

PROJECT MOONDROP

MOONDROP DOC.001: Moondrop Rationale:
23 February 1994

1. Although accumulated ufo-ET data is admittedly massive, Earthside ufology overall is convulsed and clogged with confusions, intra-field disputes, coverups, entrepreneurs, opportunists, falsifiable events and reports, speculations, and debunking. Nothing is completely ascertainable within all these factors; but of these, coverups are probably most significant, serving, as they would, as the perpetuating sources for most on-going confusions. Since it's not easy to erect and maintain long-standing coverups, it should be assumed that over time (c.1947-present) significant planning, policy, and resources are implicitly involved at intergovernment, government, agency, scientific, and social levels.

2. As long as the above-described Earthside situation is maintainable as such it works to the mundane advantages of coverup artistry. Within its own elements and contexts (as described by many of its own researchers), the Earthside ufo situation is probably not amenable to experiencing constructive change either regarding fact-finding or coverup motives.

3. It is generally assumed that Earthside ufo events and phenomena occur because of Spaceside causes or sources (ETs). Yet the real existence of ETs had not been confirmed. The lack of such confirmation makes Earthside ufology extremely permissive of interpretation and fertile regarding perpetration of coverups.

4. If the real existence of Spaceside ETs can be confirmed in any way, then the Earthside counterpart must immediately undergo radical restructuring and become inimically infertile regarding Earthside coverup artistry.

5. To be long-term effective, such confirmation cannot become the exclusive domain holdings of special access groups, which in such case would only perpetuate control of cover-up artistry. Such confirmation must be ascertainable to multitudes in order permanently to disarm coverup strategies and tactics.

6. Prevailing scientific and official dogma regarding the Moon holds that it does not possess artificial structures. Yet telescope sightings (from several countries) of such structures (often extensive) are actually numerous, as claimed by Moon-watchers utilizing at least 8" (500x) telescopes, and are especially identifiable at or near the terminator. Most of these witnesses urge others to utilize their own telescopes in an

effort to perceive the structures, an urging that is fair, open, objective, and responsible.

7. If such structures exist on the Moon, clearly they are not of human but of ET origin.

8. Project Moondrop is conceived as a one-year project designed to discredit or confirm the existence of Moonstructures; to accumulate and analyze anomalous information about the Moon; to encourage the surfacing of additional reports regarding those phenomena and possible artificial Moonstructures; and, if the evidence justifies, ultimately to fund on-line, concerted telescopic viewing. Such data-accumulating is impassively to be undertaken without regard to prevailing official opinion that indeed might be strategic adjuncts of Earthside coverups.

9. If sufficient evidence of Spaceside Moonstructures is ultimately obtained, such would touch upon the weakest link in official Earthside coverup and denial strategies -- for if artificial Moonstructures exist, denial of ET presence in the vicinity of Earth could not be maintained, and the on-going confusing configurations of Earthside ufology must change accordingly.

10. Preliminary considerations regarding overall official stances (scientific, governmental, military, NASA et. al.) regarding the Moon, especially regarding its abundant anomalies, in fact do not follow any appreciable lines of logic, while several kinds of anomalous Moon phenomena cannot be explained within the contrivances of prevailing scientific opinion or interpretation.

11. Reports of alleged Moonstructures are actually quite numerous, independently acquired in Russia, China, Japan, Germany, the United States, and South Africa. Although specific samples of these have been similarly located and described by independent observers, most appear to have "transient" qualities in that they also vanish, according to the same independent observers. Numerous reports of "objects" flying near the Moon's surface and casting shadows on it have also been observed. At least three videotapes of this particular anomaly are said to exist, and a Moondrop Project effort is underway to acquire them.

12. Project Moondrop is a subsidiary (P)ETCCC effort, manned by the 6-Group of investigators.

13. Follow-on and follow-up Project Moondrop documents will selectively discuss issues discovered to be or seem to be pertinent.

MOONDROP DOC.001
(P)ETCCC

(P) E. T. C. C. C.

MOONDROP DOC.007: Questions Regarding Official Documentation of Unexplained Moon Phenomena (UMPs)!

1. NASA Technical Report (NASA TR R-277), published in July 1968, is a "Chronological Catalog of Reported Lunar Events." The chronology contains 579 events, witnessed and reported by Moon-watching astronomers between November 26, 1960, and October 19, 1962, and whose high-standing credentials were accepted by the five scientists who put the chronology together for NASA.

2. The 579 events are referred to as "lunar anomalies" which consist of an amazing array of phenomena: "volcanoes", mists, fogs, clouds of various kinds, dust blowing up out of craters, "formations" that appear and disappear, changing "roadway" tracks, illuminations of all spectral colors, kleig-like light beams, lights that arise in formation and in formation travel in a given direction, what appears to be vegetation changing seasonally, what appears to be snow at high lunar elevations, and etc. Presumed "explanations" are offered for some of these events; many are listed as "unexplained."

3. Lunar Orbiter 1 was launched on August 10, 1966, and was the first of a series of spacecraft designed to orbit the Moon and return closeup pictures and other information. A quick succession of additional Lunar and Ranger Orbiters followed. (P)ETCCC observes an overlap of two years between August 1966 (when Lunar Orbiter 1 was launched and began sending back photos) and October 19, 1962, the last date given in NASA TR R-227 of Earthside observation of a lunar anomalous event. (P)ETCCC also observes, in reading the NASA Report, that between September 1966 and October 1962 events Nos. 542-579 indicate that 31 lunar anomalous events were observed from Earthside -- but apparently were not reported as having been observed by any of the Lunar or Ranger Orbiters. (P)ETCCC observes that NASA TR R-277 contains no mention of lunar anomalies acquired during the two-year overlap - when it might logically be expected that such photoed anomalies could have been entered into NASA TR R-277.

4. (P)ETCCC also observes that TO DATE no officially endorsed reports or confirmations have been made of lunar anomalies either photoed or seen by unmanned or manned lunar expeditions -- even though Earthside telescopic sighting of them since 1962 has proceeded apace and have been reported in various authoritative astronomical publications. It seems that no anomalies officially exist on the Moon, even though on-goingly reported in astronomical publications. (P)ETCCC thus is obliged to conclude that questions and complexities exist between official documentation of the anomalies and Earthside sightings of them. Can anyone HELP EXPLAIN or contribute up-dated information?! If so, please be in touch with (P)ETCCC. Thanks.

MOONDROP DOC.007
(P)ETCCC

PROJECT MOONDR0P

MOONDR0P DOC.002: Clementine 1 Watch
04 March 1994

1. Clementine 1 is the name given to a 500-pound spacecraft launched to the Moon on 15 February 1994 from Vandenberg Air Force Base in California. The craft was built by the Naval Research Laboratory in Washington at a cost of \$15 million, and subsequently launched by the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization, the scaled-down successor to the "Star Wars" organization formally known as the Strategic Defense Initiative Office. Clementine is not a NASA effort, and ~~6-Group~~ 6-Group have confirmed that NASA is outside of Clementine's information loops. Clementine is therefore a military project.

2. Clementine contains three tiny cameras that will observe (and map) the entire lunar surface in visible, infrared and ultraviolet light. Earlier missions to the Moon photographed in visible light only certain sections of the Moon's surface, largely those near its equator.

3. Also, according to The New York Times (26Jan94), one of the purposes of Clementine is to test sensors capable of "bumping down fast-moving objects in space", a test forbidden by international conventions in the vicinity of Earth. ~~6-Group~~ 6-Group are obviously interested in Clementine, but exactly what fast-moving objects (excepting meteors) can be identified in the Moon's vicinity is also a matter of interest.

4. Clementine went into its lunar orbit on or about 20Feb94, and since then information has been exceedingly difficult to obtain. 6-Group, though, has been able to acquire Clementine's 19-page mission statement via Internet, showing (1) that Clementine's cameras were to be calibrated against already-known lunar sites of unusual interest, and (2) when the general mapping proceeds, such mapping can be immediately interrupted to permit the cameras to scrutinize unexpected phenomena, objects, or other points of "urgent" interest. 6-Group has made formal written requests for clarification of those parts of the mission's intent.

5. Fast-moving objects and sites of unusual or urgent interest neither fit nor integrate very well with the general prevailing perception of the Moon. The Moon is known not to be anomalous free; NASA earlier published a list of 500 anomalous phenomena, known as Lunar Transient Phenomena (LTPs), mostly consisting of lights, moving lights, lights seen to be moving in directional formation, luminous fogs and mists, lightening, and moving objects above the surface. Hypothetical explanations have been offered for about 65% of these phenomena.

MOONDR0P DOC.002
(P)ETCCC

(P) E. T. C. C. C.

MOONDROP DOC.003 Moondrop Database and Datalinks:
10 March 1994

1. As of this date, Project Moondrop has begun benefitting by linking with data accumulated by other organized Moon-observing individuals and organizations. Principal to these is the Association of Lunar and Planetary Observers (a division of the Madison Astronomical Society), the University of Arizona, the National Space & Science Center, and the Lick Observatory. Preliminary discussions, via telephone, with initial contacts (astronomers) have established that while the person on the other end of the phone says they haven't observed any Moonstructures, they know of other credible and respected astronomers or credible amateurs that have reported such. 6-Group is trying to identify these.

2. Project Moondrop is obviously interested in obtaining original photographs of the Moon's surface made by earlier lunar missions. Moondrop has recently discovered that NASA has demobilized and vacated its original photographic archives of the Moon's surface and no longer retains them in its possession. Moondrop is trying to find out where the photos have ended up. Some confusions are being experienced here, the nature of which is not yet clarifiable.

3. Project Moondrop is also interested in acquiring original and unedited copies of Apollo tape-recorded sequences wherein it is alleged that astronauts orbiting the Moon made commentary concerning unusual phenomena and/or structures. Moondrop talked with "sources" in Houston, who have informed that the requested tapes "are missing from the vaults," and that no one can be located who might know where they are.

4. Project Moondrop also acknowledges the complete, or at least stated, lack of official, scientific, military, exploratory, geological, or developmental interest of any Earthside nation in the Moon between the last of the Apollo missions (c.1972) and the renewed star wars mission of Clementine in 1994.

5. Since Moondrop archives must be as complete and frank as possible, two members of Moondrop's 6-Group have been in telephone contact with persons alleging to be related to officials sources who informally told them that "we've been gently warned to stay away [from the Moon]." Moondrop is making efforts to contact the alleged official sources.

MOONDROP DOC.003
(P)ETCCC

(P) E. T. C. C. C.

MOONDROP DOC.004: Moon Photo Resolution
15 March 1944

1. Have been informed by authoritative source (in you know where), who has consulted other authoritative sources, that NASA has for many years possessed Moon surface photos at 10-20 resolution. It is authoritatively said that "these photos will never be released to the public in order to prevent others from utilizing them to locate ideal sites for Moonbases." It is also authoritatively said that "these photos have been studied very carefully and that nothing unusual or unexpected was found in them."

2. Have also received a list of 500 anomalous phenomena (called "Lunar Transient Phenomena (LTPs)") published some years ago by NASA itself, and widely available to the public. All of these phenomena are exceedingly strange, and have been viewed telescopically by squads of professional and amateur astronomers who've commented on them in many publications. In the NASA list, the anomalies are described and possible explanations offered for some of them. Others are listed as "unexplained." Presumably the NASA "nothing unusual or unexpected" study of the 10-20 resolution photographs found none of these anomalies -- which otherwise are viewable by Earthside telescopes of even minimal x-power.

3. The same authoritative sources referred to above also indicate that no high-resolution Moonphotos from the Clementine Orbiter will be released to the public for the same reason as given in para. 1 above, "in order prevent others from utilizing them to locate ideal sites for Moonbases."

4. Project Moondrop personnel dryly observe the high possibility that "others" technically prepared enough to establish a Moonbase probably also have capabilities of discovering ideal Moonbase sites themselves.

5. The meanings of this document's information require some Earthside reading-between-the-lines capabilities. Moondrop analysts, via its participating computer-net links, are scrambling its contacts to try to intercept the meanings which are as illusive as LTPs seem to be.

MOONDROP DOC.004
(P)ETCCC

scrambling to intercept the possible meanings of the information in Moondrop Doc.004 have produced consternation, amusement, some sardonic quips, and an apparent rush by computer-contactees to query and verify via their own authoritative sources. More information thus will be forthcoming.

2. The first tier of analysis, though, has intercepted two similar and interesting observations from two experienced authorities who opine that:

(a) the reason the 10-20 resolution photos of the Moon's surface "will never be released to the public in order to prevent others from utilizing them to locate ideal sites for Moonbases" closely resembles:

(b) the stance often expressed behind the authoritative scenes designed to discourage public psi understanding and development "in order to prevent others from utilizing them for ideal strategic and tactical purposes."

3. In-house Moondrop analysts acknowledge the psi disconnection gap in general ufo research and investigating between (1) those ufologists who tend to avoid or reject the psi element, and (2) those who tend to accept it, such as contactees, abductees, and many direct witnesses of ufo-ET encounters of the three typical kinds.

4. In a certain sense, then, the Earthside-Spaceside psi element can be sighted by direct experiencers in much the same way that anomalous Moon phenomena can be seen by those directly

MOONDROP DOC.005: The Psi Disconnection
16 March 1994

1. Reference Moondrop Doc.004: Overnight computer-link scrambling to intercept the possible meanings of the information in Moondrop Doc.004 have produced consternation, amusement, some sardonic quips, and an apparent rush by computer-contactees to query and verify via their own authoritative sources. More information thus will be forthcoming.

2. The first tier of analysis, though, has intercepted two similar and interesting observations from two experienced authorities who opine that:

(a) the reason the 10-20 resolution photos of the Moon's surface "will never be released to the public in order to prevent others from utilizing them to locate ideal sites for Moonbases" closely resembles;

(b) the stance often expressed behind the authoritative scenes designed to discourage public psi understanding and development "in order to prevent others from utilizing them for ideal strategic and tactical purposes."

3. In-house Moondrop analysts acknowledge the psi disconnection gap in general ufo research and investigating between (1) those ufologists who tend to avoid or reject the psi element, and (2) those who tend to accept it, such as contactees, abductees, and many direct witnesses of ufo-ET encounters of the three typical kinds.

4. In a certain sense, then, the Earthside-Spaceside psi element can be sighted by direct experiencers in much the same way that anomalous Moon phenomena can be seen by those directly experiencing sighting them via telescopic lenses -- but both of which direct sightings are officially covered-up or discredited in "order to prevent others from utilizing them."

5. Since these two similar alleged motives (2(a) and 2(b) above) of information-disconnection have been entered into Moondrop information-loops, Moondrop personnel are obliged to give further due consideration and information up-dates to both of them in order to try to discern any possible links between them.

6. Information-disconnection efforts, strategies, and tactics are of interest to Moondrop personnel.

MOONDROP DOC.005
(P)ETCCC