William W. Ferringer

Accounting & Tax Preparation Services 15 Locharron Dr. Elkton, MD 21921

Phone: (410) 398-1173 Fax: (410) 398-1173

E-mail: wferringer19@comcast.net

May 11, 2011

BROOKSIDE COMMUNITY, INC. 900 MARROWS ROAD NEWARK, DE. 19713

Attention: Mr. John Dash

Ms. Linda Seltzer Ms. Susan Meany

SUBJECT: ACCOUNTANT'S RESPONSE TO AUDITOR'S REPORT

To all:

I am enclosing my response to the auditors report. I am taking several issues in her comments and schedules that she prepared.

I am available to meet with you and discuss my response at a time convenient to all of us.

Very truly yours,

Buil

William W. Ferringer **BCI** Accountant

RESPONSE TO AUDIT REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED BY UNIQUE BUSINESS SOLUTIONS AUDITOR: JEANETTE WATERS

The auditor's two main concerns center on the posting of the Wilmington Trust checking account interest and the check book register not agreeing to the cash balance as shown in the financial statements.

As for the posting of the checking account interest, the interest is posted in the month in which the bank statement is received. Ms. Waters's concern is that since the bank statement is issued by the bank on or about the 10th of each month, the previous months is misstated. Her recommendation is to ask the bank to cut off the bank statements at the end of each month. By doing this, the interest would be posted in the correct month. However, the fallacy in this statement is that the financial statements would not be completed until the bank statement is received which could be a week to ten days later and the interest posted. As a reference, the interest for the past six months has averaged \$2.35 per month. Using her scenario, the interest that should be posted for the previous month would be \$1.56 to make it absolutely correct.

Response:

I do not recommend the changing of the bank statements in order to accommodate the posting of interest in the "correct" month. Moving of the cut-off date of the bank statements to the end of the month will not materially change any reported amounts on the financial statements. The interest income will still be reported in the month in which the statement is received which is the current process. For example, a statement with a June 30th date, the interest will be reported on the July financial statements.

As the auditor is recommending, change the date to month end to report the interest reported on the June 30th bank statement in the month of June. This would delay the preparation of the financial statements seven to ten days depending on the mailing of the statement by the bank and the receipt of the statement by Brookside Community (BCI) and then the accountant. So instead of getting the finished financial statements on the 1st or 2nd day of the month, BCI would now receive them on the 7th to the 10th day of the month and therefore miss the general meeting held on the 1st Tuesday of each month. I do not believe it is in the best interest of BCI to delay the preparation of the financial statements by ten days in order to include a couple of dollars of interest. In no case, have there been amounts not reported. The auditor did concur that the items were all accounted for and included in the financials statements.

As for the check book register and the financial statements cash account not reconciling to each other is not correct. In her report, she indicated that twelve of the twenty-four months she audited, the balance did not reconcile. She took the balance from the check book that corresponded with the last date for any given month. As you will see, there are no differences between the check register and the financial statements. See my response below for reasons as to why this is not a correct assumption on the auditor's part.

Response:

My attached schedule will identify the differences for each of the twelve months in question. There are three primary reasons

- 1. Some items are listed out of sequence since there are items that I add to the check register during the month end preparation of the financial statements. In some cases, Janis has already started to enter information for the succeeding month. An observation by the auditor would have shown why the balances may be different. I always include at the bottom of the check register, the amount that I am using in the financial statements for that particular month because it may not be clear as to the correct balance.
- 2. Some items are not known until the following month. Blank checks may be given to BCI personnel to purchase items but the receipt is not handed into Janis on a regular basis and therefore the amount is not known. When this occurs, I clearly label the item in question as to which month that expense will be reported. Again, if the auditor would have observed the check register, she would have seen that.
- Expense items for succeeding month included in previous months balance by auditor. Reference is to 10/31/10 balance.

Other items

- Auditor suggests that by changing to a month end bank statement will be more efficient. I do not understand this comment, as it seems to be efficient under the current method.
- 2. Auditor suggests that the total financial statement process be moved to Quick Books. The Excel spreadsheet has been used for reporting the financial operations of BCI for the past several years. I see no need to change to Quick Books at this time. Quick Books is not that easy to maintain. The main reason for purchasing Quick Books was to track the Dues Receivables and collection process since the old program was out of date and was not giving the information needed to maintain and collect the outstanding dues.
- Excel spreadsheet is easy to maintain. There are not that many new accounts that would cause additional work to maintain and prepare the financial statements under the current method.

- 4. Payroll maintained on Excel is not difficult since there are only 2-3 employees at any given time.
- 5. The preparation of the Tax Form 990 is also relatively easy to prepare from the current financial statements.
- I have never been involved in an audit that the auditor did not correspond
 with the accountant when issues came up. A simple phone call or email
 would have cleared up about 90% of the issues that she raised.

RESPONSE TO AUDIT REPORT PERFORMED BY UNIQUE BUSINESS SOLUTIONS AUDITOR: JEANETTE WATERS DIFFERENCES PER AUDITOR SCHEUDLE GENERAL LEDGER VS. CHECK BOOK

		2/28/2010	8/31/2009				7/31/2009		5/31/2009			<u>DATE</u> 3/31/2009
	WHOLESALE JANITOR PAMELA FORD - PAYROLL TERRY LIVESAY - PAYROLL JANIS BROWN - PAYROLL BARRY ADAMS - BOB CAT RENTAL DEPOSIT	102,940.91	59,221.20 UNKNOWN		CK #8772 WRITTEN FOR \$350; ENTERED AS \$250.00	PAYROLL - TERRY LIVESAY PAYROLL - PAMELA FORD PAYROLL - JANIS BROWN	64,213.53	CK# 8749 - THOMAS FERRY (ATTORNEY	60,190.66		DELMARVA POWER FRANCHISE TAX PAYMENT MARCH INTEREST INCOME	GENERAL CHECK BOOK 19,038.18
0	JANITOR PAYROLL PAYROLL PAYROLL DEPOSIT	101,662.54		ī	\$250.00	LIVESAY LA FORD BROWN	63,346.10	TORNEY	60,078.16	1	YMENT	X 19,185.45
1,278.37	133.65 MARCH EXPENSE (INCLUDED BY AUDITOR AS FEBRUARY - LISTED OUT OF SEQUENCE 169.55 MARCH PAYROLL (INCLUDED BY AUDITOR AS FEBRUARY - LISTED OUT OF SEQUENCE 535.67 MARCH PAYROLL (INCLUDED BY AUDITOR AS FEBRUARY - LISTED OUT OF SEQUENCE 239.50 MARCH PAYROLL (INCLUDED BY AUDITOR AS FEBRUARY - LISTED OUT OF SEQUENCE 200.00 MARCH EXPENSE (INCLUDED BY AUDITOR AS FEBRUARY - LISTED OUT OF SEQUENCE	1,278.37	??? AUDITOR LISTED CHECK BOOK AMOUNT AS UNKNOW; NO COMMENT	867.43	(100.00) 6/12/09)	592.63 AUGUST PAYROLL (INCLUDED BY AUDITOR AS JULY) 177.01 AUGUST PAYROLL (INCLUDED BY AUDITOR AS JULY) 197.79 AUGUST PAYROLL (INCLUDED BY AUDITOR AS JULY) CORRECTION FOR CHECK WRITTEN AND ENTERED AS DIFFERENT AMOUNTS (FROM	867.43	112.50 AS JUNE ACTIVITY	112.50 CLECK TAKEN BY PRESIDENT: AMOUNT NOT KNOW UNTIL JUNE. EXPENSE RECORDED	(147.27)	(124.29) LISTED OUT OF SEQUENCE, BUT MARCH ACTIVITY (25.00) LISTED OUT OF SEQUENCE, BUT MARCH ACTIVITY 2.02 LISTED OUT OF SEQUENCE, BUT MARCH ACTIVITY	DIFFERENCE COMMENT (147.27)

RESPONSE TO AUDIT REPORT PERFORMED BY UNIQUE BUSINESS SOLUTIONS AUDITOR: JEANETTE WATERS DIFFERENCES PER AUDITOR SCHEUDLE GENERAL LEDGER VS. CHECK BOOK

	8/31/2010 10/31/2010				7/31/2010				6/30/2010				<u>DATE</u> 3/31/2010			
		134,101.58	O. 7. #3	135,675.65		CK #9149 - CHRIST CE	149,955.27		CX #91	СК #9108	147,958.99		RI RETU	96,410.49	GENERAL LEDGER	
	DELMARVA POWER	B 133,731.85	CK #9176 US POSTAL SERVICE	135,455.65		Ck #9147 - A1 SANITATION CK #9148 - HOME DEPOT CK #9149 - CHRIST CELEBRATION MINSITRIES	149,430.47	1	CK #9117 US POSTAL SERVICE	CK #9108 - SHAMROCK PRINTING	147,246.21	1	MARCH INTEREST RETURNED CHECK - NSF RETURNED CHECK FEE- NSF	96,656.14	CHECK DI	
369.73	223.40 NOVEMBER EXPENSES PICKED UP BY AUDITOR IN OCTOBER BALANCE 146.33 NOVEMBER EXPENSES PICKED UP BY AUDITOR IN OCTOBER BALANCE	369.73	220.00 RECORDED AS SEPTEMBER ACTIVITY	220.00 CHECK TAKEN BY PRESIDENT; AMOUNT NOT KNOW UNTIL SEPTEMBER EXPENSE	524.80	190.00 AUGUST CHECKS LISTED OUT OF SEQUENCE FOR OTHER JULY ACTIVITY 84.80 AUGUST CHECKS LISTED OUT OF SEQUENCE FOR OTHER JULY ACTIVITY 250.00 AUGUST CHECKS LISTED OUT OF SEQUENCE FOR OTHER JULY ACTIVITY	524.80	712.78	342.78 JULY ACTIVITY	370.00 JULY ACTIVITY CHECK TAKEN BY PRESIDENT; AMOUNT NOT KNOW UNTIL JULY EXPENSE RECORDED AS	712.78 CHECK TAKEN BY PRESIDENT: AMOUNT NOT KNOW UNTIL JULY EXPENSE RECORDED AS	(245.65)	14.35 LISTED OUT OF SEQUENCE - LISTED WITH APRIL ACTIVITY, BUT INCLUDED IN MARCH (250.00) LISTED OUT OF SEQUENCE - LISTED WITH APRIL ACTIVITY, BUT INCLUDED IN MARCH (10.00) LISTED OUT OF SEQUENCE - LISTED WITH APRIL ACTIVITY, BUT INCLUDED IN MARCH	(245.65)	DIFFERENCE	

RESPONSE TO AUDIT REPORT PERFORMED BY UNIQUE BUSINESS SOLUTIONS AUDITOR: JEANETTE WATERS DIFFERENCES PER AUDITOR SCHEUDLE GENERAL LEDGER VS. CHECK BOOK

	12/31/2010		DATE 11/30/2010						
DEPOSI	29,192.09		OK #927 DE DE	38,285.68	GENERAL LEDGER				
DEPOSIT #117 CORRECTION	29,255.69		CK #9271 - BLIND FACTORY CK CORRECTION CK CORRECTION DEPOSIT CORRECTION DEPOSIT CORRECTION	38,118.90	CHECK				
(63.60) WITH JANUARY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	(63.60) (63.60) (63.60) (63.60)	166.78	164.80 AMOUNT NOT KNOWN UNTIL DECEMBER 1.00 SUBTRACTED, SHOULD HAVE BEEN ADDED 1.00 SUBTRACTED, SHOULD HAVE BEEN ADDED (0.01) ADDED, SHOULD HAVE BEEN SUBTRACTED (0.01) ADDED, SHOULD HAVE BEEN SUBTRACTED	166.78	DIFFERENCE				