

Appendix 6: National Guidance ESRA for Sulfometuron-methyl

Environmental National Assessment

Pesticide:	Sulfometuron-methyl		Specific Formulation:
Hazard Status:	Sulfometuron-methyl is not considered a highly hazardous pesticide (HHP) per the FSC Pesticides Policy (FSC-POL-30-001 V3-0 EN) and the FSC Lists of Highly Hazardous Pesticides (FSC-POL-30-001a EN).		DISCLAIMER: Adoption or adaption of this national-level assessment alone does not guarantee compliance with FSC-POL-30-001 V3-0 (see Background/Expectations Section)
Exposure Elements	Minimum list of values	Description of why/why not a risk	National-level Mitigation strategies defined to minimize risk₁
Environmental	Soil (erosion, degradation, biota, carbon storage)	<p>Risk to soil microorganisms, despite uncertainty in magnitude of risk; risk of soil erosion and runoff due to impacts on vegetation:</p> <p>Some studies have found damage to soil bacteria, but there is low certainty and a lack of data regarding concentrations of sulfometuron-methyl in soil from typical application rates (1). Despite the lack of certainty in direct exposure risks, damage to vegetation from application of sulfometuron-methyl will likely cause secondary changes in the soil microbial community (1).</p> <p>Adverse effects on vegetation may leave soil more vulnerable to erosion, which in turn may result in adverse effects on sensitive plant species (1).</p>	<p>Follow all pesticide label application instructions. Follow applicable criterion and indicators from the FSC US FM Standard V1.0 (e.g., Criterion 4.3 for worker safety, Criterion 7.3 for worker training, Criterion 6.5 for protecting water resources, and Criteria 8.1 and 8.2 for Monitoring). Applicators or persons supervising application of restricted use pesticides are required to be certified in accordance with EPA regulations and state, territorial and tribal laws. Additional risk mitigation strategies are provided below. Organizations should take reasonable steps to avoiding environmental and social impacts by considering the mitigation strategies provided below as well as, application-, Organization-, or location-specific strategies.</p>
	Water (ground water, surface waters, water supplies)	<p>Risk to water resources is primarily characterized by risk to aquatic plants, with minimal to no risk to aquatic animals or algae (1).</p> <p>Risk to aquatic plants is significantly lower than risk to terrestrial plants. However, application of sulfometuron-methyl near bodies of water will pose risk to aquatic macrophytes (1).</p> <p>Contamination of irrigation water is possible as an exposure route for nontarget plant species (1). In general, sulfometuron-methyl may contaminate</p>	<p>General consideration of exposure variables designed to mitigate risk:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Know and understand the specific pesticide formulation and/or tank mixture, as its unique formulation may provide a different risk characterization. -Understand how the mixture of active ingredients affects the pesticides risk profile. -Seek to minimize the frequency, interval, and amount of application.

		surface/runoff water, especially in areas with poor soil drainage or shallow water table (3).	-Use the most efficient and effective method of application by seeking to minimize risk to environmental and social values.
	Atmosphere (air quality, greenhouse gasses)	Minimal indication of adverse effects to atmosphere was found when sulfometuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications.	-Understand the site (e.g., soil type, topography, etc.) and climatic (e.g., wind, temperature, and humidity) conditions and the likely effect on risk to environmental and social values.
Environmental	Non-target species (vegetation, wildlife, bees and other pollinators, pets)	Primary risk to non-target species is for non-target plants, with minimal indication of adverse effects to other non-target species, such as animals or algae, when sulfometuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications.	-Have appropriate waste management systems in place.
		Risk depends largely on potency relative to application rate; the highest rate used in USFS applications will damage sensitive nontarget species “up to distances of up to about 900 feet from the application site” (1).	Mitigating Risk to the Environment: <i>Reduce contact with water resources and minimize application amounts and number of applications.</i>
	Runoff and drift may negatively impact terrestrial plants. Exposure may result in adverse effects to plants in terrestrial or wetland areas located adjacent to or downwind from an application site (1).	<i>General and non-target species:</i> -Minimize application amounts and number of applications. -Minimize risk of spray drift: unintentional spray drift has potential to significantly increase risk to the environment and public welfare. -Consider that this herbicide is injurious to plants at extremely low concentrations. Nontarget plants may be adversely affected from drift and run-off.	
	Non-timber forest products (as FSC-STD-01-001 V5-2 FSC Principles and Criteria, criterion 5.1)	Minimal indication of adverse effects to non-timber forest products was found when sulfometuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications. Additional considerations are provided below.	<i>Water:</i> -Do not apply directly to water, or to areas where surface water is present, or to intertidal areas below the mean high-water mark (3). -Do not contaminate water when cleaning equipment or disposing of equipment wash waters or rinsate (3). -To mitigate risk to surface water: "A level, well-maintained vegetative buffer strip between areas to which this product is applied and surface water features such as ponds, streams, and springs will reduce the potential loading of metsulfuron-methyl from runoff water and sediment. Runoff of this product will be greatly reduced by avoiding applications when rainfall or irrigation is expected
		Secondary effects to habitats and food availability could occur, which would affect other nontarget organisms. These secondary effects caused by herbicide or mechanical methods could either be detrimental or beneficial to affected species (1).	
		Risk to terrestrial and aquatic animals from direct exposure is low (1).	
		As with any effective herbicide, vegetation will likely be altered within the treatment area, which may lead	

		to secondary effects on terrestrial or aquatic animals as well as nontarget plants (1).	to occur within 48 hours" (3). <i>Soil:</i> -Do not treat frozen or snow-covered soil. -Leave treated soil undisturbed to reduce the potential for herbicide movement by soil erosion due to wind or water (4).- As sulfometuron-methyl "has the potential to move off-site due to wind erosion," avoid using in areas where soils are vulnerable to wind erosion. This is usually soils with "high silt and/or fine to very fine sand fractions and low organic matter content. Other factors which can affects the movement of windblown soil include the intensity and direction of prevailing winds, vegetative cover, site slope, rainfall, and drainage patterns" (3).
High Conservation Values (particularly HCV 1-4)	Minimal indication of adverse effects to high conservation values was found when sulfometuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications. Additional considerations are provided below.	Unintentional secondary effects on habitat, landscape and ecosystem are possible (1).	
Landscape (aesthetics, cumulative impacts)	Minimal indication of adverse effects to landscape was found when sulfometuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications. Additional considerations are provided below.	Potential for secondary effects on terrestrial or aquatic animals and plants, including changes in food availability and habitat quality (1).	
Ecosystem services (water, soil, carbon sequestration, tourism)	Minimal indication of adverse effects to non-timber forest products was found when sulfometuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications. Additional considerations are provided below.	Potential for secondary effects on terrestrial or aquatic animals and plants, including changes in food availability and habitat quality (1).	

¹ Mitigation strategies have been categorized to avoid redundancy

Sources:

- (1) USDA/Forest Service. (2016). Metsulfuron Methyl: Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment. Prepared by Syracuse Environmental Research Associates, Inc. under GSA Forest Service BPA: WO-01-3187-0150. Retrieved from <https://www.fs.fed.us/foresthealth/pesticide/pdfs/ImidaclopridFinalReport.pdf>.
- (2) US EPA (2016). Proposed Interim Registration Review Decision for 22 Sulfonylurea (SU) Herbicides. Retrieved from: https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/reg_actions/interim-reg-review-decision_30-Jun-16.pdf
- (3) Bayer Environmental Science (2018). Oust XP Pesticide Label. Retrieved from: https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/000432-01552-20180308.pdf

(4) Bayer CropScience (2016). Oust XP Herbicide Safety Data Sheet. Accessed from:
<https://sds.chemicalsafety.com/sds/pda/msds/getpdf.ashx?action=msdsdocument&auth=200C200C200C200C2008207A200D2078200C200C200C200C200C200C200C200C2008¶m1=ZmRwLjFfMDk0NjgwMDNORQ==&unique=1585249496>

Social National Assessment

Pesticide:	Sulfometuron-methyl		Specific Formulation:
Hazard Status:	Sulfometuron-methyl is not considered a highly hazardous pesticide (HHP) per the FSC Pesticides Policy (FSC-POL-30-001 V3-0 EN) and the FSC Lists of Highly Hazardous Pesticides (FSC-POL-30-001a EN).		DISCLAIMER: Adoption or adaption of this national-level assessment alone does not guarantee compliance with FSC-POL-30-001 V3-0 (see Background/Expectations Section)
Exposure Elements	Minimum list of values	Description of why/why not a risk	National-level Mitigation strategies defined to minimize risk₁
	High Conservation Values (especially HCV 5-6)	Minimal indication of adverse effects to high conservation values was found when sulfometuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications.	Follow all pesticide label application instructions. Follow applicable criterion and indicators from the FSC US FM Standard V1.0 (e.g., Criterion 4.3 for worker safety, Criterion 7.3 for worker training, Criterion 6.5 for protecting water resources, and Criteria 8.1 and 8.2 for Monitoring). Applicators or persons supervising application of restricted use pesticides are required to be certified in accordance with EPA regulations and state, territorial and tribal laws. Additional risk mitigation strategies are provided below. Organizations should take reasonable steps to avoiding environmental and social impacts by considering the mitigation strategies provided below, as well as application-, Organization-, or location-specific strategies.
	Health (fertility, reproductive health, respiratory health, dermatologic, neurological and gastrointestinal problems, cancer and hormonal imbalance)	<p>Minimal indication of adverse effects to human health was found when sulfometuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications. Additional considerations are provided below:</p> <p>For typical and maximum application rates, most exposure scenarios for workers and the general public do not reach a level of concern and there is minimal to no risk to health (1).</p> <p>Most hazardous exposure scenario for the general public is the consumption of contaminated water by a child, which just reaches the level of concern at the maximum application rate (1). No chronic exposure scenarios reach the level of concern for the general public (1).</p> <p>Exposure to high levels of sulfometuron-methyl can result in damage to the skin and eyes, risk that can be minimized with proper hygiene and handling procedures (1).</p> <p>Although limited data exists, reports in animals of chronic exposure leading to changes in blood consistent with hemolytic anemia, suggesting that</p>	<p>Persons supervising application of restricted use pesticides are required to be certified in accordance with EPA regulations and state, territorial and tribal laws. Additional risk mitigation strategies are provided below.</p> <p>General consideration of exposure variables designed to mitigate risk:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Know and understand the specific pesticide formulation, as its unique formulation may provide a different risk characterization. -Understand how the mixture of active ingredients affects the pesticides risk profile. -Seek to minimize the frequency, interval, and amount of application. -use the most efficient and effective method of application by seeking to minimize risk to environmental and social values. -Understand the site (e.g., soil type, topography, etc.) and climatic (e.g., wind, temperature, and

		those with pre-existing anemia may be at risk (1). Additionally, sulfometuron-methyl may have the capacity to alter thyroid gland function, suggesting that those with pre-existing thyroid dysfunction may be at risk (1).	humidity) conditions and the likely effect on risk to environmental and social values. -Have appropriate waste management systems in place.
Social	Welfare	<p>Minimal indication of adverse effects to welfare was found when sulfometuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications. Additional considerations are provided below:</p> <p>However, although limited data exists, reports in animals of chronic exposure leading to changes in blood consistent with hemolytic anemia, suggesting that those with pre-existing anemia may be at risk (1). Additionally, sulfometuron-methyl may have the capacity to alter thyroid gland function, suggesting that those with pre-existing thyroid dysfunction may be at risk (1).</p>	<p>Mitigating risk to water and food resources: See Environmental Risk Assessment mitigation strategies.</p> <p>Mitigating Risk to Workers: <i>Label instructions should be followed when applying pesticides.</i></p> <p>-Take off contaminated clothing and shoes immediately. Wash off immediately with plenty of water for at least 15 minutes. -Use personal protective equipment. When respirators are required, select NIOSH approved equipment based on actual or potential airborne concentrations and in accordance with the appropriate regulatory standards and/or industry recommendations. -Chemical resistant nitrile rubber gloves are needed for hand protection. Safety glasses with side-shields are needed for eye protection. Long-sleeved shirts, long pants, shoes, and socks are needed for skin and body protection. -Wash hands thoroughly with soap and water after handling and before eating, drinking, chewing gum, using tobacco, using the toilet or applying cosmetics (3). -Avoid contact with skin, eyes, and clothing. Applicators and handlers must wear long-sleeved shirts, long pants, shoes and socks. Remove clothing if they become contaminated and then rinse skin immediately with plenty of water for 15-20 minutes. -Wash hands before eating, drinking, chewing gum, using tobacco, or using the toilet (4).</p>
	Food and water	<p>Minimal indication of adverse effects to food and water was found when sulfometuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications. Additional considerations are provided below:</p> <p>Consumption of contaminated water may pose risk for a young child in the event of consumption immediately after an accidental spill (1).</p> <p>The combination of consumption of eating contaminated fruit, drinking contaminated water, and consuming contaminated fish at “rates characteristic of subsistence populations” does not lead in hazard above the level of concern (1).</p> <p>Contamination of water is possible from runoff and wind erosion, which is more prominent in more arid regions and with predominantly clay soils; contaminated irrigation water may adversely affect terrestrial and aquatic plants. However, effects depend on exposure conditions, such as</p>	<p>Mitigating Risk to Access/Public Welfare:</p>

		precipitation levels, topography, and hydrological conditions (1).	<p>-Reduce the possibility of public consumption of contaminated wild food (e.g., fruit or fungi) and public exposure to pesticides through public outreach and engagement, limiting access, and/or appropriate signage. For instance, users of the forest may be excluded from the area using barriers or signage until the pesticide dries.</p> <p>-Consider effects on local communities and indigenous peoples when considering limiting access to treatment areas.</p> <p>-Do not allow children or pets to enter the treated area until it has dried.</p>
	Social Infrastructure; (schools and hospitals, recreational infrastructure, infrastructure adjacent to the management unit)	Minimal indication of adverse effects to social infrastructure was found when sulfometuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications.	
	Economic viability (agriculture, livestock, tourism)	<p>Minimal indication of adverse effects to economic viability was found when sulfometuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications. Additional considerations are provided below:</p> <p>Risks to crops and other terrestrial plants due to exposure through runoff, contaminated irrigation water, drift, and wind erosion. However, effects depend on exposure conditions, such as precipitation levels, topography, and hydrological conditions (1).</p> <p>Minimal to no risk to fish and terrestrial animals (1). Unintentional secondary effects on ecosystems and landscape are possible due to changes in vegetation (1).</p>	
Social	Rights (legal and customary)	Minimal indication of adverse effects to rights was found when sulfometuron-methyl is used according to label instructions in forestry applications.	
	Others	No additional values were identified in this assessment.	

¹ Mitigation strategies have been categorized to avoid redundancy

Sources:

- (1) USDA/Forest Service. (2016). Metsulfuron Methyl: Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment. Prepared by Syracuse Environmental Research Associates, Inc. under GSA Forest Service BPA: WO-01-3187-0150. Retrieved from <https://www.fs.fed.us/foresthealth/pesticide/pdfs/ImidaclopridFinalReport.pdf>.
- (2) US EPA (2016). Proposed Interim Registration Review Decision for 22 Sulfonylurea (SU) Herbicides. Retrieved from: https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/reg_actions/interim-reg-review-decision_30-Jun-16.pdf
- (3) Bayer Environmental Science (2018). Oust XP Pesticide Label. Retrieved from: https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/000432-01552-20180308.pdf
- (4) Bayer CropScience (2016). Oust XP Herbicide Safety Data Sheet. Accessed from: <https://sds.chemicalsafety.com/sds/pda/msds/getpdf.ashx?action=msdsdocument&auth=200C200C200C200C2008207A200D2078200C200C200C200C200C200C200C200C2008¶m1=ZmRwLjFfMDk0NjgwMDNORQ==&unique=1585249496>