Appendix 5: National Guidance ESRA for Metsulfuron-methyl

Environmental National Assessment

Pesticide:	Metsulfuron-methyl		Specific Formulation:
Hazard Status:	Metsulfuron-methyl is not considered a highly hazardous pesticide (HHP) per the FSC Pesticides Policy (FSC-POL-30-001 V3-0 EN) and the FSC Lists of Highly Hazardous Pesticides (FSC-POL-30-001a EN).		DISCLAIMER: Adoption or adaption of this national-level assessment alone does not guarantee compliance with FSC-POL-30-001 V3-0 (see Background/Expectations Section)
Exposure Elements	Minimum list of values	Description of why/why not a risk	National-level Mitigation strategies defined to minimize risk ₁
Environmental	Soil (erosion, degradation, biota, carbon storage)	Minimal indication of adverse effects to atmosphere was found when metsulfuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications. Additional considerations are provided below. Some adverse effects on microorganisms are unlikely but may also occur (1). Wind erosion and soil loss is likely off-site due to runoff from clay and drift at distances of 500 feet or more from the application site, especially in more arid environments where soil and topographic conditions favor erosion; this erosion could lead to adverse effects on plants (1). Adverse effects on soil microorganisms are likely to be transient and resolve within 9 to 14 days (1).	Follow all pesticide label application instructions. Follow applicable criterion and indicators from the FSC US FM Standard V1.0 (e.g., Criterion 4.3 for worker safety, Criterion 7.3 for worker training, Criterion 6.5 for protecting water resources, and Criteria 8.1 and 8.2 for Monitoring). Applicators or persons supervising application of restricted use pesticides are required to be certified in accordance with EPA regulations and state, territorial and tribal laws. Additional risk mitigation strategies are provided below. Organizations should take reasonable steps to avoiding environmental and social impacts by considering the mitigation strategies provided below, as well as application-, Organization-, or location-specific strategies.
	Water (ground water, surface waters, water supplies)	Effects on aquatic ecosystems are characterized by potential adverse effects to aquatic plants. Additional considerations are provided below. Aquatic macrophytes are at some risk if metsulfuronmethyl is applied near bodies of water (1). Adverse effects in aquatic microorganisms are not anticipated at estimated peak concentrations (1).	General consideration of exposure variables designed to mitigate risk: -Know and understand the specific pesticide formulation and/or tank mixture, as its unique formulation may provide a different risk characterization. -Understand how the mixture of active ingredients affects the pesticides risk profile.

Atmosphere (air quality, greenhouse gasses)	Concentrations of metsulfuron-methyl in water is expected to be low and adverse effects on aquatic animals is not anticipated (1). Metsulfuron-methyl has the potential to impact surface water quality due to runoff, especially for poorly drained soils or where there is a shallow water table (3). Minimal indication of adverse effects to atmosphere was found when metsulfuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications.	-Seek to minimize the frequency, interval, and amount of applicationUse the most efficient and effective method of application by seeking to minimize risk to environmental and social valuesUnderstand the site (e.g., soil type, topography, etc.) and climatic (e.g., wind, temperature, and humidity) conditions and the likely effect on risk to environmental and social valuesHave appropriate waste management systems in place.
Non-target species (vegetation, wildlife, bees and other pollinators, pets)	Minimal indication of adverse effects to mammals, birds, terrestrial insects, and microorganisms when metsulfuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications. However, there are risks to nontarget plants; additional considerations are provided below. Highest risk for small mammals consuming contaminated insects, but this is expected to be insignificant and does not reach the level of concern (1). It is noteworthy that metsulfuron-methyl has only been tested in a limited number of species and under conditions that do not well represent populations of free-ranging nontarget terrestrial mammals or birds (1). Honeybees have shown to be no more sensitive than birds or mammals (1). Runoff and drift may negatively impact terrestrial plants: "This herbicide is injurious to plants at extremely low concentrations. Nontarget plants may be adversely effected from drift and run-off" (3). Exposure may result in adverse effects to plants in terrestrial or wetland areas located adjacent to or downwind from an application site (4).	Mitigating Risk to the Environment: reduce contact with water resources and minimize application amounts and number of applications. General and non-target species: -Minimize application amounts and number of applicationsMinimize risk of spray drift: unintentional spray drift has potential to significantly increase risk to the environment and public welfareConsider that this herbicide is injurious to plants at extremely low concentrations. Nontarget plants may be adversely affected from drift and run-off. Water: -Do not apply directly to water, or to areas where surface water is present, or to intertidal areas below the mean high water markDo not contaminate water when cleaning equipment or disposing of equipment washwaters or rinsate (3)To mitigate risk to surface water: "A level, well-maintained vegetative buffer strip between areas to which this product is applied and surface water features such as ponds, streams, and springs will reduce the potential loading of metsulfuron-methyl from runoff

		Consorder, effects to be bitate and food availability.	water and address to Dunaff of this was dust will be
		Secondary effects to habitats and food availability	water and sediment. Runoff of this product will be
		could occur, which would affect virtually all nontarget	greatly reduced by avoiding applications when
		organisms. These secondary effects caused by	rainfall or irrigation is expected
		herbicide or mechanical methods could either be	to occur within 48 hours" (3).
		detrimental or beneficial to affected species (1).	-Do not treat frozen or snow-covered soil (3).
		Minimal indication of adverse effects to non-	
=		timber forest products was found when	Soil:
ıta	Non-timber forest	metsulfuron-methyl is used according to label	-Leave treated soil undisturbed to reduce the
<u> </u>	products (as FSC-STD-	instructions in forestry applications. Additional	potential for herbicide movement by soil erosion
Ę	01-001 V5-2 FSC	considerations are provided below.	due to wind or water (4)
Environmental	Principles and Criteria,		-Avoid using metsulfuron-methyl in areas where
·₹	criterion 5.1)	As with any effective herbicide, vegetation will likely	soils are vulnerable to wind erosion. This is
띪	Criterion 3.1)	be altered within the treatment area, which may lead	usually soils with "high silt and/or fine to very fine
		to secondary effects on terrestrial or aquatic animals	sand fractions and low organic matter content.
		as well as nontarget plants (1).	Other factors which can affects the movement of
		Minimal indication of adverse effects to high	windblown soil include the intensity and direction
		conservation values was found when	of prevailing winds, vegetative cover, site slope,
	High Conservation	metsulfuron-methyl is used according to label	rainfall, and drainage patterns" (3).
	Values (particularly	instructions in forestry applications. Additional	
	HCV 1-4)	considerations are provided below.	
	116 1-4)		
		Unintentional secondary effects on habitat,	
		landscape and ecosystem are possible (1).	
		Minimal indication of adverse effects to	
		landscape was found when metsulfuron-methyl	
		is used according to label instructions in	
	Landscape (aesthetics,	forestry applications. Additional considerations	
	cumulative impacts)	are provided below.	
		Potential for secondary effects on terrestrial or	
		aquatic animals and plants, including changes in	
		food availability and habitat quality (1).	
		Minimal indication of adverse effects to	
		ecosystem services was found when	
	Ecosystem services	metsulfuron-methyl is used according to label	
	(water, soil, carbon	instructions in forestry applications. Additional	
	sequestration,	considerations are provided below.	
	tourism)		
	l tourioni,	Potential for secondary effects on terrestrial or	
		aquatic animals and plants, including changes in	
		food availability and habitat quality (1).	
Viitigation		egorized to avoid redundancy	
	Appendices for	the Environmental and Social Risk Assessment: National Guidance for the - 4 of 8-	Jnited States (Version 1.1, 2020)
		- 4 OI 0-	

Sources:

- (1) USDA/Forest Service. (2016). Metsulfuron methyl: Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment. Prepared by Syracuse Environmental Research Associates, Inc. under GSA Forest Service BPA: WO-01-3187-0150. Retrieved from https://www.fs.fed.us/foresthealth/pesticide/pdfs/lmidaclopridFinalReport.pdf.
- (2) US EPA (2016). Proposed Interim Registration Review Decision for 22 Sulfonyluea (SU) Herbicides.
- (3) Bayer Environmental Science (2019). Escort XP Pesticide Label. Retrieved from: https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/000432-01549-20190510.pdf

Social National Assessment

Pesticide:	Metsulfuron-methyl		Specific Formulation:
Hazard Status:	Metsulfuron-methyl is not considered a highly hazardous pesticide (HHP) per the FSC Pesticides Policy (FSC-POL-30-001 V3-0 EN) and the FSC Lists of Highly Hazardous Pesticides (FSC-POL-30-001a EN).		DISCLAIMER: Adoption or adaption of this national-level assessment alone does not guarantee compliance with FSC-POL-30-001 V3-0 (see Background/Expectations Section)
Exposure Elements	Minimum list of values	Description of why/why not a risk	National-level Mitigation strategies defined to minimize risk ₁
	High Conservation Values (especially HCV 5-6)	Minimal indication of adverse effects to high conservation values was found when metsulfuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications.	Follow all pesticide label application instructions. Follow applicable criterion and indicators from the FSC US FM Standard V1.0 (e.g., Criterion 4.3 for worker safety, Criterion 7.3 for worker training, Criterion 6.5 for protecting water resources, and Criteria 8.1 and 8.2 for Monitoring). Applicators or persons supervising application of restricted use pesticides are required to be certified in accordance with EPA regulations and state, territorial and tribal laws. Additional risk mitigation strategies are provided below. Organizations should take reasonable steps to avoiding environmental and social impacts by considering the mitigation strategies provided below, as well as application-, Organization-, or location-specific strategies. General consideration of exposure variables designed to mitigate risk: -Know and understand the specific pesticide formulation, as its unique formulation may provide a different risk characterization. -Understand how the mixture of active ingredients
		Minimal indication of adverse effects to mammals, birds, terrestrial insects, and microorganisms when metsulfuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications. However, there are risks to nontarget plants; additional considerations are provided below.	
	Health (fertility, reproductive health, respiratory health, dermatologic, neurological and gastrointestinal problems, cancer and hormonal imbalance)	Highest risk for small mammals consuming contaminated insects, but this is expected to be insignificant and does not reach the level of concern (1). It is noteworthy that metsulfuron-methyl has only been tested in a limited number of species and under conditions that do not well represent populations of free-ranging nontarget terrestrial mammals or birds (1).	
		Honeybees have shown to be no more sensitive than birds or mammals (1).	affects the pesticides risk profileSeek to minimize the frequency, interval, and amount of application.
		Runoff and drift may negatively impact terrestrial plants. Exposure may result in adverse effects to plants in terrestrial or wetland areas located adjacent to or downwind from an application site (4).	-use the most efficient and effective method of application by seeking to minimize risk to environmental and social valuesUnderstand the site (e.g., soil type, topography, etc.) and climatic (e.g., wind, temperature, and

		Secondary effects to habitats and food availability	humidity) conditions and the likely effect on risk to
		could occur, which would affect virtually all nontarget	environmental and social values.
		organisms. These secondary effects caused by	-Have appropriate, waste management systems in
		herbicide or mechanical methods could either be	place.
		detrimental or beneficial to affected species (1).	
_		Minimal indication of adverse effects to welfare	Mitigating risk to water and food resources:
Social	\A/-16	was found when metsulfuron-methyl is used	See Environmental Risk Assessment mitigation
Ö	Welfare	according to label instructions in forestry	strategies.
O		applications.	
		Minimal indication of adverse effects to food and	Mitigating Risk to Workers: Label instructions
		water was found when metsulfuron-methyl is	should be followed when applying pesticides.
		used according to label instructions in forestry	
		applications. Additional considerations are	-Take off contaminated clothing and shoes
		provided below.	immediately. Wash off immediately with plenty of
			water for at least 15 minutes.
		Although consumption of contaminated vegetation is	-Use personal protective equipment. When
		possible, hazard is still well below the level of	respirators are required, select NIOSH approved equipment based on actual or potential airborne
		concern; even less hazardous are consumption of	concentrations and in accordance with the
	Food and water	fruit, water, and fish (1).	appropriate regulatory standards and/or industry
			recommendations.
		Contamination of water is possible from runoff and	-Chemical resistant nitrile rubber gloves are
		wind erosion, which is more prominent in more arid	needed for hand protection.
		regions and with predominantly clay soils;	-Safety glasses with side-shields are needed for
		contaminated irrigation water may adversely affect	eye protection.
		terrestrial and aquatic plants. However, effects	-Long-sleeved shirts, long pants, shoes, and
		depend on exposure conditions, such as	socks are needed for skin and body protection.
		precipitation levels, topography, and hydrological	-Wash hands thoroughly with soap and water after
		conditions (1).	handling and before eating, drinking, chewing
	Social Infrastructure;	Minimal indication of adverse effects to social	gum, using tobacco, using the toilet or applying
	(schools and	infrastructure was found when metsulfuron-	cosmetics (3).
	hospitals, recreational	methyl is used according to label instructions in	-Avoid contact with skin, eyes, and clothing.
	infrastructure,	forestry applications.	Applicators and handlers must wear long-sleeved
	infrastructure adjacent		shirts, long pants, shoes and socks. Remove
	to the management unit)		clothing if they become contaminated and then
	unit)	Minimal indication of adverse effects to	rinse skin immediately with plenty of water for 15-
	Economic viability	economic viability was found when metsulfuron-	20 minutes.
	(agriculture, livestock,	methyl is used according to label instructions in	
	tourism)	forestry applications.	Mitigating Risk to Public Access/Public
			Welfare:

		Risks to crops and other terrestrial plants due to exposure through runoff, contaminated irrigation water, drift, and wind erosion. However, effects depend on exposure conditions, such as precipitation levels, topography, and hydrological conditions (1). Minimal to no risk to fish and terrestrial animals (1). Unintentional secondary effects on ecosystems and landscape are possible due to changes in vegetation (1)	-Reduce the possibility of public consumption of contaminated wild food (e.g., fruit or fungi) and public exposure to pesticides through public outreach and engagement, limiting access, and/or appropriate signage. For instance, users of the forest may be excluded from the area using barriers or signage until the pesticide driesConsider effects on local communities and indigenous peoples when considering limiting access to treatment areasDo not allow children or pets to enter the treated
Social	Rights (legal and customary)	Minimal indication of adverse effects to rights was found when metsulfuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications.	area until it has dried.
	Others	No additional values were identified in this assessment.	

¹ Mitigation strategies have been categorized to avoid redundancy

Sources:

- (1) USDA/Forest Service. (2016). Metsulfuron methyl: Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment. Prepared by Syracuse Environmental Research Associates, Inc. under GSA Forest Service BPA: WO-01-3187-0150. Retrieved from https://www.fs.fed.us/foresthealth/pesticide/pdfs/lmidaclopridFinalReport.pdf.
- (2) US EPA (2016). Proposed Interim Registration Review Decision for 22 Sulfonyluea (SU) Herbicides.
- (3) Bayer Environmental Science (2019). Escort XP Pesticide Label. Retrieved from: https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/000432-01549-20190510.pdf