

**Russia
and
Ukraine's
Peace Treaty**
TOMORROW'S WORLD ORDER

David Gomadza

President of Tomorrow's World Order 20 June 2022

A new world order led by Tomorrow's World Order.

Copyright © 2022 David Gomadza

All rights reserved.

ISBN: 9798837218637

Imprint: Independently published

Russia and Ukraine's Peace Treaty.

by

Tomorrow's World Order

20 June 2022.

Place:

Date:

The Peace Intermediaries

1. Tomorrow's World Order: President David Gomadza.
2. Sweden /
3. Finland /
4. Turkey /
5. Belarus /
- 6.
- 7.

Russia and Ukraine can choose which country to act as an intermediary on their behalf. They can choose the place for the treaty.

Preamble.

We strongly believe that the West is considering or will consider in the future the use of nuclear weapons to end the Russia and Ukraine war, if the war or special military operation persists. Surely, we don't want another Hiroshima. Or they might mobilise and invade Russia.

Firstly, and foremost, I think the war or special military operation started because of the expansion of NATO directly or indirectly encroaching on Russia's doorstep, and as such; I think the first thing for Russia to do is to make Sweden and Finland change their intentions to join NATO. If Russia is worried about Ukraine joining NATO, then it makes sense to convince these two countries to not join NATO but remain neutral to stop future escalations. I think it would be easy for Russia to deal with just Sweden and Finland than to deal with NATO in case these join NATO or have their membership application approved.

Revert to the pre-war or pre-special military operation status quo.

Sweden, Finland and Russia's Treaty to remain neutral.

Russia must convince and seek the favor of these two countries and do whatever it takes so that they don't join NATO but remain neutral. This is for Russia's own security and peace of mind. Now that it messed up with Ukraine by invading it. Russia must now do more to give these two countries peace of mind and guarantee that it will not attack them.

Secondly, it must make a treaty with both countries and offer subsidies or concessions in relation to peace and must make a treaty never to invade and attack these.

All this is in Russia's favor and for the sake of peace.

Russia, due to its breach of trust by invading Ukraine, must make commercial treaties with these two countries in favorable of them for a specified period to repair the damage caused.

All this is to keep these two countries remain neutral and withdraw their NATO applications.

The treaties can be public or private, but an agreement must be entered into. I believe that these two countries do want

to remain neutral. They only opted to join because of Russia's aggressive behavior in invading Ukraine. It is up to Russia to decide. Deal with your neighbors or face NATO in years to come?

To opt for peace now or be on alert forever if these two countries join NATO.

But we argue that it is in everyone's interest to return to the pre-war or pre-special military operation status quo.

Something everyone is familiar with.

WHAT TO DO IF RUSSIA BREACHES ALL THIS.

NATO must agree to keep their membership on file as in a pre-membership state, just in case Russia tries something stupid. In that case, their membership in NATO becomes automatic.

Tomorrow's World Order can summon the whole world to attack Russia if they breach the treaty of neutrality.

Russia must sign the treaty with honesty if in doubt then don't agree. We are talking about people's lives here.

In this case NATO to enroll them because we are talking about precious people's lives here that can't be reverted. So, Russia is obliged to think this option through.

The period between now and the peace treaty to be chaired by Tomorrow's World Order.

i. To establish a concrete framework and arrangements to ensure a cease-fire and peace conditions waiting for the treaty. This means also the establishment of a body or committee to speed up everything and remove bottlenecks and report to the intermediaries of the treaty and Tomorrow's World Order.

- ii. Russia and Ukraine must establish links with all the intermediaries and facilitators above and get all the needed contact details.
- iii. To provide a name for the country, they wish to represent them if it has issues with anyone on the list.
- iv. To immediately call for a cease-fire and stop the war.
- v. To declare to the world a cease-fire.
- vi. Russia and Ukraine's leadership to establish a direct line of contact.
- vii. Both to establish a team for preliminary direct talks between the parties waiting for the main peace treaty. These meetings can be held in Ukraine or Russia.
- viii. The exchange of demands and or conditions of the peace treaty or talks from both sides all in writing and expressed stipulations of all conditions and demands after the ceasefire.
- ix. A clear understanding of what is needed by each side. I will try to list all options that can be of interest to each side and let the parties choose, amend, or add their conditions and demands.
- x. Seven days after the ceasefire, the preliminary team must have exchanged the conditions and demands, and after that all preliminaries agreed upon. These conditions and demands must be forwarded to all intermediaries. They must have contacts of all intermediaries, including Tomorrow's World Order.
- xi. Tomorrow's World Order or elected entity can draft the treaty to include all demands from both sides.
- xii. Second week the draft is heard by both sides, even separately, and any adjustments, disagreements, etc. are noted with Tomorrow's World Order chairing this or any elected entity to represent them.
- xiii. the Second draft with amendments is drafted and communicated with both parties.
- xiv. Feedback is included in the final draft and options are included as well. Room for negotiations.

- xv. Preliminary meeting can be held to quickly check if anything else needs addressing before the main meeting. Representatives can be the ones to carry out this task, but with all the intermediaries who can discuss the findings from all these meetings and draft the final treaty.
- xvi. All parties in Ukraine and Russia must attend the treaty, preferably in Sweden or the location where they feel safe. Sweden is still neutral, even though they have submitted their applications to join NATO.
- xvii. Russia to initiate withdrawal of its troops from Ukraine once a cease-fire is declared and this must be completed within forty-five days.
- xviii. Ukraine to call for international help for the clean-up process.
- xix. Teams for the collection of Russia's frozen assets from all countries abroad, those for fundraising of funds, those for negotiating the return of loaned weapons, those for collection of taxes, and those for negotiating compensation for rebuilding the destroyed infrastructure all must intensify their efforts and activities.
- xx. Appeals to bodies that help in the rebuilding of infrastructure after a war or special military operation to organize the funds after calculations of the cost of rebuilding Ukraine.
- xxi. Calls for the presence of international aid agencies, the Red Cross, UN, etc.
- xxii. The team to negotiate the writing off of foreign debt must press hard if it has not done so. The aim is to have all loans pre-war or pre-special military operation and wartimes to be written off as Ukraine will not be able to repay them due to the war. Check criteria for institutions to write off this loan and argue that Ukraine must have the loans written off. Is Ukraine classified as a conflict-associated situation?

- xxiii. Quickly clarify with bodies like the IMF and the World Bank Ukraine's status as a conflict-associated situation. A condition that makes them write off the debt.
- xxiv. A team must get in touch fast with insurance providers to allow the release of funds for rebuilding. Ukraine should aim to have all these funds in their accounts even before the peace treaty. Russia's dominance in energy resources can later influence other countries' decisions. Act fast.
- xxv. The intermediaries can request peacekeepers to keep peace, especially in the gained or lost territories while waiting for the peace treaty.
- xxvi. Russia to withdraw its troops from Ukraine within forty-five days.
- xxvii. Prisoners of war or of the special military operation to be returned and or exchanged within forty-five days.
- xxviii. Landmines or sea mines must be cleared by the party who implanted them or fund their removal by the UN, etc, especially around ports and trade routes.
- xxix. Definitions of territorial borders and pre-treaty agreement of what is what. All conditions clearly, defined with each party knowing fully the demands of the other party.
- xxx. A ban on Russia increasing its military presence in Ukraine.
- xxxi. As part of returning all loan war or special military operation weapons and equipment. A ban on further additions. A return to sender of heavy unwanted war or special military operation weapons once cease-fire agreed upon.
- xxxii. Exchanges of written conditions of the treaty and demands as a way to guarantee consistency with made demands.
- xxxiii. All security guarantees are to be written down and worked on as part of a pre-treaty element.
- xxxiv. Calculations of reparations estimates.

xxxv. A process that will solve any future conflicts and misunderstandings that is local can be put in place in both countries. This should keep in contact with the treaty intermediaries and Tomorrow's World Order, who will enforce, monitor and provide feedback.

xxxvi. Preliminary discussions of what happens to refugees and their return to the regions.

xxxvii. Clear definition of what happens to the people in the gained or lost territories.

xxxviii. A list of banned dealings before the treaty and after must be drafted and communicated between all. Especially in the regions gained or lost.

xxxix. Renewal and clarification of any existing treaties in terms of the new situation.

xl. A ban on any future acts of revenge or ill feelings among the people.

xli. Putting in place a platform to handle post-conflict situations that can report to the intermediaries and Tomorrow's World Order.

xlii. The West, especially all countries that pledged weapons, must have blame apportioned to them for the deaths of women, children, and soldiers and must support the cease-fire and facilitate the peace treaty or be dragged to our court.

Russia can offer concessions for a cease-fire on the condition that Ukraine must implement conditions for free elections in the territories that it gained if it withdraws its troops.

Tomorrow's World Order wants Russia to make these concessions as we argue that on top of supplying weapons that downed the MH17 plane, it has caused or is responsible for the deaths of civilians. We argue that it can be proved that it has attacked cities that are not of any military importance, making this war or military operation an illegal act and, as such, if it insists, we are forced to take it further. Above all, all that it wants is for the Donbas and

Crimea region to be given autonomy through a democratic process of a free election. Something all parties are likely to agree to. As such, there won't be any need for war, as that can be agreed upon.

We argue also that Russia caused the needless killings of its soldiers. The sending of soldiers ill-equipped for modern warfare and, as such, it can be argued that sanctions made it miscalculate the risks and embark on an indirect culling of its soldiers. Nowadays, GPS is used to locate soldiers' positions leading to thousands being killed easily.

Therefore, for Russia's sake, they must make concessions and call for a cease-fire.

The killings of civilians; women, and children needlessly leave Russia in trouble, therefore, must make concessions and end the war or this special military operation by declaring a cease-fire with immediate effect.

Russia's stance of threatening the use of nuclear weapons in a country that does not have a nuclear weapon is aggressive behavior prohibited by our laws. Even if it was referring to the interference by the West, no one could tell if it could use the nukes against Ukraine or not. As such, it can only redeem itself by calling for an immediate ceasefire.

Russia and Ukraine Peace Treaty.

There are a lot of issues that need to be explained first before I go into the treaty.

Russia, despite promises, breached all the promises and invaded Ukraine despite guarantees that it would not do so. That means any treaty between these two must not be based just on signing documents, etc. That calls for an enforcement and monitoring unit.

The invasion has disrupted Ukraine's way of life and destroyed the lives of precious civilians and even that of soldiers racking havoc on innocent lives. Whether this can

be forgiven or not is another issue. But we are to make sure that the effects and impacts of such acts can't continue. The idea here is not to apportion blame for who did what that can be the job of the courts, etc. The idea is to facilitate a peace treaty with immediate effect. One that can be enforced and obeyed by both parties.

Direct peace talks between Ukraine and Russia.

The first thing Ukraine wants is a guarantee of peace that Russia will not invade Ukraine again.

I think why the first two peace treaties [the Minsk agreements] failed is that both Russia and Ukraine placed more importance on others to negotiate peace for them.

Now it's time for direct talks between Putin and Zelensky.

Only these two can make peace talks and treaties that last.

They must be the major players in the talks with Sweden, Finland, Turkey, and Belarus as intermediaries chaired by Tomorrow's World Order. These leaders must not expect a third party to be a key player.

There are a few options for peace treaties.

1. Status quo ante bellum.

This is a choice to revert to pre-war or pre special military operation status and involves:

1. The withdrawal of Russia troops within forty-five days.
2. Restoration of pre-war or special military operation status and leadership.
3. No territorial gains are carried over. Territories gained must be returned to their original owners.

2. The Uti possidetis.

A notion where a gained territory is expected to remain with the country that gained it regardless of ownership at the beginning. What was gained during the war or special

military operation then becomes the gainers after the war. I think it is also worth pointing to this notion as obsolete in modern times. Simply because it involves taking of territory by force. As such rewarding such acts will only increase incidents like the one in question. Above all, this gives other nations a motive to invade as well as the country that gained the territory by force can be disposed of it by force too. This can only mean forever Russia on alert as NATO or any other country can invade to take what Russia took from Ukraine. That can only mean World War Three something we don't want. Ukraine can declare that the Donbas and Crimea regions be returned as Russia used force to get these territories. Russia might return the territories to Ukraine or argue that they only freed the Russian people in these regions and they only acted as facilitators and demanded that Ukraine recognize these territories as independent and encourage the holding of a free election. This is one of the reasons that removes the rights of any other international country to invade Russia. Simply because Russia is calling for what is democratically right: free elections.

In a peace treaty where territory has been gained, any peace treaty must state and declare who will own the territory after the war. There must be an expressed treaty, condition, demand, etc, that expressly stipulates what specifically happens to the territory gained or annexed.

Russia cannot gain Donbas by force without Ukraine claiming back that territory. Unless Russia can use the taking of the territory as a self-defense claim but must prove this. The presence and expansion of NATO can be used by Russia to keep the annexed Crimea and the Donbas territory on self-defense grounds. But might risk being attacked in the future.

The main point to note here is the fact that both parties to the treaty can hold the territory they have gained at the end of the war or special military operation unless one, e.g.

Ukraine, expressly stipulates that the territory has to be returned to the original owner.

Again, the two countries, Russia and Ukraine, must communicate directly to negotiate the peace deal even though other intermediaries might be involved, including us as Tomorrow's World Order.

Ukraine must act swiftly to negotiate a peace deal. This is because this is not a normal case in that Russia here has the power to influence outcomes and change the minds of other countries due to its possession of energy supplies in oil and gas.

This means as time goes on, all the other nations that sanctioned it will feel the pinch as their economies start to fail. Some will make secret treaties if offered subsidies. These countries may act in favor of Russia and frustrate any peace talks and treaties.

Ukraine, if not ready to talk directly to Russia, can forward their peace proposals to Tomorrow's World Order or Sweden, Finland, Turkey or Belarus, or any chosen country who then forward a copy to all intermediaries and then to Russia.

The terms of the peace treaty must be clarified. The so-called Normandy pact failed twice and that can derail the peace talks, hence the new peace treaty we have established.

Dealing with Ukraine's request to freeze Russian assets abroad.

Ukraine, at the beginning of the war, made international calls for the world to freeze Russia's assets, anticipating that Russia will destroy its infrastructure. Ukraine can now establish a team just dedicated to the collection of these assets from every country that froze Russia's assets. Tomorrow's World Order and any teams chosen by Ukraine can help in identifying which countries froze

Russia's assets and make all these countries give all these assets to Ukraine for rebuilding what Russia destroyed. We can ask all Russians abroad who had their assets frozen to come forward or secretly tell us how much assets were frozen and by which country. Then approach that country in question to get these assets.

These countries who froze Russia's assets abroad were working for Ukraine on a commission of 5 to 12% of frozen assets. The rest of the funds must be collected by a special team dedicated to doing just this. We, as global leaders, we will enforce this.

Reparations: Formulate an agreement for Russia to bear the full cost of the war.

Ukraine must establish a dedicated team that calculates the damages caused by the war or special military operation and how much it will need to rebuild the nation.

This team must have a list of all countries that supplied the weapons, the value of the weapons, and calculate 1000% of the value and through us and the intermediaries send the cost to every country that supplied weapons so that they pay for rebuilding as well. Tomorrow's World Order to enforce this. This fee would be regarded as a contributing fee to the damage that the weapons caused.

The team must state clearly that the fee is not a punishment, but if they can help to defend Ukraine, they must help to rebuild as well. I think most countries would agree.

What if others disagree?

Tomorrow's World Order or a nominated court, will apportion blame for the deaths of the women and children and even soldiers who died due to the heavy weapons supplied and bring criminal charges to these countries. Pay up for your actions or be dragged to our courts.

We are giving them a choice to rebuild Ukraine without any criminal charges or face our courts. Their choice.

Ukraine to establish a foreign debt management team.

Foreign debt can stripe off a nation's sovereignty. All this war or special military operation could easily have been triggered by the IMF and World Bank loans or exacerbated by these loans. So, the first option is to negotiate for all this debt to be written off. This is serious and a priority, as war or special military operation loans are associated with high-interest rates and will compound fast as rebuilding starts. Every effort must be made by this team to write off all this debt.

Everyone who supplied the weapons and any funds must declare that they were free pledges and not loans now before the war or special military ends.

Other countries might have entered into contracts to supply weapons and equipment on loan with the option to return and or keep these or pay in terms of money for the loaned weapons.

The team must make sure that all these weapons are returned if the contract states that they must be returned. It is better to pay for damages that may have occurred to the weapons, but have all the weapons returned.

Everyone who supplied the weapons must state that the weapons were returned, and any payments made or request all these to be returned. Ukraine must be free from any debt if it is to rebuild successfully.

Read carefully the secret small print, annex or clause.

In all dealings with treaties, the Ukraine government **MUST** find a dedicated team who can read the treaties and any contracts and check for all the small prints, secret clauses, and annexes to make sure there are no hidden

clauses. Russia might secretly include a secret annex that precludes non-full Russia-speaking leaders from holding leadership office in the future. Always request a copy and get all treaties checked to make sure they are all the same and demand the same without any hidden secret annexes.

In case Russia refuses to concede, Donbas and Crimea.

Ukraine must draft a compensation agreement that will make Russia pay in terms of money for the loss it suffered. Don't threaten NATO or other countries to come and take that territory back. Make sure Russia or anyone else won't benefit from this invasion. Ok, they took the territory like Crimea by force still they have to pay for the economic activities lost by Ukraine.

Ukraine must draft and agree on terms to get this compensation money and put a team to manage this account.

Did countries pledge donations after freezing Russian assets? Is there any link?

Ukraine must clarify with every country that donated weapons or funds. Whether the pledged weapons or money donations were in line to or proportional to the frozen Russian assets or not.

The countries that freeze Russian assets must keep only 5 to 12% which is their commission. The rest must be handed to Ukraine for rebuilding.

Need for Speed.

Ukraine must act fast because other countries; as their economies suffer as Russia withholds oil and gas. These may enter into secret treaties with Russia, where the

country returns the frozen assets to Russia for subsidies or concessions. This money is Ukraine's for rebuilding. For example, France might have had a secret treaty with Russia, which was temporarily suspended when it invaded Ukraine. But after the war or special military operation it can resume obligations to Russia. Making France return the frozen assets to Russia instead of giving these to Ukraine.

Forever intense fundraising efforts.

In finance, Ukraine must establish a dedicated team to fundraise and collect all frozen assets. There must be a continuous collection of funds; cash, digital assets, etc. At no point can Ukraine fail to pay its soldiers. Ukraine must not delay paying its soldiers at any point.

This is because Ukraine must keep its soldiers' morale at its highest and retain huge numbers, as casualties can be high later on. Russia is banking on the Ukrainian financial crisis, later on, to fully invade even Kyiv. The collection team must work very hard to collect all frozen assets before October.

The West had predicted that Kyiv will fall within days. This was based on the fact that the Ukraine government's finances would not sustain a war. But they did not foresee the power of digital currencies as well. They also based this view on the fact that Russia was to block ports and merchants, therefore, blocking any exports. All of this would bring Ukraine's economy to ruins.

Other countries' economic turmoil.

Like I said at the beginning, Ukraine must quickly finalize agreements fully as the economic pressure might set in. Other countries will be tempted to act against Ukraine if offered subsidies by Russia.

Reparations: Compensation for hostile acts.

Ukraine can claim compensation for the loss of civilians, soldiers, destruction of buildings, disruption of trade and business. For stress and effects on life.

Compensation for hostile acts by Russia that involves loss of life, etc. But can go through the courts to bring criminal charges, but this can be done by international courts, etc.

Effective collection of taxes.

Currently, with no one working and paying for services, etc, Ukraine's revenues are diminishing as the war continues. One of the teams Ukraine has already established for the collection of the taxes etc, in full must start doing that where possible.

Ukraine must avoid loans that have rates that rise as the war or special military operation continues. The team must collect frozen assets fast, and this money is used to cover any collection of taxes, delays, or expenditures over the budget. Establish a collection board, increase requests for digital currencies, etc.

In short, increase fundraising efforts.

Ukraine must insist on indemnities.

A form of security or protection against a loss or other financial burden.

Or Ukraine can reduce the amount Russia is to pay for the damage it caused as compensation paid as a condition for peace, especially when Ukraine has no prospects of winning the war. That is the reduced amount Russia pays to rebuild Ukraine as a condition to get into a peace treaty.

Ukraine must insist that all donations of weapons must be accompanied by 25% of the value of cash or money.

Ukraine must be in control. [This is a pre-treaty element going forward but can be backdated.]

Unofficial preliminary talks.

Straight away Ukraine can send an envoy for unofficial preliminary peace talks to Russia and can choose any intermediary to accompany them or send their representatives as well.

Match the force and threat at hand.

In case there is a delay in peace talks, Ukraine can make a secret alliance with other countries that have nuclear weapons as well such as France. In that, it secretly agrees to armor itself with nuclear weapons as a way to make Russia reconsider its position.

They can use this stance to get more concessions from Russia. This is in favor of Ukraine in that as the war or special military operation continues the cost of the war or special military operation increases, financial issues increases as well, the income they normally get from exports like sunflower oil and grain disappears as the grain goes bad, the deaths of civilians and soldiers and the destruction of the buildings will make others within Ukraine start to doubt the leadership. Now hoping that if it was a different leader, he could have negotiated for peace to reduce the damage. This creates internal turmoil that leads to rebellion and further destabilizes Ukraine's stance. A case that Russia can take advantage of and attack.

The Peace Treaty.

Ukraine can argue to keep both Donbas and Crimea that is already in the Russian's hands. Russia can argue to keep both Donbas and Crimea.

Even though the idea is outdated, they can use the Uti possidetis.

Where each side will keep whatever it has gained after the war.

Ukraine and Russia can agree on a treaty to trade, develop and promote this trade to the rest of the world. Where Ukraine's grain can be delivered through Russia's ports or the other way round to the rest of the world.

Or gas and oil pipelines can pass through Ukraine to other countries as part of the peace deal.

Both parties can opt for public or private treaties.

Russia can insist that Ukraine return all the loaned weapons to it by the United States, etc, and make a treaty as well and establish a time frame to have these weapons returned as soon as possible as a means to peace. This will give Russia confidence that Ukraine is serious about peace. Ukraine must establish a team dedicated to this. To avoid future costs as well and to give guarantees that Ukraine can count on Russia for assistance.

After all, maybe all that Russia wants is for Ukraine to turn to it instead of the West for everything. If I were in Russia's shoes, I would want that.

Agree to exchange territory.

Although this is controversial, these two countries, Russia and Ukraine, can exchange territories. Strategically, surely Russia might just want the Donbas region. Russia might have captured and annexed Crimea in the belief that Ukraine will ask for an exchange of Donbas for Crimea. So, Ukraine might wish for the port city and agree to exchange the loss of Donbas for Crimea. After all, this is a pro-Russia region, so might find Crimea strategically located.

So, a treaty can be established for Ukraine to claim back Crimea in exchange for losing Donbas. This can be a

private treaty between the two leaders without anyone else knowing.

Russia can simply hand back control of both Donbas to Crimea on conditions that the regions be treated fairly and impose the need to hold free and fair elections. After all, they are all Russian-speaking people.

Alliance treaty.

Russia and Ukraine can make a treaty to never be on opposite sides again. Or for one to remain neutral. For example, not joining NATO, etc. The two can form a secret pact to join forces if invaded.

A treaty for another strategic territory.

These two countries can make a treaty where Ukraine can lose Donbas or Crimea in exchange for another strategically located territory that benefits either Ukraine or Russia. Even one not captured or gained in the war. Ukraine is in a better position now to negotiate than later when financially it might not afford the war. Russia, with its oil, can easily form trading pacts with the Asia countries and be profitable enough to cushion sanctions.

A trade deal with both Russia and the West.

Ukraine can make a treaty with Russia to trade with both the West and Russia. This will reduce the tension as well. That can give Russia assurances that Ukraine will act in its best interest as well as a trading partner and not sell it out to the West.

Reading the small print.

Normally, agreements, deals or treaties signed after a war can have secret annexes or clauses that are hidden, which

one member might not disclose until after the treaty has already been signed.

I encourage Ukraine, even Russia, to find a team of few people who can dedicate themselves to reading and verifying all contracts or treaties before signing them. Clarify everything, if not sure. Insist everything be translated into two languages and check all.

This is because Russia believes Ukraine cannot stand by itself or simply want it to be Russia's, as in the Soviet Union. So might include hidden secret annexes that can remove Ukraine's sovereignty or prohibit people who don't speak Russian from obtaining the office of leadership. Russia can insert a secret clause to make Ukraine's leadership a puppet of Russia. Russia might want to retaliate against the treatment they said the Ukrainian government did to the people of Donbas.

Russia must also be careful that Ukraine cannot do similar tricks.

Other treaties.

I think it would not be safe for Ukraine to sign extra treaties. Russia might use Ukraine's weakened position if the war or special military operation continues to force it into a treaty to cushion against NATO's expansion. This can give NATO reasons to attack both Ukraine and Russia. For now, strength can be in it, remaining neutral.

Commercial agreement.

Ukraine and Russia can sign a commercial agreement that can see Russia help build Ukraine's economy through trade, etc. This can be for a specified time frame.

The triple treaty of neutrality.

Russia can make a treaty with Sweden, Ukraine, and Finland for them to remain neutral and gain their trust, and offer subsidies and concessions for a certain period that can be revised after a certain time frames.

Such a move can make Sweden and Ukraine withdraw their NATO applications.

This takes everyone to pre-war status. A state they all have enjoyed for years. So, this is a viable option for peace.

Tomorrow's World Order treaty between Sweden, Finland, Belarus, Turkey, Russia, Ukraine, and NATO.

We must monitor and enforce any treaties you enter into unless it is a private treaty of which that can remain private. We must make sure that this treaty takes effect and is enforceable after its implementation and is monitored and feedback given to and by all parties.

We provide our services freely, but you can donate if this works for you.

Background Issues.

Stop this war or special military operation with immediate effect.

We are Tomorrow's World Order and we hereby impose conditions for peace talks.

A hundred days have passed, and we can't tolerate the continuation of this war or special military operation. As such, we demand the immediate cessation of this war or special military operation.

We can't tolerate the needless killings of civilians and military personnel. Your soldiers are protected by our laws. You have an obligation to safeguard the lives of these soldiers.

You are obligated to obey and cease this war or special military operation with immediate effect.

Factors influencing our decision to intervene. Our reasons that this is unacceptable.

1. We believe that the continuous supply of weapons is to make Ukraine heavily indebted to the West, so that forever Ukraine will be indebted to the West. A position that can put Russia forever on alert and, in the end, use a nuclear weapon leading to NATO justifying attacking Russia. All this has nothing to do with the interest of the Ukrainian people who are dying daily. The West is simply testing and making Russia aggressive so that they will have justifications for the existence of NATO and for attacking Russia as NATO expands.

Even worse to this is the fact that IMF and World Bank loans can only be written off if the country that is heavily indebted to it; is regarded as in a Conflict Associated Situation.

There is proof that the IMF and World Bank, despite Ukraine in a war with Russia in the Donetsk and Luhansk for 8 years [since 2014] these institutions argued that Ukraine was not regarded as a Conflict Associated Country. Meaning the war or special military operation there was not intensified enough for them to write off the debt.

So, we believe that if Ukraine needs its debt to be written off. First, the war or special military operation must be so intense to be regarded as in a conflict-associated country. Could the calls for heavy weapons have anything to do with this? It is open to me to conclude that the need for the foreign debt to be written off can be a contributing factor to the continued war. In the hope that in the end, the debt will be written off only if the fighting becomes very heavy.

Reading the small print.

But we believe that the West misrepresented the facts. They misrepresented by using the small print to not fully explain the situation where debt can be written off. We also believe that the West's supply of heavy weapons is to keep Ukraine in debt forever. To be able to control trade and be able to control the flow of resources through a rollback mechanism. Or manipulate trade to get a competitive advantage. These two countries influence world trade through oil and grain, sunflower sales, etc.

We believe that the West is in no position to write off the foreign debt Ukraine owes them.

We believe the West would rather keep the war or special military operation on to get a competitive advantage in terms of trade, as oil depletes their financial resources. So would rather keep Russia under sanctions. The reason why they keep fueling the war or special military operation is through the continuous provision of weapons.

2. The number of civilian deaths.

3. The number of soldiers dying easily more than two hundred on both sides combined per day this can't be tolerated.

4. The sending of troops without the necessary equipment.

5. Fighting, as in the 1940s in the modern-day era where soldiers are easily hacked and pinpointed using GPS, can't be tolerated, as they are easily killed. Where war or special military operation and modern-day technology give an advantage to one group at the expense of the other, that war or special military operation must be stopped to protect the lives of the soldiers who had chanced expecting to be protected by the leaders not to be sent in dangerous situations ill equipped. Or without understanding the full risk of the job. As such, therefore protected by our laws.

6. How do you define winning a war or special military operation when civilian and soldier lives are lost above a

hundred a day? Surely there must be levels of what is acceptable and not. Trust us, this is not acceptable. This makes us question the motives of the leaders? Are they sacrificing their soldiers? We know and believe that the West, if in financial difficulties, sacrifices soldiers and all dependents; that is, the women and children.

7. That makes us wonder if [Ukraine's] foreign debt to the West and [Russia's] sanctions have a role in all this?

8. So, we ask who on earth can supply billions not money but in terms of weapons without a hidden agenda? Never be fooled by the notion that they are defending justice. This is because, in their own country, they oppress other races through secret digital hacking, torture, and manipulation. So, the talk of standing up for justice or freedom is a misconception.

9. Could the supply of weapons be a way of culling the dependents? The soldiers and the women and children who are regarded as depleting the government resources?

10. Could Ukraine be culling soldiers and dependents, as in women and children, to be able to pay off foreign debt?

11. Could Russia be sacrificing its soldiers as a way to deal with the West's sanctions?

12. Could the West have foreseen all this and instead of pushing and enforcing the Minsk agreement, then choose to train Ukraine soldiers so that they feel able to fight Russia, a country maybe 100 times its size?

13. Why would the West frustrate peace talks? What has the West to lose? Could the presence of NATO be a reason for all this, as we know, for a fact that NATO exists only because of Russia and Russia's actions of signing the Minsk agreement had rendered NATO obsolete? Russia indeed signed a peace deal. If Russia is capable of dealing peacefully with smaller countries like Ukraine, then there is no need for NATO. So, the West, to defend NATO, frustrated the peace talks and instead offered Ukraine a false sense of security to feel as self-sufficient. But making

NATO alive again. Imagine without Russia surely NATO would be an obsolete cult. So, all this donation of weapons is to give NATO every reason for existence at the expense of women, children, and soldiers dying in Ukraine.

Something we are against.

14. We believe the West view this as not a problem close to them as they lack empathy with the women, children, and soldiers who are dying in Ukraine. This is evidenced by the fact that the West, immediately after losing children to gun crime, instantly passed a bill to protect their children. But thousands of women and children are dying in Ukraine, yet they still send billions of dollars worth of weapons.

15. We believe that if there was no help with weapons, Ukraine could have easily negotiated a peace deal.

16. We strongly believe that the West is manipulating Ukraine by giving them a false sense of security that all they need are weapons. Removing the power to negotiate a peace deal. Thereby creating a dependency situation where Ukraine to feel invincible will look to the West. But then again, the West in the end will fail to be there with the weapons. Above all, the target is Russia, where the West keeps supplying Ukraine with missiles that reach Russia. In the end, Russia, as a way to protect itself, is to use nuclear weapons on Ukraine and not the West. The West has dropped nuclear weapons on Japan. In that regard, the West can be said not to have regard for Ukraine's lives but to do this to help Russia trigger a final solution to the Ukrainian problem. This is true if you consider that the West is on its knees due to oil prices which Russia has in abundance and not Ukraine. Meaning that the West would rather help trigger the demise of Ukraine to be in favor of Russia just because of the oil.

17. We believe that now even if this was not the aim of the Russian government. Sanctions have redefined the working environment. Russia now because of sanctions it can be argued they are culling their soldiers to reduce the wage bill

by sending them to combat where they have no chances of effecting change without suffering heavy casualties.

18. We believe that the West will do everything they can to prolong the war or special military operation as it is a market for them. In order to dispose of weapons and a reason to justify their existence in NATO, which was created to cushion the effects posed by the Soviet Union, now Russia.

19. Russia, it can be argued that is still reliving the Soviet Union era and wishes to establish that situation again before becoming a threat to all regions.

20. Too much time in power can be the reason why the Russian president feels invincible enough to continue with the war.

21. On the other hand, seeking much attention can be said to overcloud the judgment of the Ukraine president.

22. Russia continues to disregard the sovereignty of a nation and, as such, is becoming a threat to peace.

23. The Ukraine government's reliance too much on the West is a recipe for disaster. The West can only do much. Off-course they can continue supplying weapons because until the war or special military operation there was no market or justification for weapons. Ukraine is giving all these leaders a lifeline, so people will say they have done a great job fortifying Ukraine. But in reality, they are the problem. The reasons why so many people were killed.

24. Our greatest concerns are with the fact that the West can continue to supply weapons until next year or two years from now. This will mean continuous deaths of the people. We can't tolerate that. The West will argue that the war or special military operation can last years because that is what they want. If they wanted to stop the war, or special military operation they would have done that by stopping Russia.

25. Foreign debt can stripe off a country's sovereignty. IMF and the World Bank are associated with wars. Their

demands to reduce government waste and pensioners' bills encourage others who trigger wars to cull soldiers and cause the deaths of women and children who are often regarded as dependents. All this is to make the country be able to repay the IMF and the World Bank loans. Iraq had nearly one million deaths of women and children after IMF and World Bank loans.

26. Sanctions can make a country disregard the lives of the soldiers to an extent it would have done if it was not sanctioned. All as a way to solve or lessen the impacts of sanctions. But all this is not an excuse to have your soldiers killed easily. Your soldiers are protected by our laws.

27. It was reported that Ukrainian and Russian soldiers are dying more than a hundred per day from each side. This, no matter what, can't be tolerated. Gone are the days when presidents sacrifice soldiers for fame, to be regarded as the greatest, the most feared, etc. This must stop with immediate effect. I can't stress enough how important are your soldiers' lives to us. After a hundred days, we have concluded that we must intervene and put an end to this needless killing of soldiers.

28. We strongly believe that all the presidents, the Russian and Ukraine presidents, could be victims of hacking by the West. Who is torturing them and as such are hostages and cannot decide what's best for their people? We have proof that the West is hacking people and submitting them to abuse or making them do what they want against their will. But how can they complain without being accused of hallucinations or being sick?

29. I argued to NATO and the courts [international Criminal Court] even before the pandemic that the West is recreating England 1665-1666 where the pandemic is followed by a war. Recreating events in the past so that they have a competitive advantage in which they know exactly when to stop the pandemic or war. We believe the West is making digital pathogens of which the pandemic is

one and then murdering people whom they hack all at birth. As such, the Ukraine and Russian war will end on July 2023/4. In that regard, we can't tolerate that. It doesn't matter to us if Ukraine wins. This is because what is winning if thousands of civilians die?

30. To add to that, the West is clever and manipulating in that they will keep supplying weapons. Keep saying that Ukraine will win. Keep supplying Ukraine with long-range missiles until Russia retaliates with a nuke. Surely, we don't want another Hiroshima.

31. The West's aim the only reason that makes sense is the need to control trade. Trust me, it has nothing to do with Ukrainian freedom. They oppress people in their countries in broad daylight nevertheless through secret slavery, so the talk of freedom must be viewed with suspicion.

32. This war falls in the civil war category. A war exists between people who share a lot of similar traits. Surely some argue that this does not fall into that category, even though it should be. This is because these people are related. They normally speak similar languages, have inter-marriages, etc. A good example was the wars between Iraq and Iran. But despite the killings etc., the war would not amount to the request of the heaviest weapons, etc. to cause so much destruction. Knowing that retaliation would mean more civilians dying. Their wars are aimed at soldiers etc. and not civilians. We believe Ukraine is similar more to Russia than to the West.

33. We are not judging, but all facts must be analyzed. Every death of a child and woman, even that of a soldier, is not tolerated.

34. Conditions of the loans offered by the IMF and World Bank in December 2013. In that Ukraine must reduce and streamline their wage/salary and pension bills. Means cuts in these areas of some kind, but how can they significantly reduce this?

- a. Dispersing people using fear. So that after the fear, only a few people will remain for Ukraine to be able to repay the loans. Part of the IMF and World Bank's structural adjustment program requirements.
- b. Cutting down the number of civil servants.
- c. Reducing the pension bill somehow.

It is worth pointing here that the war soon started in early 2014 after Ukraine applied for IMF and World Bank loans in December 2013.

This meant that Ukraine should not sign any agreements with Russia, as they will use the land as collateral. That means until the debt is paid, Ukraine cannot make any peace deal with Russia that involves parting with land, especially with Russia's insistence that Ukraine must recognize Donetsk and Luhansk as separate sovereign regions.

This means also that Ukraine, even if they want, will not and are not in a position to negotiate for the benefit of Ukraine or in Ukraine's interest. So as long as Ukraine owes IMF and World Bank loans, there won't be any peace talks and agreements or deals. [Note that Ukraine's foreign debt was equivalent to 78.8% of GDP]

I think we, as Tomorrow's World Order, are the best negotiating platform for any meaningful peace talks and treaties.

The Normand formula as delegates will not work because all these countries rely on Russia for oil, gas, etc. Above all, all have ties to the Russian leader that they will encourage him indirectly not to feel obligated to carry out the deal. He feels like their boss and he is not in a position to be told by them what to do.

We are neutral and, to be honest; we represent the interest of the people of Russia and Ukraine. We don't care about who is in power.

The West is someone we believe the Ukrainians will be interested in being the peace delegates. As they have vested

interests and will never make Ukraine obey any demands by Russia. Above all IMF, and World Bank loans actually will leave Ukraine in a hostage situation in the long run. We are the only true peace negotiators. We can choose representatives from Ukraine to be part of our delegates. We can choose other non-Russian government parties in Russia to be part of our peace delegates. The West use foreign debt to strip off sovereignty and encourage secret commands and control indirectly that resemble slavery conditions to control mainly trade. We can let Ukraine go through that.

OUR MANDATORY CONDITIONS.

Option One.

Immediate Ceasefire and call to dialogue.

1. Ukraine must ask the West to free it from foreign debt IMF and World Bank loans. Surely the West has shown support for Ukraine by supplying weapons and they cannot refuse to write off the debt. Common sense. Instead of weapons, ask that they write off the debt. A clean sheet. A clean start.
2. Russia must declare its intention to leave Ukraine so that sanctions are removed.
3. Russia must acknowledge Ukraine's sovereignty.
4. Both parties must with immediate effect declare peace and the need to cooperate fully in the peace talks.
5. Russia must understand that Ukraine has foreign debt to the West and the conditions of debt mean all land was used as collateral and might not be in a position to negotiate as long as the loans are not repaid. In this case, Russia should not insist on the annexation of certain regions until after a certain period.
6. We believe that this could be a reason behind the failures of both the Minsk agreements.

7. Russia can buy out the West by paying the debt Ukraine owes to the West. Or it can contribute to freeing Ukraine from the West's grip through foreign debt.

8. Ukraine must negotiate with the West if the land is collateralized to loans for the loans to be written off.

9. Russia to agree to build or pay for the destruction they caused, especially to civilian buildings.

10. Russia might feel safe if Ukraine is to borrow from them as well instead of just from the West. Can Ukraine look to Russia for debt and balance the debt to give Russia peace of mind? This gives them a realistic opportunity to quell any West aggression. As Russia can claim a stake in Ukraine's affairs as well.

11. Ukraine must be prepared to do business with both Russia and the West.

There must not be any calls for heavy weapons once this option is adopted.

There can't be any mention of sanctions, etc.

The talks are unconditional, with both parties fully pledging to work towards peace.

Option Two.

Both parties must resign from the president's office with guaranteed immunity against any criminal proceedings.

There is so much information regarding both sides that can be used to bring criminal charges against all leaders.

Whatever happened is not in the interest of both the people of Ukraine and the people of Russia.

It can be argued that both leaders negligently sacrificed their people to sound good, to sound right, to be considered brave or the ultimate leader. Women, children, and soldiers died needlessly and are still dying.

The number of deaths alone and the soldiers dying daily is way too high in these modern times to be justified. It can now only amount to slaughter or culling of their dependents

to reduce their wage bill other than fighting for freedom or freedom of their people.

The reason why the West predicted that Kyiv would fall in three days was the belief that the president of Ukraine would run away and save the Ukrainian people from being killed by the Russians.

Secondly, Ukraine is in debt to the West, which means its power is being reduced the more the West supplies weapons. The more time they will supply the weapons. The weakened the president would be to decide what is best for the Ukrainians.

The West has a trick of giving and giving, then stopping when you need the weapons the most. We believe that Ukraine will be left empty-handed when they need the weapons the most. So, reliance on weapons now will only end in their demise. In turn, all these calls for heavy weapons will make Russia in the end resort to nuclear weapons. Imagine the number of soldiers dying every day and the West's continuous supply of weapons that in the end Russia will be defeated and this defeat to the smallest country will only anger them enough to use nukes.

The West would rather have Russia attack Ukraine with nuclear to justify their actions in the Hiroshima case.

The West is only supplying weapons to justify the existence of NATO. The true idea behind the supply of weapons is to keep Russia as the enemy that justifies NATO's presence.

In the case of the Russian president, he had acted as people expected by signing or agreeing to the Minks agreement and demanding free election to the Donbas regions, something that is viewed in the West as democratic. But after Feb 25 we believe he should not have invaded. Nothing justifies the deaths of civilians and even his soldiers, especially the way they are being killed.

Sending soldiers in modern days to fight like the 1940s soldiers without proper modern equipment is a negligent decision that made his soldiers killed easily.

The West is human hacking people, implanting a chip at birth with GPS properties, then tracing everyone using this chip. Don't be deceived that they are using intelligent equipment, etc. They are finding information through direct hacking of the soldiers. We have proof that this is what they are using to pinpoint where exactly the soldiers are. Sending these soldiers without any jammers is a gross miscalculation and a lack of understanding of modern-day warfare.

This is not the first time the Russian president has done this. There are cases of him invading Crimea etc. for power. His time in power can contribute to his decision to keep waging the war despite the heavy casualties.

A false sense of fear can keep him suspicious of the West and continue with the war.

Having said that, this option means the two presidents can step down and give power to someone else without any criminal charges brought against them. The past hundred days proved that these two leaders are not to agree any time soon to end the war. These two men cannot work together and will, for some time, come to challenge each other.

Meaning, for the nearby future, continual calls for heavy weapons at the expense of the civilians and soldiers who are dying. Forever justification for wars, despite the deaths of soldiers.

But our real concerns are the real risks of another Hiroshima. The risk is high. People might not see this, but the arrogance of these two men can only end in more bloodshed. We can't tolerate another Hiroshima. The continuous calls for heavy weapons and the continuous need to win this war by the Ukrainian and Russian presidents respectively are what the West wants so that in

the end one is put in their shoes where they are forced to use nuclear weapons.

It is not just Russia that can end up using nuclear weapons. The continuous calls for heavy weapons might be answered in the form of nuclear weapons.

All countries pledging weapons have nuclear weapons. So, we don't see why they might not give that hand to Ukraine over time.

We believe that the West's continuous pledging of weapons is the establishment of an alibi, a reason to say we run out of all the weapons. Why not try this one [nuclear] to solve the problem as a final solution?

We also believe that the fact the West can't write off Ukraine's debt means their pledges are not pledges but a way to sink Ukraine into debt. So, in the end, they fight their war as a proxy war. The West from Iraq to Ukraine trigger wars as a way to help the borrower of the IMF and World Bank loans be able to repay the loan by reducing the number of dependants. Just before the war. [Ukraine requested IMF and World Bank loans in December 2013. The IMF and World Bank requested that Ukraine streamline its wage and pension bills as part of the structural adjustment program. How can they reduce the wage and salary bill and their pension bill?] By 2014, there was a war in which they disregarded the peace agreement. Russia, no matter what, has no reason or justification whatsoever to attack a sovereign country. This can't be accepted.

Option Three.

You have the right to continue with the war, but in the end, we will give regard to your actions regarding the deaths of civilians and soldiers. In the end, we weigh your actions against all international laws. You are in a position to choose peace and protect civilians from dying. It is your

call, but you will be judged by the actions you take. To be a hero is to value the lives of your people. To do everything to opt for peace.

We believe in defending oneself but where one has weapons of mass destruction; we think great care must be taken. We are against doing everything halfway. If Ukraine is serious about ending the war, they should have acquired a nuke themselves from day one. If Russia is using possession of nuclear weapons as a way to invade them, then in these cases it can be argued that. Being serious about defending Ukraine. Ukraine, by now, should have acquired one. What they are doing is aggravating Russia but, in the end, still suffering consequences. Meaningless fights where a lot of people will die and prolong the war or special military operation for years, etc.

Russia could simply have stopped the war or this special military operation once they realized that Ukraine could use a nuclear weapon against them. This could have acted as a deterrent.

Our stance is we are against the use of nuclear, but you must deal with the threat at hand proportionally. It's either you match the threat at hand or seek to opt for peace. Not to do in between trying to be a hero at the expense of the people. Match the force at hand. They threaten with nuclear then get nuclear in return and parade it as well. Surely most of these abusive people believe the victims will never match the threat at hand. Most often as in this case it is real, but that only leaves a country open to abuse.

If Ukraine had asked for foreign soldiers from all countries to defend Ukraine [not mercenaries] but real national armies say ten thousand from each country for defense. I think some countries would have obliged. Countries are refusing because the calls are for heavy weapons. That could have put Russia off. That would have meant Russia fighting the whole world.

If you want to fight go all the way. Match the evil at hand. Get ready for a nuke as well. That's defending Ukraine. People are going to be killed, anyway.

Most bullies bully other nations simply because they have a skewed belief that they won't be attacked. Until that day it happens. This is the only way to stop aggressive behavior. Criminal charges against the president of Russia and the president of Ukraine for negligently and recklessly getting their soldiers killed, getting civilians killed without any meaningful dialogue, and or not implementing already agreed-on peace talks and treaties.

It is a crime to get women and children killed.

Your soldiers are protected by our laws. You are obliged to choose peace negotiations and work towards agreed peace talks.

You are obliged to choose peace. Your soldiers have sworn to protect you placing responsibility on you to protect their lives.

A hundred to a thousand combined dying daily is not tolerated at all and as such you are in breach of our laws. There are many avenues to peace. You have an obligation and responsibility to the soldiers dying needlessly. These soldiers trusted you with their lives so, in turn, you protect their lives. Or at least to take due care before sending them to war. Making sure they are well equipped to fight modern wars. Fighting as in the 1940s in this day where everything even humans are digitalized is malicious and gross misconduct.

My first impression is that Ukraine is a hostage to the West. They appear to do everything that the West tells them to do regardless of the deaths of the soldiers.

This can't be allowed to happen.

We as global leaders can't let this go unabated. Therefore, you must stop the war or this special military operation with immediate effect. Or else be dragged to our court for disregarding the lives of the soldiers who vowed to protect

you. The number of daily deaths makes us intervene with immediate effect. The West would like to offload their over supplies of weapons at the expense of women and children. The deaths of children and women daily triggered our response and need to intervene. There is no justification to invade a sovereign country.

The West which is supplying weapons lacks the empathy to empathize with the Ukraine people who are dying. This is natural and simply because they don't relate to the victims. It can be said that the victims are remote to them. They have no immediate connections to them. Their decisions are based on distance factors not related to them.

They might have their motives. A good example is a fact that if similar events e.g. the deaths of children in their country they quickly and swiftly put laws to stop weapons to end up in the wrong hands. This is because the victims in Ukraine are remote to them. They don't emphasize with them even though they care.

You have a greater responsibility towards your soldiers, the women, and children who are dying. As we are the global leaders, we say unless you stop this war or special military operation you are all guilty of negligently getting your soldiers killed and putting the lives of women and children at risk.

We will hold you accountable for the needless deaths of women, children, and soldiers.

Contributing factors.

Ukraine is heavily indebted to foreign debt providers. This renders them incapable of deciding their future. We argue that Ukraine is not in a position to negotiate and make a decision that is in the interest of the people of Ukraine. A debt not just in terms of money but in terms of feeling indebted to the West who provided training and possibly

derailed the talks and implementation of previous peace agreements.

We believe that if the West had not offered to train the Ukraine military, Ukraine could simply have agreed to the previous peace agreement.

What happens if the cease-fire and peace talks fail.

1. The international community must supply ten thousand troops each to send to Ukraine to drive Russia out of Ukraine. This is because no country is allowed to invade a sovereign country unless the country has breached international law regarding secret slavery, genocide, and crimes against humanity. Above all, we are the only ones to recommend who is invaded or not. We represent the whole world and not any country, cult, or institution.
2. We can argue that Russia secretly practiced the culling of its soldiers as a way to deal with sanctions. Sending soldiers into dangerous situations. So if they don't declare a cease-fire they must face our courts for needlessly getting their soldiers killed.
3. We can apply to the court to try Russia for war crimes.
4. The same arguments can be used against Ukraine. So both parties are obliged to cooperate with us.
5. We give them only one chance to opt for peace.
6. We can push for regime change and remove Russia or Ukraine's leadership if they don't cooperate.

Signed

20 June 2022

David Gomadza

President

Tomorrow's World Order
tomorrowsworldorder@outlook.com
00447745900178

Further Reading.

You must also read:

Tomorrow's World Order: A New Law & Order.: Dealing
with Threats of Invasions, Wars, and War Crimes.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadza_Tomorrow_s_World_Order_A_New_Law_Ord?id=ws3ODwAAQBAJ&gl=GB

An Order by The President of Tomorrow's World Order to
Stop the War/Special Military Operation.: By Intervening,
To Push Invaders Out of a Sovereign Nation.

<https://img1.wsimg.com/blobby/go/f561f953-0bdd-48bf-a39b-982ecbd1698b/downloads/An%20order%20by%20the%20president%20of%20Tomorrws%20world%20or.pdf?ver=1654953180367>

or

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadz_a_An_Order_by_The_President_of_Tomorro?id=OtVtEAAAQBAJ&gl=GB

Culling: The Sad Reality of The IMF and World Bank Loans As Triggers of Wars.: Finding Solutions To The Russian-Ukraine War.

<https://img1.wsimg.com/blobby/go/f561f953-0bdd-48bf-a39b-982ecbd1698b/downloads/cullingwebsite.pdf?ver=1654953180367>

or

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadz_a_Culling_The_Sad_Reality_of_The_IMF_a?id=mMluEAAAQBAJ&gl=GB

Tomorrow's World Order Official Strategic Launch: 24 April 2022.

<https://img1.wsimg.com/blobby/go/f561f953-0bdd-48bf-a39b-982ecbd1698b/downloads/Tomorrowsworldorder%20strategic%20launch.pdf?ver=1654953180367>

or

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadz_a_Tomorrow_s_World_Order_Official_Stra?id=kZZsEAAAQBAJ&gl=GB

THE CONSTITUTION Tomorrow's World Order.

https://play.google.com/store/books/details/David_Gomadz_a_THE_CONSTITUTION_Tomorrow_s_World_Or?id=S-69DwAAQBAJ&gl=GB

Heightened Risks of a Nuclear War.

<https://img1.wsimg.com/blobby/go/f561f953-0bdd-48bf-a39b-982ecbd1698b/downloads/Hieghtened%20Tension%20and%20risks%20of%20a%20nuclear%20war.pdf?ver=1654953180367>

Tomorrow's World Order

<https://img1.wsimg.com/blobby/go/f561f953-0bdd-48bf-a39b-982ecbd1698b/downloads/Tomorrows%20worldorder.pdf?ver=1654953180367>

or

<https://play.google.com/store/audiobooks/details?id=AQA AAED89X21kM&gl=GB>

Draft Notes.

Draft Notes.