Deaths and Severe Adverse Events after the use of Mifepristone as an Abortifacient from September 2000 to February 2019

Kathi Aultman¹, Christina A Cirucci, Donna J Harrison², Benjamin D Beran³, Michael D Lockwood⁴, Sigmund Seiler⁵
Affiliations expand

• PMID: 33939340

Abstract

Objectives: Primary: Analyze the Adverse Events (AEs) reported to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) after use of mifepristone as an abortifacient. Secondary: Analyze maternal intent after ongoing pregnancy and investigate hemorrhage after mifepristone alone.

Methods: Adverse Event Reports (AERs) for mifepristone used as an abortifacient, submitted to the FDA from September 2000 to February 2019, were analyzed using the National Cancer Institute's Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAEv3).

Results: The FDA provided 6158 pages of AERs. Duplicates, non-US, or AERs previously published (Gary, 2006) were excluded. Of the remaining, there were 3197 unique, US-only AERs of which there were 537 (16.80%) with insufficient information to determine clinical severity, leaving 2660 (83.20%) Codable US AERs. (Figure 1). Of these, 20 were Deaths, 529 were Life-threatening, 1957 were Severe, 151 were Moderate, and 3 were Mild.

The deaths included: 9 (45.00%) sepsis, 4 (20.00%) drug toxicity/overdose, 1 (5.00%) ruptured ectopic pregnancy, 1 (5.00%) hemorrhage, 3 (15.00%) possible homicides, 1 (5.00%) suicide, 1 (5.00%) unknown. (Table 1).

Retained products of conception and hemorrhage caused most morbidity. There were 75 ectopic pregnancies, including 26 ruptured ectopics (includes one death).

There were 2243 surgeries including 2146 (95.68%) D&Cs of which only 853 (39.75%) were performed by abortion providers.

Of 452 patients with ongoing pregnancies, 102 (22.57%) chose to keep their baby, 148 (32.74%) had terminations, 1 (0.22%) miscarried, and 201 (44.47%) had unknown outcomes.

Hemorrhage occurred more often in those who took mifepristone and misoprostol (51.44%) than in those who took mifepristone alone (22.41%).

Conclusions: Significant morbidity and mortality have occurred following the use of mifepristone as an abortifacient. A pre-abortion ultrasound should be required to rule out ectopic pregnancy and confirm gestational age. The FDA AER system is inadequate and significantly underestimates the adverse events from mifepristone.

A mandatory registry of ongoing pregnancies is essential considering the number of ongoing pregnancies especially considering the known teratogenicity of misoprostol.

The decision to prevent the FDA from enforcing REMS during the COVID-19 pandemic needs to be reversed and REMS must be strengthened.

Keywords: Abortifacient; Abortion Pill; Adverse Event Reports; Adverse Events; DIY Abortion; Drug Safety; Emergency Medicine; FAERS; FDA; Medical Abortion; Medical Abortion Complications; Mifeprex; Mifepristone; Misoprostol; No touch abortion; Postmarketing Surveillance; REMS; RU-486; Risk Evaluation Mitigation Strategy; Self-Administered Abortion.

Copyright © 2021 by the National Legal Center for the Medically Dependent and Disabled, Inc.

PubMed Disclaimer

Similar articles

 Mifepristone Adverse Events Identified by Planned Parenthood in 2009 and 2010 Compared to Those in the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System and Those Obtained Through the Freedom of Information Act.

Cirucci CA, Aultman KA, Harrison DJ.Health Serv Res Manag Epidemiol. 2021 Dec 21;8:23333928211068919. doi: 10.1177/23333928211068919. eCollection 2021 Jan-Dec.PMID: 34993274 Free PMC article.

 Analysis of severe adverse events related to the use of mifepristone as an abortifacient. **Gary MM**, Harrison DJ.Ann Pharmacother. 2006 Feb;40(2):191-7. doi: 10.1345/aph.1G481. Epub 2005 Dec 27.PMID: 16380436

• Low-dose mifepristone 200 mg and vaginal misoprostol for abortion.

Schaff EA, Eisinger SH, Stadalius LS, Franks P, Gore BZ, Poppema S.Contraception. 1999 Jan;59(1):1-6. doi: 10.1016/s0010-7824(98)00150-4.PMID: 10342079 Clinical Trial.

Mifepristone With Buccal Misoprostol for Medical Abortion: A Systematic Review.

Chen MJ, Creinin MD.Obstet Gynecol. 2015 Jul;126(1):12-21. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000000897.PMID: 26241251 Review.

 First-trimester medical abortion with mifepristone 200 mg and misoprostol: a systematic review.

Raymond EG, Shannon C, Weaver MA, Winikoff B.Contraception. 2013 Jan;87(1):26-37. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2012.06.011. Epub 2012 Aug 13.PMID: 22898359 Review.

See all similar articles

Cited by

 Mifepristone Antagonization with Progesterone to Avert Medication Abortion: A Scoping Review.

DeBeasi PLC.Linacre Q. 2023 Nov;90(4):395-407. doi: 10.1177/00243639231176592. Epub 2023 May 29.PMID: 37969420 Free PMC article.

Self-Managed Medication Abortion: Implications for Clinical Practice.

Cirucci CA.Linacre Q. 2023 Aug;90(3):273-289. doi: 10.1177/00243639221128389. Epub 2022 Dec 12.PMID: 37841380

• Comparison of outpatient with inpatient mifepristone usage for cervical ripening: A randomised controlled trial.

Baev O, Karapetian A, Babich D, Sukhikh G.Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol X. 2023 May 16;18:100198. doi: 10.1016/j.eurox.2023.100198. eCollection 2023 Jun.PMID: 37234794 Free PMC article.

 Mifepristone Adverse Events Identified by Planned Parenthood in 2009 and 2010 Compared to Those in the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System and Those Obtained Through the Freedom of Information Act.

Cirucci CA, Aultman KA, Harrison DJ.Health Serv Res Manag Epidemiol. 2021 Dec 21;8:23333928211068919. doi: 10.1177/23333928211068919. eCollection 2021 Jan-Dec.PMID: 34993274 Free PMC article.

 A Longitudinal Cohort Study of Emergency Room Utilization Following Mifepristone Chemical and Surgical Abortions, 1999-2015.
 Studnicki J, Harrison DJ, Longbons T, Skop I, Reardon DC, Fisher JW, Tsulukidze M, Craver C.Health Serv Res Manag Epidemiol. 2021 Nov 9;8:23333928211053965. doi: 10.1177/23333928211053965. eCollection 2021 Jan-Dec.PMID: 34778493 Free PMC article.