Assessment #4

Research Assessment #3

Annotated Bibliography Assessment

Name: Aiswharyaa Lalgudi Nagarajan

Date: September 26, 2025

Topic: Biomedical Engineering

MLA citation:

Kadry, Hossam et al. “A blood-brain barrier overview on structure, function, impairment, and integrity biomarkers.” Fluids and barriers of the CNS vol. 17,1 69. 18 Nov. 2020, doi:10.1186/s12987-020-00230-3

Assessment:

The article by Kadry et al. (2020) provided an in-depth review of the blood–brain barrier (BBB), highlighting its structure, function, and role in disease. The BBB primarily comprises endothelial cells, astrocytes, and pericytes that form the neurovascular unit. Tight junction proteins such as claudins and occludin, efflux transporters, and metabolic enzymes regulate what enters and exits the brain, ensuring homeostasis and limiting drug delivery. The review also discussed how BBB disruption is linked to neurological diseases like Alzheimer’s, stroke, and brain tumors, as well as biomarkers that can be used to assess its integrity.

What stood out to me most was how the BBB is not just a passive “wall,” but an active, highly dynamic system. I had previously thought of it only as a selective gatekeeper that blocked drugs. Still, I see it as a living interface constantly shaped by interactions among endothelial cells, pericytes, and astrocytes. I learned about the role of tight junction proteins like claudin-5, and how their loss in conditions like multiple sclerosis or stroke can directly increase permeability. The fact that shear stress can alter BBB gene expression and function reallyshocked me.

This knowledge is highly relevant to my ISM project, since I'm exploring how genetic mutations (like BRCA1 deficiency) affect cellular responses in space. If the BBB is so sensitive to stressors like hypoxia, inflammation, and shear stress, I need to ask how space radiation and microgravity affect its integrity. This connection makes the variable valuable because it highlights how fragile but crucial the BBB is in protecting the brain, especially under stressful or unusual environments. I can already see myself applying this by considering the BBB function as a variable when thinking about astronaut health in my research. This practical application of the research is inspiring and gives me a clear direction for my work.

Breaking down the article, I noticed three main parts: the structure of the BBB (cells and junctions), the transport mechanisms (passive diffusion, carrier-mediated transport, receptor-mediated transport), and the implications of its impairment in disease. The transport mechanisms section gave me new clarity compared to what I already knew. For example, I used to think lipophilicity alone predicted drug entry into the brain. Still, the paper explained that more than 98% of small molecules fail to cross, even if they're lipophilic. That revised my assumptions about how "easy" it might be to design CNS drugs. I also appreciated how the authors tied together multiple disease contexts, stroke, tumors, and Alzheimer's, under the unifying theme of BBB disruption.

This article sparked a few novel research questions for me. If shear stress and astrocytic signaling are critical to BBB maintenance, then could astronauts be more vulnerable to BBB disruption in microgravity (where shear stress is altered)? And if BRCA1-deficient cells already struggle with DNA repair, could BBB breakdown amplify neurological risks by letting in more toxins or inflammatory molecules? I am considering blending this with my prior knowledge: perhaps biomarkers of BBB integrity (like claudin-5 levels or TEER measurements) could be helpful indicators in future space biology experiments. That gives me an idea of how to design experiments or at least frame hypotheses that connect my project to neurovascular health.

Overall, I found this article both motivating and overwhelming. On the one hand, it gave me a strong foundation and pushed me to think about the BBB in ways I had not considered. On the other hand, I realized how complex it is; dozens of transporters, proteins, and feedback loops. That complexity could feel discouraging, but I see it as a challenge: I don't need to master every detail right now, but I can focus on the aspects that overlap with my project. I also believe this article was very effective in shaping my understanding because it tied molecular details to clinical consequences. I appreciated how it returned to the "so what?" question by connecting the BBB's biology to diseases and therapeutic obstacles. This balance between detail and relevance made it one of the more engaging and helpful reviews I've read.