

Resilience in Childhood: Methodological Justification for Dissertation Research

Tara L. Chase

Doctoral Department: Grand Canyon University

RES-831-O502: Foundations of Research Design 1

Dr. Michelle Sandoval

March 6, 2024

Resilience in Childhood: Methodological Justification for Dissertation Research

Qualitative research methodology provides a sturdy framework for delving into the intricate dynamics of resilience during childhood and its ramifications for navigating trauma in adulthood. Through the utilization of qualitative methods, researchers are equipped to grasp the multidimensional facets of these experiences, thereby furnishing significant contributions to fields such as psychology, education, supervision, and related disciplines (Rennie et al., 2002).

This approach to research can greatly enrich theory development by engendering novel insights, hypotheses, or conceptual frameworks. Qualitative findings serve to refine or broaden existing theories concerning resilience and trauma coping, thereby fostering a deeper comprehension of the underlying mechanisms at play in these phenomena.

Topic Refinement

The refinement of the topic was influenced by feedback from instructors and peers, emphasizing the importance of examining specific mechanisms through which childhood resilience influences adult coping strategies. Additionally, the problem space identified highlighted the need to explore both the development of resilience in childhood and its long-term implications for adult mental health outcomes.

The potential dissertation topic focuses on investigating the role of resilience in childhood and its impact on individuals' coping mechanisms in adulthood, particularly in the context of trauma. Qualitative research methodology offers a framework for exploring the complexities of resilience in childhood and its implications for coping with trauma in adulthood. By employing qualitative methods, scholars can capture the multifaceted nature of those experiences and contribute valuable insights to psychology, education, supervision, and related disciplines (Rennie et al., 2002).

Problem Statement

The problem statement guiding this research is: "Understanding how childhood resilience contributes to individuals' coping strategies in adulthood following exposure to trauma."

Potential Methodology

The chosen methodology for this dissertation study is qualitative research. Qualitative methods allow for an in-depth exploration of individuals' lived experiences, perceptions, and meaning-making processes, which is crucial for understanding the complexities of resilience and coping in the context of trauma.

Justification of Chosen Methodology

Qualitative methodology aligns with the research focus on exploring subjective experiences and processes (Johnson & Onwuegbuzie, 2004). Resilience and coping are multifaceted constructs influenced by various factors, making qualitative inquiry the most appropriate approach to capture the complexity of these phenomena (Luthar et al., 2000). Qualitative research offers flexibility and adaptability during data collection and analysis, enhancing the richness and depth of the study findings (Masten, 2014).

Reason to not Choose Quantitative

Qualitative methodology is the most suitable approach for investigating the role of childhood resilience in shaping adult coping strategies following exposure to trauma. By embracing qualitative inquiry, this research aims to generate rich, contextually grounded insights that can inform interventions and support systems for individuals who have experienced adversity in childhood.

Class Discussion Influences

The class discussions on quantitative and qualitative methodologies have greatly influenced my decision-making process regarding the methodology for my dissertation research on childhood resilience and adult coping mechanisms. Through these discussions, I gained a deeper understanding of the strengths and limitations of each approach. The discussions emphasized the flexibility and depth offered by qualitative methods in capturing the subjective experiences and processes underlying resilience and coping.

Methodology Alignment

Qualitative methodology aligns with the research focus on understanding how culturally diverse individuals perceive resilience and empowerment in overcoming childhood trauma. Through qualitative methods such as interviews and focus groups, this study aims to capture the richness and diversity of participants' experiences and perspectives. Quantitative methodology may not align with the research focus on exploring subjective experiences and processes related to resilience and coping. Quantitative approaches often prioritize numerical data and statistical analysis, which may overlook the nuanced and context-dependent nature of resilience and coping mechanisms (Carver, 1997).

Justification for Empirical Source

Qualitative methodology aligns with the research focus on understanding how culturally diverse individuals perceive resilience and empowerment in overcoming childhood trauma. Through qualitative methods such as interviews and focus groups, this study aims to capture the richness and diversity of participants' experiences and perspectives.

According to Denzin and Lincoln (2018), qualitative research allows for a holistic understanding of cultural phenomena and facilitates the exploration of diverse perspectives and

meanings, making it the preferred approach for studying complex social issues such as resilience and empowerment among culturally diverse populations.

Conclusion

Qualitative methodology is the most appropriate approach for investigating the perceptions of resilience and empowerment among culturally diverse individuals in overcoming childhood trauma experiences. By embracing qualitative inquiry, this research aims to generate rich and contextually grounded insights that can inform culturally sensitive interventions and support systems for individuals with diverse backgrounds who have experienced childhood trauma.

Resources

- Carver, C. S. (1997). You want to measure coping but your protocol's too long: consider the brief COPE. *International journal of behavioral medicine*, 4(1), 92–100.
https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327558ijbm0401_6
- Connor, K. M., & Davidson, J. R. (2003). Development of a new resilience scale: the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC). *Depression and anxiety*, 18(2), 76–82.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/da.10113>
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (Eds.). (2018). *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research*. Sage Publications. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781544308474>
- Johnson, R. B., & Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2004). Mixed methods research: A research paradigm whose time has come. *Educational Researcher*, 33(7), 14-26.
- Luthar, S. S., Cicchetti, D., & Becker, B. (2000). The construct of resilience: a critical evaluation and guidelines for future work. *Child development*, 71(3), 543–562.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00164>
- Masten, A. S. (2014). Global perspectives on resilience in children and youth. *Child development*, 85(1), 6–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12205>
- Rennie, D. L., Phillips, J. R., & Quartaro, G. K. (2002). Grounded theory: A promising approach to conceptualization in psychology? *Canadian Psychology/Psychologie canadienne*, 43(3), 151–166. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0086957>