# magazine

## professional investigator magazine

\$9.95

July/August 2024

Mr. Ramsey.
Lister carefully THE CHECKLIST: A Simple, **Yet Essential Guide** 

**APP-SOLUTE INSIGHT Harnessing Everyday Apps for Open Source** Intelligence

**DEMOGRAPHICS** for the Private Investigator

STOICISM and the Art of Private Investigation

**CA SENATE BILL 553 Increased Business Opportunities for Security Consultants** 

**Navigating the Depths** of WRONGFUL DEATH INVESTIGATIONS

**Don't** Hold Back

The last of a four-part series on the JonBenét Ramsey Case

#### **DEPARTMENTS**

#### **MARKETING**

22 FUTURE OF **NETWORKING FOR PI'S: EMBRACING DIGITAL BUSINESS CARDS** 

By Catherine Flowers

#### **BOOK SPOTLIGHT**

**24** UNRAVELED MYSTERIES: THE REALITY OF PRIVATE INVESTIGATION

By Bruce Nowlin and David Arndt

#### **BACKGROUNDING**

**26** TESTING FOR THE OTHER DANGEROUS **DRUG - ALCOHOL** 

By W. Barry Nixon

#### **ALL THINGS SURVEILLANCE**

**28** BARE ESSENTIALS

By Eric De Van

#### **BUSINESS**

**30** THE GROWING DEMAND FOR PRIVATE INVESTI-GATORS IN AN ERA OF **UNCERTAINTY** 

By Stephanie Savoy

#### **INSURANCE**

32 UNDERSTANDING KEY **INSURANCE ENDORSEMENTS FOR** PRIVATE INVESTIGATORS

By Kevin Whaley

#### **TSCM**

**34** CORPORATE **ESPIONAGE AND** TRADE SECRET MISAPPROPRIATION: THE CASE OF APPIAN VS **PEGASYSTEMS** 

By Tim O'Rourke

#### **CYBERSLEUTHING**

36 METADATA – IT MAY BE **USEFUL BUT IT MAY BE UNRELIABLE** 

By Robert B. Fried

38 TO CRYPTO OR NOT TO CRYPTO.THAT IS THE QUESTION – SHOULD YOU CRYPTO?

By Christopher Salgado

#### **BUSINESS-TAX**

**40** BUYING, RENTING OR LEASING

By Mark E. Battersby

#### **IDENTITY THEFT**

**42** WHY IDENTITY THEFT CASES REQUIRE A SHIFT **IN THINKING** 

By Carrie Kerskie

#### **PI HISTORY**

44 THE ELEPHANT IN THE ROOM

By Daniel Demers

#### **SOCIAL MEDIA**

**46** VOIR DIRE: IS SOCIAL MEDIA THE NEW POLYGRAPH TEST?

By Kathy Doering

#### PROCESS SERVING

48 HEARD ITTHROUGHTHE **GRAPEVINE** 

By Kevin Toal

#### PI PERSPECTIVES

**52** MODERNIZING YOUR MARKETING APPROACH

By William F. Blake

#### **NCISS LEGISLATIVE UPDATE**

**54** NEW U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR RULE ON INDEPENDENT **CONTRACTORS &** IMPACTS ON THE **INVESTIGATIVE PROFESSION** 

By Jim Zimmer and Edward Ajaeb

#### **EXECUTIVE PROTECTION**

58 THE POWER OF MAKING PREDICTIONS IN EXECU-TIVE PROTECTION

By R. Preston Hocker

#### PI 101

**60** THE IMPORTANCE OF BEING THOROUGH IN **INVESTIGATIONS** 

By Malik Mubashshir

#### THE PI AND FUGITIVE **RECOVERY**

61 THE ART OF BODY LANGUAGE FOR THE PI

By Patrick L. Collis

#### **INVESTIGATING INNOCENCE**

**62** THE ROLE OF THE DEFENSE INVESTIGATOR

By Kitty Hailey

### IN EVERY ISSUE

PI Bookstore	25
Public Records Update	50
PI Conference Corner	64-65
Discover NALI	66-67
PI Resources	68-69
PI Seminars & Conference Calendar	71

# **METADATA**

# It May Be Useful But It May Be Unreliable

BY ROBERT B. FRIED

erhaps you want to know who authored an 850-word document on a computer? Maybe you would like to know who last modified or printed the document? Metadata is defined as data about data. It can be useful to an investigator, providing key information that may be vital to an investigation.

Electronic evidence is fragile; and therefore, must be handled with care. Engaging a data collection specialist will ensure that the targeted data is collected in a forensically sound manner, whereas the integrity of the source data, including any associated metadata, is maintained. Simply copying and pasting a document from one storage volume to another may impact its metadata – specifically file created or last access dates and times. Other ways that metadata can be modified include updating values in a document's properties via an operating system or utilizing a hex-editor.

It may be apparent by now that metadata is useful but it's not necessarily reliable. Reviewing a document's metadata alone may not reveal all the available information about a file. It's important to consider how the document was created, who interacted with it, and where it was saved (if different from its current storage location). Metadata may only provide limited information about a document's lifecycle. It's important for the forensic examiner to have as much context as possible. Therefore, a forensic examiner may recommend obtaining a forensic image of the device or storage location where



25% Off Paperback Book & ECourse on Forensic Data Collections for PI Magazine Subscribers

Coupon code: pimagazine www.forensicsbyfried.com



the document is stored (remember, copies of a document may be stored in multiple locations, and different versions may exist). A forensic examiner can analyze a forensic image – specifically artifacts from the operating system or file system to learn more about a document. For example, a forensic examiner may be able to determine the series of actions and the dates and times associated with a computer user saving a document into a folder C:/ Robert, and then subsequently copying it into another folder, C:/Fried.

Before taking any action on electronic evidence encountered during an investigation, it's prudent to contact a data collection specialist. These individuals are trained to establish a proper chain of custody, preserve and collect evidence in a defensible and efficient manner – preventing claims of data spoilation – and ensuring its admissibility in a court of law. **PI** 



Robert B. Fried has nearly 25 of experience performing data collections and forensic investigations of electronic evidence. He is the Senior Vice President and Global Head of Sandline Discovery's Forensics and Investigations practice. Prior to joining Sandline, he was a Senior Director for Consilio's Digital Forensics & Expert Services. Robert has also held senior-level positions for the data forensics practices at Huron Consulting Group and DOAR Litigation Consulting. Robert is a licensed

Professional Investigator in Michigan and is a licensed Private Investigator in New York. Previously, Robert was a Computer Crime Specialist at the National White Collar Crime Center (NW3C), where he developed and instructed computer forensic and investigative training courses for federal, state and local law enforcement agencies. Robert attained a BS and MS in Forensic Science from the University of New Haven. Additionally, Robert holds and actively maintains the following certifications: AccessData Certified Examiner (ACE), Certified Forensic Computer Examiner (CFCE), EnCase Certified Examiner (EnCE) and GIAC Certified Forensics Analyst (GCFA).