

ELVHYS 3rd workshop on "Progress in modelling and development of fuelling / bunkering procedures"

DISCHA: Τool for discharge and tank to tank transfer simulations

Dr. Alexandros G. Venetsanos National Centre for Scientific Research "Demokritos" (NCSRD), Greece venets@ipta.demokritos.gr

1 ELVHYS 3rd Workshop, Athens, 7 June 2024

Outline

- **Introduction**
- **DISCHA features**
- **Recent Validation**
	- − Emptying of an LH2 tank due to boil-off
	- − Self pressurization of LH2 tanks
- Tank to tank transfer predictions
	- − Filling of an LH2 truck from a stationary tank at 5 bars

Introduction

- **DISCHA tool for**
	- − Physical properties at single phase and two-phase conditions
	- − Discharge calculations
	- − Tank to tank transfer calculations
- DISCHA development / validation in previous EC projects
	- − NET-Tools

[NET-Tools_Venetsanos](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3iTAt3HdAWI)

− PRESLHY

[PRESLHY_Venetsanos.mp4](https://hysafe.info/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/2021/05/4_5_Venetsanos_tool_for_discharges.mp4) [PRESLHY_Venetsanos.pdf](https://hysafe.info/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/2021/05/4_5_Venetsanos_engineering_tool_discharge.pdf)

− HyTunnel-CS

[HyTunnel-CS_D4.4.pdf](https://hytunnel.net/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/230303_HyTunnel-CS_D4.4-Results-of-the-deferred-experimental-programme-and-associated-activities_FINAL.pdf)

DISCHA features (1)

■ GUI (Python), Main code (Fortran)

DISCHA features (2)

- **Substances**
	- $-$ Normal H₂, Para-H₂, CH₄, CO₂, H₂O, NH₃, O₂, N₂, He
- Single phase EoS
	- − Helmholtz free energy, SRK, PR, RKMC, Abel-Noble, Ideal gas
- Two-phase modelling
	- − Ideal mixture of liquid and vapor phase
	- − HEM

− …

- $-$ HRM, DEM mainly for H₂O
- Various input modes for thermodynamic state definition
	- − Pressure + temperature + vapor quality
	- − Pressure + (enthalpy or entropy or density or internal energy)
	- − Density + (internal energy or entropy)

DISCHA features (3)

- Discharge / tank to tank transfer calculations
	- − Arbitrary network of tanks connected by transfer lines.
	- − A transfer line either connects 2 tanks or connects a tank with the ambient environment
	- − No direct connection between different lines (branches).

DISCHA features (4)

- Tank modelling
	- − Single zone
		- Liquid and vapor phases share the same (sat) temperature
		- **The Transient mass and energy equations for the entire tank volume.**
	- − Multizone
		- Two distinct volumes (liquid below, vapor above) separated by one interface
		- Liquid phase subcooled or saturated
		- Vapor phase superheated or saturated
		- Liquid-Vapor Interface saturated
		- Various models for evaporation/condensation through the interface
		- Transient mass and energy equations for the vapor phase
		- **The Transient mass and energy equations for the liquid phase**

− Wall heat transfer

- Time-dependent energy equation within tank walls.
- Different material layers within tank walls

Evaporation/Condensation model

production/Condensation model

\n
$$
\left[\dot{q}_{VS} - \dot{q}_{SL} = \dot{m}_{evap} \left(h_{sat,V} - h_{sat,L} \right) \right]
$$
\n
$$
\dot{q}_{VS} = K_{V} a_{VS} \left(T_{V} - T_{Sat} \right) \quad \dot{q}_{SL} = K_{L} a_{SL} \left(T_{Sat} - T_{L} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{a_{VS} D}{\lambda_{VS}} = 0.27 R a_{VS}^{1/4} \quad \text{Mc Adams}
$$
\nIt is a a good result in the image, we have

\n
$$
\frac{a_{VS} D}{\lambda_{VS}} = 0.27 R a_{VS}^{1/4} \quad \text{Mc Adams}
$$

$$
\dot{q}_{VS} = K_V a_{VS} (T_V - T_{Sat}) \qquad \dot{q}_{SL} = K_L a_{SL} (T_{Sat} - T_L) \quad \equiv \quad
$$

 $2.5\left\{\ln\left(1.0+\frac{2.5}{0.527R^{0.2}}\right)\right.1.0+\left(\frac{1.9}{R}\right)\right\}$

 SL | | 0.5271 M_{SL} | $1.5L$ |

$$
Nu_{\text{VS}} \equiv \frac{a_{\text{VS}}D}{\lambda_{\text{VS}}} = 0.27 Ra_{\text{VS}}^{1/4}
$$
 Mc Adams

p	$D/4$			
Q_u	Q_{us}	$Ullage$	S	
\overrightarrow{m}_{in}	\overrightarrow{D}_{in}	T_u	$Interface$	S
$\overrightarrow{Q_1}$	Q_{sl}	\overrightarrow{Liquid}	\overrightarrow{S}	
$\overrightarrow{Q_1}$	Q_{sl}	T_l	\overrightarrow{S}	
$\overrightarrow{P_1}$	\overrightarrow{S}	$D/4$		

 $\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2.5 & 1.9 \\ 0 & 2.5 & 1.8 \end{array}\right]^{0.9}\left[\begin{array}{c} 2/9 \\ 0 & 1.9 \end{array}\right]^{2/9}$ $=\frac{a_{SL}D}{\lambda_{SL}}$ = 2.5 $\ln\left\{1.0+\frac{2.5}{0.527Ra_{SL}^{0.2}}\left(1.0+\left(\frac{1.9}{Pr_{SL}}\right)^{2}\right)\right\}$ Nellis & Klein

2/ \bigcap $^{-1}$

0.9 $\sqrt{9}$ 1

 $K_{V} = K_{L} = 0.1$ Account for non-equilibrium

 $\lambda_{\rm cr}$ | | 0.527 $Ra_{\rm cr}^{0.2}$ |

Wang H.R. et al., Modeling and thermodynamic analysis of thermal performance in self-pressurized liquid hydrogen tanks, IJHE, 47 (2022)

 $0.527 Ra_{cr}^{0.2}$ | Pr_{cc} |

SL

 $Nu_{\text{cr}} \equiv \frac{a_{\text{SL}}D}{2.5} = 2.5 \sqrt{\ln \frac{1}{2}}$

SL

DISCHA features (5)

- Discharge/transfer line modelling
	- − Conservation equations
		- Steady state momentum and energy balance
		- Line resistance, area change, wall heat transfer both for single phase and two-phase conditions
		- **Transient internal energy equation within pipe walls.**
	- − Choked flow
		- Calculated using general Possible-Impossible-Flow (PIF) algorithm
		- Discretization along discharge line is necessary (refine grid near pipe exit !!!)
		- Mach $= 1$ at exit is an output result not a BC
	- − Fictitious nozzle
		- 7 available models

DISCHA validation Emptying of an LH2 tank due to boil-off

Experiments

- − From Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories, see also Machalek et al., ICHS-9, 2021.
- **System**
	- − Tank
		- **Vertical cylindrical tank 2 m diameter, 3.97 m height, 12.47 m³ volume.**
		- \blacksquare 11.1 mm inner steel + 50.8 mm MLI vacuum + 8.3 mm outer steel walls
		- 80% initial LH2 fill
	- − Line
		- 5 mm PRV, opens at 3.1 bar and closes at 2.9 bar

11 9th Int. Conf. on Hydrogen Safety, 21-23 Sept. 2021, Edinburgh, UK Machalek et al., Influence of non-equilibrium conditions on liquid hydrogen storage tank behavior,

DISCHA validation Self pressurization of LH2 tanks

13 ELVHYS 3rd Workshop, Athens, 7 June 2024

Self-pressurization of LH2 tanks

Experiments

- − NASA multipurpose hydrogen test bed (MHTB) tank experiments see Hastings et al., (2003) and related modeling by Wang et al. (2022).
- **System**
	- $-$ Tank volume 18.09 m³,
	- $-$ tank diameter D = 3.05 m
	- $-$ Cylindrical height H_c = 1.525 m
	- − No venting

Hastings et al., Spray bar zero-gravity vent system for on orbit liquid hydrogen storage. NASA TM-12926 (2003)

Wang H.R. et al., Modeling and thermodynamic analysis of thermal performance in self-pressurized liquid hydrogen tanks, IJHE, 47 (2022)

Self-pressurization of LH2 tanks

Tank to tank transfer Filling of an LH2 truck from a stationary tank

Tank to tank transfer

- Scope of work:
	- − Simulate the filling of an LH2 truck from a stationary tank
	- − Effect of tank model (single zone or multizone)
	- − Effect of transfer line evaporation model (HEM or saturated liquid)
	- − Is filling eventually stopped by unwanted pressure increase in the target tank?
	- − Provide pre-test support for ELVHYS tank to tank transfer experiments at DLR
	- − Provide a validated tool for tank to tank transfer simulations

Tank to tank transfer

- **System Components:**
	- $-$ Supply tank 12 m³ (5 bars, sat LH₂)
	- $-$ Transfer line (30 m, 2.54 cm) + (5 cm, 10mm) nozzle
	- − Receiving tank 0.4 m³ (1 atm, sat GH2) without vent
	- − All components are considered adiabatically isolated.
- Models:
	- − Constant pressure for supply tank
	- − HEM or Sat_Liquid for transfer line
	- − Single zone or Multizone for receiving tank

5 bars, single zone

Predicted conditions in receiving tank

Predicted conditions at transfer line exit

5 bars, Multizone

Predicted conditions in receiving tank

5 bars, Multizone

Predicted conditions at transfer line exit

Conclusions

- **DISCHA validation**
	- − Reasonable agreement against LLNL LH2 boiloff tests and NASA MHTB pressurization tests
- Tank to tank transfer simulations at 5 bars
	- − Single zone tank model
		- \Rightarrow 61% fill with HEM in transfer line
		- \Rightarrow 100% fill with Saturated liquid in transfer line
	- − Multizone tank model
		- \Rightarrow 47% fill with HEM in transfer line
		- \Rightarrow 77% fill with Saturated liquid in transfer line
	- − Multizone model gives lower tank fill compared to single zone
	- − Saturated liquid in transfer line gives higher fill compared to HEM

Acknowledgments

Thank you for your attention

venets@ipta.demokritos.gr

This work was undertaken as part of the ELVHYS project No. 101101381 supported by the Clean Hydrogen Partnership and its members. UK participants in Horizon Europe Project ELVHYS are supported by UKRI grant numbers 10063519 (University of Ulster) and 10070592 (Health and Safety Executive). Funded by the European Union.

Disclaimer: Views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the Clean Hydrogen Partnership. Neither the European Union nor the Clean Hydrogen Partnership can be held responsible for them.

