# Community School for Creative Education School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2018-19 School Year Published During 2019-20 

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.


## DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

## Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## About This School

School Contact Information (School Year 2019-20)

| Entity | Contact Information |
| :--- | :--- |
| School Name | Community School for Creative Education |
| Street | 2111 International Blvd. |
| City, State, Zip | Oakland, CA 94606-4903 |
| Phone Number | (510) 686-4131 |
| Principal | Monique Brinson |
| Email Address | moniqueb@communityschoolforcreativeeducation.org |
| Website | www.communityschoolforcreativeeducation.org |
| County-District-School (CDS) Code | 01100170123968 |


| Entity | Contact Information |
| :--- | :--- |
| District Name | Community School for Creative Education |
| Phone Number | (510) 686-4131 |
| Superintendent | Ida Oberman |
| Email Address | ida@communityschoolforcreativeeducation.org |
| Website | www.communityschoolforcreativeeducation.org |

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2019-20)

We are the country's first Transitional K-8 Grade Intercultural Public Waldorf School. We are a Standards-Aligned, EquityFocused Charter. Oakland Community Organizations is our founding and key partner.

## Our Vision Is:

The Community School for Creative Education envisions a future in which: all children have access to quality education; all children experience success in community and career; and youth voices contribute in valued and meaningful ways to the success of an thriving, equitable, and multi-cultural society.

## Our Mission Is:

To achieve our vision, the Community School for Creative Education is a TK through 8th grade charter school that partners with families and communities to serve the richly diverse students of Oakland, California. The school is committed to promoting equity in education by providing a rigorous, standards-based academic program integrated into a culturally rich, arts-infused curriculum and guided by ongoing assessment. Through nurturing the whole child - head, heart, and hands - our school prepares o culturally competent, o well-rounded, o lifelong learners and leaders to be college-ready, confident and culturally competent, and prepared to lead, contribute to, and successfully participate in our rapidly changing multicultural society.

CSCE Mission and "What Do W e Stand For"
Our Mission is inspired by the Rudolf Steiner Waldorf methodology to partner with families and communities to provide a rigorous, highly personalized, college preparatory program integrated into a culturally rich, arts-infused curriculum for the diverse students of Oakland to promote equity and prepare culturally competent, ell-rounded lifelong learners to lead, contribute to and successfully participate in our rapidly changing, multicultural society Community School for Creative Education.

The School Rests On Three Pillars:

We commit to:

- Provide a Common-Core -aligned curriculum
- Be inspired by Waldorf principles
- Stay focused on Equity \& create change in our society's structure by helping all children to succeed in school and in life.

These pillars provide the school's foundation and direction.
We commit to knitting these together as a professional learning community continuously refining and reflecting on data as we go. We Recognize Learning to Read as a Key Portal on the Path: We recognize: Learning to read $w$ ell is the cornerstone of creating equitable outcomes for our children. We do it through integration of:

- Direct and systematic phonics instruction, close reading, guided reading, shared reading and interactive reading in Reader's and Writer's workshop (see Renewal, p 20);
- Core Waldorf practices: Teaching through the artistic; employing main lesson; main lesson book; reinforcement through subjects. (CSCE Renewal, p. $20 \&$ p.25) We follow cutting-edge learning theory by drawing from Waldorf practices in our lesson design which moves from whole (the story) to part (direct instruction) and back to whole (the artistic activity).

We Aim To Be Preventive Rather Than Reactive: The aim of CSCE is to focus on prevention, intervention and acceleration of all students. To achieve this goal, we are committed to create a process similar to Response to Intervention (RTI) and Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) that together provide staff a routine systematic way to identify students in need early on so they can be provided with appropriate interventions.

Our Accountability is To Ourselves: W e commit to conducting ongoing inquiry together around focus areas, collecting data and reflecting on outcomes (Cycle of Inquiry). By inquiring and assessing frequently, regularly and using multiple measures (CSCE Renewal, p. 52-59) w e learn how to do our work better. W e engage multiple modalities and interdisciplinary curriculum drawing from Waldorf.

Our goal is to: Meet Common-Core Standards: $80 \%$ of 5 th graders and beyond will meet or exceed standards in both English Language Arts and Math.

Specifically, in reading, our target is:

- by end of first grade, a fluency rate of 60 words per minute
- by end of third grade, a fluency rate of 110 words per minute
- by end of fifth grade , a fluency rate of 140 words per minutes (see Renewal, pp. 27-28)

Do that and more: Develop life-long leaders by harnessing Waldorf inspired methods
Do that for all: Both those who are "school dependent" and those who are not

## Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2018-19)

| Grade Level | Number of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kindergarten | 48 |
| Grade 1 | 32 |
| Grade 2 | 30 |
| Grade 3 | 29 |
| Grade 4 | 25 |
| Grade 5 | 23 |
| Grade 6 | 20 |
| Grade 7 | 20 |
| Grade 8 | 14 |
| Total Enrollment | 241 |

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2018-19)

| Student Group | Percent of Total Enrollment |
| :--- | :---: |
| Black or African American | 14.9 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 2.1 |
| Asian | 10 |
| Filipino | 0.8 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 57.7 |
| White | 2.9 |
| Two or More Races | 2.9 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 59.3 |
| English Learners | 47.7 |
| Students with Disabilities | 14.1 |
| Foster Youth | 0.4 |
| Homeless |  |

## A. Conditions of Learning

## State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair


## Teacher Credentials

| Teachers | School <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | School <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | School <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0}$ | District <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With Full Credential | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Without Full Credential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments* | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. *Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

Year and month in which data were collected: January 2020

| Subject |  | Textbooks and Other Instructional <br> Materials/year of Adoption | From Most <br> Recent <br> Adoption? |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reading/Language Arts |  | Percent Students <br> Lacking Own <br> Assigned Copy |  |
| Mathematics | EngageNY | Yes |  |
| Science |  | Yes |  |
| History-Social Science |  | Yes |  |
| Foreign Language |  | Yes |  |
| Health |  | Yes |  |
| Visual and Performing Arts |  | Yes |  |
| Science Laboratory Equipment <br> (grades 9-12) |  |  |  |

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

## School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the most recently collected FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2018

| System Inspected | Rating | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, <br> Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | Fair |  |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | Fair |  |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, <br> Pest/ Vermin Infestation | Fair |  |
| Electrical: Electrical | Fair |  |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, <br> Sinks/ Fountains | Good |  |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous <br> Materials | Good |  |
| Structural: Structural Damage, <br> Roofs | Fair |  |

External: Playground/School
Grounds, Windows/
Doors/Gates/Fences

## Overall Rating

## Fair

## B. Pupil Outcomes

## State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.


## CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

 Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard| Subject | School <br> 2017-18 | School <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | District <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | District <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | State <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | State <br> 2018-19 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language Arts/Literacy <br> (grades 3-8 and 11) | 30 | 24 | 43 | 45 | 50 | 50 |
| Mathematics <br> (grades 3-8 and 11) | 22 | 18 | 35 | 36 | 38 | 39 |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

| Student Group | Total <br> Enrollment | Number <br> Tested | Percent <br> Tested | Percent <br> Not <br> Tested | Percent <br> Met or <br> Exceeded |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 142 | 127 | 89.44 | 10.56 | 24.41 |
| Male | 70 | 60 | 85.71 | 14.29 | 15.00 |
| Female | 72 | 67 | 93.06 | 6.94 | 32.84 |
| Black or African American | 26 | 26 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 38.46 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |


| Student Group | Total <br> Enrollment | Number <br> Tested | Percent <br> Tested | Percent <br> Not <br> Tested | Percent <br> Met or <br> Exceeded |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Filipino | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hispanic or Latino | -22 | 74 | 90.24 | 9.76 | 14.86 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Two or More Races | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 90 | 79 | 87.78 | 12.22 | 21.52 |
| English Learners | 79 | 69 | 87.34 | 12.66 | 15.94 |
| Students with Disabilities | 25 | 25 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foster Youth | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Homeless |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

| Student Group | Total <br> Enrollment | Number <br> Tested | Percent <br> Tested | Percent <br> Not <br> Tested | Percent <br> Met or <br> Exceeded |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 142 | 135 | 95.07 | 4.93 | 17.78 |
| Male | 70 | 66 | 94.29 | 5.71 | 16.67 |
| Female | 72 | 69 | 95.83 | 4.17 | 18.84 |
| Black or African American | 26 | 26 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 19.23 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Asian | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Filipino | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hispanic or Latino | 82 | 76 | 92.68 | 7.32 | 11.84 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |


| Student Group | Total <br> Enrollment | Number <br> Tested | Percent <br> Tested | Percent <br> Not <br> Tested | Percent <br> Met or <br> Exceeded |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Two or More Races | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 90 | 85 | 94.44 | 5.56 | 16.47 |
| English Learners | 79 | 73 | 92.41 | 7.59 | 16.44 |
| Students with Disabilities | 25 | 25 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 16.00 |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foster Youth | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Homeless |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Grades Five, Eight, and Ten
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

| Subject | School | School | District | District | State | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| Science (grades 5, 8 and high school) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.
Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February $1,2021$.

## State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2018-19)

| Grade Level | Percentage of Students <br> Meeting Four of Six <br> Fitness Standards | Percentage of Students <br> Meeting Five of Six <br> Fitness Standards | Percentage of Students <br> Meeting Six of Six <br> Fitness Standards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 38.5 | 15.4 | 11.5 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 26.1 | 8.7 | 21.7 |

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

## State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.


## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)

Our parents are our children's first teachers The school works in partnership with the parents.
Key avenues for involvement are:

1. Ongoing volunteer opportunities:

- -classroom reps
- -participation in any classroom is encouraged

2. Weekly meetings include:

- -presenting at an Assembly
- -participation in parent and principal and executive director tea after weekly Assembly

3. Monthly meetings include:

- -Parent Meeting (build on research tested Head Start model of parent empowerment)
- -Break the Ice; Cultural Humility meetings with families and staff and community
- -Fundraising
-     - School Site Council
-     - Food Bank


## State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.


## Suspensions and Expulsions

| Rate | School <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | School <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | School <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | District <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | District <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | District <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | State <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | State <br> 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions | 0.8 | 1.8 | 4.7 | 7.0 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Expulsions | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2019-20)

The school has emergency drills on a regular schedule (e.g., earthquake, fire, shelter in place). Emergency exit maps are posted in each room. Plans are review ed with the staff each August before the beginning of school. Plans are reviewed prior to each month's drill and reviewed to ensure best practices are being upheld.

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.
Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

| Grade Level | 2016-17 <br> Average <br> Class <br> Size | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2016-17 } \\ \text { \# of } \\ \text { Classes* } \\ \text { Size } \\ 1-20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2016-17 } \\ \text { \# of } \\ \text { Classes* } \\ \text { Size } \\ 21-32 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2016-17 } \\ \text { \# of } \\ \text { Classes* } \\ \text { Size } \\ 33+ \end{gathered}$ | 2017-18 <br> Average Class Size | $\begin{gathered} 2017-18 \\ \text { \# of } \\ \text { Classes* } \\ \text { Size } \\ 1-20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2017-18 } \\ \text { \# of } \\ \text { Classes* } \\ \text { Size } \\ 21-32 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2017-18 } \\ \text { \# of } \\ \text { Classes* } \\ \text { Size } \\ 33+ \end{gathered}$ | 2018-19 <br> Average Class Size | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2018-19 } \\ \text { \# of } \\ \text { Classes* } \\ \text { Size } \\ 1-20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2018-19 } \\ \text { \# of } \\ \text { Classes* } \\ \text { Size } \\ 21-32 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2018-19 } \\ \text { \# of } \\ \text { Classes* } \\ \text { Size } \\ 33+ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 26 |  | 2 |  | 30 |  | 2 |  | 24 |  | 2 |  |
| 1 | 24 |  | 1 |  | 30 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 27 |  | 1 |  | 30 |  | 1 |  | 30 |  | 1 |  |
| 3 | 21 |  | 1 |  | 30 |  | 1 |  | 29 |  | 1 |  |
| 4 | 25 |  | 1 |  | 23 |  | 1 |  | 25 |  | 1 |  |
| 5 | 22 |  | 1 |  | 31 |  | 1 |  | 23 |  | 1 |  |
| 6 | 19 | 1 |  |  | 23 |  | 1 |  | 20 | 1 |  |  |
| Other** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  | 1 |  |

*Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).
** "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.
Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

|  | Title | Ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Counselors* | .0 |  |

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

## Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)

|  | Title | Number of FTE* <br> Assigned to School |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development) |  |  |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) |  |  |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) |  |  |
| Psychologist |  |  |
| Social Worker |  |  |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist |  |  |
| Resource Specialist (non-teaching) |  |  |
| *One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. |  |  |

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

| Level | Total <br> Expenditures <br> Per Pupil | Expenditures <br> Per Pupil <br> (Restricted) | Expenditures <br> Per Pupil <br> (Unrestricted) | Average <br> Teacher <br> Salary |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Site | $10,688.97$ | $2,198.93$ | $8,490.09$ | 56,000 |
| District | N/A | N/A | $8,490.09$ |  |
| Percent Difference - School Site and District | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 147.3 |
| State | N/A | N/A | $\$ 7,506.64$ |  |
| Percent Difference - School Site and State | N/A | N/A | 17.5 | 154.9 |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

| Category | District <br> Amount | State Average <br> For Districts <br> In Same Category |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | $\$$ | $\$$ |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | $\$$ | $\$$ |
| Highest Teacher Salary | $\$$ | $\$$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary) | $\$$ | $\$$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle) | $\$$ | $\$$ |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | $\$$ | $\$$ |
| Superintendent Salary | $\$$ | $\$$ |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | $\%$ | $\%$ |

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries \& Benefits web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.
Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

| Measure | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement | 12 | 12 | 8 |

On average . 5 days a week
Methods: conference attendance, half day once a week together, small group once a week for an hour in addition, 7 days of summer institute, 5 professional development days during the year.
Primary areas: assessments, goal setting, curriculum desing, planning, professional development in best practices, reflection on data
Professional Development is delivered by professional conslutants from multiple Waldorf teacher training programs (Transforming Teaching Education for a Sustainable Future, Rudolf Steiner College, independent consultants).
The teachers are supported in a PLC through prinicipal faciltiated meetings where student performance data is used as a key fundamental for making instructional decissions. Furthermore, through evaluations of data over time the teachers have adopted school wide norms and strategies to support our ELL population.
Teachers are also provided release time to attend conferences and trainings offsite.

