

A Perfect Prediction: Russia Ukraine War/Military Operation.



David Gomadza Tomorrow's World Order

A Perfect Prediction: Russia Ukraine War/Military Operation.

Tomorrow's World Order. Dealing with the Russia Ukraine War/Military Operation
See Appendix for related books.

War Timeline

Feb 24, 2022.

Russia Invades Ukraine.

Feb 28.

Four days later Kyiv was expected to have fallen based on the strength of the Russian war machine, Ukraine leadership not putting on a fight, Ukraine's finances being unable to sustain a prolonged war and the blockage of Ukraine's grain exports which would all help collapse their finances.

1 Oct.

After the annexation of territories, the Russian leadership would have seen no need to continue with the war and start partial or full withdrawal.

[But pressure from the West and pledges for more weapons saw Russia instead call for a partial mobilization now aimed to achieve their second goal that of regime change.

20 Oct.

Some countries will start refusing to pay for the war sighting Ukraine's Obstinacy as the issue as the cost of funding the war becomes bad worsened by high fuel costs and political turmoil at home.

30 Oct.

The West to sabotage Russia's gas pipes and infrastructure and try to manipulate Russia's position by influencing OPEC etc and start encouraging Europe to buy gas from them instead of from Russia.

More attacks on infrastructure weaken Russia's position at the same time intensifying the war. Then pledge more weapons and funding to keep the heat on.

The West constantly can tell Ukraine that they will win the war. But as Tomorrow's World Order this winning is only meant to strengthen Ukraine's position so that they can bargain better in peace talks.

So, we believe winning the war has nothing to do with stopping all the issues at hand like losing annexed territories, etc. That is our major basis for calling for peace now as people will have died for the same outcome.

We strongly believe that the West will not join the war or send their troops as long as Russia does not use nuclear weapons.

1 Nov.

The Ukrainians will refuse to talk about any peace with the Russian president and secretly approach the State Duma in the disguise of returning bodies of killed generals to make deals behind the Russian president's back.

The State Duma will refuse fearing reprisals. This means Ukraine after refusing any peace talks with the Russian leadership even without commitment is now forced to fight to win the war.

The political climate around the world especially among countries funding their war will change, in that domestic unrest in their countries will make some of these countries start refusing to fund the war. Most will start pointing to Ukraine's obstinacy as a problem. This is because Ukraine in the end will still accept the terms offered by Russia but only after a huge loss of their people.

11 Dec.

The Russian president will offer terms for ending the war as pressure from the West grows. They will agree to the end of the war with a chance to revert to pre-war status. A state where through peace talks Ukraine will get back all annexed regions after a certain period. Here Ukraine will offer demands e.g., rebuild requests to be carried out by Russia since it is them who destroyed infrastructure in these regions.

Russia can also argue for peace 'as the situation is where they get to keep whatever they have annexed without any damages paid. But with conditions and warnings that any sabotages might trigger the war again.

12–20 Dec.

Talks on the exchange of prisoners etc.

The West will encourage the Ukrainians to continue fighting telling them that they can win this war by supplying more weapons.

Ukraine will deny any peace terms insisting on fighting to win.

Belarus might enter the war now seeing Ukraine as a terrorist threat as well to them since they sided with Russia. This means they might attack from the top left.

Russia can argue that they won as they have inflicted heavy losses on Ukraine. Russia will retreat as Ukraine continue to fight. Russia retreats as they will have achieved their goal of the military operation of annexing the territories.

Ukraine will claim victory too as they will have made Russia retreat as the fighting intensifies.

Feb 2023.

Russia with little to gain from this war after annexing territories will insist on peace but the Ukrainians will reject any negotiations.

The Saudi Arabia Prince will intervene as the best mediator to mediate in peace talks. His previous work in prisoner swaps will give him an advantage with both Russian and Ukraine's leadership but at the expense of his relationship with the West.

Relations with the West will deteriorate mainly because he is interrupting the West's plan. To get even, the West might accuse him, and the oil curtail of price manipulation and launch a court case using the antitrust laws.

This can cause negotiations for peace to break down leaving the fighting to continue.

March 2023.

Russia will have mobilized, recruited, and replaced the lost soldiers and will group with Belarus or another country to invade Ukraine by April to May 2023.

June 1 to 4.

The bloodiest war between Russia and Belarus' combined troops versus Ukraine troops.

Russia will aim to eliminate all of Ukraine's opposition aiming to kill as many soldiers as they can and aim to effect regime change. Russia will aim to take 2000 Ukraine soldiers as prisoners.

July 2023.

Russia will have won the fight killing a lot of Ukraine soldiers but not enough victory to immobilize Ukraine's military.

Seeing Ukraine's defeat the West will come together and supply weapons, mercenaries, etc to fight the Russians. Ukraine with the help of the West will defeat the Russians who will withdraw their troops.

August 2023.

Another major fight is to occur between 4 and 5 August. Russia after suffering heavy losses will retreat from destruction as the West help the Ukrainians. The Ukrainians at this time will be unable to replace their losses even if they have won most people will be reluctant to fight now after realizing that the war or military operation will not end. There will take back territories and possibly lose these as well.

August 13.

A possible revolt in Russia aiming to overthrow the Russian government and end the war but one that will fail.

Ukraine will offer a peace deal that can be refused as the Russian government will discover that it was the Ukraine government behind the assassination attempt or overthrow of the government.

August 19.

A likely terrorist attack in Crimea or another annexed territory will destroy ships or military vehicles.

Ukraine will start destroying non-military cities or parts of annexed cities with the help of defected Russia's former armies.

September 2023.

Russia will retaliate against the attacks which they will regard as terrorist acts by setting Kyiv on fire through heavy shelling. This will incapacitate the Ukraine government to an

extent that they will be unable to pay even their soldiers. By this time foreign or Western help will have dwindled.

Or the Ukraine regime will set Kyiv on fire to gain sympathy and attention from the West but by then due to high gas and energy prices the West will have lost interest in the war.

October 2023.

Other countries might offer to mediate and end the war insisting on informal talks which will pave way for talks.

Ukraine will insist on getting the annexed territories back even though now they will be interested in talks. But Russia now aiming for regime change will refuse any demands by Ukraine aiming to end the war by regime change.

January 2024

Belarus or other Russian allies will enter the war after being offered a good deal by Russia.

Funding from the West will have died down by the beginning of 2024. Ukraine's financial position will be dire by then. Most of the countries secretly will be calling Ukraine an obstinacy and refusing or have reduced their funding.

Russia by then will be targeting all weapons being delivered from the West and destroying these targeting deliveries before they arrive.

February 2024.

Russia starts demanding Ukraine surrender or enter peace talks as the weaker side without any demands.

After other countries having failed to mediate between Russia and Ukraine a new mediator is needed. Saudi Arabia would have abandoned as being a mediator as the USA will have placed pressure to discredit them. Turkey will have failed, and India will be chosen to be the place for the talks. Ukraine's leadership will choose for the peace talks to happen in Kyiv, but the Russians will refuse.

March 2024.

Before the peace talks and as they are happening the Ukrainians will secretly send troops to attack Belarus or other ally of Russia who would have joined the war before there is peace to get even.

March 2024.

NATO will have mobilized and now will decide to attack Russia. Ukraine even though agreeing to start peace talks with Russia will send calls for help and follow up on its intention to join NATO. NATO will secretly or officially announce that Ukraine is now or will become a member. Even if membership is not considered Ukraine will enter into a secret alliance with NATO that will give NATO access to Ukraine's territory. Ukraine will now delay the peace agreement with Russia to enable NATO to be ready to deal with Russia. In the process gain a bargaining position by asking Russia to either return the annexed territories or face NATO.

NATO's threats to invade Russia will force Russia to want to end the war fast.

June 2024.

Realizing the threat of a NATO invasion the Russians hastily will invade Ukraine. Things will be dire by then with food shortages among the soldiers and low morals that most will be taken without shots being fired.

Psychological fears by Ukraine people weakened by the long war will work in favor of the Russians who will win but not enough to effect regime change.

July 2024.

Financial crises in Ukraine with destroyed energy sources, infrastructure, low morals, etc, and the Russian attack will change the mindset of the Ukraine regime. By now which will have suffered significant losses. Economic woes in Ukraine as foreign funding dies down will trigger a local revolt among the people who will start to feel the pains of the war. Now turning against their government and will demand that the resilient leadership enter peace talks or cease to lead the country. Russia will also want to end the war because of NATO's intent to invade if Russia did not leave Ukraine.

End of July 2024.

Under pressure, Ukraine's leadership will quickly enter peace talks to avoid revolt as Ukrainians will have had enough of this war and fighting, especially for the same result. Fear to be attacked by NATO and the fear of a revolt by Ukrainians will force the two leaders of Russia and Ukraine respectively to sign a peace treaty.

July 2024.

The Russia and Ukraine Peace Treaty.

Read also in conjunction with the book I wrote and published on June 20, 2022, with the same title.

Ukraine will be allowed by Russia to keep Crimea which they will have recaptured at the expense of the Donbas and Luhansk regions. They might get Kherson, Zaporizhian, etc back. Russia will insist that they will keep what they gained at the time of peace and what Ukraine has they will keep at that date.

NATO will force Russia to return the other areas of Ukraine but agree to free elections in these areas. Russia will agree to return the regions after three years by 2027 after rebuilding these allowing their legal system to effect the changes.

Russia will only agree to return the territories to Ukraine on condition that they enter a peace alliance not to fight each other and impose a condition that Ukraine revoke their NATO membership.

Russia fearing the same situation in the future will secretly enter annexes into the peace treaty that will ban non-rushing speaking Ukraine leaders to be elected to the leadership.

Russia will stop recognizing Ukraine and other countries [former Soviet Union members] as just devolved entities that are answerable to the Russian president and for the first

time recognize the independence and sovereignty of Ukraine as an independent country.

Secret annexes in previous peace treaties or independence treaties do not recognize once former Soviet Union members as sovereign. They are still under Russian control this could explain why Russia attacks once members who do not respond to Moscow. Or if a secret annex does not exist it is the belief that Russia still controls these nations. Russia might agree to keep just Crimea and give back the annexed regions after a certain time says 3 years.

The weakened position of the Ukrainian president will make Russia force Ukraine into a treaty that will make them turn away from the West and instead rely on Russia and Belarus for military and economic support. If Ukraine agrees Russia will give back all territories but since Ukraine's leadership will have been weakened might have to agree to an unfavourable request.

August 2024.

Russia's success in the war and its handling of the peace talks will only trigger jealousy, feelings of betrayal, and anger among the Ukrainians. Who by now will have realized that all their fighting efforts were in vain as they have the same arrangements and a huge loss of their people and a huge rebuilding burden. This will trigger resentment among the Ukrainians. Secretly they will collude with NATO and the West to get even with Russia once and for all.

Russia to stop the expansion of NATO might offer Ukraine back its territories but make a treaty with Ukraine together with Belarus. They can insist Ukraine remain neutral.

After September 2024.

Ukraine will secretly admit to being part of NATO rather than being part of Russia. But to keep peace and quell any tensions NATO enter a secret alliance with Ukraine.

NATO secretly will approve Ukraine's membership only on condition of this remaining secret but provide support and money to Ukraine. Promising to offer help when the time comes against the Russians.

Suspicious of Ukraine's secret treaty with NATO Russia now realizing the real risks of a NATO invasion. Instead, will invade Ukraine to take the country as their own and do what they failed to do; regime change to replace leadership with a Russian-speaking leader.

Ukraine will then again now ask NATO to formally declare them as a member so that they can attack Russia.

Why Ukraine's government will form a secret alliance with NATO.

To revenge for the defeat by the Russians and the huge losses of the people.

To get help in the rebuilding of Ukraine.

Ladies and gentlemen, we are Tomorrow's World Order the new global leaders. We stand against the invasion of sovereign countries. We stand against the killings of women and children. We stand against the sending of soldiers ill-equipped to deal with modern warfare. Even your soldiers are protected by our laws. We stand firm against regime change of democratically elected regimes. Even though sovereignty plays a huge role there are other situations where a country can go to extremes in cases where a country faces existential threats. Real threats that pose risk to their existence as a nation. But again, no matter what there is no justification for killing women and children. We understand there was not a conflict resolution platform, but we are here now so give PEACE a chance. We strongly condemn Russia's invasion of Ukraine but are open to all arguments put forward, especially in the case where there are existential threats. Above is what might happen if we let the situation continue without any intervention. Only Tomorrow's World Order can stop the cycle of destruction and suffering mentioned above. See above for yourselves and see what you are up to. Is all that worth it? Do you know that Russia can give back all annexed territories through peace talks and assurances? Ukraine can get back everything they have lost by declaring pre-war conditions. A state where they get back everything they had before the start of the war. There are a lot of things we can solve through any actions and a few we can solve through dialogue. This is one such conflict that can only be effectively solved through dialogue. All the other solutions have severe consequences. The use of tactical nuclear weapons by both sides can make external forces like the West intervene with nukes as well. Russia's allies might intervene with nukes as well to counter the West. So, it is not a straightforward option. A major war can trigger World War III. Remaining with the war or military operation will only result in regime change as sanctions and rise in cost of living make people revolt. Continuing with the war can result in severe losses in the future for the same or worse peace deal. Fighting now can only prolong the inevitable without any significant gains just a better negotiating position at the end of the war. Continued threats of the use of a nuclear weapon can trigger another country to use a nuke on Russia. The situation can change at any time. Anything can happen tomorrow or the day after tomorrow whatever is in this book the ideas in here might have changed but still viable. But is it not worth it to save even a single child's life by highlighting the situation so that you make the right decisions? Even if I might sound to side with one side it is only because the West has declared itself to stand for all humanity, for freedom, for justice and, for peace hence the scrutiny. Announcing our rise to the top as Tomorrow's World Order, to be the global president. To oversee, negotiate, facilitate, manage, and control the conflict resolution process, to mediate, to be intermediaries, defenders of the defenceless in the women and children

who are killed and maimed by wars, to be peacekeepers, and stewards of the world to safeguard humanity's continuity and protect future generations.

The Russia and Ukraine conflicts have brought new challenges and exposed the inadequacy of the current system to deal with conflicts where there are high risks of the use of nuclear weapons which pose a real risk to the survival of humanity at large. The fact that there is no other power out there that is neutral enough to be trusted by both to act as a conflict-resolving unit was a cause for concern. But not anymore as we are here now.

We are neutral and do not represent any country, cult, military entity, race, religious group, etc. We are for everyone. Our goal is to protect humanity from the risks posed by nuclear weapons.

We are here to protect and defend the defenceless who are often regarded as weak and easy collateral damage through wars and sanctions.

We strongly stand for the women and children who end up dead needlessly.

No woman or child shall die on our watch. We shall take action against the culprits.

The West represents the West, the East represents the East. All decisions taken by these only benefit these and not the interests of the entire world. We are that referee, that negotiator, that inspector, that judge, that overseer, that guardian, that steward, that conflict resolution entity, and everything we can be to maintain peace and safeguard the lives of the defenceless.

We are here to instil global order as well. The current situation has gone out of hand, and we must intervene to be proactive and put things in place to prevent Third World War and worse a nuclear war.

The main reason that this is serious is the fact that this can result in a nuclear war between Russia and the West with others joining in. Where two nations and or cults that possess the most nuclear arsenals in the world are in conflict surely a third part; a neutral entity is a MUST to contain and deactivate such tensions.

The West has set a dangerous precedent in Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

This can give Russia bases and justifications to use tactical nuclear weapons in Ukraine especially considering the resilience of the Ukraine people. Who will keep killing Russian soldiers who they believe are nothing more than invaders? The huge losses of Russian soldiers might trigger the need to use tactical nuclear weapons to match the threat and force at hand and thereby protect their soldiers from needlessly deaths.

We know that the Ukraine government will keep fighting and wanting to take back the annexed territories. The fact that Russia has annexed these territories and put this into law will mean Russia as well now will do whatever it can to defend these territories which they now believe are theirs.

This alone will mean heightened risks of the use of dangerous or tactical nuclear weapons. Ukraine might feel cheated and robbed that they will continuously attack to

recover back the annexed territories but now Russia might consider the attacks as sabotages or terrorist attacks.

This can only trigger the use of nuclear weaponry of some sort if this goes on for a long time which we believe it will.

We also strongly believe that it is not just Russia that can end up using nuclear weapons. Ukraine might end up using nuclear weapons to get even after realizing that there is “nothing” they can do to get back the annexed territories without a war with Russia especially considering that there was no conflict resolution body to resolve this. A big handclap to Tomorrow’s World Order we can put an end to this war or military operation.

Even worse is the fact that the West and NATO might retaliate to any use of nuclear weapons with nuclear weapons as well. We CAN’T let the situation get out of hand to the talking of a nuclear Armageddon. We stand against this. It does not have to be that way. To us as the new global leaders’ nuclear weapons are for deterrence only. Sadly, the situation is not that so. The risks are heightened. Hence, we MUST take over as the new global leaders to manage and plan the world. Resolving all kinds of conflicts peacefully.

If it were a normal war, we would not mind. We would let all these warmongers kill each other so that after that we can put our brand-new system that is fit for the current situation. To put a new system the current system must collapse, and wars highlights a system collapse.

The current war and all financial problems highlight a system failure. It is not Russia or Ukraine causing all these inflations and other economic wars.

A system failure.

It is a system failure. The West etc heavily invest in weapons over years pumping money into defence which does not bring in any revenues in that in the end the system will collapse as resources become scarce. Competition from consumables can only mean an imbalance that in the end humankind will be forced to use weapons to lower the prices of these consumables. These wars will instil fear into other countries enough to make them buy weapons, relieve the system, and prevent collapse.

I argued in Tomorrow’s World Order that the current system is dysfunctional and will trigger wars every 20–25 years.

First World War 1914–1918

Second World War 1945

Third potential War [Cold War] 1960

Other Wars 1980 Gulf Wars

Other Wars 2000 Gulf Wars

Potential World War 2022

This is not a coincidence. No. This will happen forever unless we stop this vicious cycle and put in a new system.

The War Timeline.

We must intervene and stop this cycle of war. I argued above that the system every 20 to 25 years will face collapse as resources are not used effectively and passed to all components of the system to sustain the system. A lot of resources are put into defence which does not generate revenues etc. This will only mean wars to shift the stockpiles of weapons and trigger the cycle again as more weapons will be manufactured to replace the used ones. This is the only way that the system can go on; through wars which is sad.

I have argued already some of the timelines in the book Russia-Ukraine Peace Treaty see appendix for details.

The reason for the war is the need for the West to control seas and trade using Ukraine as a proxy.

Just a thought. The reason was that the West wanted to end Russia's dominance as an energy supplier and control Russia's political power.

Russia's concerns were that Ukraine was weakening them by relying too much on the West especially its desire directly or indirectly to join NATO a cult formed to contain Russia's power.

Russia to contain the situation formulated mainly two goals.

1. To change the leadership in Ukraine and ban non-Russian-speaking leadership. This will mean loyal to Russia and safeguarding its interest.
2. To annex a corridor to the black sea that can be used to easily deploy troops in case of a NATO attack. So, Russia decided to annex the Crimea peninsula first and then take the other territories of Kharkiv, Donetsk, Luhansk, Kherson, Mykolaiv, and Zaporizhzhia.
3. To secretly include a secret annex that will exclude non-Russian speaking people to lead Ukraine.

We strongly believe that the West is recreating the conditions just before the 1945 Hiroshima incident where the Japanese's obstinacy resulted in them being shelled by the Americans. The US to save their soldiers from the kamikaze Japanese fighters and end the war and quell the Japanese obstinacy ended up using the most dangerous nuclear bombs ever made. It must be pointed out that the US used nuclear bombs twice to tell the world who is boss. The current shortfalls of the system have meant that the US was not a global leader with everyone else's interests. Now you see China, Russia, etc admitting publicly that the US cannot be a global leader. Indirectly some nations think the US is putting Russia in their footsteps as with the Japanese to see if Russia will not do the same as they did in the Hiroshima and Nagasaki sagas. They believe the

continued supply of weapons will only result in the deaths of Russian soldiers in considerable numbers and at some point, in the future, the Russians will contemplate the use of a nuclear weapon to match the force and threat at hand. This is because the West's weapons will make Ukraine the threat and Russia a weaker opponent, in the end, Russia will contemplate the use of nuclear weapons.

Ukraine was once a nuclear state, and they gave all their weapons away and this can make them at some point ask for a nuclear weapon to stop the war. We believe that the West will not enter this war if Russia does not use nuclear weapons. The resilience of the Ukraine government will only mean the war going as far as July 2024. The heavy weapons being supplied now will only mean the heavy deaths of Russian soldiers. The recent calls by the Russian leadership for partial mobilization are a direct response to the number of deaths on the ground in Ukraine and the panic that a military operation they thought will take a few days has claimed thousands of the lives of their soldiers and is still claiming more.

So, the threat of a nuclear war is heightened, and it is not just Russia capable of using these nuclear weapons it is also Ukraine that can use these weapons. Hence as Tomorrow's World Order we try to bring the reality of the threats close to life so that you see what kind of threats and risks, we are dealing with here.

Is Russia Weak?

At first glance, the war will point to a weak Russia being defeated by one of the smallest countries and armies in the world. But is Russia weak or the West's calls to Ukraine to continue fighting against the odds are directly or indirectly aimed to make Russia aggressive to an extent that they will attack back ruthlessly?

Is Russia naturally aggressive and evil towards Ukraine?

The Russians want Ukraine to be part of Russia just like in the old Soviet Union Days. If this is the truth, then it can be argued that Russia is not there to destroy Ukraine but to force them to join them. In this case, if they are to make Ukraine join them in the future, they might be lenient not to use full force but a controlling hand. Can this be the fact leading the West to regard Russia as weak or to continue to encourage Ukraine to fight to give them hope that they might win?

It can be argued that Russia did not perform as most people expected of the second-strongest army in the world. Does that make it weak towards Ukraine? Can Ukraine's position as the former Soviet Union have anything to do with that?

1 Kings 3:16–28

Can it be said that Russia is like a mother in King Solomon's times who woke up only to find her baby dead? On close inspection then realised that the dead baby was not hers. At the same time in that same hospital or house was another woman who had given birth to a baby as well. So sure, that the dead baby was not hers she tells the other

woman that she had switched the baby and taken hers. She pointed out that the other woman [the US in this case had killed her baby in Japan with nuclear bombs] now wants to steal her baby in Ukraine. So sure, that the baby was hers; Russia complained to Tomorrow's World Order acting as King Solomon that the US is like a thieving mother who deliberately killed her baby, not just once but twice with nuclear bombs and now pretending to care only that hope that she loses hers as well. Tomorrow's World Order acting as King Solomon asked the US what they thought should be done. The US to the surprise of Tomorrow's World Order argued that the child is given the most powerful weapons to fight back especially knowing the dangers of obstinacy. Having been put in that situation by their child in Japan ending up using bombs to kill their child. Not just once but twice a bigger bomb following a smaller bomb. It could have made sense if they used a bigger bomb first and then went only to use a smaller one last. But they used a small bomb first then went on to use a bigger bomb showing no remorse that if the child did not die in Japan, they could have gone further to use an even more destructive bomb.

So, the mother in the US cannot argue that she was ignorant of the outcome of obstinacy as she had experienced it first-hand. In that light, she is aware of what might happen and even declare that Armageddon is about to happen. Surely based on the fact that she knows that putting Russia in such a situation will only end up with Russia trying to protect their troops through the use of nuclear weapons. Even the Chechen leader went on to suggest the same based on Ukraine's resilience.

Can the West try to put Russia in their footsteps so they will say you did the same as us, so we were vindicated to use nuclear weapons on the stubborn Japanese?

Russia's actions in this war can be said to be that of a loving mother who gave up their baby so that the baby can live when the West had opted for the baby to be killed. She decided to give the baby to a stranger only to keep the baby alive. Now she is back to claim back her baby. To tell the baby who the real mother is. The baby is upset understandably that if she is the mother why she left the baby in the first place with another stranger? So, the baby cannot understand this. To the baby, the mother who raised it is the mother it can trust.

But how can the mother explain that she gave up the baby so that the baby lives otherwise the baby could have been cut in half.

It is a fact to Tomorrow's World Order that the West's supplying of dangerous weapons has nothing to do with freedom but with its preservation and gains at the expense of Ukrainians. Surely Russia is a nuclear country and might have used weapons from the start to stop any rebellion. The West themselves admitted that this war can end up a nuclear Armageddon. Which means the supplied weapons exacerbated the situation. But we are evaluating this to find peace between Russia and Ukraine in that all what Russia wants is for Ukraine to be part of Russia even if this is wrong this means that Russia is not in a position to use nuclear weapons in Ukraine.

Freedom fighters or Simply Obstinance?

The West regarded the Japanese's fight against themselves as just obstinance to be punished by not just one but two nuclear bombs to put some sense into their skulls. But then the Japanese can be forgiven for they did not know that the West had nuclear bombs or even what the nuclear bombs can do. No one had heard about these highly destructive bombs. Can the same be said about Ukraine? We know that countries like Russia are making nuclear bombs to command the rest of everyone. Ukraine knows very well about nuclear weapons for they had some at one point. Once the third largest nuclear arsenal in the world which they gave away for peace. This could be a reason why they are fighting this war so hard as they see themselves in the same shoes as the Russians. Nuclear weapons possession or not.

I think just telling a person that a non-nuclear nation is killing a nuclear weapon-possessing country's soldiers can trigger one to ask if they are out of their mind.

The risks of such a move cannot be justified unless they are aware that Russia will not use nuclear weapons on them.

It can be argued that the way Ukraine is fighting can only reflect Russia's mindset that they will not use nuclear weapons in Ukraine. The Ukrainians know this, so they fight and still recreate the Hiroshima situation manipulated by the West and used as justification for killing thousands to save a few.

Fighting to keep their Sovereignty or Simply suicidal?

The first impression of this war makes you think that Ukrainians are suicidal fighting a nuclear power and killing Russian soldiers as they are doing without expecting any retaliation would be absurd. But if you consider that this war has been going on for years since 2014 then you will understand why. They want to get over this and after all these years it can be argued that Ukraine is sure that the only way to solve this problem is to win on the battlefield. We have heard several Western institutions and cults say that Ukraine can win this war.

During the Zaporizhzhia nuclear plant fight, some talked about being suicidal and fighting around a nuclear plant. Worse considering that Russia has nuclear weapons and threatened to use the weapons. Can also this be a call for international help?

The existential threat to Russia posed by Ukraine's dealing with the West?

Are Russia's arguments that it is the one facing an existential threat and not Ukraine justified? Russia argues that Ukraine is removing the fence that has protected it all these years by looking to the West for everything. NATO was established to contain the threat posed by Russia and Ukraine's willingness to join NATO can only trigger such a response.

Would NATO not do the same if France or Denmark is to break out of NATO to join Russia? The secrets, war tactics everything sent to the enemies. Would NATO not consider France or Denmark as a spy?

Russia argued that it is the West that is taking away Ukraine's sovereignty by offering IMF and World Bank loans secured against the land. It is Ukraine's actions that are making Russia take this drastic measure to protect its borders as NATO encroach on its yard. Once indebted through loans they can payback to the West what will stop Ukraine trading writing-off of the debt with Soviet Union secrets?

Ukraine's willingness to join NATO (exposing all Soviet Union secrets) is an existential threat to Russia. Russia only took such steps to contain the risks in 2014. It is a fact that Ukraine requested a loan from the IMF/World bank in 2013 and these bodies advised that Ukraine streamline by cutting their pension budget and salaries meaning culling staff and somehow pensioners. But how can Ukraine achieve all this?

Reading the Small Print.

By 2014 February or March Ukraine was in a war with Russia that lasted 8 years. Last year 2021 Ukraine applied to the IMF/World Bank to have their debt written off arguing that they cannot afford to repay. This lobbied by Ukrainian organizations if not by the Ukrainian government. They were told that to qualify for debt to be written off they must be regarded as in a conflict-inflicted zone. It does not matter if the war lasted 8 years the war was not intense enough to be regarded as such. As such their debt would not be written off. Russia complained that even if they agreed on the Minsk agreement both parties had faltered. By 2022 everyone was calling for the most destructive weapons. Still, the West cannot write off its debt but instead, keep adding more as increased infrastructure is destroyed. So forever literally losing its sovereignty to the West just by borrowing.

I argued in my other book: Culling the Sad Reality of the IMF/World Bank Loans as Triggers of Wars, that its true borrowing makes a nation lose its sovereignty. The more loans you have and if you cannot repay this means the West will use Ukraine as a proxy to fight the Russians on their behalf until they can afford to repay. But with Russia destroying all infrastructure the more Ukraine will be indebted to the West forever.

Debt is an inhibiting factor to negotiations and peace talks.

If the loans were loaned and Ukraine's land used as collateral, then Ukraine will never part with land as in fact they are not able to bargain in deals that involve lands. Meaning revoking any peace deal with Russia that involves losing land. So forever persistent to fight hence the increased risks of nuclear war as Russia will be forced to use nuclear weapons to stop the war.

Heightened Risks of a nuclear war.

Ukraine can as well get back some of the nuclear weapons it gave to the US and UK since there has been a breach of the contract between these nations and Ukraine. They only offered to give up nuclear weapons if they have peace. Fact that they don't have peace it can be argued that they can simply demand some of the nukes back to use on Russia.

Why Russia did not want this to be regarded as a war but a military operation.

Calling this a war apart from being unfavourable term meant also that it could be stopped at any time. A war can be stopped by anyone. But a military operation will not end until the goal has been achieved. A military operation exonerates the leader even if more soldiers must die. A military operation cannot be terminated until the goal has been achieved. A military operation can only be stopped by the Russian leadership. Having said that this military operation had two main goals.

1. Annexing of the regions annexed on 30 September together with Crimea to create a corridor that leads to the black sea as a counter to the existential threat posed by the encroachment of NATO close to home. This would mean quickly dealing with NATO's invasion even if Ukraine joined NATO. If Ukraine wants to join NATO Russia might refuse to return these territories as it forms a great corridor to deal with any invasion.
2. The second goal of the military operation was to change the regime in Ukraine and secretly include a secret clause or annex in any agreement that will prohibit non-Russian-speaking people from taking office. All this to protect Soviet Union secrets. Would the West not call NATO defectors to Russia spies?

This also can explain the call for partial mobilization soon after annexing the territories. Goal one was achieved and now recruiting to target Kyiv.

So, if Ukraine wants these territories back, it must declare that it will never join NATO. Something we all know that Ukraine will never agree to so forever tensions. So, as well as the heightened risks of a nuclear war.

Russia's little gain from continuing the war.

We believe that Russia has now little to gain from this war after the annexation of the other regions on 30 September. We expected Russia to withdraw its troops by the beginning of October. The reason is that after the annexation and putting this as law meant any attempt by Ukraine to take back the territories will be regarded as sabotages and terrorist acts that can be met with harsh punishment. Ukraine's leadership's resilience will mean forever fighting to an extent that Russia to stop the fighting, will use nuclear weapons or aim to change the regime. The Ukrainians might regard this as an

existential threat and demand their nuclear weapons back to use on Russia. Hence the need for Tomorrow's World Order to contain the risks.

Ukraine's refusal to negotiate with the current Russian leadership.

Ukraine can secretly declare that they do not want to communicate with the Russian leadership as a sign to ask for secret talks with the Russian State Duma or Federation Council. To see the morale among the Russians and as a way to peace when the leadership will no longer be there. They can secretly capture Russian Generals with whom they negotiate with and then send him back as a mediator to the rest of the State Duma or the Federation Council. In case they have killed a general they can offer to return the body and secretly negotiate a peace deal. Depending on their morals they can refuse to negotiate with the Ukrainians without the Russian leadership.

Possibilities for Peace.

Ukrainian leadership can reduce demands, withdraw requests for payment of damages, etc if the State Duma or Federal Council is willing to work without the Russian leadership.

Ukraine can nominate other countries to act as mediators. Can nominate Saudi Arabia Prince one who already mediated for the prisoner swaps. Or even India. They can invite state generals to Kyiv for secret talks. Or capture alive generals who they negotiate with and then release these alive.

Revert to Pre-war.

Can argue to revert to the best-known configuration; the state before the war or annexing of territories. As I argued Russia might want to return the annexed territories on the condition that Ukraine will never join NATO.

Keep Current Status Quo.

Russia can find it beneficial to keep all the annexed territories and on top refuse to pay for any damages suffered citing Ukraine's contributory part. That their request for the most destructive weapons meant casual effect and blame for what followed on their part. Surely, they were able to know that such acts would only make Russia aggressive enough to match the force and threat at hand. This is true because after HIMARS were received by the Ukrainians the Russians after losing many soldiers started attacking malls etc.

It can be difficult to prove that Russia attacked civilians' infrastructure intentionally without Ukraine's contributing to such behavior. It's like a dog owner who cuts the dog's tail and then tortures the dog severely then lets the dog out to the crowd in such a state. Surely if the dog bites people the owner is partly to blame.

There are no justifications for attacking civilians we condemn Russia's actions, but we must assess all possibilities.

What is winning the war and is Ukraine able to win against nuclear power?

All the West is talking about is Ukraine winning the war. What is winning? We believe if it were not for the West, Russia could have withdrawn its forces in early October after the annexation of territories. Instead, they called for partial mobilization. Are they gearing up for a regime change or its in response to Ukraine's resilience?

We believe that the saying that; 'Ukraine will win' is meant to give hope to Ukraine and to prolong the war to get rid of the weapons stockpiles so as to create future demand that will mean more weapons being manufactured. That will in turn mean low levels in the future and save politicians' careers.

Effects of fighting.

We believe that the fighting if nothing else changes will only put Ukraine in a favorable position to negotiate a better deal. We believe if no other changes are made like the willingness of the West to come to the rescue. Any fighting by the Ukrainians will only position them in a favorable position to negotiate a better deal with Russia when they decide to negotiate. This is because this is a special case. Russia if they give in to Ukraine will face an existential threat in NATO. The West is using Ukraine to test Russia's ruthlessness. A nuclear weapon facing an existential threat will fight the real threats than giving in to the demands of a small country like Ukraine.

Spying.

Espionage, spying or intelligence gathering is the act of obtaining [secret](#) or [confidential information](#) ([intelligence](#)) from non-disclosed sources or divulging of the same without the [permission](#) of the holder of the information for a tangible benefit. A person who commits espionage is called an espionage agent or spy.

Wikipedia.

Can Russia consider Ukraine as a spy and how are spies treated by the West? Especially considering that they were all former Soviet Union members. Can NATO have a face and standing if they are to recruit Ukraine or other former Soviet Union members. Or if France or Spain or Germany or Denmark defect and join Russia will NATO ignore this?

Obstinacy.

Russia is using energy indirectly to cause the current sponsors of Ukraine's war to later refuse to pay for the war. Most will start to call Ukraine as stubborn as the Japanese faced by the Americans during the Hiroshima era. To Ukraine, this is a fight for sovereignty to them no other option matters.

But logic after such months and losing territories to let Russia annex the territories would only point to the need for Ukraine to count their losses and negotiate a peace deal that will see them get back all the territories. I argued that it is feasible and open to me to suggest that if Ukraine swears that it will not join NATO Russia will give back all annexed territories. As winter started to sting uprisings and unrest in these countries funding the war will make the leaders change their stance. Short of money and unpopularity at home will make them start to see Ukraine's obstinacy. They will wish that Ukraine accepted a peace deal even a bad one, so they have resolved the issue. All are funding the war so that they did what they can do but expected Ukraine to turn a corner somewhere soon.

We are not saying that Ukraine is obstinacy but that the countries funding them when they are hit in the pockets will start to see this. This resilience will only improve their bargaining position. Russia after the annexation will only revert to this on its terms or through a major war. But Ukraine's alliance with NATO can make Russia revert to the pre-war state.

Russia's tactic: Disgruntled allies, forces, and people.

A prolonged war until July 2024 can only result in reduced morals and a lack of funding for rebuilding etc. Russia might target infrastructure just waiting for Ukraine to repair this using the West's money. Then destroy these soon after repairs so that Ukraine runs back to the West to ask for more money with Russia just waiting to shell that once it has been fixed.

Russia will do this to increase financial woes so that in the end the West will start to refuse to fund the war thereby forcing Ukraine to negotiate peace. This also has the advantage of pissing-off the Ukrainian people in that in the end they would start to see the leadership as a problem. Imagine going through winters without the basics, in the end, they will revolt and protest that if it is another leader the Russians would not be so harsh, especially considering that they have hinted at regime change.

Russia's tactic is to cause chaos and fear among the people who will end up revolting against the regime.

Ukraine's tactic.

Ukraine can secretly ally with NATO without even joining NATO. One that will see NATO come to the rescue if needed without the need to be a member or pronounce this to the world. This they can use against Russia. They can agree to enter peace talks with Russia when Russia has withdrawn its troops then delay the peace talks and wait for NATO to mobilize with the effect of forcing Russia to make quick peace treaties where Ukraine is in a better position to bargain. They can even threaten Russia with NATO and push the Russians to concede more territories now than to have this retaken from them. But in a clever way not to push the Russians away that they would rather wait to deal

with NATO than make peace with Ukraine. Ukraine must not overdo it and they must act fast as well.

Possibilities of what might be included in the peace treaty.

1. Ukraine and Russia might agree to return to pre-war status and form an alliance that does not fight each other but joins against a third party. In this case, Russia might offer back all annexed territories and form a joint protection team establishing a border-protecting team of armies.
2. Most likely they can simply agree to the; "What you have at the date of the peace you keep". Meaning that Ukraine if they do not regain anything will not be able to claim anything back from the Russians. Russia considering the weakened position of Ukraine's leadership might refuse to pay for any damages or apportion the cost to Ukraine citing their requests for heavy weapons as contributory.
3. Russia can argue that Ukraine must agree that Russia must not face any punishment especially the fact that if it is not a war how would they bring war crimes when it's a military operation? Russia can argue that the West invade foreign countries to deal with terrorists just like they have invaded Ukraine. So cannot be accused of war crimes etc.
4. Conditions for prisoner exchange without ransom.
5. Might insist that they be exempted from any claims for restitution.
6. Russia might include a secret annex banning non-Russian-speaking leaders to hold the office of leader.
- 7.

Regime change not in Ukraine but Russia.

Another point is the fact that all this is to trigger a regime change by the West in Russia. That means as sanctions bit people in Russia will start to wish they had a new leader to alleviate the suffering. But I argued also that sanctions against a country with oil reserves take years to take effect. Sanctions in Iraq etc only helped kill more women and children that the West had to intervene and invade to take Saddam out. Are the West thinking the same thing? Could the Russians be telling the truth that the West is fighting a proxy war?

That can mean the West invaded Russia earlier than thought. Unless Russia's nuclear weapons arsenal acts as a deterrent?

Ukraine as a proxy or the sanctions themselves.

We know for sure sanctions usually take effect after a long time on countries with oil resources. Iraq is a good example that the West had to send troops to deal with Saddam directly. Can Ukraine act as sanctions would normally do? That is weakening the Russians; reducing the military and waiting for the West to invade.

West's Motives.

Can it be said that the West is concerned with the sovereignty of Ukraine? Especially considering that they are not angels themselves. Even worse than Russia because when they say they will use nukes they will use nukes for sure. We have proof in the Hiroshima case. Consider that it was not just once but twice as well as Nagasaki. It can be said that these two acts made the USA respected and feared as the global leader. So ruthless and intent on doing what they threatened to do. If Russia is to do the same in Ukraine that will topple them as the global leader. Can you recall the China-Taiwan saga? China now can challenge the USA to the top spot with all the benefits of weapons sales. As the kingpin is the one who must sell only his weapons, China, and Russia to sell weapons as well want to be the most feared and therefore are threatening to overtake the title of the evillest and therefore a top leader.

The West is enslaving a lot of people and countries etc take Scotland for example. Just like what Russia is doing to Ukraine only through sophisticated electromagnetic digital weapons but still the same.

So, the talk of freedom and sovereignty must be viewed with suspicion. The Japanese before the Hiroshima incident was like Ukraine now. Never giving up regardless of the risks. It is absurd to fight to such an extent a nuclear power without expecting such evil to happen to you, especially where there is no central global leader who has everyone's interests at heart. Thank us we are here to contain the risks of the use of a nuclear bomb or something.

I argued in Tomorrow's World Order that every 20 to 25 years the cycle of war repeats itself and Ukraine and Russia are caught up in this only because they are some of the world's exporters of oil, gas, and grain, respectively. Meaning all the countries pump finances into the military at the expense of other areas like alternative energy sources. When inflation sets in as the system is imbalanced and about to collapse. Leaders start to look at where their resources are going. The first thing is energy resources that consume the country's finances.

Instead of redirecting funds from defence and the military, they aim to sell weapons, but this can only be done through wars that trigger fears, etc, and buys of weapons. Instead of encouraging peace, they take the opportunity to offload weapons so that they can make more. This will relieve the system and quelling inflation and stagnant economies. These two countries can manage to sell at reduced prices as they have a large base of customers and abundant resources in oil, and gas or produce grain and oil from sunflowers, etc. So, when the economy is stagnant the West muscle out to take customers from these. They would rather take these out of business and prolong the war as long as they can. But they also have obligations and pledges they made in

peace times to defend countries who they regard as violated. This puts them in difficult positions where they have a good advantage of taking over from the dominant supplier say of oil and gas e.g., Russia. Where if they can prolong the war, they can sell their gas and oil to once Russia's customers. Now they can encourage Europe etc to buy gas from them instead of Russia.

But the pressure to remain the world leaders and fulfil the pledges made in peaceful times makes them face funding these wars too.

The very reason sanctioned countries enter wars is so that the West cannot see the gains materializing. This is not a coincidence but a clear way for the East to deal with sanctions and unfair practices by the West where they simply print money and trigger wars to create demand etc and indirectly cream the resources back or boost their firms at home say in weapons manufacturing.

The effect is to make the West fund the war trying to help these small countries to offset the gains they make from the trade they do with customers they take from e.g, Ukraine. This is the fact that the US can easily predict when Russia will invade. A prediction they made on February 18, 2022. Nothing to do with intelligence but simply following an IF-THEN approach. Over years it can be argued that Russia has realized why the US impose sanctions etc. To stop anyone from dealing with Russia means all these once Russia's customers looking for other alternatives whom which they benefit from as well. To stop the gains Russia or China etc will trigger a war with areas close to themselves meaning the West will send back all the gains to these countries. In turn, will aim to destroy all the sent weapons, etc or money.

This is why Russia attacks infrastructure that is deemed as civilian etc. They know all that was built with the West's money or make the West use the gained money to fund the destroyed infrastructure. Ever wonder why some take responsibility for an improvement to a country's infrastructure after it was destroyed? They argue that if it were not for them who destroyed the buildings e.g., the mall then Ukraine would not have been funded by the West. They argue that the West only admit funding them after seeing the destruction.

It is not just Russia, any country sanctioned by the West e.g., China does the same thing. Whenever the US sanction China. Then China goes to threaten Taiwan so that the US vow to come to their rescue and that causes the reversal of the sanctions eventually, that the West will be in the same position if not worse after the sanctions. This is true in that these countries will only threaten countries next to them where the resources and help from the West somehow will benefit the region or end up tickling back to them.

Is it wise for the West to sanction countries and at the same time pledge \$ billions to rescue countries threatened by the sanctioned countries?

Sanctions are only effective in killing women and children. Some argue they trigger a local revolution that ends up in regime change but it is subjective. Dealing with countries that have energy resources that consume the nations' resources sanctions can have a negative effect that takes out of power the sanctions imposing leaders.

We believe the West is taking advantage of the situation to undermine Russia's dominance as the energy supplier both of gas and oil. The West will and might prolong the war so that as these two are fighting it will take all once Russia and Ukraine's customers and do business with them. This might include sabotaging gas pipes, destroying infrastructure, etc.

The West saw an opportunity to trigger a relief to the system by getting rid of the weapons stockpile which will create a huge demand that will trigger the cycle again. Boosting the economy as demand suddenly rises in turn dealing with stagnant economies and inflation.

But then again, the West might simply be helping. Spending \$ billions in pledges and then crying that no one buys from them that can make one wonder why not to interfere and keep your funds.

Do not get us wrong we are against the invasion of sovereign countries, but we are equally against the regarding of women and children as collateral.

We will stand against the needless killing of women and children.

We are not supporting the East or the West. We are neutral but just assess the facts, especially regarding decisions causing the deaths of women and children.

The West's approach is outdated. They oppress their own and therefore claims of fighting for justice are ill-founded.

For example, we as Tomorrow's World Order have advised the world that the minimum wage for all countries for 2022 is or must be US\$15 per hour. Look at the US which purports to be the stencil of democracy and freedom. Their minimum wage is \$7.25 which means they are not paying the minimum wage. So, the throwing of money around must be viewed with suspicion. What are their real motives?

We only comment because their actions even if in good faith results in the deaths of women and children needlessly. It can be argued that their provision of destructive weapons might have angered Russia and made them aggressive enough to attack civilians and civilian infrastructure.

I argued above that sanctioned countries enter wars to trickle back funds from the USA so that in money terms the USA is in no better position.

Imagine if the USA sanctions China and does not fund Taiwan surely, they will gain in economic terms.

But another issue that has shifted reliance or use of the US\$ is the fact that the West simply print money and sanction other countries to make the printed money have value. They trigger wars or create a situation where other countries are forced to get into wars to correct the effects of sanctions. Russia, China, etc argue that the US simply needs paper and sanctions to create value. Where they print money and sanction countries to create demand and value as an inflation-tackling tactic.

Whatever the reason we are only concerned with stopping wars and the killings of women and children.

Conclusion.

We intervened as the risks of nuclear war increased. Several factors augment the heightened risks.

We are not judging but looking at a lot of scenarios to produce the best solution to the current global problems. Our solutions if you do not know what we stand for might seem judgemental and unfair on one versus the other. We stand for all humanity. We will do anything that safeguards the existence of humanity. We are against the killings of women and children through wars and sanctions. We have core values namely;

1. Sovereignty
2. Prosperity
3. Freedom
4. Peace.

That means other things being equal no country must invade another sovereign country. No country can do acts that are meant to effect regime change in another country.

Unless we have authorized such an invasion. We can and have rights as Tomorrow's World Order to nominate a country that can be invaded to punish that country for a continuous and systematic breach of international laws. To such an extent if that is not contained that act might cause severe suffering in people e.g., slavery; the use of weapons of mass destruction without due regard for other people.

Nowadays we decide who is worth dying and who is not meaning that leaders cannot choose to save a few soldiers at the expense of thousands of people especially without facing the consequences. We have introduced empathy laws to deal with situations where we evaluate if the same act is done on people of the same race etc the results will be the same. In the animal world, it is okay or regarded as such for animals to kill their own and with others not to raise any objections. A lioness can kill its cub if that cub

poses a risk to the mother. For example, if food is scarce and it puts the mother at risk of being attacked by other animals when looking for food to feed it. A male lion can kill a cub if it is slowing them down or to mate with the lioness if it is only a single cub left. But if a lion tries to kill a baboon baby a leopard etc can intervene to save that baby from being attacked.

So, where the West provides weapons that end up killing women and children. If the same happens in their countries, we observe their reactions. Would they simply say tough luck, it is collateral damage, or they stop everything and put laws to stop gun possession?

Everything happens for a reason. The West might have sanctioned the Russians to trigger regime change in Russia. The Russians might have invaded Ukraine to trigger regime change.

Russia might fully invade Ukraine. The West might fully invade Russia. Russia might use tactical nuclear weapons just as the West did to put some fear in the Ukrainians, so they stop the war or military operation. Ukraine might claim back the nuclear weapons it lost and use a tactical nuclear weapon on Russia to stop Russia from invading and annexing its territories.

The West might see Russia as obstinacy and use tactical nuclear weapons on Russia. There might be World War III but without any nuclear weapons being used.

The sad reality is that everything else is possible apart from peace.

You can see that everything else is a possibility in that you can wake up to find any of the countries bombed. You can wake up to find a third world war happening tomorrow. You can wake up to find out that any of the leaders have been removed from power, but it is like a camel passing through the middle-hole of a needle to wake up hearing that Russians and Ukrainians have both declared peace as they have signed a peace deal. Everything is a possibility apart from forgiving each other and reverting to the pre-war status. These are humanity's qualities and strengths but qualities that can cause self-destruction.

After giving you all the possibilities above you can agree that we must contain the situation. We must at least offer peace a chance. We are not against wars as such but stand strong against wars and sanctions that kill women and children. Let the big boys play with their toys but do not spill any drop of a child's blood or a woman's. If you can trigger wars that do not kill a single child or woman, then we do not care how much you fight each other. Wars in this dysfunctional system correct the imbalance but do not solve the issues. Twenty years from now we will have another potential human extinction incident. If that does not happen nations are going to make even more destructive nations. Twenty years after that if nothing happens then again countries will

make even more destructive weapons until that Armageddon. So, it is better to intervene now and contain the situation.

The world needs us. The current situation is in this way because a neutral global leader was lacking. We are here now. You have no choice but to accept us and get on with your jobs. We have obligations toward future generations. We cannot let you destroy the world. Indeed, nuclear war is just a misunderstanding away. The old generations do not mind pushing buttons or threatening to use the most destructive weapons. But we the young generations find this hard-to-understand what kind of issues we as the most intelligent species cannot resolve through dialogue.

To put a new system this current system must collapse. To collapse there must be the bloodiest wars humanity has ever seen so that I and my Tomorrow's World Order would put a perfect system. My system is to take all humanity to the next stage of development. A stage where there are no weapons, wars, or killings of women and children.

But I have noticed that humans do not go all the way. They just fight a little and then make deals so that tomorrow they fight again and the day after tomorrow.

The system I will put in will mean an end to wars forever. We will make technology the driver of the world's economies and not weapons as like now.

Can all the tensions be a marketing campaign gone bad as people are dying? All these deaths, to drive weapons sales and revive the stagnant economies to bring inflation down? Can China's arguments with the US center around weapons sales since China can provide all weapons the US is selling?

Can wars by the sanctioned countries regarded as tactics to reverse the effects of sanctions. In that they trigger wars so that the West pledges \$billions of dollars towards the invaded countries to offset the short-term effects of sanctions as most invaded countries like Ukraine, Kuwait or Taiwan are close to the sanctioned countries?

Can the US still be regarded as a global leader? One that acts in the name of global democracy? Or it has become a parasite that only grows at the expense of others' suffering, etc?

Does the world in need of new world order?

Do we fill the gap that the current economic circumstances have highlighted?

A lot of issues must be addressed.

The world needs a new global leader in Tomorrow's World Order. An overseer, a negotiator, a facilitator, a mediator, an intermediary, a defender of the defenceless, a peacekeeper among other things. We are all that and more. We are the best

conflict-resolution entity. If it is not us, then we will find one to stand for us and quell any tensions.

Therefore, we must intervene with immediate effect to end this military operation or war. We stand against regime change of democratically elected leaders unless they have breached international laws in which case it is only us who can command others and point who must be invaded. Tribal wars between people who are similar and share a lot of traits will not suffice to amount to actions that can be considered as such. Unless the effects have been systematic and continuous. Only Tomorrow's World Order can decide that and make such judgments going forward.

We stand against the invasion of sovereign nations.

We stand against the targeting of civilians and civilians' infrastructure and the killing of civilians must stop with immediate effect. Kill each other and leave the women and children out of this. Boys will be boys and forever muscle out.

But we noticed that to some extent Russia or any country in its shoes can have genuine arguments that Ukraine's wish of joining NATO an enemy of the Soviet Union can pose an existential threat to Russia to such an extent that where Ukraine does not want to remain neutral Russia will be forced to take drastic measures to protect its secrets etc. Might end up invading Ukraine, a small country than to deal with the threat of NATO later.

I think no matter what some countries close to Russia especially former Soviet Union must not join NATO but remain neutral. How can NATO ever justify taking its enemies defectors without expecting tensions. If Germany defects to Russia will NATO just ignore this?

The West can also have genuine arguments as-to-be standing for democracy. They can argue that what is done is done they cannot change that (Hiroshima case) but they have the chance to make amends by intervening. They simply are helping Ukraine bona fide without any strings attached.

The more you put yourself in all player's positions the more you can easily see why the world is such a complicated mess.

How do you strike a balance between standing against the invasion of sovereign nations and protecting a country's existence as it faces real-not perceived existential threats, especially with the expansion of NATO encroaching on Russia's neck?

How do you deal with the use of tactical nuclear weapons to instil fear so that obstinacy regimes respond and be able to calculate the risks?

How can you control the situation from escalating to a full-blown nuclear war?

How do you lay a new foundation to deal with these where we have precedence? A case where the culprits walked away. If another nation did the same, can they be held accountable or be let off as well?

What is winning a war, especially one with the risks of a nuclear war?

Is it winning or just a gain in the bargaining position? Is it too late for Ukraine now, that Russia has finally annexed such territories? Is it still worth it to fight or now it's a fight against the gods?

I advised on 20 June 2022 that it is better to enter a peace treaty with options to get back the territories after a certain period without any loss of life than to opt for the same option at a huge cost to civilian lives.

A peace deal now is better than the same peace deal two years later when a lot of soldiers and civilians will have died. A peace deal now means quick reconstructions, quick rebuilding, quick adjustments, and better opportunities of getting back the territories after a certain period.

I have argued above that any peace deal that is not taken straight away no matter how good it will be, if taken in the future will be less likely to last.

Unless major changes occur, the same problems will surface in the future and if not with Ukraine, then with another country.

NATO and Russia's Peace Treaty.

Can NATO and Russia sit down and make peace treaties as well? This would make all other nations feel safe. They can easily choose not to join or to join NATO knowing that NATO has a direct peace treaty with Russia.

I believe people would not care whether NATO exists or not and most would not mind its existence knowing that it does not increase the risks of existential risk to all humanity.

Now we start to understand why Russia is aiming to have the deadliest arsenals. They want to match the threat and force at hand in NATO as it expands.

But NATO can also argue that all this had nothing to do with them. It is a free world, and it has the right to exist as well and recruit as well.

We are not against NATO or anyone, but we must look at all possibilities and find answers.

Signed 16 October 2022

David Gomadza

The Honorable Global President

World's First

President of Tomorrow's World Order.

It is just the beginning buckle-up as the initial ride is very bumpy and rough.

Welcome to Tomorrow's World Order.

Appendix.

Related Books by David Gomadza.

Russia and Ukraine's Peace Treaty.: TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER

[Russia and Ukraine's Peace Treaty.: TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER by David Gomadza - Audiobooks on Google...](#)

[Russia and Ukraine's Peace Treaty.: TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER audiobook written by David Gomadza. Narrated by Mike. Get... play.google.com](#)

An Order by The President of Tomorrow's World Order to Stop the War/Special Military Operation.: By Intervening, To Push Invaders Out of a Sovereign Nation.

[An Order by The President of Tomorrow's World Order to Stop the War/Special Military Operation.: By...](#)

[An Order by The President of Tomorrow's World Order to Stop the War/Special Military Operation.: By Intervening, To... play.google.com](#)

Culling: The Sad Reality of The IMF and World Bank Loans As Triggers of Wars.: Finding Solutions To The Russian-Ukraine War.

[Culling: The Sad Reality of The IMF and World Bank Loans As Triggers of Wars.: Finding Solutions To...](#)

[Culling: The Sad Reality of The IMF and World Bank Loans As Triggers of Wars.: Finding Solutions To The Russian-Ukraine... play.google.com](#)

Tomorrow's World Order Official Strategic Launch: 24 April 2022

[Tomorrow's World Order Official Strategic Launch: 24 April 2022 by David Gomadza - Audiobooks on...](#)

[Tomorrow's World Order Official Strategic Launch: 24 April 2022 audiobook written by David Gomadza. Narrated by Mike... play.google.com](#)

THE CONSTITUTION Tomorrow's World Order

[THE CONSTITUTION Tomorrow's World Order by David Gomadza - Books on Google Play](#)

[THE CONSTITUTION Tomorrow's World Order - Ebook written by David Gomadza. Read this book using Google Play Books app on... play.google.com](#)

Tomorrow's World Order: A New Law & Order.: Dealing with Threats of Invasions, Wars and War Crimes

[Tomorrow's World Order: A New Law & Order.: Dealing with Threats of Invasions, Wars and War Crimes...](#)

[Tomorrow's World Order: A New Law & Order.: Dealing with Threats of Invasions. Wars and War Crimes - Ebook written by... play.google.com](#)

Tomorrow's World Order

<https://play.google.com/store/audiobooks/details?id=AQAAAED89X21kM&gl=GB>

