

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

WHY DONALD TRUMP WON THE 2020 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION AS A MATTER OF LAW.

**(A/K/A THE GREAT ELECTION SCREW-UP OF 2020. WHY TRUMP
WAS LEGALLY AND CONSTITUTIONALLY RE-ELECTED US
PRESIDENT IN 2020, WHAT WENT WRONG? WHY? AND HOW TO
SAFEGUARD THE PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION IN 2024?)**


Published by the Institute For Electoral Integrity

“THE ELECTORAL COLLEGE MAP OF THE UNITED STATES AS OF
MIDNIGHT, NOVEMBER 3, 2020 SHOWS THAT DONALD TRUMP HAD A
LANDSLIDE VICTORY WITH OVER 300 ELECTORAL COLLEGE VOTES
COMPARED TO 242 OF HIS RIVAL WITH ONLY 270 NEEDED TO WIN.

Democrats 242

Midnight November 3, 2020

Republicans 296-303
(270 Needed to Win)



Copyright © 2023 by Captain Rick, Esq.
Page and Print by Captain Rick, Esq.*
Cover design by Captain Rick, Esq.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the express written permission of the copyright holder.

Profits from this edition will be shared with a not-for-profit charities and IRC 501(c) (3) not- for -profit organizations devoted to the following purposes:
ELECTION INTEGRITY, FOR THE HEALTH, WELFARE AND BENEFIT
OF MANKIND, FOR MEDICAL SUPPLIES FIGHTING AGAINST
INFECTIOUS DISEASES AND DIABETES TYPE 2 AND FOR WOUNDED
VETERANS, VETERANS, HOMELESS PETS, CHILDREN IN NEED AND
ORPHANS.

Library of Congress Control Number: 2023918924

Printed in the United States of America.

ISBN: 978-1-7359557-3-5

THE INSTITUTE FOR ELECTORAL INTEGRITY
FS CHARITABLE FOUNDATION

PO BOX 161,
PALM BEACH,
FLORIDA 33480

DONATIONS ARE TAX DEDUCTIBLE to the extent provided in the Internal Revenue Code.

Certain characters in this book are historical or public figures, and certain portrayed events did take place. However, this work may be considered fiction. All of the other characters, names, and events as well as places, incidents, organizations, and dialogue in this novel are either the products of the author's imagination or are used fictionally.

Internet warning: Because of the dynamic nature of the Internet, and web addresses or links contained in this book may have changed since publication and may no longer be valid. The views expressed in this book are solely those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the publisher, and the publisher hereby disclaims any responsibility for them. Any people depicted in the stock imagery provided herein by the author, and are being used for illustrative purposes only. The author **thanks Wikipedia for its licensing policy.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER ONE: A PRIMER ON ELECTIONS AND “ELECTION DAY”.....	1
CHAPTER TWO: THE CINDERELLA RULE: THE CINDERELLA CARRIAGE RULE IS CHALLENGED.....	7
CHAPTER THREE: A NEW DEROGATORY IS BORN: “ELECTION DENIERS”.....	10
CHAPTER FOUR: BUT NOT SO FAST. THE “TRUTH” IS BURIED IN HISTORY-THE FRAMERS’ INTENT: LET US TAKE A LOOK-SEE.	13
Pre-Constitutional America, Part I:.....	13
Pre-Constitutional America, Part II:	15
CHAPTER FIVE: THE FRAMERS’ INTENT: THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: THE CONCEPT OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH AND THE “ELECTORAL COLLEGE” IN ARTICLE II.....	18
CHAPTER SIX: BUT AT WHAT “TIME” ARE ELECTORS “CHUSEN”? THE CONGRESS CHOSE A SINGLE DAY, AND IN 1845 THAT DAY BECAME “ELECTION DAY”. ...	25
Sic: The First Tuesday after the first Monday.....	26
Subsequent election laws and amendments.....	27

CHAPTER SEVEN: SO THE FRAMERS, AND THEREAFTER CONGRESS, CHOSE A SINGLE DAY: “ELECTION DAY”, IN ORDER TO STAVE OFF “CABALS” (POLITICAL CLIQUES OR FACTIONS) AND “ELECTION FRAUD”. NICE TRY BUT NO GO!29

- 1. The First Hydra Head 29**
- 2. The Second Hydra Head 31**
- 3. The Third Hydra Head..... 32**

CHAPTER EIGHT: SUPREME COURT ASSOCIATE JUSTICE, NEIL GORSUCH, SMELLS A RAT. BUT HE MISSES HIS KILL SHOT.....34

CHAPTER NINE: ELECTION FRAUD? SO WHAT? CHEATING AT ITS FINEST-A NATIONAL PASTIME: IF THEY STOLE IT, THEY STOLE IT FAIR AND SQUARE..39

- 1. Fake Media:..... 40**
- 2. Ballot Box Stuffing Machines 47**
- 3. Vote Early, Vote Often (and then again in another State).. 48**
- 4. Code And Algorithm Hacking To Bar Code Manipulation (Image Reading)..... 50**
- 5. Cyber warfare: Government Warning-Dominion et alia.... 53**
- 6. More Cyber Security Woes. 54**

CHAPTER TEN: THE ARGUMENT FOR CAPITAL PUNISHMENT. IS ELECTION FRAUD A CAPITAL OFFENSE? ISN'T BUYING VOTES A DIRECT CRIME AGAINST THIS DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC, TREASON OR SEDITION, GIVING AID AND COMFORT TO OUR ENEMIES?57

CHAPTER ELEVEN: THE AUTHOR'S PERSONAL EPILOGUE: THE WAR AGAINST ALINSKY ENTERS ITS FIFTH DECADE."A TIME FOR CHOOSING."59

CHAPTER TWELVE: WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE?66

CHAPTER THIRTEEN: THE FUTURE, AND WHAT DANGERS THERE LURK?71

CHAPTER FOURTEEN: CONCLUSION OF THE ARGUMENT, WHAT SAY YOU?74

About The Author75

FOOTNOTES:77

"Live electronic hyperlinks to the footnotes cited herein may be found at Footnotes section at website: www.captainrickesq.com"

CHAPTER ONE: A PRIMER ON ELECTIONS AND “ELECTION DAY”.

Donald Trump won the 2020 Presidential Election as a matter of Law. This is because Trump had a clear popular vote lead in most important states at mid-night of “Election Day”, November 3, 2020, particularly Pennsylvania, Georgia, Wisconsin, and Michigan. 18 states with 160 Electoral Votes had duly elected their Electors by midnight. Trump:132; Biden:28. Majority: Trump.

Under the U.S. Constitution, the election for the slate of “Electors” for the 2 Executive positionsⁱ under Article II of the U.S. Constitution had to be concluded at midnight of “Election Day,” November 3, 2020, codified into law (3 U.S. Code § 1) ⁱⁱ

If properly concluded at midnight of “Election Day” per the U.S. Constitution, Donald Trump clearly had a landslide Electoral Vote victory at that time, according to ChatGPT’s artificial intelligenceⁱⁱⁱ 2020 Electoral Vote model (on cover).

But this very simple constitutional-mandated legal closure of vote counting for Electors at midnight was overlooked by this Nation and by almost 68 of its Courts, allowing the “blue shift” (or continued counting of “Presidential Ballots”^{iv} always in favor of Democrats) to continue shifting when in fact the Law regarding the closure of this election for U.S. President and Vice President was so incredibly simple, sic:

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

1. Article II, Section 1 of the U.S. Constitution states: “The Congress may determine the time of choosing the electors, and the day on which they shall give their votes; which day shall be the same throughout the United States.”
2. On January 23, 1845, the 28th U.S. Congress passed: "An act to establish a uniform time for holding elections for electors of President and Vice President in all the States of the Union." The act selected "the Tuesday after the first Monday in November" as the day on which all states *must* appoint electors. (Presidential Election Day Act ch. 1, 5 Stat. 721)^v.
3. In 1887, Congress passed the Electoral Count Act of 1887 (ECA) (Pub. L. 49–90, 24 Stat. 373, later codified at Title 3, Chapter 1, significant only in that it added a “safe harbor” in which “to cure” ballots cast on Election Day. Its questionable constitutionality was never examined, although it was challenged in 2020 and summarily dismissed.^{vi}

In Presidential elections, there is a Constitutional Distinction between ballots cast under Article II of the Constitution for “Electors” who will elect the next President and Vice President and all other “down-ballot” offices for any other branch of Government, such as set forth in Article I of the Constitution, from Senator, House Representative, and then State constitutions, which provide procedures for election for state and municipal offices, from Governor, down to even the Town Dog Catcher.

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

Article II [PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT] of the US Constitution and the Presidential Election Day Act of 1845 provided for the election of “ELECTORS” (who would elect the next President) on “ELECTION DAY”. Therefore, as a matter of Constitutional law, all Electors who would later elect the next President (and Vice President) and those Electors had to be appointed on Midnight of “ELECTION DAY”, November 3, 2020. This was later codified into law as 3 U.S. Code § 1.^{vii}

Article I of the US Constitution, on the other hand, provided for the different method of election for the U.S. CONGRESS.

Section 2. [HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES] The House of Representatives shall be composed of Members chosen every second Year by the People of the several States.

Section 3: [SENATORS] The Senate of the United States shall be composed of two Senators from each State, chosen by the Legislature thereof, for six years; and each Senator shall have one Vote. On April 8, 1913, the 17th Amendment^{viii} modified Article I, Section 3 of the Constitution by allowing voters to cast “direct votes” for U.S. Senators.

Therefore, in each Presidential Election, when the U.S. Voter marked his ballot with two candidates’ names for the U.S. President and Vice President, he was actually voting for a slate of Electors from his particular State to be decided under Article II,

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

Section 1 of the U.S. Constitution according to the majority of the popular vote, at midnight of “Election Day”, which also decided which slate of Electors (Democratic or Republican) would later cast their state’s electoral votes in the Electoral College in favor of the President and Vice President as chosen by the majority of votes tabulated as of midnight of “Election Day”, in their particular state.

On the other hand, when the U.S. Voter marked his ballot with a senator, congressman, or other candidates’ names, he was actually voting for that individual, a process known as “direct election”. Thus, the United States, by virtue of its Constitution, was not a pure “Democracy” but rather a “Federal Presidential Republic” or a constitutional federal republic.”^{ix}

But regardless of what the state legislatures or Electoral Boards might have misinformed their voters, the majority of actual counted popular votes as of the stroke of midnight, November 3, 2020, should have determined the true slate of Electors, Republican or Democratic, to be appointed by each State under the Constitution of this Republic. No judge, legislature, or election official had the power in 2020 to change the starkly simple definition of “time” put forth under Article II of the Constitution, the “time” in which “Electors” are elected. And The Presidential Election Day Act, ch. 1, 5 Stat. 721, codified into Law that the “time” under Article II to elect Electors, and that “time” was “Election Day”, a day that was “the Tuesday after the first Monday in November”, (most recently

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

November 3, 2020).

And a “day” is the time period of a full rotation of the Earth with respect to the Sun. On average, this is 24 hours, 1440 minutes, or 86,400 seconds, not 26 or 52 hours, or more, it does not change because of the incompetence of the electoral vote counting in a particular State or because a pathogen like the COVID-19 virus suddenly appeared to scare us all. It would take an unprecedented Legislative Act to change “Election Day” and also an Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, ratified by two-thirds of the States, to change this period or definition of “time” codified into law in 1845. This did not occur before the Presidential Election of 2020.^x

Thus, as argued herein, Donald Trump was the constitutionally duly elected President of the United States as of midnight, Nov. 3, 2020, because he, at that time, had winning ballots in his column for at least 297-303 of States of Electors to cast these Electoral Votes when only 270 Electoral Votes were needed to win. Moreover, of the 18 States that had by midnight finished counting their votes and elected the appropriate slate of Electors, complying in full with the Article II “Cinderella Rule”, the Electoral Vote Count was Trump total: 132 Electoral Votes, Biden total: 28 Electoral Votes, with 81 of the 160 Electoral Votes needed to win.

Any way you cut the cake, Trump did, in fact, legally win the Presidential Election in 2020.

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

So what went wrong? How to prevent it from happening again? We need to examine the failures of the Past, for it has been said: “Those who do not learn history are doomed to repeat it.”^{xi}

CHAPTER TWO: THE CINDERELLA RULE: THE CINDERELLA CARRIAGE RULE IS CHALLENGED.

The Atlantic, a far left-leaning rag, according to sources,^{xii} would find an alleged constitutional law professor by the name of Kimberly Wehle, an alleged graduate of Cornell, the 17th ranked national University, and the Michigan Law School, the 10th ranked national Law School, to pen an article on November 3, 2020, titled “Nothing Changes at the Stroke of Midnight Tonight”. Therein, she would conveniently ignore 3 U.S. Code § 1 and endeavor to attack the “Cinderella Rule” that Article II Electors are elected on midnight of “Election Day”, disparage it, and thereafter seemingly invoke a new Einstein’s Special Theory of the Relativity of “time”:

“Counting ballots takes time—and the process isn’t required to arbitrarily stop at the end of Election Day...When the clock strikes midnight tonight, nothing will magically change.... There is no “Cinderella rule” that mandates the halting of all ballot counting at the end of Election Day.”

To the discerning eye, this was a master “misdirection” move because she had shifted the narrative away from counting ballots for the Electors under Article II of the Constitution to be elected on “Election Day” to just “counting ballots”, including those for the Town Dog Catcher. And counting ballots takes “time”, not like the “time” mandated in the Constitution for election of the

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

Executive Branch, but rather a newly invented Einsteinium “time”, one that was justified by “fairness”, “democracy”, the “right to vote”^{xiii}, all the right “buzzwords” to invoke emotional responses. And so the left wing-driven media narrative thus changed to just “counting ballots”.

Therefore, this “constitutional law professor” apparently reasoned:

“Trump’s Cinderella rule is a direct attack on state rules, and.... Such an idea is not only anti-democratic but, on a more practical level, completely unworkable.”

In defense of shifting the narrative away from the unambiguous Article II mandate of electing Electors by midnight of “Election Day”, as codified in 3 U.S. Code § 1, Professor Wehle would then go on to invoke the prestige of “the non-partisan Brennan Center for Justice” in order to lend some very fragile support for her grossly mistaken hypothesis.

While purveying the notion that The Brennan Center for Justice (the “Brennan Center”) was “non-partisan”, the Brennan Center was actually formed as a way to memorialize and put into action the values of left-of-center former Supreme Court Justice William Brennan, the “father of modern judicial activism,”^{xiv} by Brennan’s former left wing clerks, clerks who, in this Author’s opinion, were apparently either unemployable or would seize the opportunity for lifetime paychecks from donations to this

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

prestigiously named Institute.

And while this Brennan Center group purports to be “nonpartisan,” it has received substantial funding from George Soros-associated organizations,^{xv} and is mainly funded by left-leaning organizations, having received substantial funding from other liberal groups^{xvi}, including the Kohlberg Foundation, Tides Foundation, Proteus Fund, Joyce Foundation, Schumann Media Center, Public Welfare Foundation, and JPB Foundation.^{xvii}

And this prestigious Institute would go one step further in aid of the hapless Professor Wehle, and connive and contrive to invent a new word for the Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary: “ELECTION DENIERS”; e.g., One who denies, deniers of the “**TRUTH**”.

CHAPTER THREE: A NEW DEROGATORY IS BORN: “ELECTION DENIERS”.

The Brennan Institute’s allegedly non-partisan scholars would coin a Hate Mantra: “Election Deniers” that was a newly minted derogatory term somewhat South of “a Wal-Mart Deplorable” but North of “holocaust deniers” and “Nazi-sympathizers”.

And these alleged scholars could live off the fat of the land, the fruit of their own labors, and write endless opinionated articles they would term as (unbiased) “Reports”, e.g., “The Truth About Voter Fraud”^{xviii} and “The Election Deniers’ Playbook for 2024”^{xix}. And this derogatory, “Election Deniers”, would spread like wildfire into an infinite multitude of left wing opinion pieces by alleged journalists, especially the young, uneducated ones, that would compel them to insert modifying and disparaging descriptive adjectives like “false”, adjectives that subjectively modify a noun. Thus, the chorus of Orwellian Sheep all echoed the refrain: “former President Donald Trump’s ‘false’ claim that the 2020 presidential race was rigged”.^{xx}

Now, no judge or jury, no deity, had adjudicated Donald Trump’s claim as “false”. This was not a proven fact but rather a biased, unsubstantiated opinion initiated by the Silicon Valley owned Washington Post by a writer who was charged to report

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

facts, not opinions, so that readers could make up their own mind. But this propaganda mantra: “Donald Trump’s ‘false’ claim,” was repeated thousands of times by numerous left wing opinion piece writers masquerading as reporters. Even the prestigious left wing Brennan Center would jump in and opine that its allegedly noble purpose was:

“To properly inform voters, the media must consistently highlight the extreme views of candidates who spread “false” claims about elections.”^{xxi}

It would be taken one step further: Don't Call Them Election Deniers. Call Them Election Liars^{xxii}. Steven Harper, its author, would ironically state:

“Thomas Jefferson warned that an informed citizenry would be crucial to the survival of democracy. In pursuit of that mission today, words matter, and the media bear a special responsibility to get them right. When they don't, democracy itself can become the ultimate victim. That's happening now.”

So “Election Deniers” had evolved into “Election Liars”, and therefore in order to save “Democracy”, the media would have to shoulder the selfless, altruistic, and benevolent burden of that special responsibility, so as to protect us, our freedoms and rights from our own selves.^{xxiii}

We, the electorate, no longer had to think, to judge, to weigh our choices, exercise our right, duty, or privilege to examine and

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

question information “properly” spread by our benevolent watchdogs. It had all been done for us. We could relax, maybe read up on George Orwell’s book “Animal Farm” on how to become a “sheep”.

**CHAPTER FOUR: BUT NOT SO FAST. THE
“TRUTH” IS BURIED IN HISTORY-THE
FRAMERS’ INTENT: LET US TAKE A LOOK-
SEE.**

Pre-Constitutional America, Part I:

Very few people know of the roots of our constitutional freedoms, our Bill of Rights, but it all began with the religious persecutions of Protestants, mostly Huguenots, in France and England after the seven wars of religion ending in 1598^{xxiv}. The French Huguenot House of Valois had fallen to the unrelenting Catholic House of Bourbon, and my Huguenot ancestors fled France to religiously tolerant Holland.

The “Mayflower” was an English ship that transported a group of English families, known today as the Pilgrims, from England to the New World in 1620, carrying a congregation of approximately 37 English Protestants who had been living in exile in Leiden, Holland, because they were dissatisfied with the failure of the Church of England to reform what they felt were many excesses and abuses. They chose to live as Separatists in religiously tolerant Holland in 1608. As separatists, they were considered condemned illegal radicals by their home country of England. The “Pilgrims” are widely credited as the first colonists

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

in North America^{xxv}.

But they were actually preceded by the Dutch Huguenots, for the first successful Dutch settlement in the Americas was founded in 1615: Fort Nassau, on Castle Island along the Hudson River, near present-day Albany, New York. The settlement served mostly as an outpost for fur trade with the native Lenape tribes people but was later replaced by Fort Orange. Both forts were named in honor of the House of Orange-Nassau.^{xxvi}

There were two other earlier French Huguenot settlements, laying claim to North America; one in South Carolina and one above Saint Augustine, Florida, whose inhabitants, except women and children under 15, were slaughtered in 1565 by the Catholic Spanish^{xxvii}. Another Huguenot Dutch Colony in Delaware was allegedly massacred by Native Americans in 1631, and yet again in 1664, where the English captured the Huguenot men, women, and children colonists and sold them into slavery.^{xxviii}

But, as stated, the first successful Dutch Huguenot settlement in the Americas was founded in 1615 up the Hudson River, and would flourish on the Fur Trade^{xxix}, and would, after 5 years of inhabitation, lay valid claim to North America as their land under then settled international law^{xxx}, and found a Republic upon which bestowed not only the values of freedom of conscience and the right to practice their religion but also many other freedoms,

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

including the right to travel freely, without being subject to searches or seizures of their property. These rights would continue to be bedrock rights granted by a future document called the “Constitution for the United States of America”.

But of particular importance was the new Dutch Republic’s institution of the “Stadtholder”: an executive leader, a magistrate, derived from the Latin word “*locum tenēns*” (literally “I hold the place”), who was a ruling placeholder, a stakeholder, for his people in his territory, and it was hereditary. This concept of “executive” power would be borrowed by James Madison in 1789 and incorporated into Article II of the Constitution of the United States of America in order to create the executive office of “President” and “Vice-President” of the United States, separate from any other branch of government, and not hereditary, but rather subject to frequent Electoral College elections by Electors.

Pre-Constitutional America, Part II:

The history of early America is stained with blood. The indigenous peoples were constantly warring on each other. My Dutch Ancestors became allies with the Mohawks and their Iroquois Confederation, who then drove the Mahican tribes from the lucrative Hudson River fur trade to the Connecticut River Valley, where the Mahicans in 1636 would ally themselves with the English and massacre the Pequot Indian Tribe there, selling the 240 Pequot

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

survivors into slavery.^{xxx}

In 1624, my Dutch Ancestors began a 50-year war with the English invaders, termed the Dutch-Anglo Wars^{xxxii}, wherein Dutch Huguenot control of the East Coast of America would be challenged by hordes of English fleeing the English Civil War. These wars would end and culminate in the Treaty of Westminster of 1674, wherein the English would be ceded the Island of Manhattan (New York City) and all lands East of Oyster Bay, New York.

Then, my Dutch Ancestors would chase out the Swedes^{xxxiii} in 1655. My ancestors, now the official Iroquois translators, would reluctantly negotiate the alliance between the ever-increasing English Invaders and our allies, the Iroquois Confederacy, to attempt to drive the Catholic French out during French and Indian Wars of 1754–1763.^{xxxiv}

Then, the ever-increasing English invaders into North America would war upon each other, resulting in the Revolutionary War and culminating in the Paris Treaty of 1783, signed only by France, England, Spain, and the victorious 13 “colonies”. Netherlands and its fledgling new republic here in North America would not sign. Within that Treaty, King George III would cede to the 13 victorious colonies all lands East of the Mississippi River, lands he did not own, lay claim to, or have power over. But it was a good move.

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

In 1781, in the midst of the Revolutionary War, the 13 colonies had agreed to establish a new central government under the Articles of Confederation and Perpetual Union^{xxxv}. The Articles created a confederation of states: Each state retained “its sovereignty, freedom, and independence.” The weak central government consisted of Congress, a single house in which each state had only one vote. No other branch of government existed: no executive branch or judiciary. And the Congress had no power to regulate trade or to levy and collect taxes.

By 1787, debts from the Revolutionary War were piling up, and many states had fallen behind in paying what they owed. States were imposing tariffs on each other and fighting over their borders. King George III was angry because pre-war debts were not being paid, and he was threatening to dishonor the treaty that had ended the war (the Paris Treaty of 1783).

Recognizing that things were not going well, Congress declared, on February 21, 1787, “that there are defects in the present Confederation” and resolved that a convention should be held in Philadelphia “for the sole and express purpose of revising the Articles of Confederation . . . and to render the Federal Constitution adequate to the exigencies of Government and the preservation of the Union.”^{xxxvi}

**CHAPTER FIVE: THE FRAMERS’ INTENT: THE
CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF
AMERICA: THE CONCEPT OF THE EXECUTIVE
BRANCH AND THE “ELECTORAL COLLEGE”
IN ARTICLE II.**

In February 1787, Congress decided that a convention should be convened to revise the Articles of Confederation, this Nation’s first constitution. In May, 55 delegates came to Philadelphia, and the Constitutional Convention began. Debates erupted over-representation in Congress, over slavery, and over the new “executive” branch. The debates continued through four hot and muggy summer months. But eventually, the delegates reached compromises, and on September 17, 1787, they produced the U.S. Constitution, replacing the Articles of Confederation with the U.S. Constitution, a governing document that has functioned more or less effectively for more than 230 years, until November 3, 2020.

It began with the introduction of James Madison’s radical “Virginia Plan” for correcting the Articles of Confederation. Their plan went well beyond amendments and corrections and actually laid out a completely new instrument of government. The plan provided for three separate branches of government: legislative, executive, and judicial. The legislative branch would have two houses, with the first house to be elected by the people of each state

and the second by the first house from a list created by the state legislatures.

Giving Power to the Executive Branch (Article II Birth Pains)

After arriving at a compromise on electing the legislature, the convention addressed the other parts of the Virginia Plan. The plan called for a national executive but did not say how long the executive should serve.

The Founding Fathers, especially James Madison, had studied the Dutch past and used it. The Dutch Republic’s concept of the “Stadtholder” provided for an executive leader, a magistrate, derived from the Latin word “*locum tenēns*” (literally “I hold the place”), and it was a known and established position of Stewardship in the Netherlands and imported to North America in 1619 and incorporated the new Dutch republics here. The executive magistrate of the union was the “stadtholder”.

It was true that a stadtholder could keep the new born nation together, that much was agreed by the Framers.^{xxxvii} Archibald Maclaine of North Carolina and his articles^{xxxviii} on subjects like Provinces-Unies, Etats-Généraux, *stadhouder*, and the like were very laudatory of the concept that the executive magistrate of the union could be the stadtholder.

James Madison assessed that the “stadtholder” was necessary, but the possibility of hereditary office was incompatible

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

with an American republic. His main takeaway from the Dutch example is that the principal actor (Steward) in the Union must have a sense of agency independent from the Union—so the question remained on how to achieve this independent agency and still be Republic?^{xxxix} The second lesson from the Stadtholder example is Madison’s conclusion that there must be a national institution that can make the interests of the entire Union felt within each unit of the Federation. By December of 1787, The Framers had moved away from the Stadtholder example ^{xl}and generally agreed on the need for a separate executive branch independent of the legislature. The executive would be called the “President” and agreed that this executive would have “a general authority to execute the national laws.”

They came to a quick decision that the executive should have the power to veto legislation subject to a two-thirds override in both houses of the legislature. But, they could not easily agree on how the executive should be elected.

Delegates proposed many different methods for electing the “President”. One alternative was direct election by the people, but this drew controversy. Some delegates did not trust the judgment of the common man. Others thought it was simply impractical in a country with many rural communities spread out over a huge area.

Another alternative was to have the “President” chosen, either by the national or state legislatures. Some believed that an

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

executive chosen by the national legislature would be a “mere creature” of the legislature without independent judgment.

The “Electoral College”. What is that? Are student loans available?

Delegates voted more than 60 times before the “Electoral College” method was chosen. The final agreement was to have the “President” elected by Electors^{xli} in each state who would be chosen “in such manner” as its legislature might “direct.” Each Elector would vote for two people (one of whom could not be an inhabitant of the same state.) The person with the most votes would become President. But if no person had a majority of the votes, the House of Representatives would choose the candidate from the top five (with each state’s delegation casting one vote.)^{xlii}

Two more questions about the President also provoked intense debate: How long should the President’s term be? And should limits be placed on the number of terms the President could serve? Underlying this debate was a fear of a monarchy or of a despot, a tyrant like King George III, re-taking over this Federal Republic. The Convention finally decided on a four-year term, with no limit on how many times the President could be re-elected.

Finally, on September 5, the small states except for New Hampshire fell in line, and Georgia defected to the Electoral College bloc, leaving only the Carolinas^{xliii} and John Langdon and Nicholas

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

Gilman from two separate factions in New Hampshire politics.

Langdon’s faction was his own and not particularly inclined toward nationalization; Gilman was more independent friendly to a faction of nationalists.^{xliv} South Carolina’s delegation was largely dominated by John Rutledge, who opposed the Electoral College, although Charles Pinckney also held great sway with matters and, at least in 1800, appeared to have been friendly to the Electoral College.^{xlv} Finally it came to pass, the “Electoral College” was instituted as an integral part of the process of election of a national executive: the “President.”

Our Constitution: ArtII. S1. C4.1 “Timing” of Electoral Votes Generally:

Article II, Section 1, Clause 4:

The Congress may determine the Time of chusing the Electors, and the Day on which they shall give their Votes; which Day shall be the same throughout the United States.

In order to reduce the risk that “Cabals” (political cliques or factions) would manipulate selection of the President, the Framers provided for Congress to select a single day on which the Electors would vote for the President.^{xlvi} Discussing the benefits of this provision at the Constitutional Convention, Gouverneur Morris of Pennsylvania stated: “As the Electors would vote at the same time throughout the U.S. and at so great a distance from each other, the

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

great evil of cabal was avoided. It would be impossible to corrupt them.”^{xlvi} In his “*Commentaries on the Constitution of the United States*,” Justice Joseph Story further explained the reasoning behind this provision, stating:

“Such a measure is calculated to repress political intrigues and speculations, by rendering a combination among the electoral colleges, as to their votes, if not utterly impracticable, at least very difficult; and thus secures the people against those ready expedients, which corruption never fails to employ to accomplish its designs. The arts of ambition are thus in some degree checked, and the independence of the electors against eternal influence in some degree secured.”^{xlvi}

Supporters of the provision also noted that holding the vote on a single day would facilitate the election of the most highly respected and well-known persons, as only such persons would likely be familiar to an untainted pool of electors. For instance, during North Carolina’s debates on ratification, future Supreme Court Justice James Iredell noted that requiring the Electors to vote on the same day would increase the likelihood that “the man who is the object of the choice of thirteen different states, the electors in each voting unconnectedly with the rest, must be a person who possesses in a high degree the confidence and respect of his country.”^{xli}

Hence: Article II, Section 1, Clause 4 of the U.S. Constitution has since its drafting and ratification read:

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

The Congress may determine the Time of chusing the Electors, and the Day on which they shall give their Votes; which Day shall be the same throughout the United States.

**CHAPTER SIX: BUT AT WHAT “TIME” ARE
ELECTORS “CHUSEN”? THE CONGRESS
CHOSE A SINGLE DAY, AND IN 1845 THAT DAY
BECAME “ELECTION DAY”.**

Thus, in the early years of the Federal government, states were responsible for selecting the date for local and national elections. This default procedure was used through 1844 and resulted in a patchwork of elections held at different times. Eventually it became clear in the 1840’s that this procedure was problematic.

Knowledge of voting results in early voting states could affect voter turnout in a close election, later voting states and last-minute voters had the power to sway the outcome of the entire election. The large window to conduct elections across the states also enabled voter fraud. (see “Chapter Nine: Election Fraud? So What?....” below, has nothing changed?)

One method of voter fraud was enabled by the fact that different states held their elections on different days. For example, in 1844 voting occurred in different states from November 1 through December 4. As a result, “floaters” were able to cross state boundaries to vote in another state after voting in their own state. Another name for this practice was “pipe-laying,” because if the floaters were challenged they were instructed to reply that they were

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

in town to lay pipes. “Repeaters” were voters who changed their clothes and otherwise altered their appearance to vote in different precincts. This was possible in a day when voter registration was not required.

Sic: The First Tuesday after the first Monday.

Modern voters justifiably wonder why Election Day is held on a Tuesday. In the 19th Century, when the nation was largely agrarian, a middle of the week Election Day made sense. When the Presidential Election Day Act was adopted in 1845, large percentages of the electorate were farmers who often had to travel long distances to vote. Weekends were impractical when many voters spent Sundays in church. It was also common for farmers to sell their crop at market from Wednesday through Friday.

Accordingly, Tuesday was the most convenient day of the week to hold elections. Similar reasons dictated the choice of November. Holding elections in the spring or early summer would interfere with the planting season. Holding elections in the late summer or early fall would interfere with the harvest. November was a sweet spot since the harvest would be complete, prior to the arrival of the coldest winter weather when travel would be more difficult.

Holding elections on the Tuesday “after the first Monday” was intended to prevent elections from falling on November 1, the

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

Catholic holiday of All Saints Day. Moreover, November 1 was avoided as many merchants used the first day of the month for bookkeeping purposes and to settle accounts. Thus The Presidential Election Day Act of 1845 was passed by Congress and signed into “Law”, codified into law as 3 U.S. Code § 1. This explains why Election Day can fall between November 2 to November 8 on different years.¹

Subsequent election laws and amendments.

The Presidential Election Day Act of 1845 only applied to Article II Presidential elections. In 1872, Congress extended the law to apply to elections for members of the House of Representatives. Coverage was extended to Senate elections by the 17th Amendment, which provided for the direct election of Senators in 1914.

So it came to pass, a “patch” on the U.S. Constitution’s definition of “time” was necessary, and on January 23, 1845, the 28th U.S. Congress passed "An act to establish a uniform “time” for holding elections for electors of President and Vice President in all the States of the Union." The act selected "the Tuesday after the first Monday in November" as the day on which all states *must* appoint electors. (Presidential Election Day Act ch. 1, 5 Stat. 721)^{li}.

And thus, under the definition of “time” embedded in Article II [PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT] of the US Constitution, this was the “time” provided for the election of

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

“ELECTORS” (who would elect the next President) on “ELECTION DAY”, presumably under the existing laws of Physics and Time-Keeping, a 24-hour period around which the Earth rotates as it circles the Sun.

Therefore, as a matter of Constitutional law, all Electors who would later elect the next President (and Vice President) had to be appointed on Midnight of “ELECTION DAY”, November 3, 2020. This was codified into law as 3 U.S. Code § 1.^{lii}

**CHAPTER SEVEN: SO THE FRAMERS, AND
THEREAFTER CONGRESS, CHOSE A SINGLE
DAY: “ELECTION DAY”, IN ORDER TO STAVE
OFF “CABALS” (POLITICAL CLIQUES OR
FACTIONS) AND “ELECTION FRAUD”. NICE
TRY BUT NO GO!**

Fast Forward to today. Little did the Framers of the U.S. Constitution envision the remarkable technological innovations, the telegraph, telephone, the TV, the internet, that would supersede their feather quill pens and handwritten messages and mail delivered on horseback. Unforeseen by Framers, three New Cabals would emerge from these technological innovations to threaten to destroy the freedoms the Framers’ so labored to preserve through the U.S. Constitution, and its subsequent derivative laws.

1. The First Hydra Head

The First member of the three headed Hydra would emerge as the “LEFT WING MEDIA” or “FAKE NEWS”. They would use the television and over-air broadcasting technologies and the legal loop holes of Cable-wiring to disseminate “propaganda”, using as their models Joseph Goebbels, Hitler's Minister for “Public Enlightenment and propaganda”, who brilliantly created an atmosphere of fear and hatred to help execute the Nazi’s murderous

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

agenda^{liii}. Second only to Joseph Stalin, whose Propaganda in the Soviet Union was the practice of state-directed communication aimed at promoting class conflict, internationalism, the goals of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, and the party itself. The main Soviet censorship body, Glavlit, was employed "to ensure that the correct ideological spin was put on every published item."^{liv} Under “Stalinism”, deviation from the dictates of official propaganda was punished by execution and Gulag labor camps.

Using the aforementioned as their guide in order to misinform or fail to inform (e.g. the Hunter Biden Laptop Scandal)^{lv}, the “FAKE NEWS” sought to instill “group think”, “political properness”, and left wing ideals, as they sought to manipulate elections to their political desires and ideals.

Doubters were excommunicated or silenced, as the Left wing media drove a brutal nail into the coffin of Thomas Jefferson’s dream of eternal “free speech”, enshrined in the First Amendment, while “FAKE NEWS” shamelessly pontificated:

“Thomas Jefferson warned that an informed citizenry would be crucial to the survival of democracy... words matter and the media bear a special responsibility to get them right. When they don't, democracy itself can become the ultimate victim.”

Old Thomas Jefferson was “turning in his grave”.

2. The Second Hydra Head

The Second hydra head of the modern Cabal was a group of left wing Oligarchs, known collectively as the CYBEROCRACY,^{lvi} consisting of owners and managers of social media platforms like Twitter, Facebook, Instagram and the shadowy algorithms of Google, to censor free speech as they saw fit in their liberal view of the world. They would hide behind their status of a “private” company to circumvent the First Amendment, and any news or information they did not agree with was labeled “misinformation” or “Fake News”: the antithesis of their sister LEFT WING MEDIA’s propaganda. For whoever questioned their sister Hydra’s propaganda as “Fake News” were themselves guilty of disseminating Misinformation or “Fake News”. A “catch-22”, a paradox from which there is no escape, because of mutually conflicting and contradictory conditions.

And in their incredible arrogance and treachery, they would de-platform and silence all those they disagreed with, including the sitting President of the U.S., some of whose 83-88 million Twitter followers were of foreign diplomatic origin and listened to messages sent by that President. Thus it could be argued that the Cyberocrats brazenly committed Treason, by virtue of their dangerous intervention in cutting lines of communication in foreign policy matters, for starters.^{lvii}

3. The Third Hydra Head

The Third hydra head was something called “the Deep State”, a shadowy body of people, typically thought to be influential members of government agencies or the military, believed to be involved in the secret manipulation or control of government policy, threatening to thwart the will of the people and undercut the constitutional authority of the President they elected to lead them.^{lviii} Thus the Deep State leveraged to their sinister purposes FISA warrants^{lix}, the “Russian Hoax” (Crossfire Hurricane, the Mueller Investigation, etc.)^{lx}, and the beloved USA PATRIOT ACT, a “wish list” of law enforcement officials in sweeping changes to search and surveillance laws, denied because of civil liberties concerns, until the September 11th, 2001, Terrorist Attacks.

The USA PATRIOT ACT was sold in 2001 to the fearful Congress and the panicked public, sidestepping due process, as a necessary anti-terrorism measure. Originally issued with a “sunset provisions”, many of the Act's provisions were to “sunset” in 2005. Thereafter, it was renewed in different forms through 2019. It was scheduled to sunset again in December, 2019, but did not, and was born again in 2021^{lxi}. It is now no longer needed to fight Al Qaeda terrorism, but rather just concerned parents, including mothers who oppose the local PTA, or to secretly surveil U.S. Citizens like Robert Kraft, owner of the NFL team, ironically named the New England

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

“Patriots”, who just happened to visit a day spa in Jupiter, Florida for a “happy ending” before jetting off to his NFL playoffs for a happy ending.^{lxii}

This three headed Hydra would grow and grow and thrive as the Framers’ dreams of flourishing freedoms dearly bought and enshrined in the U.S. Constitution for posterity would erode and erode and shrivel into near oblivion.

Witness the November 3, 2020 Presidential Election screw-up as Exhibit “A”, as the duly Constitutionally elected President became unduly unelected while the three headed Hydra pressed its misdirection moves, drowning out a very simple “Cinderella Rule” legal conclusion with massive barrages of endless noise about “election deniers”, “election liars”, and finally the distraction of the January 6 unarmed but uninvited “insurrection” tour of Capitol Hill, a property held in trust for the use and enjoyment of the American public^{lxiii}, but politically far more serious than the last bloody insurrection in the U.S., John Brown’s Harpers Ferry Raid in 1859.^{lxiv}

So who was left to save us and our shredded Constitution? But of course, our hallowed Supreme Court, the last bastion of defense! You Think?

CHAPTER EIGHT: SUPREME COURT ASSOCIATE JUSTICE, NEIL GORSUCH, SMELLS A RAT. BUT HE MISSES HIS KILL SHOT.

Our U.S. Supreme Court had the perfect chance, a lay-up shot, to delineate the distinction between an election of Electors to elect a President under Article II of the Constitution, which *must* be done by midnight of “Election Day” and other Article I Congressional elections and state and municipal elections, such as that for the Town Dog Catcher.

This was presented to them in early October of 2020 in a case: *DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE, et al. v. WISCONSIN STATE LEGISLATURE, et al., on application to vacate stay [October 26, 2020] Application (20A66)*. The Issue was whether the Supreme Court should stay, pending appeal, a decision by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 7th Circuit suspending a lower Court’s six-day extension of Wisconsin’s absentee ballot receipt deadline (i.e. “Election Day”, November 3, 2020) in light of the corona virus pandemic.

Justice Gorsuch, with whom Justice Kavanaugh joined in concurring in denial of the application to vacate the stay, grasped the essence of an election “deadline” and the unlawful extension of such deadline:

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

“Elections must end sometime, a single deadline supplies clear notice, and requiring ballots be in by election day puts all voters on the same footing. “Common sense, as well as constitutional law, compels the conclusion that government must play an active role in structuring elections,” and States have always required voters “to act in a timely fashion if they wish to express their views in the voting booth.” *Burdick v. Takushi*, 504 U. S. 428, 433, 438 (1992).

Why did the district court seek to scuttle such a long-settled tradition in this area? COVID. Because of the current pandemic, the court suggested, it was free to substitute its own election deadline for the State’s.”The judge in this case tacked 6 days onto the State’s election deadline, but what about 3 or 7 or 10, and what’s to stop different judges choosing (as they surely would) different deadlines in different jurisdictions?.....

Nothing in our founding document contemplates the kind of judicial intervention that took place here, nor is there precedent for it in 230 years of this Court’s decisions.”

But the football was, in essence, fumbled on the one-yard line. Perhaps we, as a Nation, even a Nation overflowing with a surplus of Lawyers, had become used to the Australian balloting system, incorporated here in the mid-1850s, and putting all candidates’ names on one ballot ticket^{lxv}.

But a Presidential Election should perhaps have two ballots, the first to be counted and Electors elected by midnight of “Election Day”, immutably enshrined in Article II of the Constitution and codified by law (3 U.S. Code § 1), while the “down ballot” could be

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

subject to nuances of state legislatures, courts or even Covid Viruses. But again, the Court’s narrative was confined to just “deadlines” for “counting ballots”, missing the opportunity to unconditionally clarify “counting ballots for the Electors under Article II of the Constitution to be elected on “Election Day.”

But to his credit, Justice Gorsuch smelled a Rat. He felt compelled to write a separate opinion (with whom Justice Kavanaugh joined), in denying the Stay. And that Rat was “Election Fraud”, the “blue shift” (or continued counting of Ballots always in favor of Democrats). In his opinion, his third erudite explanation for holding Wisconsin to its election deadline was:

“*Third*, the District Court did not sufficiently appreciate the significance of election deadlines. This Court has long recognized that a State’s reasonable deadlines for registering to vote, requesting absentee ballots, submitting absentee ballots, and voting in person generally raise no federal constitutional issues under the traditional *Anderson-Burdick* balancing test. See *Anderson v. Celebrezze*, 460 U. S. 780 (1983); *Burdick v. Takushi*, 504 U. S. 428 (1992).

To state the obvious, a State cannot conduct an election without deadlines. It follows that the right to vote is not substantially burdened by a requirement that voters “act in a timely fashion if they wish to express their views in the voting booth.” *Burdick*, 504 U. S., at 438. For the same reason, the right to vote is not substantially burdened by a requirement that voters act in a timely fashion if they wish to cast an *absentee ballot*. Either way, voters need to vote on time. A deadline is not unconstitutional merely because of voters’ “own failure to take timely steps” to ensure their

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

franchise. *Rosario v. Rockefeller*, 410 U. S. 752, 758 (1973). Voters who, for example, show up to vote at midnight after the polls close on election night do not have a right to demand that the State nonetheless count their votes. Voters who submit their absentee ballots after the State’s deadline similarly do not have a right to demand that the State count their votes.

For important reasons, most States, including Wisconsin, require absentee ballots to be *received* by Election Day, not just *mailed* by Election Day. ***Those States want to avoid the chaos and suspicions of impropriety that can ensue if thousands of absentee ballots flow in after election day and potentially flip the results of an election.*** (emphasis added). And those States also want to be able to definitively announce the results of the election on election night, or as soon as possible thereafter. Moreover, particularly in a Presidential election, counting all the votes quickly can help the State promptly resolve any disputes, address any need for recounts, and begin the process of canvassing and certifying the election results in an expeditious manner. See 3 U. S. C. §5. The States are aware of the risks described by Professor Pildes: ***“[L]ate-arriving ballots open up one of the greatest risks of what might, in our era of hyperpolarized political parties and existential politics, destabilize the election result. If the apparent winner the morning after the election ends up losing due to late-arriving ballots, charges of a rigged election could explode.”*** (emphasis added). Pildes, How to Accommodate a Massive Surge in Absentee Voting, U. Chi. L. Rev. Online (June 26, 2020) (online source archived at www.supremecourt.gov). The “longer after Election Day any significant changes in vote totals take place, the greater the risk that the losing side will cry that the election has been stolen.” *Ibid.*”

So with eerie prophetic foresight, Judge Gorsuch brought forth the specter of possible Election Fraud, enhanced by extensions

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

of deadlines and continuous “ballot counting.”

Now the entire thesis of this booklet is that any election fraud, any cheating in the Presidential Election after midnight of November 3, 2020 is irrelevant as a matter of Law. Thus, as argued herein, Donald Trump was the constitutionally duly elected President of the United States as of midnight, Nov. 3, 2020, because he, at that time, had winning ballots in his column for at least 297-303 States of Electors to cast their Electoral Votes in his favor when only 270 Electoral Votes were needed to win. Moreover, if you take a page out of the aforementioned Hayes –Tilden crisis of 1876, and deny recognition to electoral votes of States in dispute, you count only those states which complied with the Law. With 18 of the States that had by midnight finished counting their votes and elected the appropriate slate of Electors, complying in full with the Article II “Cinderella Rule”; the Electoral Vote Count was Trump total: 132 Electoral Votes, Biden total: 28 Electoral Votes with only 81 needed to win. Any way you cut the cake, Trump did, in fact, legally win the Presidential Election in 2020.

But since Justice Gorsuch opened the door to the specter of election fraud cheating, perhaps we should take a brief Look-See at this specter of cheating. It is not “New News”.

CHAPTER NINE: ELECTION FRAUD? SO WHAT? CHEATING AT ITS FINEST-A NATIONAL PASTIME: IF THEY STOLE IT, THEY STOLE IT FAIR AND SQUARE.

The brutal Soviet Dictator, Joseph Stalin, famously said, “The people who cast the votes don't decide an election, the people who count the votes do.”

But we clever Americans did not need this evil Communist to enlighten us, we have been innovating methods to cheat on elections, as the cover illustration from the “Stuffer’s Ballot-Box” from 1856 demonstrates.

And Donald Trump’s lawyers made a big mistake alleging fraud and arguing facts instead of arguing the law. The “Law” and the U.S. Constitution were very clear: Trump, at midnight of “Election Day, when Electors are to be appointed, had a clear massive victory.

Open AI’s Chat GPT shows, by use of Artificial Intelligence, the electoral map at midnight, November 3, 2020, Trump had at least 297-303 Electoral votes, with only 270 needed to win, a clear landslide victory, one that would be expected of a candidate who had nearly 88 million Twitter followers versus the 11 million of his opponent.

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

But in America, election cheating can be considered a national pastime. There is no threat of Capital Punishment (or any punishment), and great rewards are to be had, especially if Wall Street, Silicon Valley, and Soros’ tax-advantaged Open Society Foundations are throwing vast amounts of money at you.

Americans are also very innovative when it comes to Ballot box stuffing. But printing and transporting extra ballots has become expensive, and paid-for Ballot harvesting has become passé. In the new internet era, we have moved onto more modern ways to cheat, to “stuff the ballot box”, from cyber warfare by friends or enemies; to code and algorithm hacking; to bar code manipulation; and to electronic and manual cheating, amidst hundreds of millions of agenda-driven dollars floating around looking for a home. And we have the FAKE MEDIA and Social Media in the fray, so let us take a Look-See at all these factors.

1. Fake Media:

We have had Fake media calls, especially in Florida, to reliably miss-call the election for the Democratic candidate around 3:00 p.m. EST in order to discourage voters from going to the polls. (All references are taken from “White Poster Prince: Tales of Brave Ulysses” by Captain Rick: ISBN 978-1-7359557-0-4, ISBN: 978-1-7359557-1-1, ISBN: 978-1-7359557-2-8.” Chapter 21.1: Bush-Gore 2000 and the media fake out. Chapter 26.1: Kerry v. Bush-

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

Déjà Vue all over again. (“The Media Dogs Bite Again”). Chapter 27.2: Oh! Hooray. Time to elect a new President amidst Financial Armageddon. Great! Chapter 29.1: The Florida Trump Wars: Gather your weapons; it’s going to be bloody.”) sic:

“Chapter 21.1: Bush-Gore 2000 and the media fake out.

Rob Nottingham came running up to me around 3:00 p.m. and screamed the media had just called Florida for Gore.

They, the media, were trying to screw George Bush Jr. by discouraging voters in other time zones from voting, for if Gore won Florida, he would be the next President. Case closed. “Grab your Palm Pilot phone,” Rob told me, “We have got to get down to Republican HQ on Australian Avenue in West Palm Beach immediately.”...We quickly discerned that many voters in the Panhandle did not go to the polls to vote, thinking it was fruitless because of the fake media call. We had to convince them that the fake media had lied, sabotaging George Bush, Jr., and their vote would mean something of great importance.

The RNC Volunteers returned and dialed away on the phone banks. Rob and I were soon using our Palm Pilots now at 10 cents a call. The efforts by the RNC in West Palm Beach and elsewhere brought out the last minute vote in the predominantly 300,000 strong Republican Panhandle, which polls closed one hour later because of the dual time zone. These brave loyal people promised to and went

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

out to vote even though it was raining at the time, stood in line for up to an hour in the rain to cast their votes, giving the Republicans that surplus of 537 votes to win all the Florida Electoral Votes (and thus the Presidency). The rest is forgotten history. (The “Hanging Chad Dispute”).

Chapter 26.1: Kerry v. Bush- Déjà Vue all over again. (“The Media Dogs Bite Again”). Oh Joy! It was Presidential election time again.... We had warned the Republican National Committee of the standard fake media “call” during the Florida election at 3:00 p.m. in favor of the Democrat. The RNC had bought into this and they were ready....The flawed (or biased) media exit polling that took place during election day, 2000, to discourage potential Republican Voters could not be accurately tracked in 2000. ...However, by Presidential Election Day, 2004, the financial markets had invented an entire series of traded derivatives that allowed a liquid “prediction market” for tracking the election outcome during the election day, based on real-time information delivered by media sources. The electronic trading of equity indexes and other futures showed an almost identical pattern to Election Day, 2000.

As predicted by Rob Nottingham, in 2004 flawed, but widely believed, exit polling was released by the FAKE NEWS around 3:00 p.m. Eastern Time and, of course, predicted a Kerry win and a Bush defeat. The price of a security paying \$10 if Bush was reelected fell

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION


from \$5.5 to \$3. As votes were counted that evening, the same security rallied and reached \$9.50.

Erik Snowberg of Stanford and Justin Wolfers of Wharton decided to analyze movements in economic indicators caused by clearly exogenous changes in expectations about the likely winner during Election Day, based on information fed by “flawed, but widely believed” biased Media: “We employ an alternative identification strategy that exploits two recent financial market developments: 1) the electronic trading of equity index and other futures while votes are being counted on election night, and 2) for the 2004 election, the emergence of a liquid prediction market tracking the election outcome; and 3) Our analysis also benefits from natural experiments created by *flawed, but widely believed, exit polling*. In 2004, exit polls released around 3pm Eastern time predicted a Bush defeat, and the price of a security paying \$10 if he was reelected fell from \$5.5 to \$3. As votes were counted that evening, the same security rallied and reached \$9.5 by midnight. Similar events occurred in 2000, although without a prediction market precisely tracking changes in beliefs. The probability of Bush winning the election starts near 55 percent. When the exit poll data was leaked, the markets quickly incorporated this information, sending Bush’s probability of election to 30 percent and stocks down about one percent. When it became clear that the earlier exit poll data was

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

faulty, Bush’s chances rose to 95 percent and stocks rebounded.”^{lxvi}

The rest is history. Bush won by 52%. The RNC had listened about the fake media call in favor of the democratic candidate, around 3:00 p.m. and is confirmed by the chart below.



Chapter 27.2: Oh! Hooray. Time to elect a new President amidst Financial Armageddon. Great!.. McCain had a very comfortable lead in the Florida polls through September until the financial crisis took its toll. At approximately the exact same time that Lehman Brothers went down, Obama overtook McCain in the polls. ... The collapse of investment banking firm Lehman Brothers changed the media’s campaign coverage, and perhaps the

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

outcome, of the presidential race. The Pew Research Center data analyzing the tone and focus of media coverage through the final stretch of that election showed how that coverage shifted dramatically in mid-September 2008 to focus on the financial crisis, and 75% of the media narrative grew increasingly critical of Republican candidate John McCain. During this same period, Pew’s public opinion survey data indicated that what had essentially been a deadlocked contest between McCain and Obama before the Lehman Brothers meltdown turned into a solid lead for Obama in the following weeks. After September 25, the media coverage was only 7% positive for McCain. The left-wing media had finally pulled off its coup d’état in Florida.....

4 years later, Romney would come back in the picture. But he would fumble the ball on the one-yard line because his ground game, his back alley war, did not exist. The Republican ground game was an unmitigated disaster. The RNC West Palm Beach office had volunteer phone banks in which Romney’s high-tech “super” phones were programmed with unvetted software that determined who and where to call....when...asked the RNC head for the phones to be programmed to call the Panhandle for the last hour or so, ...there was no way to do this given the programming of these phones. No area code flexibility. It was a debacle.....There were many rumors of additional failures by the Romney Ground Game: (1) New automatic voter machines in New York, North Carolina,

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

Colorado, Ohio and Missouri and elsewhere were reported to have defaulted to Obama, rather than to “no vote”, when a mistake was made. Only those machines that were “flagged” by a few observant Republican voters were then “recalibrated” by the officials. No one checked the software in advance; (2) Inner city precincts in Philadelphia and elsewhere went 100% for Obama. One was rumored to have gone 107% for Obama. Where were the observers? Where was Romney’s back alley ground game? Romney had outsmarted himself. **Chapter 29.1: The Florida Trump Wars: Gather your weapons; it’s going to be bloody.**” (In 2016, I) went to...RNC headquarters, several days before the election....explained the previous FAKE NEWS fake media calls for the Democrat in past 4 elections around 3:00 p.m. EST. and they should be prepared for the same this time. They were smart..... and took it seriously. On election day, I went down to the RNC, and at 3:00 p.m., they turned the phone banks to the Panhandle as the predictably biased CNN released the flawed and deceptive exit polling, calling the Florida election for Hillary (Clinton) at 3:29 p.m., right on schedule. So I hung around for a while, had a slice of pizza, and felt relatively useless as they had the RNC ground team efficiently at work. So, I went home to watch the results. And I thought of my friend Rob Nottingham and our “flipping” role in the 2000 Bush-Gore melee. He had passed away due to skin cancer, but he would have been proud.”

2. Ballot Box Stuffing Machines

Frank Leslie’s Illustrated Newspaper of July 19, 1856 shows “The Stuffer’s Ballot Box” and how it worked:

“We give three views of the “Stuffer’s Ballot Box” which will give the reader a clear idea of the *modus operandi* of conducting the election in San Francisco and probably in some of our northern cities. The drawings were made from the box now in possession of the Vigilance Committee. It was from this that Yankee Sullivan made out the election returns that accrued (to) Casey his Office of Supervisor. The box is about two feet long and fourteen inches wide, and a foot deep, and painted on the outside a dark sky-blue color. It has molding or cleats around the bottom and at the top next (to) the lid. The lock, which looked like an ordinary one, is so constructed that though it is worked with a key, it might also be opened by a peculiar pressure on one side of the lid.

There was an augur hole in the middle of the lid, and some of that wax with which it had been sealed at the closing of the polls which last used, was still remaining. It seems that the box was used last at a primary election in the Seventh ward, and the votes were still in it. On looking at the ballot box, few would suspect the contrivances about it, but on further and minute examination, it was found that it had a false bottom and a false side, sliding in grooves under and behind which were packed quantities of spurious votes all ready for an election.

The mode of working the machine seems to have been this: A sufficient number of votes which the initiated wished to elect were prepared and secreted under and behind the false bottom and side. The election was held: Smith was the man to be elected, but Brown was the man of the people’s choice. The polls were then closed, and the box sealed and placed in the hands of someone in the secret. The stuffer then drew out

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

the false bottom at his convenience, turned the box upside down, shoved the bottom back and Smith had a majority of votes: or suppose Brown had still a majority, the false side was pulled down, and another reservoir of votes for Smith was opened. Smith now had a triumphant majority, though the seal had not been touched: or if nothing else would do, a handful of votes for Smith might be easily thrown in, and in each case the lid would probably be opened, and polled votes corresponding with the number of the stuffed ones be withdrawn. One thing was certain: Smith would be elected.”

Thank heavens things have changed, humans are more honest today than those ballot box stuffers of yore! Or are they?

3. Vote Early, Vote Often (and then again in another State)

Bostonians will tell you the phrase “Vote early, Vote Often” comes from the campaign song for their notoriously corrupt Mayor James Michael Curley, which begins: "Vote early and often for Curley." Between 1914 and 1949, Curley served four terms as mayor, twice in Congress and once as governor of Massachusetts. His final stint as mayor came in the late nineteen forties, and he served five months of it in jail on federal corruption charges.

Again, according to Julian Zelizer, Professor of History and Public Affairs at Princeton University^{lxvii}, there are many beyond Curley. The kind of one person who often comes up was Big Bill Thompson. This could make a case for Chicago. Big Bill was William Hale Thompson, who served as mayor twice between 1915

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

and 1931. It's alleged that "vote early and often" credit-taker Al Capone helped return enough votes to get Thompson reelected. But Thompson doesn't seem to have originated the phrase either. Zelizer teaches the history of machine politics, where New York City and State play a starring role. Zelizer says he heard the phrase a lot when living in Albany, where one of the country's hardest machines flourished for more than a century. Zelizer: “And it was a smaller machine than its counterpart in Tammany Hall but used all the same tactics and had amazing longevity, using tax assessments to make sure people voted the right way, allegations of voter fraud, again going into the 1970s and 80s and, you know, being right in the seat of New York State politics”.

In his Political Dictionary, columnist William Safire attributes "vote early and often" to a New Yorker: attorney John Van Buren, second son of the 8th U.S. President Martin Van Buren. He supposedly said it sometime in the 1840s, according to a Victorian book called "The Bench and the Bar: a Complete Digest of the Wit, Humor, Asperities and Amenities of the Law." lxviii

But the phrase seems to have been common by 1858 when it pops up in the New York Times and the Chicago Press and Tribune. Four years earlier, it had a more sinister application. And Julian Zelizer says “It may have come to this country with the ancestors of politicians and voters alike: that is, by boat.” Zelizer: “I even once heard it came from politics in Ireland, dating back-you know, pretty

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

far back. Now I have no idea if that's true or not, but that's another rumor I've heard. Northwestern University's Bill Savage has heard it too. He teaches Chicago literature and says the fictional Southwest Side Irish bartender Mr. Dooley, created by 19th-century writer Finley Peter Dunne, makes frequent reference to the concept of voting early and often, as do other writers”.

Vote Again in another State? We discussed the “floaters” and “pipe layers” prior to 1845 above. But now there are rumors, no doubt spread by conspiracy theorists, that voters are bused from Boston to New Hampshire and from Washington, D.C., to Virginia to vote yet again in another state. There is some precedent: In 1854, according to Civil War historians, a group of pro-slavery settlers from Missouri, called Border Ruffians, crossed into Kansas territory on election day "to vote early and often" on behalf of Kansas for slavery.^{lxix} It worked. So it's possible that voting early and often and yet again in another state was a frontier technique as well as an urban one. God bless American ingenuity!

4. Code And Algorithm Hacking To Bar Code Manipulation (Image Reading).

According to Kevin Skoglund, the President and Chief Technologist of Citizens for Better Elections,^{lxx} using ballot barcodes to transfer vote selections from a Ballot Marking Device (“BMD”) to a tabulator is not allowed under current

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

VVSG 2.0 principles.^{lxxi}

“* Barcodes are not transparent (Principle 3). They are an opaque, secret message being passed between the BMD and the tabulator. They are not a process or transaction which is "readily available for inspection" (3.2) and the public cannot "understand and verify the operations" (3.3).

* Most current barcodes are in a proprietary format. That means they are not exporting data (from the BMD) and importing data (to the tabulator) "in an interoperable format" (4.1) or in a "standard, publicly-available" format (4.2).

* Voters cannot read barcodes, so they cannot "understand all information as it is presented" (7.3).

* Barcodes do not "provide individual voters the opportunity to verify that the voting system correctly interpreted their ballot selections" (9.1-B.1), and the voter does not "have the opportunity to identify ballot errors before it is cast" (9.1-F.4). Voters can verify the human-readable version, but that is not the data being cast. Any malfunction or manipulation in the barcode data would not be detectable by the voter. It most likely would not be detectable without using proprietary hardware.

* There is a danger that barcodes would be used during recounts and audits. It is easier, faster, and cheaper to scan ballots again than to do a hand count or a proper audit. (Recently, a vendor demonstrated

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

to me how to use a central-count tabulator to recount precinct-count ballots with barcodes.) Even in a ballot-compare audit, the voting system could be used to read the barcode, and systems with proprietary or encrypted barcodes would require it. The voting system should be software-independent and audits should never trust any part of the device being audited (9.1-A).

* Barcodes could contain data besides ballot selections. They should be tested to ensure they do not "contain data or metadata associated with the CVR and ballot image files which can be used to determine the order in which votes are cast" (10.2-D).

* Similar to my previous argument about different-sized ballots, bar-coded ballots look different from ballots marked by hand. The barcode is an "election artifact that can be used to associate the voter's identity with the voter's intent, choices, or selections" (10.2).

* Barcodes add to the attack surface of a system by adding additional code and data paths, instead of limiting them (14.2). They create new opportunities for hacking the output of the barcode via the BMD or the scanning of the barcode via the tabulator. It seems likely that third-party libraries are imported into the code for both. In a worst-case scenario, some barcodes (e.g., PDF417) can encode over 1.1 kilobytes of data which is enough for a small malware program or other instructions to the tabulator.” Skoglund goes on to say: “We should also ask: why do we need barcodes at all? They solve a problem that does not exist in voting systems. A ballot marking

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

device can easily print marks to fill in circles on a paper ballot. (They could be even randomly picked from a library of mark styles or be "fuzzed" to make them appear hand-marked.) Every system vendor with a digital scanner can accurately read less-precise marks on hand-marked ballots. Reading a machine-marked ballot is easy by comparison. I believe some vendors have systems which currently do this..... However, I think the principle-first design of VVSG 2.0 indicates that barcodes should not be used for ballot selections anymore.^{lxxii} (Is he implying that two whiz-geek kids with a box of pizza can hack the system with three sentences of code and change the result?)

5. Cyber warfare: Government Warning-Dominion et alia.

In the autumn of 2020, a NEDC- NBC^{lxxiii} investigation reported that nationwide there were 14,000 modems installed in voting tabulation systems in a large number of counties across the nation and that some of the voting technology deployed by voting machine vendor ES&S contained components manufactured in China- the Chinese state is known to have demanded that Chinese factories imbed spyware chips in components shipped to US companies.

“Computer security researcher Kevin Skoglund reported that three key swing states which the conservative and progressive

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

groups have targeted- Florida, Michigan, and Wisconsin, all have some voting systems that are still connected to the Internet^{lxxiv}, which poses an election security risk. Other states have disconnected the systems, but might reconnect them on election night to report early results. Coalition letters have also been sent to officials in Georgia, Arizona, Tennessee, Maryland, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, and Ohio.”^{lxxv}

6. More Cyber Security Woes.

“How not to” guides on the internet hacking of vote counting machines such as the one issued by the US Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency in June, 2022. “Vulnerabilities Affecting Dominion Voting Systems ImageCast X”^{lxxvi} unfortunately states, “ The tested version of ImageCast X allows for rebooting into Android Safe Mode, which allows an attacker to directly access the operating system. An attacker could leverage this vulnerability to escalate privileges on a device and/or install malicious code.”

By reverse engineering the warnings, a wannabe hacker now has an “Official” guidebook on “how to”. Go figure?

One of the proposed solutions, of course, was: “Ensure that ImageCast X and the Election Management System (EMS) are not connected to any external (i.e., Internet accessible) networks”. But as stated above, “Computer security researcher Kevin Skoglund

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

reported that three key swing states.... Florida, Michigan, and Wisconsin, all had some voting systems that are still connected to the Internet, which poses an election security risk..... Other states have disconnected the systems, but might reconnect them on election night to report early results.”

Mike Lindell, albeit an avid Trump supporter, did a deep drill down on tracing internet hacking in 2020, and on how the stealthy Chinese-based IP addresses may have “flipped” at least over 500,000 votes in 50 different precincts from Trump to Biden, a net of plus one million votes to Biden.

This he posted it on YouTube^{lxxvii} and was immediately beset upon and de-platformed^{lxxviii} and even the FBI seized his cell phone 2 years later. But you can still find it on:

<https://rumble.com/vfvgb7-mike-lindell-presents-absolute-interference-the-sequel-to-absolute-proof-20.html> (live link is also posted in footnotes).

Now, regardless of what you think of his pillows or showmanship, there is little doubt that the Chinese did not like Trump. Trump had increased Tariffs, insisted on keeping the Bagram Air Base in Afghanistan, abutting Chinese territory, complained about their currency manipulation, their shenanigans in the South China Sea to aggrandize more international waters, and was highly suspicious of the “Wuhan” virus. China did not like

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

Trump.

So let us use the Socratic method^{lxxix} to ask one simple question, if just one single vote for the Commander in Chief of the United States Military was “flipped” by an enemy foreign power such a China, does not that imply that “Election Fraud” by a US citizen, even buying a vote with a pack of cigarettes, is also aiding and abetting (giving comfort to) to enemies foreign: i.e. High Treason? What consequences are there now? Answer: None. What consequences should there be? The gallows? Death? Deterrents of overwhelming force and consequence?

The Author believes this Debate needs immediate reckoning, for in the modern technological era, we cannot afford to have a foreign enemy choosing our Foreign Policy makers. Not very hard to understand if you really care about this Nation.

**CHAPTER TEN: THE ARGUMENT FOR
CAPITAL PUNISHMENT. IS ELECTION FRAUD
A CAPITAL OFFENSE? ISN'T BUYING VOTES A
DIRECT CRIME AGAINST THIS DEMOCRATIC
REPUBLIC, TREASON OR SEDITION, GIVING
AID AND COMFORT TO OUR ENEMIES?**

So now we are on the Socratic slippery slope, a double-edged sword from which no one is immune. With regard to an Article II election for the Commander in Chief of the United States Military, is not Cyberocrat or Media Censorship or Deep State misdirection moves and Silicon Valley de-platforming, or cutting off speech between heads of state: Acts of Treason, Damaging to Our Nation and of “Comfort” to our Enemies?

The next question on this slippery slope: Is not Wall Street Hedge Fund Money or Silicon Valley Money or alleged not for profit organization money going to buy Elections for District Attorneys an attack on our Democracy? On the Rule of Law? Can it only be stopped or deterred by the Threat of Capital Punishment?

With the Gallows and or the hanging tree in sight at the bottom of this slippery slope, how far away is totalitarianism and the dissolution of freedoms dearly bought? Is it one sloppy Presidential Election away again in 2024, one more Supreme Court misfire, one

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

more high-tech hacker, one more Silicon Valley dollar, one more pack of cigarettes away?

You do not have to study Pavlov^{lxxx}, negative reinforcement of bad behavior requires punishment and pain, or you just reinforce the bad behavior, just encourage it.

Then there goes your U.S. Constitution, your freedoms, the Framers’ dreams, and my Ancestors’ blood sweat and tears since 1619 to make and keep this a free Nation, a Beacon of Light and Hope. Look for it now only in history books? A Civilization “Gone with the Wind”. What are we to do?

**CHAPTER ELEVEN: THE AUTHOR’S
PERSONAL EPILOGUE: THE WAR AGAINST
ALINSKY ENTERS ITS FIFTH DECADE."A TIME
FOR CHOOSING."**

I AM IN DEEP TROUBLE. My Ancestors fled religious persecution and monarchical totalitarianism, and fought 14 Generations to make and keep America the greatest experiment in freedom in the entire history of mankind. This experiment is on the brink of failure in 2024 but, unlike my ancestors, I have nowhere to flee to. Ronald Reagan famously said in his "A Time for Choosing" speech:

**“If you lose freedom here, there is no place to escape to.
This is the last stand on Earth”**

But Biden just dropped the borders of this great Nation my Ancestors fought for, ignoring Title 8 (8 U.S.C. § 1192) of our law and invited everyone in the World to dinner not at his White House but at your table, paid with your tax dollars. And he printed dollars to give to them to bid against you, like in an auction, for a Can of Soup, and drive its price higher, for increasing demand for a Can of Soup increases its price, one you would now have to pay.

They would call it “inflation”, but simple macroeconomics says if you increase demand on a given supply, prices go up. It is

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

“the law of supply and demand”. And your tax dollars paid for the increased “demand.”

And as interest rates rise to “quell” inflation and increase mortgage payments, the price of that lovely new house you dreamed of would become less affordable, while demand for housing would drop, and thus also the value of your existing house would decline.

And the Biden-invited guests so kindly invited from everywhere in the World to dine, not at his White House table, but at your table, paid with your tax dollars, might have to be evicted or else move in with you. Like in Stalin’s Russia in 1918, when private ownership of houses was abolished?^{lxxxix}

And now many of the best of our Nations’ soldiers and First Responders were ousted because they refused to take a hastily made mRNA or viral vectored Covid vaccines, preferring to trust their own body’s immune system, God-given and proven to work over thousands upon thousands of years, rather than trust this hasty scientific mousetrap, with unknown side effects.

Thus, instead of examining what caliber NATO armor-piercing projectiles are to be used with electromagnetic Rail guns or how to build robust hypersonic missile defense systems, we are now questioning what color lipstick to wear into battle or what new “Miranda Rights” pronouns First Responders are now required to use, so as not to offend the pillagers and looters, who just take what

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

they want in a Nation built on the sanctity of Private Property.

And as Afghanistan fell, and we lost the leverage of the Bagram Air Base, the world laughed at us so disrespectfully. Our once proud Nation had quickly become impotent, as the right to Life, Liberty, and Pursuit of Property (aka: “happiness”^{lxxxii}) is also being swiftly being curtailed.

The Present: And now there is one more unwelcome Dinner Guest coming to your table to eat your lunch, and he is just around the corner. His name is Saul Alinsky.

Recall that Hillary Clinton did her college thesis on Saul Alinsky’s Writings. Alinsky disciple, Obama, writes about Alinsky in his books. Alinsky merely simplified Vladimir Lenin’s original scheme for world conquest by communist socialism, under Russian Rule. Stalin perfected Lenin’s scheme, and described his converts as “Useful Idiots”. The result of this socialist scheme on Russia was staggering. Millions upon millions of executions, massive genocides, abject poverty and War.

If you can read, then read Saul Alinsky’s Books: RULES FOR RADICALS, REVEILLE FOR RADICALS (how to create a socialist state).

There are 8 levels of control that must be obtained before you are able to create a socialist state.

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

The first is the most important.

- 1) HEALTHCARE — Control healthcare and you control the people.
- 2) POVERTY — Increase the Poverty level as high as possible, poor people are easier to control and will not fight back if you are providing everything for them to live on.
- 3) DEBT — Increase the debt to an unsustainable level. That way you are able to increase taxes, and this will produce more poverty.
- 4) GUN CONTROL — Remove the ability of people to defend themselves from the Government. That way you are able to create a police state.
- 5) WELFARE — Take control of every aspect of their lives (Food, Housing, and Income).
- 6) EDUCATION — Take control of what people read and listen to — take control of what children learn in school.
- 7) RELIGION — Remove the belief in God from the Government and schools.
- 8) CLASS WARFARE — Divide the people into the wealthy and the poor. This will cause more discontent and it will be easier to take (Tax) from the wealthy with the support of the poor.

Does any of this Socialist Agenda sound like what has been happening to the free United States of America, courtesy of Alinsky,

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

Clinton, Darth Sorius and Obama among others, including many Professors from alleged Institutes for Higher Learning? You don’t think so? Guess again. After you put on your reading glasses.

Well anybody that has been to communist or socialist countries where “collectivism” is the mantra and “totalitarianism” is the leverage, one will understand. Under the tenures of Stalin and Hitler, over 40 million people were killed. Move on to the killing fields of Cambodia where more than 1 million Cambodians died at the hands of the Communist dictator Pol Pot, or the tens of millions of dead in Mao’s Communist China, Amin’s Uganda, the uncountable extra judicial killings in Milosovic’s Serbia, Kim’s North Korea, or Castro’s Cuba. At the same time, how many people were butchered in Democratic societies? A relative handful? Mass graves are a bummer to look at. It changes the way you think. Maybe you need to visit a couple.

And for those of you with a pro forma education but still cannot read Alinsky or comprehend the evil brutality of communism and totalitarianism, try to read George Orwell’s *“Animal Farm”*. It’s like watching a Disney Movie, cute little piggys and bleating sheep.

The Future: And to add insult to injury, after 14 generations of blood, sweat and tears to defend our borders and our principles of liberty, one Hungarian immigrant in 1957 now is in the process of trying to further undo those borders bought by

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

generations of our blood, sweat and tears.

Using our Wall Street and Western free capital markets to short the Thai baht and the Malaysian and Indonesian currencies, causing the Asian Currency crisis of 1997, this legal immigrant single-handedly destroyed hundreds of thousands of lives and profited greatly on it. He followed with a similar attack on the British Pound, destroying even more lives and making even more profit as many more families were financially annihilated. Courtesy of the U.S. Taxpayers, this one legal immigrant now uses an IRC 501(c) (3) (Tax exempt) Charitable Foundation to promote open borders and a network of Saul Alinsky type social organizations, and to promote communism and socialism worldwide. Now there is nothing wrong with profiting from opportunity; that is the cornerstone of our Nation. But there is nothing more evil than creating an opportunity that destroys other people and then profiting from it. That is my sincere opinion.

And now it is said^{lxxxiii} that this Hungarian immigrant with tax advantages and deductions paid by you, the taxpayer, “transferred the last 18 billion dollars of his fortune to his own Open Society foundation, with the same goal as always: imposing the wildest version of capitalism and erasing any identitarian element. Under the alleged goal of defending minorities and refugees, this philosophy requires that there are no borders and that the differences between nations disappear. If there are no borders, people and goods

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

can enter without control, and if people from countries where salaries are lower enter uncontrolled, that will be much more effective for capitalism without borders. This is also related to the idea of converting all citizens of the world into the same consumer, without different tastes, histories or roots. And for this, nothing better than a tycoon that has influence in many governments in Europe and the world, to create universities, think tanks, institutes of international relations, while selling to the world the idea that he is a philanthropist who only wants freedom and welfare for the world. Extirpating every trace of difference between countries and cultures is the great crusade of Soros.”

Maybe he is an idealist, a philanthropist (or perhaps a “Collectivist Socialist”) or maybe he is just destroying and devaluing our Nation’s capital assets private property, so to profit from yet another opportunity to buy on the cheap. But regardless, as stated before, my Ancestors fled religious persecution, monarchical totalitarianism, and fought 14 Generations to make and keep their vision of America the greatest experiment in freedom, paid for many times over with their blood, sweat and tears. This experiment is on the brink of failure in 2024. Unlike my ancestors, I have nowhere to flee to. 2024 is Ronald Reagan’s “last stand.” Thus I have already chosen sides. Have you yet chosen?

CHAPTER TWELVE: WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE?

We have to revisit the “Law”, this book’s original thesis.

We are not going to change fraud, foreign enemies hacking, dead people voting, illegal immigrants using fake cards to vote or a deluge of Silicon Valley or Wall Street dollars falling from the heavens, unless you have a major disincentive, like Capital Punishment, and that won’t happen before 2024. And ever more effective diligence against these things can be outsmarted by our clever peoples, history has shown.

But the Law is the law if you can still read, and the 2020 election for President was botched. Trump was legally in, then illegally out. It cannot happen again.

So let us look again at The Supreme Court (they nearly hit the target in 2020) and the “CINDERELLA RULE” of Article II of the U.S. Constitution and the Presidential Election Day Act of 1845, as codified by as 3 U.S. Code § 1; and finally the 14th Amendment, which basically says that I, a “voter” voting on time in my State and electing the proper slate of Electors in my State cannot be cancelled or disenfranchised by a “voter” in another State who did not vote (or have his elected officials count) his vote in time for the midnight deadline to appoint the appropriate Electors. This would deny me equal protection of the Law.^{lxxxiv}

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

THE PAST IS PROLOGUE

A great opportunity was missed in 2020. The simple question to that Court should have been “What is the definition of a “day” (to elect Electors) as set forth in the Presidential Election Day Act ch. 1, 5 Stat. 721. The Writ of Mandamus for the ordinary citizen to gain “original jurisdiction” or “standing” before the Supreme Court was long ago discarded and now only States, through their Attorney Generals have original jurisdiction and standing to bring that very question to the Supreme Court “what is the definition of a “day” (to elect Electors)?

In essence, the Supreme Court should have been asked in 2020 to determine whether an “overtime” vote, a vote counted by election officials in any given State after midnight, the time at which Electors must be chosen by law, can be applied retroactively to elect Electors in spite of Article II of the U.S. Constitution and the Presidential Election Day Act ch. 1, 5 Stat. 721. (such as what wrongfully occurred on November 3, 2020).

It is also question of 14th Amendment Equal Protection, whether those diligent voters who cast their “in-time ballots” before midnight in order to be tabulated to duly elect Electors for their state (“Cinderella Carriage States”) are disenfranchised when non-diligent or misinformed voters cast their “overtime” ballots in other States (“Cinderella Pumpkin States”) that then retroactively applied

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

those votes counted after midnight to elect Electors that should have been elected at midnight by the then-tabulated popular vote.^{lxxxv}

Finally, the Court, in its discretion, could have fashioned a remedy such as a Declaratory Relief Decree that votes counted after midnight, November 3, 2020 could not be used to Count Electors and mandating that the President of the Senate not count Certificates of Vote which did not certify under penalty of perjury that the Electors were chosen by the popular vote as tabulated by midnight, November 3, 2020. Or it could have fashioned a remedy of allowing the “Cinderella Pumpkin State” (or all States) to revisit, re-canvass and re-calculate from the sealed electronic logs and abstracts the actual popular vote as of midnight, thus allowing new Certificates of Vote to issue, which has some historical precedent.

And if the Supreme Court had balked in 2020, and punted the case out, it would have then gone to the House of Representatives to decide under Article 12 of the U.S. Constitution, and with its clear majority of Republican state delegations, Donald Trump would again have won this election.

In order to prevent this mishap from happening again, perhaps there should now be action: Perhaps the States’ Attorney Generals can bring an action before the Supreme Court of the U.S. for Declaratory Relief or Judgment that the Constitution and the Presidential Election Day Act that simply says what the law says:

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

1. “The Congress may determine the time of choosing the electors” (ART II)
2. “the Tuesday after the first Monday in November” as the **day** on which all states **must** appoint electors. (Presidential Election Day Act, 1845).

A “day” is 24 hours. If the Supreme Court refuses to take it, then each Attorney General can go to his U.S. District Court and Appellate Court in his Circuit, a total of 11 circuits. If all the Circuits agree that a day is a 24-hour Day, then you have “res adjudicata.” If there is a split in the Circuits, and one Circuit says a “day” is not really 24 hours, the Supreme Court must resolve it.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Statement of the case is very simple:

Does Article II, Section 1 of the U.S. Constitution and the Presidential Election Day Act [5 Stat. 721, 28th Congress, Session 2, Chapter I] require, as a matter of law, that all electors must be appointed at Midnight (such as last time, November 3, 2020) and thus the majority of actual counted popular votes as of midnight (such as midnight, November 3, 2020) must determine the slate of Electors?

1. If it the answer is “Yes”, it does, then Certificate of Votes from States which count “overtime” votes (votes

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

counted too late to elect electors at midnight, again such as what occurred on November 3, 2020) must be considered invalid.

2. If the answer is “No”, that the Constitution does not really say what it says, and “overtime votes” used to elect Electors some time period after midnight of Election Day is fine, then does this violate the equal protection grounds dictum of *Bush v. Gore*, 531 U.S. 98 (2000), Specifically, the use of different standards of counting in different counties violated the Equal Protection Clause of the U.S. Constitution? In this case, we would need to substitute “States” for “Counties”. The use of different standards of counting and tabulation in different “States” violates the Equal Protection Clause of the U.S. Constitution.

CHAPTER THIRTEEN: THE FUTURE, AND WHAT DANGERS THERE LURK?

If you win this Court case to cut off “blue shift cheating”, it will, like a double edged Sword, present two new risks in my opinion:

(a) The opposition will adapt and accelerate ballot harvesting and other methods of early voting. Republicans, in the meantime, tend to vote on Election Day. There will have to be a major initiative to educate them to vote early because the opposition in certain precincts will make it extremely difficult to vote on Election Day, long lines, broken pens, etc.

(b) Maybe have a 3rd party Super-Pac run TV ads warning against voter fraud. Promise severe penalties; Treason. The DOJ and FBI are watching. This will scare off 50% of the fraud, and there will be a lot scared off, mostly Democratic or illegal aliens trying to vote.

(c) If you have any RNC assets on the ground make sure they help mail-in voters check online to see if their mail-in ballot has been received and counted. If it was not mailed within 10 days, there is good chance it will not arrive and not be counted, the USPS is still slow (“snail mail”).

(d) Florida is in danger of becoming a swing state again because of the influx of Californians and Democrats from the North East.

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

(e) Money has to be spent on experts vetting voting machines and ballots. The output of Barcodes can be hacked because they create new opportunities by adding additional code and data paths. Protocol VVSG 2.0 and its predecessors 1.0 and 1.1 should not be trusted and be vetted or outlawed. Vette all systems.^{lxxxvi} (e.g. See Venezuela, see what mess happened there with these technologies).

(f) Algorithms used in ballot platforms need to be reverse-engineered and hacked by a team of young wizards, there is an awful lot of election money not just going to TV ads or pollsters. Again, Treason is word that comes to mind.

(g) Perhaps an old fashioned Purge is needed. If so, have recruits.

(h) If you win such a case as is suggested above, showing Donald Trump actually legally won the Election in 2020, will that invoke a sympathy vote gaining votes from independents or will it backfire and cause fatigue, losing more votes than gaining? Polling is needed.

(i) The Lame Duck Issue: Even though Donald Trump in a second 4-year Term can probably get twice as much or more done than anybody, and can maybe be another Reagan-like second term, still, geopolitically, our enemies and allies will see a 4 year wait period. We must assure them to be far more afraid of what comes after Donald Trump. A strong Vice President with a Military background is needed. (we have not had a military veteran in the White House since George Bush, Sr. (1992).

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

(j) The U.S. Military has to be rebuilt again, shall will we withdraw as World Policeman and reinstitute the “Monroe Doctrine”^{lxxxvii} or rather the updated version or the “Trump Doctrine”? We have the technology, agriculture, and energy to be isolationist and self-sufficient.

(k) Can we rescue the US petro Dollar? We need debt management and outreach to Saudi Arabia, Russia, etc., otherwise our U.S. Treasury will collapse under a mountain of debt.

(l) Reagan wanted “peace through strength” and we achieved that and the end to the first Cold War. Now, with the gross Mossad Intel failure in the Middle East, we can foresee now what can happen here in the USA. And we, or rather the “powers that be” just let in hundreds of well financed illegal immigrants with close ties to the billions of dollars the “powers that be” gave to Iran. And it might make 9-11 look like a garden party. We need a tough new Sheriff in town, maybe the same one that delivered on promises, was feared but respected by our enemies foreign, and was entitled legally to stay in office for a second term per our lacerated U.S. Constitution, if not morally. “Peace through strength” said Ronald Reagan. I have said enough. I rest my case.

CHAPTER FOURTEEN: CONCLUSION OF THE ARGUMENT, WHAT SAY YOU?

You Have The Uncensored Facts And Opinions Of Captain
Rick, Esq.

2020 Was A Botched Mess.

This World Is Now A Mess.

2024 Is Just Around The Corner.

Is Reagan’s “LAST STAND” Upon Us?

Is It Now The “TIME TO CHOOSE”?

What Say You?

WHAT SAYETH YOU ALL?

-THE END- (OR A NEW BEGINNING?)-

About The Author

Captain Rick, Esq. is the nom de plume of the author, a highly educated individual holding two post-secondary degrees (BS and BA) with summa cum laude honors, a recipient of a full scholarship to Yale Law School, an MBA, and a Fintech Certification from Oxford University, Said Business School. He proudly identifies as a 14th-generation New Yorker/American, tracing his lineage to Dutch ancestors who settled and claimed North America in 1619, forging connections through intermarriage with the indigenous Mohawks. Over 14 generations, his ancestors passionately fought for the ideals of America.

Captain Rick possesses extensive knowledge of early America, including the 50-year Dutch-Anglo Wars, the 1674 Treaty of Westminster, the "American Revolution" and the Treaty of Paris of 1783, the founding of the United States, the shortcomings of the Articles of Confederation, the framing of the U.S. Constitution and its principles, and subsequent failures, notably on November 3, 2020. These historical events are deeply ingrained in his heritage.

The author gratefully acknowledges and extends special thanks to one of his English ancestors who, at great risk to home and family, signed the Declaration of Independence in 1776 and served as a Brigadier General in the Continental Army during the Revolutionary War. Additionally, he pays tribute to his Dutch ancestor who played

THE “ELECTION DENIERS” GUIDEBOOK TO REDEMPTION

a significant role in the Mexican American War and served as a Brigadier General in the Union Army during the American Civil War. The author also expresses gratitude to the numerous family individuals who served in the U.S. Navy and Marine Corps during World War II, all contributing to the ongoing effort to make and keep America a great nation.

FOOTNOTES:

Live electronic hyperlinks to the footnotes cited herein may be found at Footnotes section at website: www.captainrickesq.com

ⁱ Election of the Executive Positions are unique in the Republic of the United States, as the Founders sought to avoid a Parliamentary model and follow more of a stadtholdership model followed by the Dutch Republics that preceded it in the American colonies (the Republic of Novi Belgii, often conflated with the Republic of Nieuw Amsterdam, later to become Novae Angliae at the conclusion of the Dutch-Anglo Wars in 1674).

Hence, since the Ratification of the United States Constitution, only the Executives (President and Vice-President) of the USA are elected by Electors, not the people, nor any legislators. And the slate of Electors are elected exactly on midnight of USA’ “Election Day”.

ⁱⁱ <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/3/1>

ⁱⁱⁱ ChatGPT, which stands for Chat Generative Pre-trained Transformer, is a large language model-based chatbot powered by artificial intelligence, with a neural network scanning billions of terabytes of internet based data, that can find answers to questions. It was developed by OpenAI. OpenAI is an American artificial intelligence (AI) research laboratory consisting of the non-profit (iv) OpenAI, Inc. and its for profit subsidiary corporation OpenAI, L.P. OpenAI conducts research on artificial intelligence with the declared intention of developing "safe and beneficial" artificial general intelligence, which it defines as "highly autonomous systems that outperform humans at most economically valuable work". <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ChatGPT>

^{iv} In Presidential elections, there is a Constitutional Distinction between ballots cast under Article II of the Constitution for Electors who will elect the next President and Vice President, and all other “down ballot” offices from Senator to Congressman to Governor to

the Dog Catcher. Nevertheless, they are included on one ballot ticket since the mid 1800s. Thus the intermingled ballot devolved into an argument as to whether stopping the counting of ballots for a President and Vice Presidential Electors at midnight on “Election Day” per established law would disenfranchise the casting of a ballot for, inter alia, the Town Dog Catcher. How did this happen? Simplicity had evolved into Chaos.

^v The basic reason for this “Election Day” date was that in 1845, the USA was a largely agricultural society at the time, the first week of November came after the crops were harvested but before the snows began to fall.

^{vi} *Gohmet et al. v. Pence*, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, 20-cv-00660, sought an expedited declaratory judgment finding that Section 15 of the Electoral Count Act, 3 U.S.C. §§ 5 and 15 were unconstitutional because these provisions violate the Electors Clause and the Twelfth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. Plaintiffs also requested emergency injunctive relief required to effectuate the requested declaratory judgment. This one chance to resolve this conflict was dismissed without prejudice due to lack of standing and jurisdiction. Plaintiffs appealed to the 5th Circuit, where the dismissal was quickly affirmed.

^{vii} 3 U.S. Code § 1 - Time of appointing electors

The electors of President and Vice President shall be appointed, in each State, on election day, in accordance with the laws of the State enacted prior to election day.

(Added Pub. L. 117–328, div. P, title I, § 102(a), Dec. 29, 2022, 136 Stat. 5233.)

^{viii} <https://www.archives.gov/milestone-documents/17th-amendment#:~:text=Passed%20by%20Congress%20on%20May,were%20chosen%20by%20state%20legislatures>.

^{ix} While often categorized as a democracy, the United States is more accurately defined as a “constitutional federal republic”. What does this mean? “Constitutional” refers to the fact that government in the United States is based on a Constitution which is the supreme law of the United States. The Constitution not only provides the

framework for how the federal and state governments are structured, but also places significant limits on their powers. “Federal” means that there is both a national government and governments of the 50 states. A “republic” is a form of government in which the people hold power, but elect representatives to exercise that power. <https://ar.usembassy.gov/u-s-government/>

^xThis Guide Book deals with the 2020 Presidential mishap, but noting that just days before the end of the 117th Congress, an omnibus appropriations bill was signed by President Joe Biden. Included in that 4,000-page spending law was the Electoral Count Reform and Presidential Transition Improvement Act of 2022, or ECRA. This bipartisan legislation allegedly was intended to provide better guardrails to govern how presidential election results get from the states to the Electoral College process and then to Congress, and how Congress handles them once there, allegedly because the Electoral Count Act of 1887 was overdue for an overhaul because it did not offer clear guidance on counting electoral votes or how to resolve possible disputes. (History buffs will note that in 1887 Congress created the ECA specifically to preclude problems such as those that arose in the 1876 presidential election. This was known as the Hayes –Tilden conflict. The Compromise of 1877, also known as the Wormley Agreement or the Bargain of 1877, was an unwritten deal, informally arranged among members of the United States Congress, to settle the intensely disputed 1876 presidential election between Republican Rutherford B. Hayes and Democrat Samuel J. Tilden. The Democrats agreed to the election of Hayes and in turn he agreed to withdraw the occupying Union Army from the South, leaving the Democrats in control there. The Compromise itself provided for the withdrawal of the last federal (Union) troops from the Southern United States (the former Confederate States) and effectively ended the Reconstruction Era.

^{xi} <https://bigthink.com/culture-religion/those-who-do-not-learn-history-doomed-to-repeat-it-really/>

^{xii} <https://www.allsides.com/news-source/atlantic>

^{xiii} There has always been an ongoing debate as to whether voting was a “right” or a “privilege” See:

<https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2021/07/22/wide-partisan-divide-on-whether-voting-is-a-fundamental-right-or-a-privilege-with-responsibilities/>

Is voting a right or a privilege? Some say it's a privilege, and others say it's a right, it may be both. “Nowhere in the constitution does it say “all individuals have the right to vote.” (Garrett Epps), so that means the constitution does not protect the right for all citizens to vote, but rather the right for all qualified citizens to vote. Eighteen states have voter-ID requirements which means if you meet those requirements then you have the privilege to vote. The same for when you become of age, eighteen. Some states have the law that “if you’re a felon then you are not allowed to vote.” (Fleischer, Jeff). If you’re not a felon, then you have the privilege to vote. All state requirements and laws show that voting is a privilege, not a right.

History simply shows that in 1870, the Constitution guaranteed the right to vote to all men that were 21 or older regardless of race or ethnic background. It wasn’t until 1920 that women of the age 21 and older had the right to vote. Finally, in 1965, The Voting Rights Act was applied and gave all qualifying citizens the right to vote. Ratified in July 1971, the 26th Amendment to the United States Constitution lowered the voting age of U.S. citizens from 21 to 18.

^{xiv}“Judicial activism” is a counter-intuitive judicial philosophy to “the balanced scales of justice”, holding that the courts can and should go beyond the applicable law to consider broader societal implications of its decisions. It is sometimes used as an antonym of judicial restraint. The term usually implies that judges make rulings based on their own views rather than on precedent.

The definition of judicial activism and the specific decisions that are activist are controversial political and/or social issues. The question of judicial activism is closely related to judicial interpretation, statutory interpretation, and separation of powers, or “legislating from the bench”, a power reserved to the Congress, or even overturning State Propositions” approved by a majority of popular vote of State voters. It is the unseen dark force behind contemporary “social engineering”.

Even before this phrase “Judicial activism” was first used, the

general concept already existed. For example, Thomas Jefferson referred to the "despotic behaviour" of Federalist federal judges, in particular Chief Justice John Marshall. But the Framers failed to put a “check and balance” on the Judiciary, insisting on “judicial restraint”, little guessing in 1789 that this country would become, in less than 230 years, a nation of celebrity worshippers and lawyers. It was, perhaps, the greatest failure of the Framers and of the U.S. Constitution in general. (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Judicial_activism)

^{xv}Kroll, Alex J. “Dismantling Self-Government: The Brennan Center’s Election Fraud Offensive.” Capital Research Center. April 1, 2014, Accessed July 31, 2017. <https://capitalresearch.org/article/dismantling-self-government-the-brennan-centers-election-fraud-offensive/>

^{xvi} <https://www.influencewatch.org/non-profit/william-j-brennan-center-for-justice/>

^{xvii} Id, analysis of data compiled by FoundationSearch.com, a project of Metasoft Systems, from tax returns filed with the Internal Revenue Service. Queries conducted August 1, 2017

^{xviii} <https://www.brennancenter.org/our-work/research-reports/truth-about-voter-fraud>

^{xix} <https://www.brennancenter.org/our-work/research-reports/election-deniers-playbook-2024>

^{xx} https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2022/11/09/election-deniers-2020-house-senate-races/?itid=lk_interstitial_enhanced-template

^{xxi} id.

^{xxii} <https://www.commondreams.org/views/2022/10/24/dont-call-them-election-deniers-call-them-election-liars>

^{xxiii} Authors Note: Six additional (non footnoted but see Google) incredible examples of biased media quotes using adjectives to describe election liars, etc. This hypocritical difference goes far beyond Thomas Jefferson or mere semantics; sic:deceitful, devious, schemers, racist, deplorable, moronic.

1.“But by definition, those spreading the Big Lie that Trump won the election are liars.

Asserting otherwise ignores Biden's resounding popular vote and Electoral College wins, followed by Trump's 60+ unsuccessful court challenges seeking to reverse those results. It disregards schemes that are the subject of federal and state criminal investigations to subvert the election. It perpetuates the danger that culminated in the January 6 insurrection.”

2. “And it undermines what matters most to American democracy: public confidence in free, fair, and secure elections.”

3. “The widespread use of election “denier” is the culmination of the press's struggle to cover Donald Trump appropriately. Until 2015, the country had never seen a presidential candidate like him. Rarely calling him a persistent liar--which he is--news organizations accused him of more benign acts: “dishonesty, spreading falsehoods, misrepresenting facts, distorting news, passing on inaccuracies, and being loose with the truth.”

4. “Such disingenuous sophistry abdicates the press' fundamental responsibility in a democracy. Trump has overwhelmed the public with lies, and his allies have amplified them. Americans need the help of respected news organizations to separate fact from fiction. Identifying lies--and avoiding euphemisms in describing them--should be part of every real journalist's (and headline editor's) job.”

5. “Finally and perhaps most importantly, labeling election liars accurately is not “taking political sides.” It's the responsibility of a free press in the fight to save democracy.”

6. “Look at how, since January 6, Trump's Big Lie has metastasized throughout the GOP and the American body politic.”

See also: <https://www.commondreams.org/views/2022/10/24/dont-call-them-election-deniers-call-them-election-liars>

^{xxiv}https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/French_Wars_of_Religion

^{xxv}Wikipedia: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mayflower>

^{xxvi}https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dutch_colonization_of_the_Americas

^{xxviii}https://ecommons.luc.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1152&context=luc_theses

xxviii https://archivesfiles.delaware.gov/ebooks/Delaware_-_A_Guide_to_the_First_State.pdf

xxix Evidently, fur was so valuable, especially in France, where it would shield the coiffures of the elite noble women from the ravages of rain drops and provide felt top hats for the men. But see: https://escholarship.org/content/qt79w6n34n/qt79w6n34n_noSplash_d72a0742c561ea15803ba593f72ca684.pdf

xxx See: Hugo Grotius *De jure belli ac pacis libri tres* (*On the Law of War and Peace: Three books*) that was first published in 1625, beginning a process of codifying international law.

xxxi <https://www.zinnedproject.org/news/tdih/pequot-massacre/>

xxxii Boxer, Charles Ralph. *The Anglo-Dutch Wars of the 17th Century* (1974)

xxxiii <http://paheritage.wpengine.com/article/swedes-dutch-land-lenape>

xxxiv <https://history.state.gov/milestones/1750-1775/french-indian-war>

xxxv <https://www.archives.gov/milestone-documents/articles-of-confederation>

xxxvi <https://www.crf-usa.org/bill-of-rights-in-action/bria-25-2-the-major-debates-at-the-constitutional-convention.html#:~:text=Recognizing%20that%20things%20were%20not,and%20to%20render%20the%20Federal>

xxxvii <https://startingpointsjournal.com/james-madison-on-federalism-circa-1786-87/>

xxxviii *Code de l'Humanité*, s.v. Etats-Généraux, VI, 140-51; s.v. Provinces Unies, XI, 572-82, s.v. Stadhouder, XIII, 83-91.

xxxix James Madison actually was using his own statement in *Notes on Ancient and Modern Confederacies*, which paraphrased these remarks by Mably: “Avec un pareil gouvernement, jamais l’union n’auroit subsisté, si en effet les provinces n’avoient eu en elles-mêmes un ressort capable de hater leur lenteur, et de ramener à la même manière de penser... Ce ressort c’est le stathouderat” (*PJM*, IX, 17; *Collection Complète des Oeuvres de l’Abbé de Mably*, XII, 199–200)

(https://www.dbnl.org/tekst/_bij005197901_01/_bij005197901_01

_0024.php)

^{xi} “*The Federalist* Number 20, [11 December] 1787,” *Founders Online*, National Archives, <https://founders.archives.gov/documents/Madison/01-10-02-0201>.

[Original source: *The Papers of James Madison*, vol. 10, 27 May 1787–3 March 1788, ed. Robert A. Rutland, Charles F. Hobson, William M. E. Rachal, and Frederika J. Teute. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1977, pp. 320–324.

^{xlii} This idea was not a new one to the framers. Maryland’s 1776 constitution created an electoral college to elect its state senate. Outside of the example of Maryland, several European nations had used indirect electors since the Middle Ages. The best-known example was the Holy Roman Emperor who, since the Golden Bull of 1356, was chosen via powerful electors scattered throughout the continent. While hardly a democratic election of a monarch, the recognition of electors was also, in some manner, the recognition of states and territories within the Holy Roman empire that was later realized in 1648 in the Treaty of Westphalia.

^{xliii} <https://www.crf-usa.org/bill-of-rights-in-action/bria-25-2-the-major-debates-at-the-constitutional-convention.html>

^{xliv} https://avalon.law.yale.edu/18th_century/debates_905.asp

^{xliv} Forrest McDonald, *The Economic Origins of the Constitution* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1958), 21-38.

^{xlvi} <https://allthingsliberty.com/2020/11/the-constitutional-convention-debates-the-electoral-college/>

^{xlvi} See, e.g., 2 RECORDS OF THE FEDERAL CONVENTION 500 (Max Farrand ed., 1911).

^{xlvi} id

^{xlviii} Joseph Story, Commentaries on the Constitution of the United States § 1469 (1833)

^{xlix} THE DEBATES, RESOLUTIONS, AND OTHER PROCEEDINGS, IN CONVENTION, ON THE ADOPTION OF THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION 101 (Jonathan Elliot ed., 1830)

^l https://www.statutesandstories.com/blog_html/presidential-election-day-act-of-1845-and-the-election-of-1840/

^{li} As said, the fundamental reason for this “Election Day” date was that in 1845, the USA was a largely agricultural society at the time, the first week of November came after the crops were harvested but before the snows began to fall.

^{lii} 3 U.S. Code § 1 - Time of appointing electors

The electors of President and Vice President shall be appointed, in each State, on election day, in accordance with the laws of the State enacted prior to election day.

(Added Pub. L. 117–328, div. P, title I, § 102(a), Dec. 29, 2022, 136 Stat. 5233.)

^{liii} <https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/americanexperience/features/goebbels-biography/>

^{liv} Dolitsky, Alexander (1 August 2021). "Alexander Dolitsky: Remembering the Soviet psychological documentary film 'I and Others' that I took part in". *Must Read Alaska*. Retrieved 1 November 2022.

^{lv} <https://nationalpost.com/opinion/brendan-oneill-the-hunter-biden-scandal-has-exposed-the-death-of-journalism>

^{lvi} <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cyberocracy>

^{lvii} <https://www.freedomforum.org/free-speech-on-social-media/>

^{lviii} <https://politicalscience.yale.edu/publications/phantoms-beleaguered-republic-deep-state-and-unitary-executive>

^{lix} <https://bja.ojp.gov/program/it/privacy-civil-liberties/authorities/statutes/1286#:~:text=The%20Department%20of%20Justice%20must,heightened%20requirements%20in%20some%20instances.>

^{lx} https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Russia_investigation_origins_counter-narrative

^{lxi} <https://www.fincen.gov/resources/statutes-regulations/usa-patriot-act>

^{lxii} <https://www.vanityfair.com/news/2019/10/the-disturbing-saga-of-robert-kraft>

^{lxiii} This means that while the federal government holds legal title to public lands, they are ultimately owned by the American people and held in trust for their use and enjoyment.

lxiv <https://www.battlefields.org/learn/topics/john-browns-harpers-ferry-raid>

lxv <https://www.history.com/news/voting-elections-ballots-electronic>

lxviii https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open_Society_Foundations: Open Society Foundations (OSF), formerly the Open Society Institute, is a grantmaking network founded by business magnate George Soros. Open Society Foundations financially supports civil society groups around the world, with the stated aim of advancing justice, education, public health and independent media. The group's name was inspired by Karl Popper's 1945 book: *The Open Society and its enemies*.

lxvi Taking the 1856 Ballot Stuffer's Box methodology and translating into modern days, with Internet connections to tabulating and reporting machine algorithms, especially with the Android Safe Mode vulnerability, a few simple lines of code could instruct the algorithm to count every 4th, or 32nd vote for Biden, which could only be detected in manual recount, not by re-running bar-coded ballots through the tabulating machine again. Mathematically, assuming a 50-50 actual voter split, the algorithm counting every 4th vote of 160,000 total votes for Biden (under Coin Toss Theory) would result in every 8th vote “flipped”. 10,000 votes “flipped” would result in a net plus for Biden of 20,000 Votes (-10,000 from Trump's column and + 10,000 to Biden's column) a net gain of 20,000 votes for Biden. Trump would end up with 70,000 votes and Biden with 90,000, or 37.5% to 62.5%. But with a much larger pool of votes with a 50-50 voter split, for example from a pool of 640,000 votes and a coded instruction to count every 32nd vote in favor of Biden, then every 64th vote would be “flipped” with the same net result of “flipped” votes. (-10,000 from Trump's column and + 10,000 to Biden's column, a net gain of 20,000 votes for Biden) or Trump 310,000 votes and Biden 330,000 votes. But the percentage would change, 48.4% for Trump and 51.6% for Biden, well within the margin of error of a 50-50 poll, thus allaying suspicion and harder to detect, but could then result in flipping a “Battleground

State” with these 20,000 votes.

https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w12073/revisions/w12073.rev0.pdf

^{lxvii} <https://muse.jhu.edu/pub/56/monograph/book/41241>

^{lxviii} <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/text-index?c=moa&cc=moa&view=text&rgn=main&idno=ADY1104.0001.001>

^{lxix} https://americanarchive.org/catalog/cpb-aacip_50-322bvw49

^{lxx} a non-profit, nonpartisan group advocating for resilient, evidence-based elections. Skoglund is a member of the Election Verification Network and serves on the National Institute of Science and Technology Voting System CyberSecurity Working Group, an advisory group to the U.S. Election Assistance Commission which is setting the cybersecurity standards for the next generation of voting machines

^{lxxi} https://www.eac.gov/sites/default/files/TestingCertification/Voluntary_Voting_System_Guidelines_Version_2_0.pdf

^{lxxii} <https://groups.google.com/a/list.nist.gov/g/vvsg-cybersecurity/c/iSIjdDpedn4?pli=1>

^{lxxiii} <https://www.electiondefense.org/bipartisan-letters-to-states-2020>

^{lxxiv} <https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/elections/online-vulnerable-experts-find-nearly-three-dozen-u-s-voting-n1112436>

^{lxxv} <https://www.electiondefense.org/reports>

^{lxxvi} <https://www.cisa.gov/news-events/ics-advisories/icsa-22-154-01#:~:text=The%20tested%20version%20of%20ImageCast%20X%20allows%20for%20rebooting%20into,and%20For%20install%20malicious%20code.>

^{lxxvii} <https://rumble.com/vfvgb7-mike-lindell-presents-absolute-interference-the-sequel-to-absolute-proof-20.html>

^{lxxviii} <https://mashable.com/article/mypillow-ceo-mike-lindell-absolute-proof-video>

^{lxxix} <https://iep.utm.edu/socrates/#:~:text=He%20is%20best%20known%20for,worth%20living%2C%20for%20human%20beings.>

^{lxxx} <https://www.simplypsychology.org/pavlov.html>

^{lxxxi} <https://m.masterandmargarita.eu/en/09context/housing.html>

^{lxxxiii} https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Life,_Liberty_and_the_pursuit_of_happiness

^{lxxxiii} <https://euvsdisinfo.eu/report/george-soros-is-a-merchant-of-migrants-and-an-exterminator-of-cultures>

^{lxxxiv} <https://www.archives.gov/milestone-documents/14th-amendment#:~:text=No%20State%20shall%20make%20or,equal%20protection%20of%20the%20laws.>

^{lxxxv} 2020 List of probable States meeting Constitutional Deadlines (“Cinderella Carriage States”). The State of Florida met its Constitutional Deadline to elect their Electors by midnight, November 3, 2020. On information and belief, the following States also met their Constitutional Deadline to elect their Electors by midnight, November 3, 2020. Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Hawaii, Indiana, Louisiana, Maine, Montana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, West Virginia, and Wyoming.

2020 List of probable “John Doe” States not meeting Constitutional Deadlines and allowing “overtime” votes (“Cinderella Pumpkin States”): Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Georgia, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Utah, Virginia, Washington and Wisconsin.

(No opinion on Idaho, North Carolina and Ohio).

^{lxxxvi} <https://int.nyt.com/data/documenttools/trump-campaign-memo/f7b2de7129fe91b2/full.pdf>

^{lxxxvii} Monroe made four basic points: (1) the United States would not interfere in European affairs; (2) the United States recognized and would not interfere with existing colonies in the Americas; (3) the Western Hemisphere was closed to future colonization; and (4) if a European power tried to interfere with any nation in the Americas, that would be viewed as a hostile act against the United States.