PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (Form PPQ-0)							
CONTRACT INFORMATION							
1. Contractor Information Firm Name: BCP Mechanical LLC. Address: 1053 Big Oak Ranch Rd., Fallbrook CA 92028 Phone Number: Office: 760-206-3647 Email Address: betsey@bcpmechanical.com Point of Contact: Parsey Paul President Contact Phone Contact Phone	CAGE Code: 7YU10 UEI/DUNs Number UGXDP8SXTKG5 / 080779441						
ž – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	ne Number: 619-599-3799						
2. Work Performed as: Prime Contractor X Sub Contractor Percent of project work performed: 100% If subcontractor, who was the prime (Name/Phone #):Sapper West- Spectrum Set	Joint Venture Other (Explain)						
3. Contract Information Contract Number: N62473-19-D-2622 Delivery/Task Order Number (if applicable): T.O. 24F4672. Contract Type: X Firm Fixed Price Cost Reimbursement Other (P Contract Title: Replace HVAC Chiller Units, System Pumps, Valves and Fitting Contract Location: Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton Air Station Building 23: Award Date (mm/dd/yy): Jun,11, 2024 Contract Completion Date (mm/dd/yy): 07/10/2025 Actual Completion Date (mm/dd/yy): 03/24/2025 Explain Differences: N/A Original Contract Price (Award Amount): \$436,000.00 Final Contract Price (to include all modifications, if applicable): \$436,000.00 Explain Differences: We were able to source the chillers with less lead times.							
4. Project Description: Complexity of Work ☐ High ☐ Med ☐ Routine How is this project relevant to project of submission? (<i>Please provide details succonditions, etc.</i>) Chilled water system services the flightline KNFG tower and coweekend. Subcontracted the electrical; DDC controls. Test & Balance, all other wand replace 2ea 87 ton chillers with the associated chilled water circulation pump services the tower. Disconnect and reconnect existing electrical, piping, and DDC modes of operation and program for a lead and lag system with other chiller. Reb	ould only be offline for 48hrs over a work completed by this contractor. Remove as. Remove and replace 4ton fan coil that controls to all equipment. Test system in all						
CLIENT INFORMATION							
5. Client Information Name: Michael Palculich Title: COR Mechanical Engineering Tech Phone Number: 760.725.9802 Email Address: michael.palculich@usmc.mil 6. Describe the client's role in the project: COR / ET							
7. Date Questionnaire was completed (mm/dd/yy): 05/15/2025							
8. Client's Signature: Míchael Palculích MCAS ET/COR 76	0 802 6773						

NOTE: NAVFAC REQUESTS THAT THE CLIENT COMPLETES THIS QUESTIONNAIRE AND SUBMITS DIRECTLY BACK TO THE OFFEROR. THE OFFEROR WILL SUBMIT THE COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE TO NAVFAC WITH THEIR PROPOSAL, AND MAY DUPLICATE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FUTURE SUBMISSION ON NAVFAC SOLICITATIONS. CLIENTS ARE HIGHLY ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT QUESTIONNAIRES DIRECTLY TO THE OFFEROR. HOWEVER, QUESTIONNAIRES MAY BE SUBMITTED DIRECTLY TO NAVFAC. PLEASE CONTACT THE OFFEROR FOR NAVFAC POC INFORMATION. THE GOVERNMENT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO VERIFY ANY AND ALL INFORMATION ON THIS FORM.

Contractor Information (Firm Name): <u>BCP Mechanical LLC.</u> Client Information (Name): <u>Michael Palculich</u>

TO BE COMPLETED BY CLIENT

PLEASE CIRCLE THE ADJECTIVE RATING WHICH BEST REFLECTS YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE.

	FORMA	IIICE.				
1. QUALITY						
a) Quality of technical data/report preparation efforts	E	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Ability to meet quality standards specified for technical performance	E	VG	S	M	U	N
c) Timeliness/effectiveness of contract problem resolution without extensive customer guidance	E	VG	S	M	U	N
d) Adequacy/effectiveness of quality control program and adherence to contract quality assurance requirements (without adverse effect on performance)	E	VG	S	M	U	N
2. SCHEDULE/TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE						
a) Compliance with contract delivery/completion schedules including any significant intermediate milestones. (If liquidated damages were assessed or the schedule was not met, please address below)	E	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Rate the contractor's use of available resources to accomplish tasks identified in the contract	E	VG	S	M	U	N
3. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION						
a) To what extent were the end users satisfied with the project?	\mathbf{E}	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Contractor was reasonable and cooperative in dealing with your staff (including the ability to successfully resolve disagreements/disputes; responsiveness to administrative reports, businesslike and communication)	E	VG	S	M	U	N
c) To what extent was the contractor cooperative, businesslike, and concerned with the interests of the customer?	E	VG	S	M	U	N
d) Overall customer satisfaction	E	VG	S	M	U	N
4. MANAGEMENT/PERSONNEL/LABOR						
a) Effectiveness of on-site management, including management of subcontractors, suppliers, materials, and/or labor force	E	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Ability to hire, apply, and retain a qualified workforce to this effort	E	VG	S	M	U	N
c) Government Property Control	E	VG	S	M	U	N
d) Knowledge/expertise demonstrated by contractor personnel	E	VG	S	M	U	N
e) Utilization of Small Business concerns	E	VG	S	M	U	N
f) Ability to simultaneously manage multiple projects with multiple disciplines	E	VG	S	M	U	N
g) Ability to assimilate and incorporate changes in requirements and/or priority, including planning, execution and response to Government changes	E	VG	S	M	U	N
h) Effectiveness of overall management (including ability to effectively lead, manage and control the program)	E	VG	S	M	U	N
5. COST/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT						
a) Ability to meet the terms and conditions within the contractually agreed price(s)	E	VG	S	M	U	N

Contractor Information (Firm Name): BCP Mechanical LLC.

Client Information (Name): Michael Palculich

b) Contractor proposed innovative alternative methods/processes that reduced cost, improved maintainability or other factors that benefited the client.	E	VG	S	M	U	N
c) If this is/was a Government cost type contract, please rate the Contractor's timeliness and accuracy in submitting monthly invoices with appropriate back-up documentation, monthly status reports/budget variance reports, compliance with established budgets and avoidance of significant and/or unexplained variances (under runs or overruns).	E	VG	S	M	U	N
d) Is the Contractor's accounting system adequate for management and tracking of costs? <i>If no, please explain in Remarks section.</i>		Yes			No	
e) If this is/was a Government contract, has/was this contract been partially or completely terminated for default or convenience or are there any pending terminations? <i>Indicate if show cause or cure notices were issued, or any default action in comment section below.</i>		Yes			No	
f) Have there been any indications that the contractor has had any financial problems? <i>If yes, please explain below.</i>	Yes			No		
6. SAFETY/SECURITY						
a) To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan, and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the user's rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety, correction of noted deficiencies, etc.)	E	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Contractor complied with all security requirements for the project and personnel security requirements.	E	VG	S	M	U	N
7. GENERAL						
a) Ability to successfully respond to emergency and/or surge situations (including notifying COR, PM or Contracting Officer in a timely manner regarding urgent contractual issues).	E	VG	S	M	U	N
b) Compliance with contractual terms/provisions (explain if specific issues)	E	VG	S	M	U	N
c) Would you hire or work with this firm again? (If no, please explain below)		Yes			No	
d) In summary, provide an overall rating for the work performed by this contractor.	E	VG	S	M	U	N

Please provide responses to the questions above (*if applicable*) and/or additional remarks. Furthermore, please provide a brief narrative addressing specific strengths, weaknesses, deficiencies, or other comments which may assist our office in evaluating performance risk (*please attach additional pages if necessary*):

Contractor's performance in all aspects of administration / construction execution / material and equipment delivery and handling / safety / quality control as well as tenant interaction was outstanding during the entire contract performance period. This contractor is highly recommended for continued Government contracting.