcare. I could go on, but that is not the purpose of this line of reasoning. The point here is if parents, did not teach their children they would one day have to fend for themselves. It comes as a complete shock to them when they have to pay for their own college, food, housing, and the list goes on. So they enter college, and the reality they never heard of smacks them in the face. They want someone to blame.

Stay with me here. Then they encounter this smart-sounding teacher with the haircut of your average Google executive or Einstein, and they believe he must be so smart and consumed with the pursuit of knowledge that he doesn't even have time to cut his hair. So they think, "I must listen to him." And what does he tell these minds in search of knowledge? Israel has stolen the land and are preventing its rightful owner from claiming it just like those that won't pay their fair share are preventing you from having fee tuition. Throw in a side helping of Trump is Satan for wanting tax

cuts, and bam, you have a huge portion of radical nitwits protesting free speech on campus if it challenges their passive-now-turned-active fascism.

This brand of reasoning even invades the minds of supposedly rational engineering students framed as knowledge, but more like a false religion in reality. Take “climate change.” You have A+ students in engineering graduating believing this “scientific” drivel because they have been indoctrinated on this subject and commanded to all but ignore scientific reasoning.

You might ask, are you saying “climate change” isn’t scientific? Let’s take a quick look at the Design of Experiment (DoE) and how that works. To make beer, you have three or four ingredients brewed at a certain pressure, time and temperatures that you can vary to get the desired results. That is more or less a DoE with six variables any one of which can change your product. Your A+ student

would understand this and adjust accordingly for the desired results be it say taste or alcohol content. Now we roll over to climate change, and this same student who would have a fit if your brew time was off by five minutes believes that someone has taken a half million variables with regard to the planets climate and drilled it down to one thing—carbon—that causes climate change. The point being, we have not taught our children to think critically at home, and sending them to most colleges is the wrong place to expect that to happen be it about Israel, climate change or almost any issue you can think of that is required for them not to become active fascists.

While we are on the subject of climate change, any teacher who teaches our K – 12 children the world will end in twelve years or one hundred and twelve years and has them crying and protesting such should receive one year in jail for each student they have preached to, and I used that word on purpose. This is clearly child abuse and should be punished

as such but that can be fixed easily with fill in the blank: -----

----- . Hint: see prior chapter for clues

Chapter 5: Abortion and Fascism

I know when I bring up the A word many will close their minds instantly, but stay with me here, it will not be about whether it is right or wrong. There has been enough said about that side of the subject. We will look at it through the legal lenses of a driver who made an honest mistake and caused an accident (maybe you one day) and a "provider."

We join Mary on her way to the abortion clinic, and as she is about to pull into the parking lot, a distracted driver Joseph, who has just spilled his Starbucks coffee in his lap does not notice she is slowing down. He hits Mary's car going 45 MPH when she is almost at a complete stop. The airbag deploys, and her body is wrenched by the seat belt around her stomach, she goes into shock and is taken to the hospital where she loses the baby.

Two weeks later, the district attorney indicts Joseph, the driver of the car, for vehicular homicide, or said another

way, murder. Now, if you are pro-abortion because it is not a baby, should you not be marching in the streets to stop this treatment of Joseph? Or in your mind is it ok to pay a "doctor" \$2500 to do what Joseph did by accident?

It is really a simple question: have you graduated from passive fascism to active fascism when you are willing to send your fellow American to jail for doing something for free—granted by accident—that someone was just about to pay for? I know I am being really optimistic that reason will enter into this discussion, but I fully expect that those celebrating the ruling of *Roe vs. Wade* every year do so by calling on their elected officials to put a stop to this travesty of justice where someone goes to jail for doing what others pay for every day with the blessing on the Supreme Court. If you are pro-choice and even slightly intellectually honest about this, you will call not only for a changing of the law but the immediate release and pardon of anyone ever convicted of this “non-crime”.

Chapter 6: The Deep State

If there is one thing that personifies Nazi Germany, it would have to be the Deep State. The fact of the matter is no rational person can say it does not exist, and worse yet, not a single elected Democrat in the House or Senate—to my knowledge—has advocated for the prosecution of those in the DOJ, FBI, and IRS that ran the coup against Trump.

Don't take my word for it. Here is a direct quote from Mark Penn, a Democrat consultant, perhaps harkening back to a day when there was at least some decent rational people in the party:

People bristle when I sometimes adopt and use that term Deep State, but as an outside observer, watching the unmasking of the actions of one official after another at the FBI, CIA, and DOJ, I have come to accept that an unelected group of well-educated, experienced individuals running these departments

became inebriated with their own power during the last election campaign and apparently came to believe they were on a mission to stop, defeat, or remove President Trump and his associates for crimes they would find or, if necessary, manufacture.

That pretty much says all that needs to be said. I would take umbrage with the well-educated part because if you knew anything about history, you would know even if it benefited your side at that moment in time, you would fight against such actions because you would realize the power of the state to manufacture evidence could one day be turned on you. But like all good fascists, the government is their god, and they are righteous in the use of its power.

There is, however, an additional troubling factor that will more or less be the final nail in the coffin that leads up to Chapter Seven. There can be little doubt that at the top of the Saul Alinsky Command Center was Obama. James

Clapper on CNN stated if it were not for Obama, none of the current "investigation" would be happening. And we now have the text message between Stroz and Page that confirm, "POTUS wants to know everything we are doing."

I am reluctant to abdicate for the prosecution of any ex-president. Don't get me wrong, I do not believe the founders thought the president was above the law, given the impeachment clause enshrined in the Constitution. What I am saying, even as the actual threats to democracy in the House of Representatives continue, it would set a dangerous precedent for future generations if the former president were to be prosecuted. Now that does not exonerate him or preclude us from having to prosecute every single person in the chain of command save one.

See, a "well educated" person realizes the slippery slope one would be on if he/she advocated for his prosecution, unlike those in the highest ranks of the Obama

Administration and more than likely Obama himself. The American people knew or should have known, who Obama was even if they only knew one simple fact: that he started his political career in the home of a domestic terrorist that bombed a police station. That is not to say that everyone below him that executed unlawful orders should not be jailed for their behavior, but it's better to err on the side of caution for this one elected person for the health of the republic.

Now don't get me wrong, Obama should be tried for treason for what he authorized in this case alone. But just because the left has gone full-on fascist and we want revenge, that is no reason to risk becoming a banana republic. Even democrats back in the day knew it was better to let Nixon ride off into the sunset for the good of the nation.

Chapter 7: Divorce or Civil War

So where are we and where do we go from here? As a third-generation veteran, I find either choice gut-wrenching, but sometimes the pain of divorce must be experienced for the greater good. At this very moment in time, what I will call the Liberal States of America (LSA) in the Northeast and West Coast are in open defiance of federal law with sanctuary cities and states, not unlike the slave states were after the Emancipation Proclamation. The sad, or should I say infuriating part, is they are taking tax money stolen from me to cooperate with ICE and are using that money to help skirt the laws they are getting paid to uphold.

In my honest opinion, we have arrived at the point where our differences are irreconcilable, but if we can call a Convention of the States and follow the framework I am about to layout, we can avoid any more bloodshed than the left has already inflicted on our side, stooping to a point so

low as to even shoot up a friendly softball game. Said another way, we in the Freedom States of America (FSA) can no longer stay in a relationship with the Liberal States of America when they demand Bill Clinton be our marriage/fidelity counselor, Ted Kennedy be our driver, and Jeffery Epstein is in charge of who our daughter's date. No self-respecting man or woman would stay in such a relationship, and you should not expect us to do so either. So, where do we go from here given the fact the LSA is already at ethical and physical war with the FSA?

First, we will set the ground rules for the divorce with joint custody and visitation rights. At the completion of the 2020 election, if you have two Democratic Senators, you will be an automatic member of the LSA, and likewise for Republicans and the FSA. If you have one of each, a vote will be put forth by each state as to which nation they will join in 2024. No legal citizen at the time of this being ratified will be denied the right to live in any state they choose, be it FSA

or LSA, and no tax or penalty shall be levied on them above the state's residents. A first-generation immigrant shall not be allowed to vote in the state of a different affiliation for life but will continue to vote in the state from which they migrated. Both the FSA and LSA will use the constitution as their founding document with this entire divorce agreement being added as an addendum to both.

Second, upon completion of the 2020 census, the national debt shall be divided between the FSA and LSA according to population. The FSA shall establish a new capital for itself, and Washington D.C. shall be part and parcel of the LSA.

Third, military assets will be divided base by base with one side choosing one and then the other choosing one with a coin toss to decide who chooses first. Upon completion of that exercise, it will be the owning nation's responsibility to maintain and populate the base. The location of said base

will be sovereign territory of that nation, regardless of which nation it may be a physical part of. The service academies cost will be split 50/50 with each nation allotted those slots to ensure good relations among the nations.

Fourth, there shall be no tariffs between the nations.

Fifth, the FSA will take the judges on the Supreme Court-appointed by Republican presidents, and the LSA shall take those appointed by Democratic presidents as the foundation of their new courts.

Sixth, each nation will have the exclusive right to set its own immigration policies and can deny—for any reason—citizenship or legal alien status as they see fit. If a resident of the LSA bore a child in the FSA or an FSA resident bore a child in an LSA state, the parents shall have the exclusive right to choose what nation that child shall be a resident of. No person that is in either nation illegally and bears a child shall have the right to claim that child as a citizen of that

nation. However, should the LSA or FSA decide to wave that restriction through constitutional amendment, that is fully within their right as a sovereign nation, but it does not apply to the other nation.

I will leave you with a couple of data points that show we have reached the breaking point. *The Wall Street Journal* reports that Ashley Kavanaugh's received the following emails at work. "May you, your husband and your kids burn in hell," said one email. The other told her she should tell Brett Kavanaugh to "put a bullet in his ... skull,". Now, I realize this is some random nut case spewing hate, but this captures the essence of the issue. Those statements, cleaned up just a little, could have been written by or were stated by every senator, save maybe one from the LSA. I for one will not stand by while your representatives treat my fellow American in this manner. The truly sad part is your fellow citizens, who voted for these people, are the ones they abuse the most, save the unborn. All you have to do is

look at the inner-city conditions, the people they say they “care” about most, to realize they need to file for divorce as well.

As we were about to go to print the final portion of the circle was darkened in just a little more. A Jordan Peterson documentary is about to be released and a church on the left coast announced they will preview it in their church and the left's response was right out of the fascist handbook. Don't make us get out the guillotine and while we don't want to see your church damaged or parishioners hurt, we cannot allow fascist material to be played in our Liberal State of America. Game, set, match, your conviction is beyond a reasonable doubt, so go forth and display your trophy with honor for once you earned it.

Epilogue – Root Cause Analysis

To be honest, I wanted to leave it at that, but what kind of Lean Six Sigma Master Black Belt would I be if I did not do a root cause analysis? Not a good one, my colleagues would surely say. So here we go. Although the beginning has been said in one form or the other, there are going to be things said that at the very least will make you say, hmm.


I don't think anyone will disagree that there has been a rapid secularization of this nation in the last forty years. The only question is: has it been good or bad for the nation? No rationally-thinking person can possibly say it has been for the good of the nation. Now, don't get me wrong, there are plenty of people who will say the opposite, but they are just plain wrong. Eighty plus percent of our problems can be laid at the feet of our nation losing its foundational moorings. Let me illustrate this by quoting an unknown author, "the reason

we have 7000 pages of laws is because man cannot follow ten written in stone.”

First, let me say there has been evil throughout history perpetrated in the name of Judeo-Christian values, no one can deny that fact. A good example of that evil is slavery and the endorsement thereof by some in the “church.” But the lies told about the Crusades are just that—lies. Now, did some evil happen during the Crusades? Of course, it did, as it would in any war, but that does not make the entire venture evil. Let’s make one thing perfectly clear, the evil done in the name of the church is just that, done in name only. There is no room for debate on that. Christ did not preach or condone that evil. Did he say or stand for things that will make your average snowflake drop to their knees and scream at the sun, yes, but that is not a problem with Christianity. Whether you like it or not, it is a problem with your heart, period. The bottom line is, truth is binary whether you like it or not. Just try jumping out of an airplane

without a parachute screaming, “I don’t believe in gravity” and see how that works out for you.

Now let’s have a look at how secularization, regardless of whether you agree or disagree with the whole God thing, drives eighty-plus percent of the issues at this moment in time. We will do this with the assistance of two pyramids: Maslow’s Hierarchy and His Hierarchy, for lack of a better term.



Most of us are familiar with Maslow's Hierarchy, and it is more or less self-explanatory as detailed above. His Hierarchy has three levels. The first two levels are well-known, and the top-level can be disputed, wrongly. If you disagree, I have two points for you to consider that will be brief. The first is google Walter E. Williams, to be clear he did not endorse this book but he has done a much better job explaining the morality of capitalism in video and print form than I could ever hope to achieve. Second, it is no more complicated than this: in the past hundred years, all you have to do is look at nations around the world that made government god completely—like the USSR and most of Europe now—and compare them to the US to see which one actually lifted more people out of poverty. If you want to get to the micro-level, you can look at the US and see how as we moved closer to Socialism in the Obama years, things got worse and the further away we went, how things got better as illustrated by the rise in wages since 2016.



Now the question is how do the complimentary pyramids interreact with one another to show us what makes our society dysfunctional? In Maslow's, you have the bottom two levels (basic needs) that, up until the post-industrial age, most families and people were striving to fulfill on a daily basis. Said another way, when the average person worked either an extremely physical job or long hours to provide for themselves, they spent less time seeking or worrying about the next two levels, psychological needs. It also made the

nuclear family more important because it took the effort of all involved for its members to survive or thrive.

Fast forward to 2019 and what do we have? The miracle of Capitalism, which is the direct result of the first two levels of His pyramid, has allowed mostly members of the West to focus more and more on their psychological needs. The tragic irony here is as more energy and focus was put on those levels, the more likely the moorings of His pyramid were cast aside because, in the prideful mind, they were the ones that fought their way off the bottom. This is where everything goes south, figuratively and literally.

If there is one thing almost everyone understands, even if it is only intuitively, it is that nature abhors a vacuum, and a vacuum must and will be filled. Enter stage left, Socialism, fueled by its root sin—envy—, and you have a modern-day recipe for disaster. As basic needs are met by Capitalism for some and an ever-increasing number by

Socialism's safety net/hammock made possible by Capitalism, the soul replaces God with Government, and the race is on to the bottom. So, you end up where we are at this moment in time. Tyranny is executed by the majority, as they demand the house of worship they can control via their vote subjugate financially, physically if necessary, and worst of all, mentally the free-thinking masses, lest they say something that harms their psychological needs.

As time marches on, the mob becomes an ever more aggressive collective—on the left mostly—but trust me when I say, as a survivor of Hurricane Michael, one of only four Category 5 hurricanes to hit the states. Step in front of government god, giving that mob what they think they deserve, and the Right will help form a Salem Witch Hunt Tribunal and hang you in the social media gallows as well. The fact of the matter is the government cannot make you happy, give you self-esteem, make you feel loved, and it for damn sure cannot help you achieve your full potential. If

anything, its sole purpose is to make sure you never even get close to your full potential because the day you do, you'll realize you don't need them anymore. That is unless you want to join forces with them to seal off any pesky competition.

So, what is the solution? One could easily state the obvious: walk away from the idea that government can—or more importantly should—give you anything. Go out and fill that void as you see fit. I would recommend you look at His pyramid at least for a second, but whether you do or not, stop freaking looking at my wallet and me. After all, I am the government that you demand to meet your needs, and I am sick and tired of you being a lazy thief stealing my money via your mob vote. While you are at it, stop demanding I call you one of your moronic pronouns. I am going to call you by His pronouns, whether you like it or not, and to be frank, you should be ashamed of yourself for demanding me—or anyone

else—do otherwise, because you are causing a worldwide outbreak of stupid.

P.S. File the divorce paperwork already, if for no other reason we cling to our God and guns, just saying 😏

THIS BOOK IS DEDICATED TO MY GRANDFATHER WHO IS IN THIS PICTURE AND MY FATHER WHO MADE EVERY PICTURE BETTER AND INSPIRED ME TO GO INTO THE AIR FORCE.




JACK & THOMAS
OF NEWBORN PARK
CHICAGO, ILL.

STATUE OF LIBERTY
1886 OFFERS AGAIN
AT
COLUMBIAN EXPOSITION
CANTON, MASSACHUSETTS
JULY 1ST TO OCTOBER 3RD 1893