

APPLICATION TYPE (RENEWAL/NEW)

APPLICANT/PROJECT NAME:

TOPIC	QUESTION	INSTRUCTIONS	NOTES/WHERE TO LOOK	POSSIBLE SCORE	AWARDED SCORE
Program Design					
Type of Project	Does the type of project (PSH, RRH, TH, SSO, HMIS) meet HUD priorities as described in Section II.A of the HUD NOFO and demonstrate the ability to meet a local community need?	<p>10 Pts: Excellent 8 Pts: Strong 6 Pts: Fair 4 Pts: Needs Work 2 Pts: Poor 0 Pts: Unacceptable</p>	<i>See Project Application</i>	10	
Housing First	Does the project plan to operate using a Housing First model, by minimizing service participation requirements and preconditions (meaning that they do not screen out potential participants based on clients possessing (1) too little income, (2) active or history of substance use, (3) criminal record, with exception of state mandated restrictions, and (4) history of domestic violence)?	<p>10 Pts: Excellent 8 Pts: Strong 6 Pts: Fair 4 Pts: Needs Work 2 Pts: Poor 0 Pts: Unacceptable</p>	See project application, Section 3B, Questions 3. May also consider project description (Section 3B, Question 1) and information from in-person interview.	15	
Serving Priority Populations	If PSH, does project serve exclusively the chronically homeless, or prioritize the chronically homeless for beds as they turn over?	<p>5 Pts: Prioritizes chronically homeless with bed turnover. 3 Pts: Demonstrates in recent APR that a minimum of 50% of clients were chronically homeless 0 Pts: Does not prioritize chronically homeless</p>	Consider the project application, (Section 3C and/or Section 5B), and information from in-person interview.	5	
	If not PSH, does the project serve high rates of highly vulnerable populations (including veterans, people with a history of victimization or abuse, people with mental illness or substance use disorder, people with a criminal history, unaccompanied minors and/or transition aged-youth)?	<p>Consider rates of each population served according to HMIS data. Also consider plan for outreach and engagement with priority populations. 5 Pts: Excellent 4 Pts: Strong 3 Pts: Fair 2 Pts: Needs Work 1 Pts: Poor 0 Pts: Unacceptable</p>			
Program Design Sub-Total:				30	0
Program Performance					

Housing Stability and Exits	If permanent supportive housing, do at least 80% of participants remain housed or exit to another permanent housing destination?	Consider HMIS data, as compared to other local projects. For victim service providers, consider data for a comparable database. May also consider supplemental responses from applicant regarding performance issues, Yolo County housing market, affordable housing availability and local vacancy rates. 10 Pts: Excellent 8 Pts: Strong 6 Pts: Fair 4 Pts: Needs Work 2 Pts: Poor 0 Pts: Unacceptable	Consider the APR Summary, Housing Stability and Exits boxes. May also consider information from in-person interview.	10	
	If transitional housing or RRH, do at least 80% of homeless persons exit to permanent housing?	10 Pts: Excellent 8 Pts: Strong 6 Pts: Fair 4 Pts: Needs Work 2 Pts: Poor 0 Pts: Unacceptable	X		
Income	Does project demonstrate that at least 20% of participants experience an increase in financial resources at project exit, or from project entry to end of period measured?	Consider HMIS data, as compared to other local projects. For victim service providers, consider data for a comparable database. May also consider supplemental responses from applicant regarding performance issues. 10 Pts: Excellent 8 Pts: Strong 6 Pts: Fair 4 Pts: Needs Work 2 Pts: Poor 0 Pts: Unacceptable	Consider the APR Summary, Income boxes. May also consider information from in-person interview.	10	
Mainstream Benefits	Does the project demonstrate success in connecting participants with and ensuring participants mainstream resources (including Food Stamps, General Assistance, SSI, TANF, Unemployment, Veterans Benefits, Veterans Healthcare and Workforce Investment Act)?	Consider HMIS data, as compared to other local projects. May also consider interview responses from applicant regarding performance issues. 10 Pts: Excellent 8 Pts: Strong 6 Pts: Fair 4 Pts: Needs Work 2 Pts: Poor 0 Pts: Unacceptable	Consider the APR summary, Mainstream Benefits boxes. May also consider information from in-person interview.	10	

Bed Utilization	Does the project routinely operate at 85% capacity according to quarterly bed utilization reports from previous funding year?	Consider HMIS data, as compared to other local projects. May also consider interview responses from applicant regarding performance issues. 5 Pts: Excellent 4Pts: Strong 3Pts: Fair 2 Pts: Needs Work 1 Pts: Poor 0 Pts: Unacceptable	Consider the APR summary, bed utilization boxes. May also consider information from in-person interview.	10	
Data Elements	Percentage of HMIS Universal Data Elements that are complete (except for SSN) is above 90% complete	Consider HMIS data, as compared to other local projects. May also consider interview responses from applicant regarding performance issues. 5 Pts: Excellent 4Pts: Strong 3Pts: Fair 2 Pts: Needs Work 1 Pts: Poor 0 Pts: Unacceptable	Consider the APR summary. May also consider information from in-person interview.	5	
Exits to Known Destinations	Percentage of clients who exit to known destinations as recorded in HMIS is above 95%	Consider HMIS data, as compared to other local projects. May also consider interview responses from applicant regarding performance issues. 5 Pts: Excellent 4Pts: Strong 3Pts: Fair 2 Pts: Needs Work 1 Pts: Poor 0 Pts: Unacceptable	Consider the APR summary. May also consider information from in-person interview.	5	
Program Performance Sub-Total:				50	0
Grant Management					
HPAC Participation	Did agency (or sub recipient) staff attend/participate in HPAC subcommittees during the past year?	Consider HPAC participation levels and supplemental responses. 10 Pts: Excellent 8 Pts: Strong 6 Pts: Fair 4 Pts: Needs Work 2 Pts: Poor 0 Pts: Unacceptable	<i>Active CoC Participation</i>	5	

Drawdown Rates and Fund Utilization	<p>In the previous funding year, did the project draw down at least 95% of funds within 90 days of the project's expiration date? (determined using supplemental information from HUD)</p>	<p>Consider data from HUD. Also consider interview responses from applicant regarding any performance issues. 5 Pts: Excellent 4Pts: Strong 3Pts: Fair 2 Pts: Needs Work 1 Pts: Poor 0 Pts: Unacceptable</p>	<p><i>See Project Application</i></p>	<p>5</p>	
Diversity and Racial Equity	<p>Does the project plan reflect the CoC's policy on Diversity and Racial Equity? For example, does the program include opportunities for individuals from traditionally under-represented groups to participate in project planning and operations? Does it include outreach to underrepresented populations? Does it seek to remove barriers to equitable access to services? Does it have a continuous improvement process to address inequities in its programs? Does the agency ensure racial and gender equity with regards to service delivery, housing placement/referrals, and housing retention?</p>	<p>5 Pts: Excellent 4Pts: Strong 3Pts: Fair 2 Pts: Needs Work 1 Pts: Poor 0 Pts: Unacceptable</p>	<p>Consider the projection application, Sections 3B and 4A, and information from the interview.</p>	<p>10</p>	
Grant Management Sub-Total:				20	0
Total Points:				100	0