

You are not what you say you are, you are what they say you are, what the Public Record, the System says you are, and the (B.A.R.) Esquires say you are... not a **Natural (wo)man**. They say you are, and enjoin you in the action as a 14th Amendment "**PERSON**" an "**Ens Legis**" "**Fictio**" an enemy, (the Res, a Thing), a Fictional creation of the commercial law, named in the Statutes, as a franchise of THE STATE OF....., with a bill of lading called a Birth Certificate

In Admiralty the rules of evidence and burden of proof are reversed, you are presumed guilty until you prove you are innocent, the opposite of how the Common Law works. In the Common Law, you are innocent until proven guilty in a Trial by Jury of peers, neighbors, or you are supposed to be.

In Common Law, the burden of proof of claim is on the Prosecution, be it Man/Woman, Corporation or State. The plaintiff has to PROVE their case, not just allege the case.

You, regarded as an "Ens Legis" "**PERSON**" become subject to "**Subrogation**" (See Pg. 53) in an "action in REM" in **Admiralty/ Special Maritime, Lex Mercatoria Jurisdiction**, that was overlaid upon the land by deception in bankruptcy between 1871-1966 and thereafter, 99 years to complete the overthrow.

The "**PERSON**" **Ens Legis**, "**citizen of the UNITED STATES**", created from the NAME on your Birth Certificate, is "**the Enemy**" resulting from the 1933- Emergency Banking Act, Public Law 1, Stat 1, the Amendatory Act of March 9, 1933, 48 Stat. 112, which amended the **Trading With Enemy Act of October 6, 1917, H.R. 4960, Public Law No. 91 & 12 U.S.C. 95(a)**, making all "**citizens of the United States**", **enemies** of the De Facto Governments, Inc. and Banks, by changing one word in the Trading with the Enemy Act, the word "**without**" to the word "**within**".

"It is a clearly established principle of law that an attorney must represent a corporate" *Ens Legis*" creature of the law, as a creature of the law cannot speak for itself.

When called upon, an Attorney is supposed to provide evidence in the form of contract authorizing representation of the Real Party of Interest pursuant to Rule 17 and provide proof of filing under the **"Foreign Agents Registration Act"** **F.A.R.A. (22 USC § 612 et seq.); Victor Rabinowitz, et. al. v. Robert F. Kennedy, 376 U.S. 605.**

UCC- § 9-307(h) "Location of United States; The United States is located in the District of Columbia."

"All persons *born in the United States* and *not subject to any foreign power* are *declared to be citizens of the United States.*" (Revised Statutes section 1992, 8 U.S.C. annotated section 1)

Since the "United States" is located "in the District of Columbia", if you were not born "in the District of Columbia", you were not born "in the United States", therefore, if you were born in one of the several States, you are subject to a foreign power foreign to the United States, then you are not a "citizen of the United States."

GET IT?

"[T]he phrase 'subject to the jurisdiction thereof' was intended to exclude from its operation children of ministers, consuls, and citizens or subjects of foreign states, born within the United States." (States in the Union) **U.S. v. Wong Kim Ark, 169 U.S. 649, 18 S. Ct. 456, 42 L. Ed. 890 (1898) (Emphasis__added)**

Under the Common Law, your father was considered the Minister of your family as the family was originally considered a religious group, a *tithing's* (See Pg. 3), a church that practiced the Christian family religion and you as one of the children of a minister were excluded from "being subject to the jurisdiction thereof." Still, you can be under Statutory provisions, under proper declaration.

Each State De Jure, is foreign to the District of Columbia, Inc. and foreign to the UNITED STATES, Inc., located "within" the District of Columbia, Inc.

An "American National" or "State National" born in one of the several States in Union, is a "subject of a foreign state", which is foreign to the United States, Inc. pursuant to the Wong Kim Ark decision stated above, and **revised Statute**

Sec. 1992, 8 U.S.C. annotated Sec 1, therefore, should **NOT** be misidentified and misclassified as a "citizen of the UNITED STATES" Inc. or "U.S. citizen" or "enemy combatant."

You have purposely been misidentified as a "citizen of the UNITED STATES", a "Fictio" enemy, a Commodity, Civilly Dead Cargo, to gain Jurisdiction over YOU, your Rights, your Property, and Rights to Property, for profit.

But hey, you were never fully informed of the above in any school, and not by chance, you never corrected the Public Record in regards to your Status, Standing and Character, so they determine, from the Public record, Governments, Township, County, State and Federal, presume you are what the RECORD says that you are, a U.S. citizen, a Civilly Dead Entity. How you ask?.

Pursuant to the **Congressional Record**: "The Legislative Act of February 21, 1871, Forty-first Congress, Session III, Chapter 62, page 419, chartered a Federal company entitled "United States," a/k/a "US Inc.," a "Commercial Agency" originally designated as "Washington, D.C.," in accordance with the so-called 14th Amendment, which the record indicates was never ratified (See Utah Supreme Court Cases, Dyett v Turner, (1968) 439 P2d 266, 267; State v Phillips, (1975) 540 P 2d 936; as well as Coleman v. Miller, 307 U.S. 448, 59 S. Ct. 972; 28 Tulane Law Review, 22; 11 South Carolina Law Quarterly 484; Congressional Record, June 13, 1967, pp. 15641-15646). We can conclude, a **"citizen of the United States" is a civilly dead entity operating as a co-trustee and co-beneficiary of the PCT**, the constructive, cestui que trust of US Inc. under the 14th Amendment, which upholds the debt in bankruptcy of the USA and US Inc. in Section 4.

"A 'citizen of the United States' is a civilly dead entity operating as a co-trustee and co-beneficiary of the P.C.T. of US Inc., and under Section 4. of the 14th Amendment", which upholds the unquestionable debt of the USA and US, Inc. under the 14th Amendment and you are recognized as a debtor "PERSON" under section 4, for the Public Debt. (**P**.ublic **C**.estui Qui **T**.rust)

You are presumed to be a Civilly Dead "Enemy Debtor" for the National Debt, there is nothing on the Public Record to quash the presumption.

Through the act of "**SUBROGATION**" with the "Birth Certificate" you, were made subject as an "**Ens Legis Fictio**" enemy under the Trading With The Enemy Act, to the Admiralty/Maritime/ "Special Maritime" "MUD Jurisdiction" of the UNITED STATES, Inc. and their franchisees THE STATE OF....., Inc. States and made subject to the foreign jurisdiction within the States of the parent corporation District of Columbia, Inc. D.B.A., The UNITED STATES, Inc.

SUBROGATION. "The substitution of one person in the place of another with reference to a lawful claim, demand or right." Black's Law 4th, Pg. 1595.
(Emphasis added)

FICTIO. "Fictio" in the old Roman law was properly a term of pleading, and signified a false averment on the part of the plaintiff which the defendant was not allowed to traverse; as that the plaintiff was a Roman citizen, when in truth he was a foreigner. The object of the fiction was to give the court jurisdiction." Black's Law 4th, Pg. 751. (Emphasis added)

As the Prosecutor, the plaintiff, is a U.S. Citizen, when in actuality he is an Esquire and is a "Foreigner" under the F.A.R.A. and under the original 13th T.O.N.A. Amendment to the Bill of Rights, is impersonating a government official.

(S)He, the Prosecutor, (all Attorneys and Lawyers) lost their Citizenship under the original T.O.N.A. 13th Amendment, when (s)he accepted the Title of Nobility of Esquire when (s)he became a member of the B.A.R. Association and an Officer of Court (FARA, 22 U.S.C. §612) of a Jurisdiction foreign to our Laws.

Special Maritime Jurisdiction is codified at **Title 18 U.S.C. Crimes and Criminal Procedure Part 1- CRIMES, CHAPTER 1, GENERAL PROVISIONS, Sec. 7-** "Special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States.", as used in this title, includes; (1) ***The high seas, and other waters within the admiralty and maritime jurisdiction of the United States*** and out of the jurisdiction of any particular state, and any vessel belonging in whole or in part to the United States, or any citizen thereof, or to any corporation created by or under the laws of the United States....., THE STATES OF, Inc.

Their Federal Jurisdiction operates "**within the 'District of Columbia' and not elsewhere.**" pursuant to **Title 4 USC § 72.**

Without express authorization for the extension of the Jurisdiction under signature and seal of the Congress, defining, for what purpose, where, for how long, to whom the extension is granted and who is the subject of the extension, Jurisdiction does not exist.

The Courts cannot assume jurisdiction where it does not exist or deny jurisdiction where it does reside, to do either would be Treason to the Constitution.

"We have no more right to decline the exercise of jurisdiction which is given than to usurp that which is not given. The one or the other would be Treason to the Constitution." **Cohen v. Virginia, 19 U.S. 264, 404 (1821).**

An Act of the Legislature, Congress, is required for operation of the Federal Jurisdiction outside of the District of Columbia.

We forgot to build a 40 foot high concrete wall around D.C. to contain them.

The De Facto Government Esquires forgot to tell all their agencies and agents with badges this fact, who are operating without grant, outside of the District, but within the Territorial corporate THE STATE OF..... States.

Title 4 USC § 72, 2006 states: ***"All offices attached to the seat of government shall be exercised in the District of Columbia, and not elsewhere, except as otherwise expressly provided by law."***

"Persons not thus subject to the jurisdiction of the United States at the time of birth cannot become so afterwards, except by being naturalized, either individually, as by proceedings under the naturalization acts, or collectively, as by the force of treaty by which foreign territory is acquired." U.S. v. Wong Kim Ark, 169 U.S. 649, 18 S. Ct. 456, 42 Led 890. (Emphasis__added)

In the case; **THE PEOPLE v. HERKIMER; 4 Cowen 345; 1825 N.Y. LEXIS 80** the court ruled: ***"The people have succeeded to the rights of the King, the former sovereign of this State. They are not, therefore, bound by general words in a statute restrictive of prerogative, without being expressly named."*** (Emphasis added)

The term "Person" in the Statute is never defined, therefore the general word "Person" in a Statute would indicate a Corporation, and do not apply or bind a sovereign, a natural man/woman born within in a De Jure State, without there being a definition within the statute that expressly identifies them as the "liable party" under the Statute.

A **"Statute"** is a type of a commercial Bond.

"Statute": also sometimes means a kind of bond or obligation of record, being an abbreviation for "statute merchant" or "statute staple". Black's Law Dictionary 4th, Pg. 1581.

"Statute Staple.- The statute of the staple, 27 Ed. III. stat. 2, General Law of the staple. Law administered in the court of the mayor of the staple; **the law-mer-chant.**" 4 Inst. 235.

Lets us move on in Title 28 U.S.C. also known as the **Federal Civil Judicial Procedures and Rules (FCJPR)** to **Pg. 790, Exhibit "31", App. Pg. 41**, under heading, **PROCEDURE, § 1746, "Unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury;such matter may, with like force and effect, be supported, evidenced, established, or proven by unsworn declaration, certificate, verification, or statement, in writing of such person which is subscribed by him, as true under penalty of perjury, and dated, in substantially the following form:"**

“(1) If executed without the United States: “I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the forgoing is true and correct. Executed on (date).”

“(2) If executed within the United States, its territories, possessions, or commonwealths: “I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date).”

Notice what is missing in #2, **“under the laws of the United States of America” which takes the affidavit out of or “without” the “United States” the Corporation.**

Revealing, wouldn't you say?

Remember a few paragraphs ago, we discussed the changing of one word in the Trading with the Enemy Act, changing the word “without” to the word “within”.

The way you make a declaration on an affidavit, your declaration, determines if the document is **“within”** the jurisdiction or **“without”** the jurisdiction of the United States, Inc. Look closely. **Read that again!**

If you are **“without”** the Jurisdiction, how are you presumed the enemy when the amendment to the Trading With The Enemy Act changed the word **“without”** to the word **“within”** to make you an enemy ?

Let's move on to Pg. 924 in **(FCJPR) Title 28 U.S.C., Exhibit “32”, App. Pg. 42,**

Title 28 U.S.C. Particular Proceedings § 3002,

No. (15) “United States” means-

(A) a Federal Corporation;

(B) an agency, department, commission, board, or other entity of the United States;

(C) Any instrumentality of the United States.

This section above is identifying the **“United States”** as a **“Federal Corporation”**, which is located in the Municipal Corporation **“The District of Columbia, Inc.”** (1781), as found in the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC- § 9-307(h)), created by the Esquires in Congress, De Facto, to expand and extend the Admiralty/Maritime and **Special Maritime Jurisdiction, Lex Mercatoria**, doing business as the U.S., U.S.A., United States, United States of America, etc. et al., all corporations, for profit, as government services agencies, N.G.O. Esquire law firms etc. sucking the life blood, the wealth of our work energy, from the

American People, in violation of the Ratified 1810-1819 T.O.N.A. 13th Amendment to the Constitution.

Let us now move to **pg. 592 in Title 28 U.S.C. Federal Civil Judicial Procedures and Rules, (FCJPR) (See Exhibit "33", App. Pg. 43)**, Under the heading **Department of Justice**, most common ordinary people would be lead to believe that the DOJ, Department of Justice and all the people working there, would or should be somewhat **knowledgeable in the law, as Justice deals with the law**, you would think, yes?

The title would also cause one to believe that the Department of Justice, may, as well, be some part of the Judicial Branch of government, one would think, yes?

However when we read into the **FCJPR** sections we find on **Pg. 592 (1993 Edition) Exhibit "33", App. Pg. 43**:

PART II- DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

§ 501. Executive department, "The Department of Justice is an executive department of the United States at the seat of Government." (Emphasis added)

We would be wrong to believe the DOJ was part of the Judiciary. Remember, **Jefferson stated the Judiciary was no part of the Nation, Independent of the Nation.**

§ 502. Seal. "The Attorney General shall have a seal for the Department of Justice."

§ 503. Attorney General. "The President shall appoint, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, an Attorney General of the United States. The Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice."

Now turn to **Page 593, Exhibit "34", App. Pg. 44.**

§ 504. Deputy Attorney General. "The President may appoint, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, a Deputy Attorney General."

No mention of anything about law, so far!

§ 504a. Associate Attorney General. "The President may appoint, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, an Associate Attorney General."

Still no mention of law!

§ 505. Solicitor General. "The President shall appoint in the Department of Justice, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, a Solicitor General, learned in the law, to assist the Attorney General in the performance of his duties."

There it is, the Solicitor General is to be "learned in the law".

§ 506. Assistant Attorneys General. "The President shall appoint, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, ten Assistant Attorneys General, who shall assist the Attorney General in the performance of his duties."

So, one would assume, presume from the above subsections that we are dealing with people, or at least one, who is required to be "learned in the law", which would tend to lead one to believe the others in the Department of Justice are at least knowledgeable in the law. Yes?

Let us turn the page to **Pg. 594** and review the continuation of Revision Notes from **Pg. 593, at the 3rd paragraph on page 594. (Exhibit "35", App. Pg. 45)**

In the (3rd) third paragraph, we find: **"The words "learned in the law" are omitted as unnecessary. Such a requirement is not made of the Attorney General, United States Attorneys, or United States judges,"**

There you have the facts, **the Attorney General, United States Attorneys or United States Judges are NOT required to be learned in the Law!!!! Read that again!!**

That proves, in America, there are NO COURTS OF LAW as we are led to believe, but only Administrative Courts of commerce where the People, themselves, are deemed to be commercial "Things", a "RES", an "Ens Legis" in Debitautis Assumpsit, the assumed enemy Debtor, in actions in REM, convened against the Ens Legis, in Lex Mercatoria, Law of Pirates.

The Courts have been subverted into Courts of **"Jurisdictions unknown to our Law"**, as stated FACT in the **Declaration of Independence 1776**, exposed herein, out of the written Law of the **Judicial Act of 1789**, early court decisions and directly from, Title 28 United States Code the Federal Civil Judicial Procedure and Rules, telling how the law of the land of America has been, once again, overthrown from within the ranks of those who we put our trust with, who then abrogated the protection of our **"best inheritance, the law of the land."**

Under Title 22 U.S.C., Foreign Relations and Intercourse, Section § 611, Public Officials are considered foreign agents.

“All "public servants," officials, Congressmen, politicians, judges, attorneys, law enforcement officers, States and their various agencies, etc., are the express agents of these foreign principals - see Foreign Agents Registration Act of 1938; 22 U.S.C. § 286 et seq., 263A, 185G, 267J, 611(C) (ii) & (iii); Old Treasury Delegation Order #91.”

Read that again, law enforcement officers, and you thought the Patriots are wrong! You drank the Blue Kool-Aid of the Southern Poverty Law Center training, teaching that Patriots are the BAD GUYS.

All oaths of office fall under 22 C.F.R., Foreign Relations, Sections §§ 92.12 - 92.30, and anyone who holds public office falls under Title 8 U.S.C., Section §1481 “Loss of nationality by native-born or naturalized citizen; voluntary action; burden of proof; presumptions.”

22 U.S.C. § 286, stated above, makes all “Judges” “Law Enforcement” and all “Public Officials” (De Facto) are express agents of foreign principals, acting against the American people, for profit, enforcing laws foreign to the Law of The Land, and are the real BAD GUYS not the Patriots.

Actions levied against the American People by Agents of a Foreign power, required to register as foreign agents under the Foreign Agents Registration Act 1938, Title 22 U.S.C. § 7, who, as agents of the Crown, are in total violation of the Treaty of Peace 1791 and the Treaty of Peace & Amity 1814 with the British Crown of Great Britain.

However it appears, since the D.O.J. took over Foreign Affairs of the Secretary of State after the passage of the Habana Act, which opened the door to the creation of the United Nations, Esquires and others are no longer subject to the Foreign Agents Registration Act 1938, 22 C.F.R. 286 et seq. and other sections, gave themselves immunity from the laws, without knowledge or Consent of the People. Imagine that!

The American Admiralty its Jurisdiction and Practice, Pg. 16 § 25 - Constitutional Construction states: “For mutual aid, these states, in 1777, formed a league of articles of perpetual union of feeble character, known as the Articles of Confederation, creating a sort of general government; and finally, in 1789, to form a more perfect union, and especially to establish justice, the present General Government was formed by the Constitution of the United States, and to it was granted by that instrument a portion only of the powers previously existing in the states, and the people thereof.”... (See Exhibit “36”, App. Pg. 46)

This tells us that the Federal Government is not the omnipotent all powerful controller of the States and the people therein, as **only a portion of the power of the States and the people were granted**, not all the power. As the modern day Media would lead us to believe.

"The Constitution was made" to form a more perfect union, establish justice, ensure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty,"... § 27 pg. 17, The American Admiralty, Its Jurisdiction and Practice. (See Exhibit "37", App. Pg. 47)

Any act of any legislature that falls outside of the above stated provisions is no part of the "Law of the Land" to which the People at large are to be subject to, without our knowledgeable consent and assent.

"This is especially evident in the constitutional grants of judicial power. They are not grants to this or that court of the United States. The Constitution does nothing but draw the line between the cases that belong to the United States Government and those which belong to the State Governments." The American Admiralty Its Jurisdiction and Practice, Pg. 18, § 28. (See Exhibit "38", App. Pg. 48)

All the attributes for the preservation of our Liberty and Freedoms named above are in jeopardy of collapse in 2021 if the People, the Christian People, and all the people, that want to maintain Liberty and Freedom in America don't get off their butts and get involved, NOW!

Even some of the Attorneys started to wake up in 2019; the following is an actual transcript of a letter from an Attorney to the Supreme Court of Ohio, who resigned from the BAR: (Name redacted to protect their security)

I'm announcing my resignation from whatever ties I have as a BAR attorney, effective July 4, 2019. This is being sent to Maureen O'Connor, who carries the title of Chief Justice of the corporate Supreme Court of Ohio, Here is a copy and paste of the letter. I signed the original, as a living human being, not as a corporation:

TO ALL CONCERNED:

This letter is for the purpose of publicly announcing that I am resigning as an attorney licensed to "practice law" in the State of Ohio. No one is pressuring me to make this decision. I have no reason to believe there are any attorney disciplinary proceedings pending or being initiated against me. My decision is based solely on my own conscience and sense of ethics. I understand it to be irrevocable.

As a follower of the teachings of our Lord Jesus Christ, I find I can no longer associate myself with those who have abandoned the pursuit of earthly justice in favor of money and power. In

particular, I can no longer rationalize the legal system's increasing disregard of basic Constitutional principles, such as the rights of Us the People to not have our lives, liberties, or properties taken from us without due process of law, the right to bear arms without government approval, the right to be free of unreasonable searches and seizures, and the multiple rights enshrined in the now increasingly ignored First Amendment. I took an oath to become an "officer of the court" and to support the Constitution, not corporate interests, during a mass swearing-in ceremony in Columbus in the spring of 1977. I can no longer tolerate seeing that Constitution besmirched by "courts" at every level. In particular, I can no longer stomach the prospect of ever again working in a system that disregards plain meaning of both its own corporate statutes it calls "law" as well as the principles of higher jurisdictions of Common Law, the Constitution, and holy scripture. I cannot be part of a system that exempts corrupt judges from liability for crimes they commit against their fellow men, women and children under color of law [Stump v. Sparkman, 435 U.S. 349 (1978)], a system that condones pedophilia [State v. Mole, 2016-Ohio-5124; In re CP, 131 Ohio St.3d 513 (2012)], a system that denies recovery of compensation for those whose lives are shattered by the well-connected and the corporations [Arbino v. Johnson & Johnson, 2007-Ohio-6948], a system that destroys attorneys such as Richard Fine who legitimately criticize "judges" [<http://edition.cnn.com/2010/CRIME/05/24/jailed.lawyer.richard.fine/index.html>], a system, in short, that is very much bifurcated into one kind of justice for the wealthy and another, very different one, for the rest of us.

The last straw for me occurred a few months ago when I finally began to learn about the giant hoax that has been perpetrated against the American people, a process that continues to be expedited by attorneys and judges. My previous belief that the judiciary was a branch of our Constitutionally mandated republic was destroyed when I read former attorney Melvin Stamper's book, Fruit from a Poisonous Tree and confirmed the truth of everything he disclosed and for which I could find documentation. What I now know, and which is still concealed from most of the American people, is that our "courts" are private, for-profit corporations that trade in on the churning of controversy for profit via systems such as CRIS (Court Registry Investment System). The most nauseating features of this type of corporate profiteering are not just the multiple frauds that support it, but the fact that it preys on the old, the young, and the disenfranchised of all races and genders. And it does so for dollars or should I say, for Federal Reserve Notes.

Finally, I require that my name be stricken from the rolls of the Ohio Supreme Court due to my recent discovery that any retention by me of "any title of nobility or honour [sic]", such as attorney at law, esquire, or any version thereof, may be prohibited by the original Thirteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, which Amendment was apparently ratified in Ohio on January 31, 2011. {Emphasis added}

Sincerely,

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

As 2020 began, we were subjected to the continued attacks from every conceivable legal and illegal process from the Money Elites, Bankers, Esquire Legislators, Prosecuting Attorneys, Law Enforcement, Bureaucrats and Media, using their Courts of Public opinion, to remove a perceived to be a legally elected President of the United States.

When that failed they conjured up a false pandemic to support the control of unconstitutional confinement in our homes, closing of churches and businesses, to destroy the economy, attempted to pass new confiscatory taxation, support the use of counterfeit documents to foreclosure on Homes and Business, support the seizing of the essence of our children at Birth (Berth), using the Child's future value to Bond (Birth Certificates and commercial paper) as Commodities, to collateralize and monetize the un-Constitutional Debt based U.S. and Global Monetary systems, using people as physical economic collateral slaves, with no benefit to the People themselves, from the fraud and theft, all for the commercial benefit of the Economic Elitist, Bankers, Wall Street, Esquire Attorneys, Judges, Vatican, and Multi- National Corporations of the World.

The unprecedented attacks on President Donald Trump to unseat a believed to be properly elected President and the ensuing attacks on the People was brought on and admitted as being exercised by the "Lawyers" in a tweet on Twitter as follows:

"We don't have to *speculate* on the goals of the left. They are pretty outspoken if you pay attention! For example, Mark Zaid was the attorney for the C.I.A. employee who "blew the whistle" on President Trump for his phone call to Ukraine. On Jan. 30, 2017—just 11 days into President Trump's term—Zaid tweeted this: "#coup has started. First of many steps. #rebellion. #impeachment will follow ultimately. #lawyers." Later that day he tweeted, "#coup has started. As one falls, two more will take their place. #rebellion #impeachment." This was one of the left's highly placed lawyers speaking openly of a coup—trying to *overthrow the government!* - Gerald Flurry 2020

The above related coup, created by Attorney BAR member Legislators within The Congress and Senate of the United States, FBI and others, agents of foreign powers, within our own institutions, destroying America from within!!

"We are slaves and own *absolutely nothing not even what we think are our children.*" Tillman v. Roberts, 108 So. 62.

Increases in compounding duplicitous taxation, passed along as corporate production costs, further erodes the buying power of families, leading to continued fraudulent foreclosure of our homes and property, using counterfeit

debt instruments, created in fraud, in disguised legal procedures in Rem, in courts of **Lex Mercatoria**.

Courts of commerce, disguised as courts of law, using unlawful process to steal our children and all real property from the people, for profit, as outlined by Lincoln in 1863. These actions go against promoting the general welfare, is destroying the domestic tranquility, destroying justice, for "**the establishment of absolute Tyranny over the State**", the People.

LEX MERCATORIA. "The law-merchant, adopted by all commercial nations and constitutes a part of the law of the land." – Blacks Law 4th, Pg. 1056

"The money power prays upon the nation in times of peace and conspires against it in times of adversity. It is more despotic than monarchy, more insolent than autocracy, more selfish than bureaucracy. I see in the near future a crisis approaching that unnerves me and causes me to tremble for the safety of my country. Corporations have been enthroned, an era of corruption in high places will follow, and the money power of the country will endeavor to prolong its REIGN by working upon the prejudices of the people until the wealth is aggregated in a few hands and the Republic is destroyed." - President Abraham Lincoln, after the passage of the National Banking Act of 1863.

In the Supreme Court of The United States, 1992, Justice Antonin Scalia [1936-2016], (excerpts follow), writing for the majority, confirmed "*that the American grand jury is neither part of the judicial, executive nor legislative branches of government, but instead belongs to the people. It is in effect a fourth branch of government "governed" and administrated to directly by and on behalf of the American people, and its authority emanates from the Bill of Rights, the acts of the Grand Jury is the consent of the people.*" *United States v. Williams*, 112 S., Ct. 1735, 504 U.S. 36, 118 L. Ed 2d 352 (1992)

There have been no American Grand Juries operating in America, not since 1861!

So the "Consent of the People" is not expressed, or heard, or considered by our "Representatives" which would raise the question?

"Do the People have any representation in government at all?"

The Common Law Grand and Petit Juries are derived from Ecclesiastical principals laid down in the Holy Scripture of the Bible divulged in the Book of Exodus Chapter 18, the whole chapter, with emphasis at verses 19 – 27.

Go read them!

Also for consideration, the following:

***"But there is another description of government, called also by publicists a government de facto, but which might, perhaps, be more aptly denominated a government of paramount force. Its distinguishing characteristics are (1) that its existence is maintained by active military power within the territories, and against the rightful authority of an established and lawful government; and (2) that while it exists it must necessarily be [229 U.S. 416, 429] obeyed in civil matters by private citizens who, by acts of obedience rendered in submission to such force, do not become responsible, as wrongdoers, for those acts, though not warranted by the laws of the rightful government. Actual governments of this sort are established over districts differing greatly in extent and conditions. They are usually administered directly by military authority, but they may be administered, also, by civil authority, supported more or less directly by military force."* Thornington v. Smith, 75 U.S. 1, 9, 8 Wall. 1, 9, 19 L. Ed. 361, 363.**

The above determination of the Court in Thornington v. Smith, defines the modern day 2020 Democracies of the State Governments, Inc. and Federal United States Government, Inc. and most Governments of the World, operating under the Law of the Sea, Admiralty/Special Maritime Jurisdiction, Lex Mercatoria, or Vice Admiralty, the Law of Pirates, Inland Piracy, **better known as Democracy**, controlled not by the "People at Large" but by "We, the People", "We the Esquires", Attorneys, Judges, Bankers, Politicians, Bureaucrats, Corporate CEO's, of Multinational Corporations, Bankers and Wall Street, in violation of the hidden Ratified, 1810-1819 **"Titles of Nobility" (T.O.N.A.) 13th Amendment" to the Bill of Rights, to which, I refer once again:**

"If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or retain, any title of nobility or honor, or shall without the consent of Congress, accept or retain any present, pension, office or emolument, of any kind, from any person, King, Prince, or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of

trust or profit under them, or either of them.” Original T.O.N.A. 13th Amendment, 1810-1819. (Emphasis added)

“I am a most unhappy man. I have unwittingly ruined my Country. No longer by free opinion, no longer a government by conviction and the vote of the majority, but a government by the opinion and duress of a small group of dominant men !” Woodrow Wilson from his death bed, February 1924.

America has been led astray by dominant men, Bankers and B.A.R. member Esquire agents of a Foreign Power of the Crown of England, flaunting their Titles of Nobility in the King’s Precedents, who have led us away from the Common Law of the Land, the “Law of the Creator”, The Ten Commandments.

Esquires and the Vatican have displaced THE CREATOR’S LAW with MAN’S Law, the Law of the Sea, Commerce and Pirates, and a set of double standards, under absolute DESPOTISM and OPPRESSION.

They have created one standard of law for the people, a second set of standards for Law Firms, Corporations, Esquire Prosecutors, Judges and their servants in Law Enforcement, and have granted themselves IMMUNITY from the despotic evil deeds they have unleashed on the People and our children, for profit.

The People are no longer equal under the Law, as stated in the Letter on Pg. 58-59

The information herein provided is brought by Dedicated Patriot whistleblowers, unprotected by statute, or from encroachment by Prosecutors, Law Enforcement or Police at the hands of those exposed in this writing.

Police powers are a creature of the corrupt Courts, to protect corruption and create revenue, not to protect the people, as elaborated in recent court decisions

I could go on for hundreds of more pages exposing the details, not counting 400+pages disclosing **the solutions in the Law**, hidden from the people. This is but an introduction .

As stated in an older dissertation, one last example for you the reader from Justice George Sharswood in his adaption of Blackstone’s’ Commentaries on the Common Law of England to the Constitution, explains in the old text, what we all should have learned in our Public schools. I dare say, had we been taught what you about to read, America, the World, would not be in the current crisis we find ourselves in 2020 .