

United States v. Donovan G. Davis, Jr.
No. 17-12057-F

CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS

The undersigned certifies that in addition to the persons identified in the certificate of interested persons filed in defendant Donovan Davis's motion, the following persons have an interest in the outcome of this case:

1. Amodeo, Frank
2. Blanco, Kenneth A.
3. McFadden, Trevor N.
4. Simmonds, Denise

/s/ Ellen R. Meltzer
Special Counsel
Fraud Section, Criminal Division
U.S. Department of Justice

**United States v. Andrew Levinson, Case No. 15-10802-B
United States' Notice Concerning Certificate of Interested
Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement (Continued)**

~~Amedeo, Frank, Author of Application~~

Appignani, Donald, Former Counsel for Appellant Andrew Levinson

Bloom, Honorable Lois, United States Magistrate Judge

Bloom, Michael, Director, Consumer Protection Branch, United States
Department of Justice

Burke, John W., Trial Attorney, Consumer Protection Branch, United States
Department of Justice

Caruso, Michael, Federal Public Defender

Cho, Cindy, Former Trial Attorney, Consumer Protection Branch, United States
Department of Justice

Cohn, Honorable James I., United States District Judge

Cortiella, Nina, Law Clerk, Consumer Protection Branch, United States
Department of Justice

Cruz, Mary, Paralegal Specialist, Office of the United States Attorney

Diaz, Richard J., Former Counsel for Appellant Andrew Levinson

Doakes, Chantel, Assistant Federal Public Defender

Ferrer, Wifredo, United States Attorney

Frimpong, Maame Ewusi-Mensah, Former Deputy Assistant Attorney General,
United States Department of Justice

Froeschner, Honorable John R., United States Magistrate Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

16-15623-AA

Case: 16-15623 Date Filed: 10/27/2017 Page: 1 of 48

Brian McCalla, Appellant,

- versus

United States of America, Appellee.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
BRIEF FOR THE UNITED STATES

Emily M. Smachetti Chief, Appellate Division

Aileen M. Cannon

Assistant United States Attorney

Robert B. Cornell

Assistant United States Attorney

Of Counsel

Benjamin G. Greenberg Acting United States Attorney Attorney for Appellee

99 N.E. 4th Street

Miami, Florida 33132-2111 (954) 660-5697

Brian McCalla v. United States, Case No. 16-15623-AA United States Certificate of Interested Persons

In compliance with Fed. R. App. P. 26.1 and 11th Circuit Rules 26.1 and 28-1, the undersigned certifies that the list set forth below is a complete list of the persons and entities previously included in the CIP included in the appellant's initial brief, and also includes additional persons and entities (designated in bold face) who have an interest in the outcome of this case and were omitted from the appellant's CIP.

Adler, Robert E.

Amodeo, Frank L.

Amsel, Robert G.

Berube, Robert Norman Cannon, Aileen M. Caruso, Michael

Chase, Donald F., II. Cornell, Robert B.

Della Fera, Richard Francis Dixon, Demario

Ferrer, Wifredo A.

c-1 of 3

Case: 16-15623 Date Filed: 10/27/2017 Page: 3 of 48

Brian McCalla v. United States, Case No. 16-15623-AA United States Certificate of Interested Persons (Cont'd)

Givans, Nakeem

Glaze, Miguel

Greenberg, Benjamin G. Heffernan, Bart Thomas

Hermida, Ricardo P.

Hunt, Hon. Patrick M.

Kerr, Dominick Saquante Anthony Marra, Hon. Kenneth A. Matthewman, Hon. William McCalla, Brian

McCray, Johnny Leonard, Jr. Patanzo, Peter Thomas

Shand, Anthony

Smachetti, Emily M.

Snow, Hon. Lurana S.

Stonick, Anthony John

c-2 of 3

Case: 16-15623 Date Filed: 10/27/2017 Page: 4 of 48

Brian McCalla v. United States, Case No. 16-15623-AA United States Certificate of Interested Persons (Cont'd)

Walker, Herbert Erving, III White, Hon. Patrick A. Williams, Gerard Shelton

s/ Robert B. Cornell

Robert B. Cornell

Assistant United States Attorney

Statement Regarding Oral Argument

The United States of America respectfully suggests that the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented in the briefs and record before this Court and that the decisional process would not be significantly aided by oral argument.