Julian Marcus Raven
PO Box 2111, Springfield, VA 22152
November 26th, 2019

The Honorable Noel Francisco
U.S. Solicitor General
950 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Washington D.C.
20530

An Amicus Curiae Invitation
Case: 19-6548
Julian Marcus Raven v. United States et al.

Dear Mr. Solicitor General,

May God save the Republic of the United States of America! I pray this correspondence finds you well. My case being before the Supreme Court at this time consists of unprecedented issues that in my opinion require you as Solicitor General to intervene as a friend of the court on the Constitution's behalf.

The principal matters of the 1st Amendment's free speech clause, the 5th amendment's 'due process clause' and 'equal protection under the law' are fundamental to your duty as Solicitor General. The issues of the common law of trusts and the United States compliance with such when acting as trustee also call for amicus intervention.

And yet, above them all is the violation of the separation of powers doctrine, clearly erected in our Constitution to prevent exactly what the Department of Justice's arguments and lower court rulings have created, an accumulation of tri-part federal power in 'one' legally unaccountable federally run institution.

It is 'We the People' who have ordained the U.S. Constitution to establish justice, and this was accomplished by creating three separate yet equal branches of government so there would be restraints on tyranny and despotism into which unfettered and lawless mankind seems always ready and eagerly disposed to wallow, as in my case.

Since the unanswered question regarding the Smithsonian Institution's entity status definition has never been before the Supreme Court, I appeal to you as U.S. Solicitor General to file an amicus brief on behalf of the U.S. Constitution and the issues presented, recommending the U.S. Supreme Court grant my petition for a writ of certiorari that will ensure a correct entity status definition that will strip the absurd lower court decision of its constitutional irregularities and untangle the convoluted court decision that clearly violates the 'separation of powers'.

Respectfully and sincerely,
Julian Raven