Abstract:

The Riemann Zeta function or Euler–Riemann Zeta function, \( \zeta(s) \), is a function of a complex variable \( z \) that analytically continues the sum of the Dirichlet series (Sondow):

\[
\zeta(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-z}
\]

The Riemann zeta function is a meromorphic function on the whole complex \( z \)-plane, which is holomorphic everywhere except for a simple pole at \( z = 1 \) with residue 1. One of the most important advance in the study of Prime numbers was the paper by Bernhard Riemann in November 1859 called "Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse" (Riemann, "On the number of primes less than a given quantity"). In this paper, Riemann gave a formula for the number of primes less than \( x \) in terms the integral of \( 1/\log(x) \), and also provided insights into the roots (zeros) of the zeta function, formulating a conjecture about the location of the zeros of \( \zeta(z) \) in the critical line \( \text{Re}(z) = 1/2 \).

\[ \text{Riemann Hypothesis: All nontrivial zeros lie on the critical line, or Re}(z) = 1/2. \]

In this paper, we use the decomposition of the Riemann Zeta function in the form:

\[ \zeta(z) = X(z) - Y(z) \]

To prove the Riemann Hypothesis.

*********
Nomenclature and conventions

a. $\zeta(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-z}$ is the Zeta function of Riemann

b. $z^*$: any nontrivial solution of the Zeta function verifying that $\zeta(z^*)=0$. By default, a reference to zero of $\zeta(z)$ will mean a nontrivial zero of $\zeta(z)$.

c. $\beta(n)$ is the $n$th zero of the Riemann function in the critical line $x=1/2$ in $\mathbb{C}$. e.g. $b_1=14.134725…$

d. $\alpha=\text{Re}(z)$ is the real part of $z$

e. $\beta=\text{Im}(z)$ is the imaginary part of $z$

f. If $z=\alpha+i\beta$, Modulus($z$) = $|z| = \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}$

g. If $z=\alpha+i\beta$, Absolute Square($z$) = $|z|^2 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2$
1. A decomposition of $\zeta(z)$ for $\Re(z)>0$, $z\neq 1$

From (Caceres), one can write $\zeta(z)$ as the difference between the functions $X(z)$ and $Y(z)$:

\[ \zeta(z) = X(z) - Y(z), \]

where:

\[ X(z,n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{-\alpha} \cos(\beta \ln(k)) + \frac{1}{2} n^{-\alpha} \cos(\beta \ln(n)) + 
\]
\[ + i \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{-\alpha} \sin(\beta \ln(k)) + \frac{1}{2} n^{-\alpha} \sin(\beta \ln(n)) \]

and:

\[ X(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} X(z,n) \]

\[ Y(z,n) = n^{(1-\alpha)} \frac{1}{[(1-\alpha)^2 + \beta^2]} \left[ ((1 - \alpha) \cos(\beta \ln(n)) + \beta \sin(\beta \ln(n))) + 
\]
\[ + i (\beta \cos(\beta \ln(n)) - (1 - \alpha) \sin(\beta \ln(n))) \]

and:

\[ Y(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Y(z,n) \]

The following chart (Fig. 1) shows the equivalence of $\zeta(z) = X(z) - Y(z)$:

The following chart (Fig. 2) shows that $|\zeta(z)|^2 = |X(z) - Y(z)|^2$:
2. Analysis of Absolute Square $|Y(z, n)|^2$

\[ |Y(z, n)|^2 = \left[ (n^{1-\alpha}) \cdot \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)^2 + \beta^2} \right] \left[ ((1-\alpha) \cdot \cos(\beta \cdot \text{ln}(n)) + \beta \cdot \sin(\beta \cdot \text{ln}(n))) \right]^2 \]

\[ + \left( n^{1-\alpha} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)^2 + \beta^2} \cdot \left[ (1-\alpha) \cdot \cos(\beta \cdot \text{ln}(n)) - (1-\alpha) \cdot \sin(\beta \cdot \text{ln}(n)) \right]^2 \]

\[ |Y(z, n)|^2 = n^{2(1-\alpha)} \cdot \frac{1}{[\beta^2 + (1-\alpha)^2]} \quad \text{Polynomial representation} \]

**Fig 3:** $|Y(z, n)|^2$ has a polynomial representation
2.1 $|Y(z, n)|^2$ is a straight line if and only if $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$

The slope of $|Y(z, n)|^2$ with respect to $n$ is given by:

$$\text{slope}(|Y(z, n)|^2) = d(|Y(z, n)|^2)/dn$$

Which equals to:

$$\text{slope}(|Y(z, n)|^2) = 2(1 - \alpha) n^{1-2\alpha} \frac{1}{[k^2 + (1-\alpha)^2]}$$

$|y(z)|^2$ can only be a line when the slope is constant, which can only happen if and only if:

$$(1 - 2\alpha) = 0$$

therefore:

$|Y(z, n)|^2$ is a straight line if and only if $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$

2.2 Summary for $|Y(z, n)|^2$ for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$:

- The slope $|Y(z, n)|^2$ is constant if and only if $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$
- The slope for $|Y(z, n)|^2$ is $\frac{1}{[k^2 + \frac{\alpha}{4}]}$
- When $\alpha=1/2$, $|Y(z, n)|^2 = \frac{n}{[k^2 + \frac{\alpha}{4}]}$

3. Analysis of Absolute Square $|X(z, n)|^2$

$$|X(z, n)|^2 = \frac{1}{2} n^{-\alpha} \cos(\beta \ln(n)) + \sum k^{-\alpha} \cos(\beta \ln(n))^2 + \frac{1}{2} n^{-\alpha} \sin(\beta \ln(n)) + \sum k^{-\alpha} \sin(\beta \ln(n))^2$$

Applying properties of infinite series (Kopp):

$$|X(z, n)|^2 = \frac{1}{4} n^{-2\alpha} (\cos^2(\beta \ln(n)) + \sin^2(\beta \ln(n))) + \sum k^{-\alpha} \cos(\beta \ln(n))^2 + \sum k^{-\alpha} \sin(\beta \ln(n))^2 + n^{-\alpha} [\cos(\beta \ln(n)) \times \sum k^{-\alpha} \cos(\beta \ln(k))] + n^{-\alpha} [\sin(\beta \ln(n)) \times \sum k^{-\alpha} \sin(\beta \ln(k))]$$

$$|X(z, n)|^2 = \frac{1}{4} n^{-2\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n k^{-\alpha} \times j^{-\alpha} \times \cos \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \ln \left( \frac{k}{j} \right) \right) + n^{-\alpha} [\cos(\beta \ln(n)) \times \sum k^{-\alpha} \cos(\beta \ln(k))] + n^{-\alpha} [\sin(\beta \ln(n)) \times \sum k^{-\alpha} \sin(\beta \ln(k))]$$
\[ |X(z,n)|^2 = \frac{1}{4} n^{-2a} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n} j^{-\alpha} \cos \left( \beta \ln \left( \frac{k}{j} \right) \right) + \\
- n^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{-\alpha} \cos \left( \beta \ln \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) \right) + \cos \left( \beta \ln \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) \right) \]

\[ |X(z,n)|^2 = \frac{1}{4} n^{-2a} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n} j^{-\alpha} \cos \left( \beta \ln \left( \frac{k}{j} \right) \right) + \\
- 2 n^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{-\alpha} \cos \left( \beta \ln \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) \right) \]

When \( |X(z,n)|^2 \) is represented graphically, one can observe that:

- \( |X(z,n)|^2 \) is a wave that converges when \( n \to \infty \) and \( \alpha > 1 \) (Fig. 4)
- \( |X(z,n)|^2 \) is a wave that does not converge when \( n \to \infty \) and \( \alpha < 1 \) (Fig. 5)
- \( |X(z,n)|^2 \) is a wave that collapses to a line when \( n \to \infty \) and \( \alpha = 1/2 \) and \( \beta = \text{Im}(\zeta(z^*)) \) (Fig. 6)
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Fig 5: $|X(z, n)|^2$ for $\alpha < 1$  \[ a=\alpha, b=\beta \]

Fig 6: For $a=0.5, b=\beta_1$ $|X(z, n)|^2$ collapses to a line  \[ a=\alpha, b=\beta \]
3.1. \(|X(z, n)|^2\) converges when \(n \to \infty\) and \(\alpha > 1\) to \(|\zeta(\alpha, \beta)|^2\)

The limit of \(|X(z, n)|^2\) outside the critical strip \([0,1]\) can be calculated from [4]:

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} |X(z, n)|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} k^{-\alpha} * j^{-\alpha} * \cos \left( \beta \left( \ln \left( \frac{k}{j} \right) \right) \right)
\]

As one can see in some examples in the following table where \(z = \alpha + i\beta\):

| \(\alpha\) | \(\beta\) | \(\lim_{n \to \infty} |X(z, n)|^2\) | \(|\zeta(\alpha, \beta)|^2\) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1.0 | 7 | 1.074711506185445 | 1.074756 |
| 1.0 | 10 | 1.4413521753699579 | 1.441430 |
| 2.5 | 7 | 1.0093487944300192 | 1.009349 |
| 2.5 | 10 | 1.0507402208589398 | 1.050740 |

\[\text{Table 1}\]

\[\lim_{n \to \infty} |X(z)|^2 = |\zeta(z)|^2 = \zeta(\alpha + \beta i) * \zeta(\alpha - \beta i) \text{ for } \alpha > 1\]

And also, in the following figure 7:

\(|X(z, n)|^2\) with \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) variable

\[\text{Fig 7. } |X(z, n)|^2 \text{ converges when } n \to \infty \text{ and } \alpha > 1\]

One can observe that the graphs for \(\alpha = 1\) do not converge while graphs for \(\alpha > 1\) they all converge. This observation can be used to prove that there are no zero values of \(\zeta(z)\) for \(z\) with \(\text{Re}(z) > 1\).
3.2. $|X(z, n)|^2$ diverges when $n \to \infty$ for $\alpha \leq 1$

$|X(z, n)|^2$ diverges when $n \to \infty$ for $\alpha < 1$ because:

\[ [17] \quad |\cos \left( \beta \left( \ln \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) \right) \right) | < 1 \]

And:

\[ [18] \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq k}^{n} k^{-\alpha} \ast j^{-\alpha} \text{ diverges for } \alpha < 1 \]

Therefore:

\[ [19] \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} |X(z, n)|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq k}^{n} k^{-\alpha} \ast j^{-\alpha} \ast \cos \left( \beta \left( \ln \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) \right) \right) \text{ diverges for } \alpha < 1 \]

3.3. $|X(z, n)|^2$ does not collapse to any polynomial function $|X(z, n)|^2 = C \ast n^t$ for $t > 1$, and $C$ constant

One can prove it with a reduction to absurd.

Let’s assume that $|X(z, n)|^2 = C \ast n^t$ for $t > 1$ where $C$ and $t$ integers $C > 0$ and $t > 0$

If $|X(z, n)|^2 = C \ast n^t$ then:

\[ [20] \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|X(z, n)|^2}{n^t} = C \]

But:

\[ [21] \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|X(z, n)|^2}{n^t} = \frac{1}{n^t} \ast \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{-2\alpha} + \frac{1}{n^t} \ast \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq k}^{n} k^{-\alpha} \ast j^{-\alpha} \ast \cos \left( \beta \left( \ln \left( \frac{k}{j} \right) \right) \right) \]

And:

\[ [22] \quad \frac{1}{n^t} \ast \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{-2\alpha} = 0 \text{ for } t > 1 \]

\[ [23] \quad \frac{1}{n^t} \ast \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq k}^{n} k^{-\alpha} \ast j^{-\alpha} \ast \cos \left( \beta \left( \ln \left( \frac{k}{j} \right) \right) \right) = 0 \text{ for } t > 1 \]

So, $C$ must be 0 which is an absurd.

3.4. $|X(z, n)|^2$ collapses to a straight-line $|X(z, n)|^2 = Cn$ if $\text{Re}(z) = 1/2$

The proposition says that the following limit exists only for $\text{Re}(z) = 1/2$

\[ [24] \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|X(z, n)|^2}{n} = S \]

Using the expression:
3.4.3. Limit for $\alpha=1/2$.

When $\alpha=1/2$, one can express $\langle |X(z,n)|^2/n \rangle$ as:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle |X(z,n)|^2 / n \rangle = 0.$$
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} 2 \left( n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} * j^{-\frac{1}{2}} * \cos \left( \beta \left( \ln \left( \frac{n}{j} \right) \right) \right) \right) =
\]

Using the integral approximation of the infinite series

\[
= 2 * \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2 * \sqrt{n} \cos \left( \beta \ln \left( \frac{n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right) - 2 * \beta \sin \left( \beta \ln \left( \frac{n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right)}{4 + \beta^2 + 1} = \frac{1}{\beta^2 + 1/4}
\]

So, if \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{|X(z, n)|^2}{n} \right) \) exists will be equal to:

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{|X(z, n)|^2}{n} \right) = \frac{1}{\beta^2 + 1/4} \quad \text{if } z=1/2+i\beta
\]

And this limit can only exist when \(|X(z, n)|^2\) is monotonous which means that the curve will cross the x-axis only once.

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} k^{-\frac{1}{2}} * j^{-\frac{1}{2}} * \cos \left( \beta \left( \ln \left( \frac{k}{j} \right) \right) \right)
\]

4. Calculating the zeros of \(|X(z, n)|^2\)

Let’s define the function \(C_2(n, a, b) = |X(z, n)|^2\) in R (where \(z=a+bi\)) such that:

\[
C_2(n, a, b) = 2 * n^{-a} * \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^{-1} * \cos \left( \beta * \left( \ln \left( \frac{n}{j} \right) \right) \right) \right)
\]

With the following wave representation for \(C_2(n, a, b)\):

**Fig 8.** \(C_2(x, a, b)\) for \(a=0.4\) and variable \(b\)
As a wave, $C_2(n, a, b)$ can have one or more zeros. For $C_2(n, a, b)$ to have only one zero, it must cross the axis $y=0$ only once, which means that the wave collapses to a polynomial line. A numeric method has been created and coded (Python) to find the values of $(n, a, b)$ such that $C_2(n, a, b) = 0$. The following table shows an example of those calculated values, where $x=n$, $a=Alfa$, and $b=Beta$:
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**Table 2. Number of Zeros of $C_2(x, a, b)$ for different values of $a=Alfa$, and $b=Beta$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alfa</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Number of Zeros</th>
<th>Zero at X=</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.08</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.09</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20.97</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20.98</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20.99</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>21.01</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>21.02</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>21.03</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>24.96</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>24.97</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>24.98</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>24.99</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25.02</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25.03</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The calculations for $a \in (0,1)$ and $b \in [1,100]$ only found single zeros for $C_2(x, a, b)$ for values of $a = 0.5$ as shown in the following table that summarizes the single zeros found in those intervals:

**Table 3. List of first Zeros of $C_2(x, a, b)$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Values $(x,a,b)$</th>
<th>$C_2(x,a,b)=0$ SINGLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x^*$</td>
<td>$a^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200.1000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>442.2000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625.8000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>926.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1085.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1413.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1674.6000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1877.5000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2304.8000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One can observe that:

\[ C_2(x, a, b) = 0 \rightarrow \]
\[ a = \frac{1}{2} \]
\[ b = \text{Im}(z) \quad \text{with } \zeta(z) = 0 \]

(a, b) are the Nontrivial Zeros of ζ(z) in the critical line.

\[ x = b^2 + \frac{1}{4} \]

And the calculated values of \( \lim_{x \to \infty} C_2(x, a, b) \) for the values of (a, b) from Table 3 are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Values (x,a,b)</th>
<th>C2(x,a,b=0)</th>
<th>Limit [C2(x,a,b)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200.1000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>14.1368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>442.2000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>21.0226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625.8000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>25.0110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>926.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>30.4251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1085.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>32.9355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1413.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>37.5866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1674.6000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>40.9188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1877.5000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>43.3272</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Limit of \( C_2(x, a, b) \) for b in Table 10 and x→∞

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Values (x,a,b)</th>
<th>C2(x,a,b=0)</th>
<th>Limit [C2(x,a,b)]</th>
<th>Known Zero</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>when x→∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200.1000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>14.1368</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>442.2000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>21.0226</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625.8000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>25.0110</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>926.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>30.4251</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1085.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>32.9355</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1413.0000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>37.5866</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1674.6000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>40.9188</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1877.5000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>43.3272</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2304.8000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>48.0057</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2477.7000</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>49.7740</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Comparing “b” calculated with known zeros of ζ(z)

Therefore, \( |X(z, n)|^2 = C(n, a, b) \) has the following special properties for all (a, b) such that \( \zeta(a+bi)=0 \).

if \[ S = \frac{1}{b^2+\frac{1}{4}} \]

\[ C_2(n, a, b) = 0 \text{ when } x = \frac{1}{5}, a = \frac{1}{2}, b = \text{Im}(z^*) \text{ with } z^* \text{ a nontrivial zero of } \zeta(z) \]

\[ \lim_{x \to \infty} C_2\left(n, \frac{1}{2}, b\right) = S \]
Graphically:

The decomposition of \( \zeta(z) = X(z) - Y(z) \) for \( \Re(z) \geq 0, z \neq 1 \), has enabled us to study the zeros of \( \zeta(z) \).

For \( \Re(z) \geq 0, z \neq 1 \), the representation of \( X(z) \) and \( Y(z) \) only coincide, making \( \zeta(z) = 0 \), when \( X(z) \) and \( Y(z) \) are both a straight line with slope \( 1/(\beta^2 + 1/4) \), which happens only when \( \Re(z) = 1/2 \).

5. **Theorem:** For \( \Re(z) \geq 0, z \neq 1 \), if \( z^* \) is a nontrivial zero of \( \zeta(z) \), then \( \Re(z^*) = 1/2 \)

**Proof:**

- From [3], [4], [5] \( \zeta(z) = X(z) - Y(z) \) for \( \Re(z) > 0, z \neq 1 \)
- From [7] \( |Y(z, n)|^2 \) is always a polynomial line.
- From [9] \( |Y(z, n)|^2 \) is only straight line if and only if \( \Re(z) = \frac{1}{2} \)

\[
|Y(z^*)|^2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} |Y(z^*, n)|^2 \text{ tends to a straight line with slope } \frac{1}{[\beta^2 + 1/4]}
\]

- From [32] \( |X(z, n)|^2 \) is a wave function that has only one polynomial representation in the form of a straight line if and only if \( \Re(z) = \frac{1}{2} \) and for certain values of \( \Im(z) = \beta^* \) that we calculated. These values of \( \beta^* \) coincide with the imaginary parts of the nontrivial zeros of Riemann Zeta \( z^* \), so:

\[
|X(z^*)|^2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} |X(z^*, n)|^2 \text{ tends to a straight line with slope } \frac{1}{[\beta^2 + 1/4]}
\]

- If \( z = z^* \) is a zero of \( \zeta(z) \) then, there exists an \( N \) such that for any \( n > N \) then \( |X(z^*)|^2 - |Y(z^*)|^2 < \epsilon \) arbitrarily small

---

*Proof of Riemann Hypothesis using the decomposition of \( \zeta(z) = X(z) - Y(z) \) - Pedro Caceres*
- From [9] and [32] Of all possible representations of \(|X(z^*)|^2\) and \(|Y(z^*)|^2\) at any \(z^*\) nontrivial zero of \(\zeta(z)\), the only one common for both functions is a representation as a straight line with slope \(\frac{1}{|\beta^2 + 1/4|}\) when \(\text{Re}(z) = 1/2\).
- Therefore, all \(z^*\) nontrivial solution of \(X(z^*) = Y(z^*)\) have \(\text{Re}(z^*) = \frac{1}{2}\)
- As \(\zeta(z) = X(z) - Y(z)\), then all zeros of \(\zeta(z)\) for \(z \geq 0, z \neq 1\) are in the critical line \(\text{Re}(z) = 1/2\)

![Graph showing \(|\zeta(z)|^2\) and \(|X(z)|^2 - |Y(z)|^2\)](image)

**Fig. 12:** for \(\zeta(z) = 0\) \(\Rightarrow|X(z)| = |Y(z)|\) for \(\text{Re}(z) = 1/2\),

*.................................*
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